New York J. Math. 13 (2007) 11–16.

## Knotted Hamiltonian cycles in spatial embeddings of complete graphs

## Paul Blain, Garry Bowlin, Joel Foisy, Jacob Hendricks and Jason LaCombe

ABSTRACT. We show the complete graph on n vertices contains a knotted Hamiltonian cycle in every spatial embedding, for n > 7. Moreover, we show that for n > 8, the minimum number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every embedding of  $K_n$  is at least  $(n-1)(n-2) \dots (9)(8)$ . We also prove  $K_8$  contains at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	11
2.	Hamiltonian knotted cycles in embeddings of $K_n$ , for $n \ge 7$	12
3.	A lower bound for $K_8$	13
References		16

## 1. Introduction

Recall that a Hamiltonian cycle in a graph is a cycle that passes through every vertex of the graph. Generalizing Conway and Gordon's result [1] that  $K_7$ , the complete graph on 7 vertices, contains a knotted Hamiltonian cycle in every embedding, we show that  $K_n$ , for  $n \ge 7$ , contains a knotted Hamiltonian cycle in every spatial embedding. Furthermore, we show that for n > 8, the minimum number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every embedding of  $K_n$  is at least  $(n-1)(n-2)\ldots(9)(8)$ . We strongly suspect that this lower bound can be improved. Finally, using techniques inspired by Shimabara [3], we show that  $K_8$  must have at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every embedding.

The results of Section 2 were obtained by the third author. The remaining results were obtained by all of the authors in an NSF and NSA-sponsored research experience for undergraduates program during the summer of 2003.

Received September 13, 2005.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M15, 57M25.

Key words and phrases. Spatial graph, embedded graph, intrinsically knotted.

The results in Section 3 were obtained during an NSF and NSA-sponsored summer Research Experience for Undergraduates.

# 2. Hamiltonian knotted cycles in embeddings of $K_n$ , for $n \ge 7$

For background on arf invariant, see [2].

**Lemma 2.1.** In every spatial embedding of  $K_7$ , there exists an edge of  $K_7$  that is contained in an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles with nonzero arf invariant.

**Proof.** Consider an arbitrary embedding of  $K_7$ . By Conway–Gordon's result [1], the sum of the arf invariants of all Hamiltonian cycles in an arbitrary embedding of  $K_7$  must be odd. Thus, in the given embedding there must be an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles with nonzero arf invariant. Let's say the number of such cycles is 2n + 1. Now, if we count up the edges of such cycles, we get that a grand total of 7(2n + 1) edges (counting multiplicities) are in a cycle with nonzero arf invariant. On the other hand, if we number the edges of  $K_7$  as  $e_1, \ldots, e_{21}$ , and let  $n_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 21$  stand for the number of Hamiltonian cycles that contain  $e_i$ , then we must have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{21} n_i = 7(2n + 1)$ , thus  $\sum_{i=1}^{21} n_i$  must be odd. It follows that at least one of the  $n_i$  must be odd, and our lemma is proven.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every  $K_n$ , for  $n \ge 7$  contains a knotted Hamiltonian cycle in every spatial embedding.

**Proof.** We will prove the theorem for  $K_8$ . The proof for general n is similar. Embed  $K_8$ . Consider the embedding of the subgraph induced by seven vertices of  $K_8$ , and let v denote the eight vertex, and let  $G_7$  denote the subgraph on 7 vertices. By the previous lemma, the embedded  $G_7$  contains an edge that is contained in an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles with nonzero arf invariant; we denote this edge e, and let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  denote the vertices of e. Now, we ignore the edge e, and consider the subdivided  $K_7$  that results from replacing e with the edges  $(v, w_1)$  and  $(v, w_2)$ . We denote this subdivided  $K_7$  by  $G'_7$ . Ignoring the degree 2 vertex v, the embedded  $G'_7$  must have an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles with nonzero arf invariant. Since there was an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles of  $G_7$  through the edge e with nonzero arf invariant, there is an even number of Hamiltonian cycles in  $G_7$  that do not contain e and with nonzero arf invariant. The Hamiltonian cycles of  $G_7$  not containing e are exactly the same as the Hamiltonian cycles in  $G'_7$  not containing the edges  $(v, w_1)$  and  $(v, w_2)$ . Thus, in the embedding of  $G'_7$ , there must be an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles through the edges  $(v, w_1)$  and  $(v, w_2)$  with nonzero arf invariant. Such a cycle is a Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_8$ . Thus, in the original embedded  $K_8$ , there must be a knotted Hamiltonian cycle.  $\square$ 

We note here that the above proof can be used to show that every edge of  $K_9$ is contained in at least two knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding of  $K_9$ . This can be seen by removing an edge, call it e, from  $K_9$ . The vertices disjoint from e induce a  $K_7$  subgraph. In an arbitrary embedding of  $K_9$ , consider the embedded sub- $K_7$ . One of its edges must lie in an odd number of Hamiltonian cycles with nonzero arf invariant. We denote this edge f. The edges e and f are connected by 4 different edges, which we shall denote  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ . Without loss of generality,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  share no vertex, and neither do  $e_3$  and  $e_4$ . If we replace the edge f with the 4- (vertex) path  $(e_1, e, e_2)$ , then there is a knotted Hamiltonian cycle through the 4-path. Similarly, there is a knotted Hamiltonian cycle through the 4-path  $(e_3, e, e_4)$ . Thus, there are at least two different knotted Hamiltonian cycles through the edge e. One can use an analogous argument to show that every 3-path in  $K_{10}$  is contained in at least two knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding, and in general, every (n-7)-path in  $K_n$  is contained in at least two knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding, for  $n \ge 9$ .

This reasoning allows us to estimate a minimum number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding of  $K_n$  for n > 8. One need only compute the number of paths of length (n - 7), then multiply by 2 and divide by n (because every Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_n$  contains exactly n paths of length (n - 7)). To get double the number of paths of length (n - 7) in  $K_n$ , one merely computes  $n(n - 1)(n - 2) \dots (8)$ . Dividing by n gives our lower bound:

**Theorem 2.3.** For n > 8, the minimum number of knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every embedding of  $K_n$  is at least (n-1)(n-2)...(9)(8).

### 3. A lower bound for $K_8$

Here we adapt Shimabara's techniques to show that  $K_8$  contains at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every spatial embedding. First, we need to recall some definitions. Let  $a_2$  represent the coefficient of the degree 2 term of the Conway polynomial. For background on the Conway polynomial, see [2].

**Definition 3.1.** Let G be a graph with  $\Gamma$  a set of cycles in G. Given an embedding f of G, let  $\mu_f(G, \Gamma; n)$  be given by

$$\mu_f(G,\Gamma;n) \equiv \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_2(f(\gamma)) \pmod{n},$$

where  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is the summation over all cycles  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ .

Given a directed graph with edges  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  lying in a cycle  $\phi$ ,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are said to be *coherent* if they induce the same orientation on  $\phi$ . Given adjacent edges A and B we have the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E) = |n_1 - n_2|$ , where  $n_1$  is the number of cycles in  $\Gamma$  containing A, B and E such that A and E are coherent, and  $n_2$  is the number of cycles in  $\Gamma$  containing A, B and E such that A and E are not coherent.

Given pairs of nonadjacent edges  $\{A, B\}$  and  $\{E, F\}$  we have the following definition. Note that we refer to the cycles in  $\Gamma$  such that the edges A, E, B, F lie in this order as  $\Gamma_1$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F) = |n_3 - n_4|$ , where  $n_3$  is the number of cycles in  $\Gamma_1$  such that an even number of pairs in A, B, E, F are coherent, and  $n_4$  is the number of cycles in  $\Gamma_1$  such that an odd number of pairs in A, B, E, F are coherent.

The following lemmas are results of [3].

Lemma 3.1. The number

 $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F) = \nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; F, E) = \nu_2(\Gamma; B, A; E, F) = \nu_2(\Gamma; B, A; F, E).$ 

Moreover, the numbers  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E)$  and  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F)$  are independent of the direction of a graph G.



FIGURE 1. An embedding of  $K_8$  containing exactly 21 knotted Hamiltonian cycles.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a set of cycles in an undirected graph G. The invariant  $\mu_f(G,\Gamma;n)$  does not depend on the spatial embedding f of G if the following two conditions hold:

(1) For any edges A, B, E such that A is adjacent to B,

 $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$ 

(2) For any pairs of nonadjacent edges (A, B) and (E, F),

 $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$ 

Finally, we are ready for our main result of this section:

**Theorem 3.3.** Given an embedding of  $K_8$ , there exists at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles.

**Proof.** The embedding of  $K_8$  in Figure 1 contains 21 Hamiltonian knots, all of which have arf invariant 1. The Hamiltonian knots are the following:

18452376, 18457236, 18632745, 14723568, 14752368, 13724586,

17342586, 17432586, 17425386, 17845236, 17452836, 18745236,

13685472, 17425863, 17458263, 17458632, 17325846, 17325864,

14723685, 14856237, 14852367.

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of all Hamiltonian cycles in  $K_8$ . We wish to compute  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E)$  and  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F)$ , in all possible cases. First, we consider the possible values for  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E)$ , when A and B are adjacent. In the case that edge E is adjacent to neither A nor B, then there are an equal number of cycles in  $\Gamma$  with A and E coherent and not coherent. To see this, denote the vertices of E as  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Assign an arbitrary orientation on edge A. For every cycle in  $\Gamma$  through E that passes in the direction of A to  $v_1$  first, there is a corresponding cycle that starts at A and passes through  $v_2$  first. For one of these cycles, A and E



FIGURE 2. Up to symmetry, the above illustration demonstrates the two cases that can occur when all of the edges in  $\{A, B, E, F\}$ are adjacent to at least one other edge in the set.

are coherent, for the other, they are not. Thus in this case,  $n_1 = n_2$ , and therefore  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E) = 0$ .

Next, we consider the case where E is adjacent to exactly one of A and B and A and B are adjacent. Without loss of generality, suppose E is adjacent to B and not A. In this case, there are 4! cycles in  $\Gamma$  that contain A, B and E; and A and E are either coherent for all of these cycles or not coherent for all of these cycles. In any case,  $\nu_1(\Gamma; A, B, E) = 24$  and thus is a multiple of 6.

Finally, it is impossible for E to be adjacent to both A and B and for the edges A, B and E to belong to a Hamiltonian cycle.

Now, we consider the possible values taken on by  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F)$ , when A and B are nonadjacent, and E and F are nonadjacent. First, we consider the case where one of the edges in the set  $\{A, B, E, F\}$  is nonadjacent to all other edges in the set. Without loss of generality, suppose this edge is edge F. Assign an arbitrary orientation to F. In this case, for every cycle in  $\Gamma_1$ , there is a corresponding cycle in  $\Gamma_1$  for which F has the opposite orientation. Such a change in F changes the coherentness (or lack thereof) of 3 pairs of edges in the cycle. Thus, if the first cycle has an odd number of pairs of edges from  $\{A, B, E, F\}$  that are coherent, then the second cycle will have an even number of coherent pairs of edges, and vice versa. Therefore in this case,  $n_3 = n_4$ , and thus  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F) = 0$ .

Now we consider what happens when every edge in the set  $\{A, B, E, F\}$  is adjacent to at least one other edge in the set. In order for the edges A, B, E and F to be part of a Hamiltonian cycle, they must form either two disjoint paths of length 2, or a path of length 4. If they form two disjoint paths of length 2, then either A is adjacent to E and B is adjacent to F, or A is adjacent to F and B is adjacent to E. Without loss of generality, we may assume we have the top case depicted

in Figure 2. We may pick the directions, since by Lemma 3.1,  $\nu_2(\Gamma, A, B; E, F)$  is independent of the direction of a graph G. If the edges A, B, E and F form a path of length 4, then there are four possible ways they can do so. The path of length 4 can be (A, E, B, F), (A, F, B, E), (B, E, A, F), or (B, F, A, E). Without loss of generality, we may take the path to be (A, E, B, F), and by Lemma 3.1, we may choose directions for each edge as shown in the bottom case in Figure 2. In each case depicted in Figure 2, all cycles in  $\Gamma_1$  have all six possible pairs of edges coherent. It then follows that in each case,  $n_3 = 6$  and  $n_4 = 0$ , and thus  $\nu_2(\Gamma; A, B; E, F) = 6$ .

All possible values of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are congruent to 0 (mod 6). Thus, by Lemma 3.2, together with the embedding given in Figure 1,  $\mu_f(G, \Gamma; 6) = 3$  is independent of embedding. Hence,  $K_8$  contains at least 3 knotted Hamiltonian cycles in every embedding.

### References

- CONWAY, J. H.; GORDON, C. MCA. Knots and links in spatial graphs. J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), 445–453. MR0722061 (85d:57002), Zbl 0524.05028.
- KAUFFMAN, LOUIS H. Formal Knot Theory. Mathematical Notes, 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1983. MR0712133 (85b:57006), Zbl 0537.57002.
- SHIMABARA, MIKI. Knots in certain spatial graphs. Tokyo J. Math. 11 (1988), no. 2, 405–413. MR0976575 (89k:57018), Zbl 0669.57001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WA 98195-4350 pblain@math.washington.edu

Department of Mathematics, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY 13902 bowlin@math.binghamton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY POTSDAM, POTSDAM, NY 13676 foisyjs@potsdam.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712 jhendricks@math.utexas.edu

DEPARTMENT OF BIOSTATISTICS AND COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, RO-CHESTER, NY 14642 jason\_lacombe@urmc.rochester.edu

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2007/13-2.html.