

## Compatible ideals and radicals of Ore extensions

E. Hashemi

**ABSTRACT.** For a ring endomorphism  $\alpha$  and an  $\alpha$ -derivation  $\delta$ , we introduce  $\alpha$ -compatible ideals which are a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid ideals and study the connections of the prime radical and the upper nil radical of  $R$  with the prime radical and the upper nil radical of the Ore extension  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  and the skew power series  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ . As a consequence we obtain a generalization of Hong, Kwak and Rizvi, 2005.

### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	349
2. The prime and upper nil radicals of Ore extensions	351
References	355

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper  $R$  always denotes an associative ring with unity.  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  will stand for the Ore extension of  $R$ , where  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism and  $\delta$  an  $\alpha$ -derivation of  $R$ , that is,  $\delta$  is an additive map such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + \alpha(a)\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ . We use  $P(R)$ ,  $N_r(R)$  and  $N(R)$  to denote the prime radical, the upper nil radical and the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ , respectively.

According to Krempa [15], an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$  is called *rigid* if  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$  for  $a \in R$ .  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -rigid ring [9] if there exists a rigid endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ . Note that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is a monomorphism and  $\alpha$ -rigid rings are reduced by Hong et al. [9]. Properties of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings have been studied in Krempa [15], Hirano [7] and Hong et al. [11] and [9].

On the other hand, a ring  $R$  is called *2-primal* if  $P(R) = N(R)$  (see [2]). Every reduced ring is obviously a 2-primal ring. Moreover, 2-primal rings have been extended to the class of rings which satisfy  $N_r(R) = N(R)$ , but the converse does not hold ([3], Example 3.3). Observe that  $R$  is a 2-primal ring if and only if  $P(R) = N_r(R) = N(R)$ , if and only if  $P(R)$  is a completely semiprime ideal (i.e.,  $a^2 \in P(R)$  implies  $a \in P(R)$  for  $a \in R$ ) of  $R$ . We refer to [2, 3, 7, 10, 14, 18, 19].

---

Received May 29, 2006.

*Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 16W20, 16N40, 16S36, secondary 16W60.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\alpha$ -compatible rings, Ore extensions, skew power series,  $\alpha$ -rigid rings, prime and upper nil radicals.

for more detail on 2-primal rings. Recall that a ring  $R$  is called *strongly prime* if  $R$  is prime with no nonzero nil ideals. An ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is *strongly prime* if  $R/P$  is a strongly prime ring. All (strongly) prime ideals are taken to be proper. We say an ideal  $P$  of a ring  $R$  is *minimal (strongly) prime* if  $P$  is minimal among (strongly) prime ideals of  $R$ . Note that (see [17])

$$N_r(R) = \cap\{P \mid P \text{ is a minimal strongly prime ideal of } R\}.$$

Recall that an ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is *completely prime* if  $ab \in P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$  for  $a, b \in R$ . Every completely prime ideal of  $R$  is strongly prime and every strongly prime ideal is prime.

According to Hong et al. [11], for an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$ , an  $\alpha$ -ideal  $I$  is called an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal if  $a\alpha(a) \in I$  implies  $a \in I$  for  $a \in R$ . Hong et al. [11] studied connections between the  $\alpha$ -rigid ideals of  $R$  and the related ideals of some ring extensions. They also studied the relationship of  $P(R)$  and  $N_r(R)$  of  $R$  with the prime radical and the upper nil radical of the Ore extension  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  of  $R$  in the cases when either  $P(R)$  or  $N_r(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal of  $R$ , obtaining the following result: Let  $P(R)$  (resp.  $N_r(R)$ ) be an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal of  $R$ . Then

$$P(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq P(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$$

(resp.  $N_r(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq N_r(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ ). Hong et al. [11] provided an example to show that the condition “ $P(R)$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid” is not superfluous.

In [6], the authors defined  $\alpha$ -compatible rings, which are a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid rings. A ring  $R$  is called  $\alpha$ -compatible if for each  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = 0 \Leftrightarrow a\alpha(b) = 0$ . Moreover,  $R$  is said to be  $\delta$ -compatible if for each  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = 0 \Rightarrow a\delta(b) = 0$ . If  $R$  is both  $\alpha$ -compatible and  $\delta$ -compatible, we say that  $R$  is  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible. In this case, clearly the endomorphism  $\alpha$  is injective. In ([6], Lemma 2.2), the authors showed that  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible and reduced. Thus the  $\alpha$ -compatible ring is a generalization of an  $\alpha$ -rigid ring to the more general case where  $R$  is not assumed to be reduced.

Motivated by the above facts, for an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$ , we define  $\alpha$ -compatible ideals in  $R$  which are a generalization of  $\alpha$ -rigid ideals. For an ideal  $I$ , we say that  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of  $R$  if for each  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in I \Leftrightarrow a\alpha(b) \in I$ . Moreover,  $I$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -compatible ideal if for each  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in I \Rightarrow a\delta(b) \in I$ . If  $I$  is both  $\alpha$ -compatible and  $\delta$ -compatible, we say that  $I$  is an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal. The definition is quite natural, in the light of its similarity with the notion of  $\alpha$ -rigid ideals. Here, in Proposition 2.4, we will show that  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal if and only if  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal and completely semiprime.

In this paper we first give some examples of  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideals which are not  $\alpha$ -rigid. Then, we study connections between  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideals of  $R$  and related ideals of some ring extensions. Also we investigate the relationship of  $P(R)$  and  $N_r(R)$  of  $R$  with the prime radical and the upper nil radical of the Ore extension  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  and the skew power series  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -ideal if  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ ;  $I$  is called  $\alpha$ -invariant if  $\alpha^{-1}(I) = I$ ;  $I$  is called a  $\delta$ -ideal if  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ ;  $I$  is called an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -ideal if it is both an  $\alpha$ - and a  $\delta$ -ideal. If  $I$  is an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -ideal, then  $\bar{\alpha} : R/I \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(a + I) = \alpha(a) + I$  is an endomorphism and  $\bar{\delta} : R/I \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\bar{\delta}(a + I) = \delta(a) + I$  is an  $\bar{\alpha}$ -derivation.

## 2. The prime and upper nil radicals of Ore extensions

In this section, our focus of study will be on the prime and the upper nil radicals of a ring  $R$  and those of the Ore extension  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  and the skew power series ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal.
- (2)  $R/I$  is  $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta})$ -compatible.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Clearly  $I$  is an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -ideal. Let  $(a + I)(b + I) = 0$  in  $R/I$ . Then  $ab \in I$ . Hence  $a\alpha(b) \in I$ . Thus  $(a + I)\bar{\alpha}(b + I) = 0$ . Similarly,  $(a + I)\bar{\alpha}(b + I) = 0$  implies  $(a + I)(b + I) = 0$ . If  $(a + I)(b + I) = 0$ , then  $ab \in I$ , so that  $a\delta(b) \in I$ . Hence  $(a + I)\bar{\delta}(b + I) = 0$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) This is similar to the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $I$  be an  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of a ring  $R$ . Then  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant.*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha(a) \in I$ . Then  $1\alpha(a) \in I$  and so  $a \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. Thus  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant ideal.  $\square$

Recall from [16] that a one-sided ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  has the *insertion of factors property* (or simply, IFP) if  $ab \in I$  implies  $aRb \subseteq I$  for  $a, b \in R$  (H.E. Bell in 1970 introduced this notion for  $I = 0$ ).

The following proposition extends ([6], Lemma 2.1).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $I$  be an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$  and  $a, b \in R$ .*

- (1) *If  $ab \in I$ , then  $a\alpha^n(b) \in I$  and  $\alpha^n(a)b \in I$  for every positive integer  $n$ . Conversely, if  $a\alpha^k(b)$  or  $\alpha^k(a)b \in I$  for some positive integer  $k$ , then  $ab \in I$ .*
- (2) *If  $ab \in I$ , then  $\alpha^m(a)\delta^n(b), \delta^n(a)\alpha^m(b) \in I$  for any nonnegative integers  $m, n$ .*

**Proof.** (1) If  $ab \in I$ , then  $\alpha^n(a)\alpha^n(b) \in I$ , since  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -ideal. Hence  $\alpha^n(a)b \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. If  $\alpha^k(a)b \in I$ , then  $\alpha^k(a)\alpha^k(b) \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. Hence  $\alpha^k(ab) \in I$  and  $ab \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant, by Lemma 2.2.

(2) It is enough to show that  $\delta(a)\alpha(b) \in I$ . If  $ab \in I$ , then by (1) and  $\delta$ -compatibility of  $I$ ,  $\alpha(a)\delta(b) \in I$ . Hence  $\delta(a)b = \delta(ab) - \alpha(a)\delta(b) \in I$ . Thus  $\delta(a)b \in I$  and  $\delta(a)\alpha(b) \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be an endomorphism of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible, semiprime and satisfies the IFP property.
- (3)  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible and completely semiprime.

If  $\delta$  is an  $\alpha$ -derivation of  $R$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (4)  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal of  $R$ .
- (5)  $I$  is  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible, semiprime and satisfies the IFP property.
- (6)  $I$  is  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible and completely semiprime.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This follows from ([11], Propositions 2.2 and 2.4).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $a\alpha(a) \in I$ . Then  $a^2 \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. Hence  $aRa \subseteq I$ , since  $I$  satisfies the IFP property. Thus  $a \in I$ , since  $I$  is semiprime.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (6) By (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3),  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible and completely semiprime. We show that  $a\delta(b) \in I$ , when  $ab \in I$ . If  $ab \in I$ , then  $\delta(ab) = \alpha(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b \in \delta(I) \subseteq I$ . Thus  $(\alpha(a)\delta(b))^2 = \delta(ab)\alpha(a)\delta(b) - \delta(a)b\alpha(a)\delta(b) \in I$ , because  $\delta(ab), b\alpha(a) \in I$ . Since  $I$  is completely semiprime, we have  $\alpha(a)\delta(b) \in I$  and so  $a\delta(b) \in I$ , by Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

In [6], the authors give some examples of  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible rings which are not  $\alpha$ -rigid. Note that there exists a ring  $R$  for which every nonzero proper ideal is  $\alpha$ -compatible but  $R$  is not  $\alpha$ -compatible. For example, consider the ring  $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $F$  is a field, and the endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$  is defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a, b, c \in F$ .

The following examples show that there exist  $\alpha$ -compatible ideals that are not  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $F$  be a field. Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} f & f_1 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \mid f, f_1 \in F[x] \right\}$ , where  $F[x]$  is the ring of polynomials over  $F$ . Then  $R$  is a subring of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over the ring  $F[x]$ . Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be an automorphism defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} f & f_1 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} f & uf_1 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $u$  is a fixed nonzero element of  $F$ . Let  $p(x)$  be an irreducible polynomial in  $F[x]$ . Let  $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid f_1 \in \langle p(x) \rangle \right\}$ , where  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is the principal ideal of  $F[x]$  generated by  $p(x)$ . Then  $I$  is an  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of  $R$  but it is not  $\alpha$ -rigid. Indeed, since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & g(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & g(x) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin I$  for  $g(x) \notin \langle p(x) \rangle$ . Thus  $I$  is not  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Example 2.6** ([12], Example 2). Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  be the field of integers modulo 2 and  $A = \mathbb{Z}_2[a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, c]$  be the free algebra of polynomials with zero constant term in noncommuting indeterminates  $a_0, a_1, a_2, b_0, b_1, b_2, c$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Note that  $A$  is a ring without identity. Consider an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 + A$ , say  $I$ , generated by  $a_0b_0, a_1b_2 + a_2b_1, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0, a_2b_2, a_0rb_0, a_2rb_2, (a_0 + a_1 + a_2)r(b_0 + b_1 + b_2)$  with  $r \in A$  and  $r_1r_2r_3r_4$  with  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in A$ . Then  $I$  satisfies the IFP property. Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be an inner automorphism (i.e., there exists an invertible element  $u \in R$  such that  $\alpha(r) = u^{-1}ru$  for each  $r \in R$ ). Then  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible, since  $I$  satisfies the IFP property. But  $I$  is not  $\alpha$ -rigid, since  $I$  is not completely semiprime.

**Definition 2.7.** Given  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  as above and integers  $j \geq i \geq 0$ , let us write  $f_i^j$  for the sum of all “words” in  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  in which there are  $i$  factors of  $\alpha$  and  $j-i$  factors of  $\delta$ . For instance,  $f_j^j = \alpha^j$ ,  $f_0^j = \delta^j$  and  $f_{j-1}^j = \alpha^{j-1}\delta + \alpha^{j-2}\delta\alpha + \cdots + \delta\alpha^{j-1}$ .

Note that if  $I$  is an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is an ideal of the Ore extension  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $I$  be an  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible semiprime ideal of  $R$ . Assume  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f(x)R[x; \alpha, \delta]g(x) \subseteq I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .
- (2)  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for each  $i, j$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume  $f(x)R[x; \alpha, \delta]g(x) \subseteq I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Then

$$(\dagger) \quad (a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n)c(b_0 + \cdots + b_m x^m) \in I[x; \alpha, \delta] \text{ for each } c \in R.$$

Hence  $a_n \alpha^n (cb_m) \in I$ . Thus  $a_n cb_m \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. Therefore  $a_n f_i^j (cb_m) \in I$ , by Proposition 2.3. Next, replace  $c$  by  $cb_{m-1} da_n e$ , where  $c, d, e \in R$ . Then  $(a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n)cb_{m-1} da_n e(b_0 + \cdots + b_{m-1} x^{m-1}) \in I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Hence  $a_n \alpha^n (cb_{m-1} da_n e b_{m-1}) \in I$  and  $a_n cb_{m-1} da_n e b_{m-1} \in I$ , since  $I$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. Thus  $(Ra_n Rb_{m-1})^2 \subseteq I$ . Hence  $Ra_n Rb_{m-1} \subseteq I$ , since  $I$  is semiprime. Continuing this process, we obtain  $a_n R b_k \subseteq I$ , for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Hence by  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatibility of  $I$ , we get  $(a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n)R[x; \alpha, \delta](b_0 + \cdots + b_{m-1} x^{m-1}) \subseteq I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Using induction on  $n + m$ , we obtain  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for each  $i, j$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) This follows from Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** If  $I$  is a (semi)prime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a (semi)prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $I$  is a prime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x; \alpha, \delta]$  such that  $f(x)R[x; \alpha, \delta]g(x) \subseteq I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Then  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for each  $i, j$ , by Theorem 2.8. Assume  $g(x) \notin I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Hence  $b_j \notin I$  for some  $j$ . Thus  $a_i \in I$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , since  $I$  is prime. Therefore  $f(x) \in I[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Consequently,  $I[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** If each minimal prime ideal of  $R$  is  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible, then  $P(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq P(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .

**Proof.** This follows from Corollary 2.9.  $\square$

The following example shows that there exists a ring  $R$  such that all minimal prime ideals are  $\alpha$ -compatible, but are not  $\alpha$ -rigid.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $R = Mat_2(\mathbb{Z}_4)$  be the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Then  $P(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{ij} \in \bar{2}\mathbb{Z} \right\}$  is the only prime ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be the endomorphism defined by  $\alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $P(R)$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible. However,  $P(R)$  is not  $\alpha$ -rigid, since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in P(R)$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin P(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** If  $P$  is a completely (semi)prime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $P[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a completely (semi)prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a completely prime ideal of  $R$ .  $R/P$  is a domain, hence it is a reduced ring.  $R/P$  is a  $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta})$ -compatible ring, hence  $R/P$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid, by ([6], Lemma 2.2). Let  $\overline{f(x)}, \overline{g(x)} \in R/P[x; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}]$  such that  $\overline{f(x)} \overline{g(x)} = 0$ . Then  $\overline{f(x)} = 0$  or  $\overline{g(x)} = 0$ , by ([9], Proposition 6). Thus  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]/P[x; \alpha, \delta] \cong R/P[x; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}]$  is a domain and  $P[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13** ([11], Proposition 3.8). *If  $P(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $P(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq P(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .*

**Proof.**  $P(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal, hence  $P(R)$  is a completely semiprime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , by Proposition 2.4. Therefore  $P(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq P(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ , by Theorem 2.12.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *If  $P$  is a strongly (semi)prime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $P[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a strongly (semi)prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 2.9,  $P[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ . Hence

$$R[x; \alpha, \delta]/P[x; \alpha, \delta] \cong R/P[x; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}]$$

is a prime ring. We claim that zero is the only nil ideal of  $R/P[x; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}]$ . Let  $J$  be a nil ideal of  $R/P[x; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}]$ . Assume  $I$  be the set of all leading coefficients of elements of  $J$ . First we show that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R/P$ . Clearly  $I$  is a left ideal of  $R/P$ . Let  $\bar{a} \in I$  and  $\bar{r} \in R/P$ . Then there exists  $\overline{f(x)} = \bar{a}_0 + \cdots + \bar{a}_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \bar{a}x^n \in J$ . Hence  $(\overline{f(x)}\bar{r})^m = 0$  for some nonnegative integer  $m$ . Thus  $\bar{a}\bar{\alpha}^n(\bar{r}\bar{a})\cdots\bar{\alpha}^{(m-1)n}(\bar{r}\bar{a})\bar{\alpha}^{mn}(\bar{r}) = 0$ , since it is the leading coefficient of  $(\overline{f(x)}\bar{r})^m$ . Therefore  $(\bar{a}\bar{r})^m = 0$ , since  $R/P$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -compatible. Consequently,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R/P$ . Clearly  $I$  is a nil ideal of  $R/P$ . Hence  $I = 0$  and so  $J = 0$ . Therefore  $P[x; \alpha, \delta]$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $R[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** *If each minimal strongly prime ideal of  $R$  is  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible, then  $N_r(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq N_r(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .*

**Corollary 2.16** ([11], Proposition 3.8). *If  $N_r(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal of  $R$ , then  $N_r(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq N_r(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ .*

**Proof.**  $N_r(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid  $\delta$ -ideal, hence  $N_r(R)$  is a completely semiprime  $(\alpha, \delta)$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , by Proposition 2.4, and  $N_r(R)$  is a strongly semiprime ideal of  $R$ . Therefore  $N_r(R[x; \alpha, \delta]) \subseteq N_r(R)[x; \alpha, \delta]$ , by Theorem 2.15.  $\square$

As a parallel result to Theorems 2.8, 2.10, 2.12, 2.14 and 2.15, we have the following results for the skew power series ring  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $I$  be an  $\alpha$ -compatible semiprime ideal of  $R$ . Assume  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x; \alpha]]$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f(x)R[[x; \alpha]]g(x) \subseteq I[[x; \alpha]]$ .
- (2)  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for each  $i, j$ .

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume  $f(x)R[[x; \alpha]]g(x) \subseteq I[[x; \alpha]]$ . Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Then we have the following:

$$(§) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i x^i c b_j x^j \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i \alpha^i (c b_j) \right) x^k \in I[[x; \alpha]].$$

By induction on  $i + j$ , we show that  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for all  $i, j$ . From Equation (§), we obtain  $a_0 R b_0 \subseteq I$ . This prove for  $i + j = 0$ . Now suppose that  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for

$i + j \leq n - 1$ . From Equation (§), we have

$$(§§) \quad \sum_{i+j=k} a_i \alpha^i (cb_j) \in I \text{ for all } k \geq 0.$$

Let  $c, d, e$  be arbitrary elements of  $R$ . For  $k = n$  replace  $c$  by  $cb_n da_0 e$ . Then we obtain  $a_0 cb_n da_0 eb_n \in I$ , since  $a_0 R b_j \subseteq I$  for each  $j \leq n - 1$ . Hence  $(Ra_0 Rb_n)^2 \subseteq I$  and so  $Ra_0 Rb_n \subseteq I$ , since  $I$  is semiprime. Continuing this process (replacing  $c$  by  $cb_j da_{n-j} e$  in Equation (§§) for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  and using  $\alpha$ -compatibility of  $I$ ), we obtain  $a_i R b_j \subseteq I$  for  $i + j = n$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) This follows from Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *If  $I$  is a (semi)prime  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $I[[x; \alpha]]$  is a (semi)prime ideal of  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Theorem 2.19.** *If each minimal prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible, then  $P(R[[x; \alpha]]) \subseteq P(R)[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from Corollary 2.18.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.20.** *If  $P$  is a completely (semi)prime  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $P[[x; \alpha]]$  is a completely (semi)prime ideal of  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a completely prime ideal of  $R$ .  $R/P$  is a domain, hence it is a reduced ring.  $R/P$  is an  $\bar{\alpha}$ -compatible ring, hence  $R/P$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid, by ([6], Lemma 2.2). Let  $\overline{f(x)}, \overline{g(x)} \in R/P[[x; \bar{\alpha}]]$  such that  $\overline{f(x)} \overline{g(x)} = 0$ . Then  $\overline{f(x)} = 0$  or  $\overline{g(x)} = 0$ , by ([9], Proposition 17). Thus  $R[[x; \alpha]]/P[[x; \alpha]] \cong R/P[[x; \bar{\alpha}]]$  is a domain and  $P[[x; \alpha]]$  is a completely prime ideal of  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.21** ([11], Proposition 3.12). *If  $P(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal of  $R$ , then  $P(R[[x; \alpha]]) \subseteq P(R)[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Theorem 2.22.** *If  $P$  is a strongly prime  $\alpha$ -compatible ideal of  $R$ , then  $P[[x; \alpha]]$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $R[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Theorem 2.23.** *If each minimal strongly prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible, then  $N_r(R[[x; \alpha]]) \subseteq N_r(R)[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

**Corollary 2.24** ([11], Proposition 3.12). *If  $N_r(R)$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ideal of  $R$ , then  $N_r(R[[x; \alpha]]) \subseteq N_r(R)[[x; \alpha]]$ .*

## References

- [1] Annin, Scott. Associated primes over skew polynomials rings. *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 5, 2511–2528. [MR1904650](#) (2003c:16039). Erratum. *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 10, 5109–5110. [MR1940490](#) (2003k:16037).
- [2] Birkenmeier, Gary F.; Heatherly, Henry E.; Lee, Enoch K. Completely prime ideals and associated radicals. *Ring theory* (Granville, OH, 1992), 102–129, *World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ*, 1993. [MR1344225](#) (96e:16025), [Zbl 0853.16022](#).
- [3] Birkenmeier, Gary F.; Kim, Jin Yong; Park, Jae Keol. Regularity conditions and the simplicity of prime factor rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **115** (1997), no. 3, 213–230. [MR1431833](#) (97k:16006), [Zbl 0870.16006](#). Corrigendum. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **149** (2000), no. 1, 105. [MR1760908](#) (2001d:16019).
- [4] Ferrero, Miguel. Radicals of skew polynomial rings and skew Laurent polynomial rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **29** (1987), 119–126 (1988). [MR0936735](#) (89d:16001), [Zbl 0647.16004](#).
- [5] Hashemi, E. Radicals of skew Laurent polynomial rings. To appear.

- [6] Hashemi, E.; Moussavi, A. Polynomial extensions of quasi-Baer rings. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **107** (2005), no. 3, 207–224. [MR2148584](#) (2006c:16048), [Zbl 1081.16032](#).
- [7] Hirano, Yasuyuki. Some studies on strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **20** (1978), no. 2, 141–149. [MR0519561](#) (80i:16020), [Zbl 0394.16011](#).
- [8] Hirano, Yasuyuki. On the uniqueness of rings of coefficients in skew polynomial rings. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **54** (1999), no. 3-4, 489–495. [MR1694531](#) (2000b:16055), [Zbl 0930.16018](#).
- [9] Hong, Chan Yong; Kim, Nam Kyun; Kwak, Tai Keun. Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **151** (2000), no. 3, 215–226. [MR1776431](#) (2001f:16054), [Zbl 0982.16021](#).
- [10] Hong, Chan Yong; Kwak, Tai Keun. On minimal strongly prime ideals. *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 10, 4867–4878. [MR1779879](#) (2001g:16005), [Zbl 0981.16001](#).
- [11] Hong, Chan Yong; Kwak, Tai Keun; Rizvi, S. Tariq. Rigid ideals and radicals of Ore extensions. *Algebra Colloq.* **12** (2005), no. 3, 399–412. [MR2144995](#) (2006g:16063).
- [12] Huh, Chan; Lee, Yang; Smoktunowicz, Agata. Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings. *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), no. 2, 751–761. [MR1883022](#) (2002j:16037), [Zbl 1023.16005](#).
- [13] Jordan, D. A. Bijective extensions of injective ring endomorphisms. *J. London Math. Soc.* **35** (1982), no. 3, 435–448. [MR0657500](#) (83j:16002), [Zbl 0486.16002](#).
- [14] Kim, Nam Kyun; Kwak, Tai Keun. Minimal prime ideals in 2-primal rings. *Math. Japonica* **50** (1999), no. 3, 415–420. [MR1727666](#) (2000h:16002), [Zbl 0943.16002](#).
- [15] Krempa, Jan. Some examples of reduced rings. *Algebra Colloq.* **3** (1996), no. 4, 289–300. [MR1422968](#) (98e:16027), [Zbl 0859.16019](#).
- [16] Mason, Gordon. Reflexive ideals. *Comm. Algebra* **9** (1981), no. 17, 1709–1724. [MR0631884](#) (83b:16017), [Zbl 0468.16024](#).
- [17] Rowen, Louis H. Ring Theory. Vol. I. Pure and Applied Math., 127. Academic Press, Boston, 1988. [MR0940245](#) (89h:16001), [Zbl 0651.16001](#).
- [18] Shin, Gooyong. Prime ideals and sheaf representation of a pseudo symmetric ring. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (1973), 43–60 (1974). [MR0338058](#) (49 #2825), [Zbl 0283.16021](#).
- [19] Sun, Shu Hao. Noncommutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **76** (1991), no. 2, 179–192. [MR1145866](#) (93b:16058), [Zbl 0747.16001](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SHAHROOD, IRAN,  
 P.O.Box: 316-3619995161  
[eb\\_hashemi@yahoo.com](mailto:eb_hashemi@yahoo.com) or [eb\\_hashemi@shahrood.ac.ir](mailto:eb_hashemi@shahrood.ac.ir)

This paper is available via <http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2006/12-20.html>.