New York J. Math. 5 (1999) 101-105.

On Classes of *p*-adic Lie Groups

C. R. E. Raja

ABSTRACT. We consider non-contracting *p*-adic Lie groups and we establish equivalence relations and connections among the following classes of *p*-adic Lie groups: (1) non-contracting; (2) type R; (3) distal and (4) Tortrat. We also deduce that non-contracting *p*-adic Lie groups are unimodular and IN *p*-adic Lie groups are non-contracting.

In this note we prove *p*-adic analogue of results in [DR2] and [Ro].

Let G be a locally compact group and e denote the identity of G. Let $\mathcal{P}(G)$ be the space of all regular Borel probability measures on G, equipped with the weak* topology with respect to all bounded continuous functions on G: see [H] for more details on probability measures on locally compact groups. A locally compact group G is called *non-contracting* if e is not a limit point of $\{x^n g x^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for any $g \in G \setminus (e)$ and any $x \in G$.

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q}_p and T be a group of linear transformations on V. Then we say that V is of type R_T if all eigenvalues of T are of absolute value one. A p-adic Lie group G is called type R if L(G) is type $R_{Ad(G)}$ where L(G) is the Lie algebra of G. Let Aut(L(G)) be the group of all Lie algebra automorphisms of L(G). It should be noted that Aut(L(G)) is an algebraic subgroup of GL(L(G)), the general linear group on L(G). We now prove the following using methods in [Wa2].

Theorem 1. Let G be a p-adic Lie group and L(G) be the Lie algebra of G. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) G is non-contracting.
- (2) For each $x \in G$ there exists an open subgroup U(x) invariant under the conjucation of x and for any compact subset C of U(x) the orbit $\{x^n C x^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is relatively compact.
- (3) The closed subgroup generated by Ad(x) is compact in Aut(L(G)) for any $x \in G$.
- (4) G is of type R.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ and $\alpha: G \to G$ be $\alpha(g) = xgx^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Suppose G is non-contracting. Then Theorem 3.6 of [Wa2] implies (2).

Received March 1, 1999.

Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 22E35, secondary 15A18.

Key words and phrases. p-adic Lie groups, algebraic groups, non-contracting, distal, type R, polynomial growth.

We now prove $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Suppose there is an open subgroup U invariant under α and orbits of the cyclic group generated by α in U are all relatively compact. Then let $\alpha = \alpha_u \alpha_s$ be the Jordan decomposition of α in Aut(L(G)) where α_s and α_u are semisimple and unipotent parts of α respectively. Let T be the torus generated by α_s and T_a and T_d be the anisotropic and split parts of T. Then $T_a(\mathbb{Q}_p)T_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is of finite index in $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (see [Wa2]). By considering a power of α_s we may assume that α_s is in $T_a(\mathbb{Q}_p)T_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and let α_d be the split part of α . Since the closed subgroup generated by α_u and the subgroup $T_a(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ are compact, to prove the closed subgroup generated by α_i is compact it is enough to prove that the subgroup generated by α_d is relatively compact. Since orbits in U for the cyclic group generated by α are relatively compact the eigenvalues of α_d are of p-adic absolute value one and hence the closed subgroup generated by α_d is compact.

It is easy to see that $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$. We now claim that $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$. Suppose there exits a $g \in G$ and $h \in G$ such that e is a limit point $(g^n h g^{-n})$. Let $V_x = \{v \in L(G) \mid \operatorname{Ad}(x)^n(v) \to 0\}$, for $x \in G$. Suppose G is of type R. Then both V_g and $V_{g^{-1}}$ are of dimension zero. Then by Theorem 3.6 of [Wa2], there exists a closed open subgroup M of G such that $gMg^{-1} = M$ and $\{g^n x g^{-n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is relatively compact for all $x \in M$. Since e is a limit point of $(g^n h g^{-n})$, h belongs to any neighbourhood of e in G that is invariant under the conjugation by g. By Corollary 1.4 of [Wa2], M has arbitrarily small open subgroups invariant under the conjugation by g and hence h = e. This proves that G is non-contracting.

Corollary 1. Let G be a p-adic Lie group. Suppose G is non-contracting. Then G is unimodular.

Proof. Let m be the left Haar measure on G and Δ be the unimodular homomorphism on G, that is $m(Ex) = \Delta(x)m(E)$ for all $x \in G$ and for all Borel sets E of G. Let $x \in G$. Then by Theorem 1, there exists an open subgroup U such that $xUx^{-1} = U$. Since G is totally disconnected, there exists a compact open subgroup K of U. Again by Theorem 1, we get that $\cup x^n Kx^{-n} = L$, say is a relatively compact open subgroup of G and $xLx^{-1} = L$. Thus, $m(L) = m(xLx^{-1}) = m(Lx^{-1}) = \Delta(x^{-1})m(L)$. Since L is a relatively compact open subgroup, we have $0 < m(L) < \infty$. This implies that $\Delta(x) = 1$. Thus, G is unimodular.

Proposition 1. Let G be a Zariski-connected p-adic algebraic group. Suppose G is non-contracting. Then G is a compact extension of its nilradical.

Proof. Let G be connected algebraic group that is non-contracting. Let us first consider the case when G is semisimple. Let T be a maximal \mathbb{Q}_p -split torus of G. Then AdT is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^n$ for some n where \mathbb{Q}_p^* is the multiplicative group of units in \mathbb{Q}_p . By Theorem 1, every element of AdT generates a relatively compact subgroup. This implies that T is central and hence since G is semisimple, T is trivial. Now by Theorem 3.1 of [PR], G is compact.

We now consider the case when G is solvable. Let U be the unipotent radical of G and T be a torus such that G is the semidirect product of U and T. Let T_s be the \mathbb{Q}_p -split part of T. Then as in the previous case, we may prove that T_s centralizes U. This implies that G is a compact extension of a nilpotent normal subgroup.

Now let G be any connected algebraic group. Let S and U be the solvable and unipotent radicals of G respectively. By a result of G. D. Mostow, there exists a reductive Levi subgroup L of G such that G is the semidirect product of L and U and the connected component of identity in the center of L, say T is a maximal torus of S (see 11.22 and Theorem 11.23 of [B]). Also, by Theorem 2.4 of [PR], L = RT where R is a connected semisimple subgroup of G and hence since S = TU (see Theorem 10.6 of [B]), we have G = LU = RTU = RS. Since G is non-contracting, R is also non-contracting. This implies that R is compact. Since S is a solvable connected that is non-contracting, we have S is a compact extension of its nilradical. Since the nilradical of S is same as the nilradical of G, we get that G is a compact extension of its nilradical.

A locally compact group G is called *distal* if e is not a limit point of $\{gxg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ for any $x \in G \setminus (e)$.

A locally compact group G is said to be a *IN-group* if there exists a compact invariant neighbourhood of e. See [GM] and [P] for more details on IN-groups.

A locally compact group G is called *Tortrat* if a sequence of the form $(g_n \lambda g_n^{-1})$, where $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and (g_n) is a sequence in G, has an idempotent limit point only if λ is an idempotent. See [Ra] for more details on Tortrat groups.

A local field \mathbb{K} is a commutative non-discrete locally compact field (see [We]). A locally compact group G is said to be a *linear group* if G is a closed subgroup of GL(V), the general linear group on a finite-dimensional vector space V over a local field \mathbb{K} .

It is proved in [Ro], that compact extensions of nilpotent normal subgroups are distal. Here, we prove that compact extensions of (not necessarily normal) unipotent groups are distal.

Proposition 2. Let G be a linear group. Suppose there exist an unipotent algebraic (not necessarily normal) subgroup U of G such that G/U is compact. Then G is distal.

Proof. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a local field such that G is a closed subgrup of GL(V). Let W be the algebra of all linear endomorphisms on V. Now, for $g \in GL(V)$, define $\phi_g \colon W \to W$ by $\phi_g(w) = gwg^{-1}$ for all $w \in W$. Let (g_n) be a sequence in G such that $g_n x g_n^{-1} \to e$ for some $x \in G$. Since G/U is compact, by passing to a subsequence of (g_n) , we may assume that there exists a sequence (h_n) in G such that $u_n = h_n^{-1}g_n \in U$ and $h_n \to h \in G$. This implies that $u_n x u_n^{-1} \to e$. Let $\phi_n = \phi_{u_n}$. Then by Lemma 2.2 of [DR1], there exist sequences (a_n) and (b_n) in U such that $u_n = a_n b_n$, $a_n \to a$ in U and $b_n w b_n^{-1} = w$ for all w such that $(u_n w u_n^{-1})$ converges. Since $u_n x u_n^{-1} \to e$, we have $b_n x b_n^{-1} \to e$ and hence x = e. This proves that G is distal.

A *p*-adic Lie group G is called Ad-regular if Z(G) is the kernel of the adjoint representation of G.

Theorem 2. Let G be a Ad-regular p-adic Lie group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) G is non-contracting;
- (2) G is distal;
- (3) G is of type R.

In addition, if G is a p-adic linear group, then (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent to

(4) G is Tortrat.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, it is enough to prove that (1) is equivalent to (2). Let G be a Ad-regular p-adic Lie group. Suppose G is non-contracting. Let H be the algebraic closure of $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$. Then any \mathbb{Q}_p -split semisimple element occurring in the Jordan decomposition of any element of Inn (G) generates a relatively compact subgroup and hence $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ is contained in a compact extension of an unipotent subgroup of H. By Proposition 2, we get that $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$ is distal. Since the kernel of the adjoint representation is the center of G, G is distal. This proves that (1) \Rightarrow (2). That (2) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious. The second part of the theorem is proved in Theorem 2 of [Ra].

Remark 1. Let G be a p-adic Lie group. Suppose for each $g \in G$ there exists a compact neighbourhood K(g) of e such that $gK(g)g^{-1} = K(g)$. Then G is non-contracting, which may be seen as follows: Let x be a point in G and $C(x) = \{g \in G \mid x^ngx^{-n} \to e\}$. Then it is easy to see that $C(x) \subset K(x)$. By Theorem 3.6 of [Wa2], C(x) is a closed subgroup of G. Thus, C(x) is compact and hence it is trivial (see Theorem 3.5 of [Wa2]). By Theorem 1, we have G is non-contracting. In particular, IN p-adic Lie groups are non-contracting. In fact, a similar argument proves that IN p-adic Lie groups are distal without using Theorem 2.

A compactly generated locally compact group is said to be of *polynomial growth* if for every compact neighbourhood U of e, $m(U^n) \leq Kn^l$ for all n and for some constant K and an integer l where m is a Haar measure on G. See [Gu], [L] and [P] for more details on the theory of polynomial growth. Since only reductive p-adic algebraic groups are compactly generated (see Proposition 3.15 of [PR]), we have the following.

Corollary 2. Let G be a Zariski-connected p-adic reductive algebraic group. Then (1), (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 2 are equivalent to either of the following conditions.

- (5) G has polynomial growth.
- (6) G is an IN-group.

Proof. Suppose G has polynomial growth. Then by Theorem 2 of [L], there exists a compact normal subgroup H of G such that G/H is a real Lie group. Since G is totally disconnected, G/H is discrete. This implies that G has a compact open normal subgroup H. Thus, G is an IN-group. This proves (5) implies (6) and that (6) implies (1) follows from Remark 1.

Suppose G is non-contracting. By Proposition 1, G is a compact extension of its nilradical, say N. Since G is a reductive group, the connected component of the identity of the center of G, say Z, is a torus and Z is the solvable radical of G (see 11.21 of [B]). This implies that $N \subset Z$. Thus, G is a compact extension of its center and hence G has polynomial growth (see [P]).

Remark 2. The results in this note may be proved for any linear algebraic group G defined over a non-Archimedean local field \mathbb{K} provided G is connected and has a Levi-decomposition defined over \mathbb{K} . It may be mentioned that results in [Wa1] are used in the place of results in [Wa2] in the argument.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Dr. Piotr Graczyk for inviting me to work at Universite d'Angers under the fellowship of the Regional Commission CCRRDT du Pays de Loire. I also thank Prof. Y. Guivarc'h for pointing out the references [Gu] and [L]. My thanks are due also to the referee whose suggestions made the exposition clear.

References

- [B] A. Borel, Linear Algebraic Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, MR 92d:20001, Zbl 726.20030.
- [DR1] S. G. Dani and C. R. E. Raja, Asymptotics of measures under group automorphisms and an application to factor sets, Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Lie Groups and Ergodic Theory 1996, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1998, pp. 59–73.
- [DR2] S. G. Dani and C. R. E. Raja, A note on Tortrat groups, Journal of Theoretical Probability 11 (1998), 571–576, MR 99g:60012, Zbl 980.57605.
- [GM] S. Grosser and M. Moskowitz, Compactness conditions in topological groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 246 (1971), 1–40, MR 44 #1766, Zbl 219.22011.
- [Gu] Y. Guivarc'h, Croisance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques, Bull. Soc. Math. France 101 (1973), 333–379, MR 51 #5841.
- [H] H. Heyer, Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 94, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1977, MR 58 #18648, Zbl 376.60002.
- [L] V. Losert, On the structure of groups with polynomial growth, Math. Z. 195 (1987), 109– 117, MR 88e:53059 Zbl 633.22002.
- [P] T. W. Palmer, Classes of nonabelian, noncompact, locally compact groups, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 8 (1978), 683–741, MR 81j:22003, Zbl 396.22001.
- [PR] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic Groups and Number Theory, Academic Press, Boston, 1994, MR 95b:11039, Zbl 841.20046.
- [Ra] C. R. E. Raja, Some class of distal groups are Tortrat, preprint.
- [Ro] J. Rosenblatt, A distal property of groups and the growth of connected locally compact groups, Mathematika 26 (1979), 94–98, MR 81c:22014, Zbl 414.22011.
- [Wa1] S. P. Wang, On Mautner phenomonen and groups with property (T), Amer. J. Math. 104 (1982), 1191–1210, Zbl 507.22011.
- [Wa2] J. S. P. Wang, Mautner phenemenon for p-adic Lie groups, Math. Z. 185 (1984), 403–412, MR 85i:22031, Zbl 539.22015.
- [We] A. Weil, Basic Number Theory, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1967, MR 38 #3244, Zbl 823.11001.

UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS,, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES,, DÉPARTMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2, BOULE-VARD LAVOISIER, 49045 ANGERS CEDEX 01, FRANCE

raja@tonton.univ-angers.fr

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu:8000/j/1999/5-7.html.