New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. 15 (2009) 301-318.

# Distal actions and ergodic actions on compact groups

## C. Robinson Edward Raja

ABSTRACT. Let K be a compact metrizable group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. We first show that each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K implies  $\Gamma$  itself is distal on K, a local to global correspondence provided  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group or K is a connected finite-dimensional group. We also prove a connection between distality and ergodicity which is used to show that ergodic actions of nilpotent groups on compact connected finite-dimensional abelian groups contains ergodic automorphisms.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	301
2.	Distal and ergodic	305
3.	Compact abelian groups	306
4.	Distal actions	308
5.	Finite-dimensional compact groups	309
6.	Example	316
References		317

## 1. Introduction

We shall be considering actions on compact groups. By a compact group we shall mean a compact metrizable group and by an automorphism we shall mean a continuous automorphism. For a compact group K, Aut (K) denotes the group of all automorphisms of K. An action of a topological group  $\Gamma$ on a compact metrizable group K by automorphisms is a homomorphism

ISSN 1076-9803/09

Received March 2, 2009.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 22B05, 22C05, 37A15, 37B05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Compact groups, nilpotent groups, automorphisms, distal, ergodic.

Partially supported by NSERC postdoctoral fellowship.

 $\phi \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$  such that the map  $(\alpha, x) \mapsto \phi(\alpha)(x)$  is a continuous map: when only one action is studied or when there is no confusion instead of  $\phi(\alpha)(x)$  we may write  $\alpha(x)$  for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in K$ . In such cases, the map  $\phi$ is said to define the action of  $\Gamma$  on K and such actions are called algebraic actions.

We shall assume that a topological group  $\Gamma$  acts on a compact metrizable group K. For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $(n, a) \mapsto \alpha^n(a)$  defines a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action on K and this action on K is called the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$ -action. Suppose  $K_1 \supset K_2$  are closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups of K such that  $K_2$  is normal in  $K_1$ . By an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $K_1/K_2$ , we mean the canonical action of  $\Gamma$  on  $K_1/K_2$  defined by  $\alpha(xK_2) = \alpha(x)K_2$ for all  $x \in K_1$  and all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts on the compact groups K and L. We say that K and L are  $\Gamma$ -isomorphic if there exists a continuous isomorphism  $\Phi: K \to L$  such that  $\Phi(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(\Phi(x))$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in K$ .

It is interesting to find properties of group actions that hold if the property holds for every  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$ -action. We term any such property a local to global correspondence as this property holds for the whole group  $\Gamma$  when it holds locally at every point of  $\Gamma$ . We first state the following well-known classical local to global correspondence for linear actions on vector spaces, a proof of which may be found in [6].

**Burnside Theorem.** Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over the reals and let G be a finitely generated subgroup of GL(V), the group of linear transformations on V. If each element of G has finite order, then G itself is a finite group.

The main aim of the note is to exhibit such local to global correspondences for algebraic actions on compact groups.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is distal if for any  $x \in K \setminus (e)$ , e is not in the closure of the orbit  $\Gamma(x) = \{\alpha(x) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . In such case, we say that  $\Gamma$  is distal (on K).

We now introduce a type of action which is obviously distal.

**Definition 1.2.** We say that the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is compact (respectively, finite) if the group  $\phi(\Gamma)$  is contained in a compact (respectively, finite) subgroup of Aut (K) where  $\phi$  is the map defining the action of  $\Gamma$  on K.

We now see the notion of ergodic action which is hereditarily antithetical to distal action (cf. Proposition 2.1).

**Definition 1.3.** Let K be a compact group and  $\omega_K$  be the normalized Haar measure on K. We say that an (algebraic) action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic if any  $\Gamma$ -invariant Borel set A of K satisfies  $\omega_K(A) = 0$  or  $\omega_K(A) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let K be a compact group and  $\alpha$  be a continuous automorphism of K. If the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K is distal (respectively, ergodic),

then we say that  $\alpha$  is a distal (respectively, ergodic) automorphism of K or  $\alpha$  is distal (respectively, ergodic) on K.

We now introduce a class of groups whose action is one of the main studies in this article.

**Definition 1.5.** A locally compact group G is called a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ group if G has a series  $G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_n = \{e\}$  of closed normal subgroups such that  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a compactly generated group with relatively compact conjugacy classes for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ .

It follows from Theorem 2 of [14] that compactly generated locally compact groups of polynomial growth are generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -groups and any polycyclic group is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group.

It can easily be seen that the class of generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -groups is stable under forming continuous homomorphic images and closed subgroups. If His a compact normal subgroup of a locally compact group G such that G/His a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group, then it is easy to see that G is also a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group.

It is evident that  $\Gamma$  is distal implies each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal. For actions on connected Lie groups [1] and for certain actions on *p*-adic Lie groups [17] the local to global correspondence for distality, that is passing from each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ being distal on *K* to the whole group  $\Gamma$  being distal on *K*, is valid: the distal notion has a canonical extension to actions on locally compact spaces (cf. [7]). In general each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal need not imply  $\Gamma$  is distal (cf. Example 1, [19]). We will now closely examine a general form of Example 1 of [19].

**Example 1.6.** Let M be a compact group and  $\Gamma$  be a countably infinite group. Take  $K = M^{\Gamma}$ . The (left)-shift action of  $\Gamma$  on K is defined as follows: for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $f \in M^{\Gamma}$ ,  $\alpha f$  is defined to be  $\alpha f(\beta) = f(\alpha^{-1}\beta)$  for all  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . For  $x \neq e \in M$ , consider  $f_x \in M^{\Gamma}$  defined by  $f_x(\alpha) = e$  if  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $f_x(\alpha) = x$  if  $\alpha = 1$  where 1 is the identity in  $\Gamma$ . Choose a sequence  $(\alpha_n)$  is  $\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha_n \neq \alpha_m$  whenever  $n \neq m$ . Then  $\alpha_n(f_x) \to e$  in  $M^{\Gamma}$  which may be seen as follows: for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha \neq 1$  for large n, so  $(\alpha_n f_x)(\alpha) = f_x(\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha) = e$  for large n, hence  $\alpha_n(f_x) \to e$  in  $M^{\Gamma}$ . Thus, the shift action of  $\Gamma$  is not distal. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a torsion group (for instance,  $\Gamma$  may be the group of all finite permutations). Then each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal but we have seen that  $\Gamma$  is not distal.

If  $\Gamma$  is assumed to be finitely generated nilpotent or finitely generated solvable, then situation as in Example 1.6 does not arise as  $\Gamma$  is torsion implies  $\Gamma$  is finite. Recently Theorem 2.9 of [12] showed that if K is a zerodimensional compact group and  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group, then each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal and the whole group  $\Gamma$  is distal are equivalent to the action being equicontinuous (that is, having invariant neighborhoods). Motivated by this, here we prove that each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on a compact group K if and only if  $\Gamma$  is distal on K provided  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group: the fact that generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -groups have a normal series of compactly generated subgroups plays a crucial in the proof of our results.

It can easily be observed that the compact group K in Example 1.6 can not be a connected finite-dimensional group and so we in fact prove that if Kis a compact connected finite-dimensional group, then (with no restriction on  $\Gamma$ ) each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K if and only if  $\Gamma$  is distal on K.

The study of ergodic actions on compact groups is a key tool in proving the afore-stated results. We first establish a connection between distal actions and nonergodic actions. This makes us to ponder if there is any local to global correspondence for nonergodic actions and leads us to the question of determining K and  $\Gamma$  so that action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic if and only if  $\Gamma$  contains an ergodic automorphism: this is a local to global correspondence for nonergodicity. The following example is useful in determining conditions on K and  $\Gamma$  to obtain a local to global correspondence for nonergodicity.

**Example 1.7.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable infinite group and M be a compact abelian group. Let  $K = M^{\Gamma}$ . We consider the shift action of  $\Gamma$  on K defined as in Example 1.6. We now claim that the shift action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic. Let M be the (dual) group of characters on M. Then the dual K of K consists of functions  $f: \Gamma \to \hat{M}$  such that f(b) is the trivial character for all but finitely many  $b \in \Gamma$  (see Theorem 17 of [15]). Then the dual action of  $\Gamma$  on the dual  $\hat{K}$  is given by  $af(b) = f(a^{-1}b)$  for all  $f \in \hat{K}$  and all  $a, b \in \Gamma$ . Let  $f \in \hat{K}$ . Then define  $F = \{b \in \Gamma \mid f(b) \neq 1\}$  where 1 is the trivial character on M, the identity in  $\hat{M}$ . If af = f, then  $a^{-1}F \subset F$  and hence aF = F. Since  $\Gamma$  is infinite, if the orbit  $\Gamma(f)$  is finite, then for infinitely many  $a \in \Gamma$ , af = f and aF = F, hence F is empty or infinite. Thus, the orbit  $\Gamma(f)$  is infinite for any nontrivial  $f \in K$ . This implies that the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a torsion group (one may take  $F_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Gamma = \bigcup F_n$ ). We get that the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic. Since any  $a \in \Gamma$  has finite order, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_a$  is never ergodic for any  $a \in \Gamma$ . Using the counterexamples to the Burnside problem we get finitely generated infinite (nonsolvable) torsion groups and so such groups act ergodically but no element of which is ergodic.

If K is a compact connected finite-dimensional (abelian) group, then situation as in Example 1.7 does not arise. In this aspect Berend [2] proved that an ergodic action of commuting epimorphisms on a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group contains ergodic epimorphisms. Recently [3] proved that certain hereditarily ergodic actions of solvable groups on compact connected finite-dimensional abelian groups contain ergodic automorphisms. In this article we apply our study of distal actions and ergodic actions to prove that an ergodic action of a nilpotent group on a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group admits ergodic automorphisms and we provide examples to show that this type of result is limited to nilpotent actions (cf. Example 5.16). Having explained our results, it is easy to see that only  $\phi(\Gamma)$  matters and not all of  $\Gamma$ . So, we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a group of automorphisms of K.

### 2. Distal and ergodic

We now explore the connection between distal actions and ergodic actions on compact (metrizable) groups using the dual structure of compact groups.

Let K be a compact group and  $\Gamma$  be a group acting on K. Let  $\hat{K}$  be the equivalence classes of continuous irreducible unitary representations of K. If  $\pi$  is a continuous irreducible unitary representation of K, then  $[\pi] \in \hat{K}$  denotes the set of all continuous irreducible unitary representations of K that are unitarily equivalent to  $\pi$ . We write  $\pi_1 \sim \pi_2$  if  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in [\pi]$  for some  $[\pi] \in \hat{K}$ . For a continuous irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of K and  $\alpha \in \Gamma, \alpha(\pi)$  is defined by

$$\alpha(\pi)(x) = \pi(\alpha^{-1}(x))$$

for all  $x \in K$  and it can easily be verified that  $\alpha(\pi)$  is also a continuous irreducible unitary representation of K. If  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in [\pi]$ , then  $\alpha(\pi_1) \sim \alpha(\pi_2)$ . Thus, the map  $(\alpha, [\pi]) \mapsto \alpha[\pi] = [\alpha(\pi)]$  is well-defined and is known as the dual of action of  $\Gamma$  on the dual  $\hat{K}$  of K. For  $k \ge 1$ , let  $U_k(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of unitaries on  $\mathbb{C}^k$  and  $I_k$  denote the identity matrix in  $U_k(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $U_k(\mathbb{C})$  is a compact group and for each  $[\pi] \in \hat{K}$ , there exists a  $k \ge 1$ such that  $\pi(x) \in U_k(\mathbb{C})$  for all  $x \in K$ : see [8] for details on representations of compact groups.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let K be a compact group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is distal on K.
- (2) For each  $\Gamma$ -invariant nontrivial closed subgroup L of K, action of  $\Gamma$  on L is not ergodic.
- (3) For each  $\Gamma$ -invariant nontrivial closed subgroup L of K, there exists a nontrivial continuous irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of L such that the orbit  $\Gamma[\pi] = \{\alpha[\pi] \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is finite in  $\hat{L}$ .

**Proof.** Let *L* be a nontrivial  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed subgroup of *K*. If the action of  $\Gamma$  on *L* is ergodic, then by Theorem 2.1 of [2],  $\Gamma(x) = \{\alpha(x) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is dense in *L* for some  $x \in L$ . Since *L* is nontrivial,  $x \neq e$  and hence *e* is in the closure of  $\Gamma(x)$  for  $x \neq e$ . Thus, we get that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from Theorem 2.1 of [2].

Now assume that (3) holds. Let  $x \neq e$  be in K and L be the closed subgroup generated by  $\Gamma(x)$ . Then L is a nontrivial  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed subgroup of K. Then by assumption there exists a nontrivial  $[\pi_1] \in \hat{L}$  such that  $\Gamma([\pi_1])$  is finite. Let  $\Gamma_0 = \{\alpha \in \Gamma \mid \alpha(\pi_1) \sim \pi_1\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_0$  is a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index. Let  $\Gamma_1 = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \alpha \Gamma_0 \alpha^{-1}$ . Then  $\Gamma_1$ is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index and  $\Gamma_1$  is contained in  $\Gamma_0$ . Let  $A = \{ [\pi] \in \hat{L} \mid \Gamma_1[\pi] = [\pi] \}$ . Then A contains  $\pi_1$ . Since  $\Gamma_1$  is normal in  $\Gamma$ , A is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Let  $L_1 = \bigcap_{[\pi] \in A} \{ g \in L \mid \pi(g) = \pi(e) \}$ . Then  $L_1$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed normal subgroup of L and  $L_1$  is a proper subgroup of L as A is nontrivial. If e is in the closure of  $\Gamma(x)$ , then since  $\Gamma/\Gamma_1$  is finite, e is in the closure of  $\Gamma_1(x)$ . Let  $\alpha_n \in \Gamma_1$  be such that  $\alpha_n(x) \to e$  and  $[\pi] \in A$ . Then there exist  $u_n \in U_k(\mathbb{C})$  (k may depend on  $\pi$ ) such that

$$u_n^{-1}\pi(g)u_n = \pi(\alpha_n(g))$$

for all  $g \in L$ . This implies that

$$u_n^{-1}\pi(x)u_n = \pi(\alpha_n(x)) \to \pi(e) = I_k$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $U_k(\mathbb{C})$  is compact,  $\pi(x) = I_k$ . This implies that  $x \in L_1$  which is a contradiction as  $L_1$  is a proper  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of L and L is the closed subgroup generated by  $\Gamma(x)$ . Thus, e is not in the closure of  $\Gamma(x)$ . Hence (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

#### 3. Compact abelian groups

We now consider compact abelian groups and prove preliminary results for actions on compact abelian groups using Pontryagin duality of locally compact abelian groups: cf. [15] and [20] for results on duality of locally compact abelian groups and for any unexplained notations.

If G is a group and  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  are subsets of G, then  $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_n \rangle$  is defined to be the subgroup generated by the union of the sets  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  and if any  $A_i = \{g\}$ , we may write g instead of  $\{g\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let K be a compact abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of K such that  $\alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1} = \Gamma$ . Suppose the action of  $\Gamma$  is not ergodic on K and for each  $\alpha$ -invariant proper closed subgroup L of K, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K/L is not ergodic. Then the group generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$  is not ergodic on K or equivalently there exists a nontrivial character  $\chi$  on K such that the orbit  $\{\beta(\chi) \mid \beta \in \langle \Gamma, \alpha \rangle\}$  is finite.

**Proof.** We first note that the assumption on  $\alpha$  is equivalent to saying that for any  $\alpha$ -invariant nontrivial subgroup A of  $\hat{K}$  there exists a nontrivial character  $\chi \in A$  such that the orbit  $\{\alpha^n(\chi) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is finite.

Let  $A = \{\chi \in \hat{K} \mid \Gamma(\chi) \text{ is finite}\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is not ergodic on K, A is nontrivial. Since  $\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1} = \Gamma$ , A is  $\alpha$ -invariant. By assumption on  $\alpha$ , there exists a nontrivial  $\chi_0$  in A such that  $\alpha^k(\chi_0) = \chi_0$  for some  $k \ge 1$ . Then

$$\Gamma\alpha^n(\chi_0) \subset \cup_{i=1}^k \Gamma\alpha^i(\chi_0)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\chi_0 \in A$  and A is  $\alpha$ -invariant, we get that each  $\Gamma \alpha^i(\chi_0)$  is finite for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and hence  $\{\beta(\chi_0) \mid \beta \in \langle \Gamma, \alpha \rangle\}$  is finite.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Let K be a (nontrivial) compact abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group and for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and each  $\alpha$ -invariant proper closed subgroup L of K, the

action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K/L is not ergodic. Then the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is not ergodic or equivalently there exists a nontrivial character  $\chi$  on K such that the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -orbit { $\alpha(\chi) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma$ } is finite.

**Proof.** Since K is compact abelian, Aut (K) is totally disconnected and hence by Proposition 2.8 of [12],  $\Gamma$  contains a compact open normal subgroup  $\Delta$  such that  $\Gamma/\Delta$  contains a polycyclic subgroup of finite index. Let  $\Lambda$  be a closed normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index containing  $\Delta$  such that  $\Lambda/\Delta$ is polycylic. Let  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_i = [\Lambda_{i-1}, \Lambda_{i-1}]$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Then there exists a  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\Lambda_k \Delta \neq \Delta$  and  $\Lambda_{k+1}\Delta = \Delta$ . It can be easily seen that each  $\Lambda_i \Delta$  is finitely generated modulo  $\Delta$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\alpha_{i,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i,m}$ be in  $\Lambda_i$  such that  $\alpha_{i,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i,m}$  and  $\Delta\Lambda_{i+1}$  generate  $\Delta\Lambda_i$ . It can be easily seen that  $\alpha_{i,j}$  normalizes  $\langle \alpha_{i,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i,j-1}, \Lambda_{i+1}, \Delta \rangle$  for all i and j with  $\alpha_{i,0}$ to be trivial. Then repeated application of Lemma 3.1 yields a nontrivial character  $\chi \in \hat{K}$  such that the orbit  $\Lambda(\chi)$  is finite. Since  $\Lambda$  is a normal subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma(\chi)$  is also finite.  $\Box$ 

We next prove a lemma which shows that the (global) distal condition in Proposition 2.1, can be relaxed to the local distal condition provided  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let K be a (nontrivial) compact abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group and each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K. Then  $\Gamma$  is not ergodic on K or equivalently there exists a nontrivial character  $\chi$  on K such that the corresponding  $\Gamma$ -orbit  $\{\alpha(\chi) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is finite.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and L be a  $\alpha$ -invariant proper closed subgroup of K. Since  $\alpha$  is distal on K, the action  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K/L is also distal (Corollary 6.10 of [4]). This shows by Proposition 2.1 that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  is not ergodic on K/L. Thus, the result follows from Lemma 3.2.

We now prove the local to global correspondence for distal actions of generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -groups on compact abelian groups.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let K be a compact abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group. Then each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K if and only if  $\Gamma$  is distal on K.

**Proof.** Suppose each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K. Let L be a nontrivial  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed subgroup of K. Then each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on L also. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that  $\Gamma$  is not ergodic on L. Since L is arbitrary  $\Gamma$ -invariant nontrivial closed subgroup, by Proposition 2.1 we get that  $\Gamma$  is distal on K.

## 4. Distal actions

We now consider distal actions on compact groups and prove that the distal condition has local to global correspondence for actions on compact groups provided the group of automorphisms is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let K be a compact group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) Each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K.
- (2) The action of  $\Gamma$  on K is distal.

**Proof.** Suppose each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K. Let  $x \in K$  be such that e is in the closure of the orbit  $\Gamma(x)$ . We now claim that x = e.

Suppose K is connected. Let T be a maximal compact connected abelian subgroup of K containing x (see Theorem 9.32 of [11]). Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(K) =$  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)\Omega$  where  $\Omega = \{\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(K) \mid \alpha(T) = T\}$  and  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)$  is the group of inner-automorphisms of K (cf. Corollary 9.87 of [11]). Let  $\Gamma' = \Gamma\operatorname{Inn}(K)$ and  $\Omega' = (\Gamma' \cap \Omega)$ . Then  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Omega'$  are also generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -groups. Since  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)$  is a compact normal subgroup, each  $\alpha \in \Gamma'$  is distal on K. Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(K) = \operatorname{Inn}(K)\Omega, \Gamma' = \operatorname{Inn}(K)\Omega'$ . Since e is in the closure of  $\Gamma(x)$ , e is in the closure of  $\Gamma'(x)$ . Since Inn (K) is compact, e is in the closure of  $\Omega'(x)$ . As  $x \in T$ , applying Theorem 3.4, we get that x = e.

We now consider any compact group K. Let  $K_0$  be the connected component of e in K. Then  $K_0$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and by Corollary 6.10 of [4], each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on  $K/K_0$ . Since  $K/K_0$  is totally disconnected, by Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.3 of [12],  $K/K_0$  has arbitrarily small compact open subgroups invariant under  $\Gamma$ . This shows that  $x \in K_0$ . Now x = e follows from the connected case.

Example 1.7 showed that an ergodic action of a general, even a commutative group  $\Gamma$  on a compact abelian group need not imply the existence of a nontrivial subgroup or a nontrivial quotient that admits an ergodic  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$ -action for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  but we now prove that this can not happen if  $\Gamma$  is assumed to be a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group. Thich may be viewed as an initial result on the existence of ergodic automorphisms for ergodic actions on general compact groups.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let K be a (nontrivial) compact group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a generalized  $\overline{FC}$ -group and the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is ergodic. Then we have the following:

- There exist a β ∈ Γ and a β-invariant nontrivial closed subgroup L of K such that the action of Z<sub>β</sub> on L is ergodic.
- (2) In addition if K is abelian, there exist an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and an  $\alpha$ -invariant proper closed subgroup L of K such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K/L is ergodic.

308

**Proof.** Suppose for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and each  $\alpha$ -invariant nontrivial closed subgroup L of K, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on L is not ergodic. Then by Proposition 2.1, each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K. By Theorem 4.1, the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is distal and hence by Proposition 2.1, the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is not ergodic unless Kis trivial. Thus, (1) is proved.

We now assume that K is abelian. Suppose for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and for every proper closed  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup L of K, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on K/L is not ergodic. By Lemma 3.2, the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is not ergodic. Thus, (2) is proved.

## 5. Finite-dimensional compact groups

We now consider finite-dimensional compact groups. Let  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  be the additive group  $\mathbb{Q}^r$  with discrete topology (r > 0). We may regard  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  as a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $B_r$  denote the dual of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ . Then  $B_r$  is a compact connected group of finite-dimension and any compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group is a quotient of  $B_r$  for some r: see [15].

We first show that distal condition for algebraic actions on  $B_r$  has local to global correspondence with no restriction on the acting group  $\Gamma$ . The dual of any automorphism of  $B_r$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear transformation of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  onto  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ . It can be easily seen that any group of unipotent transformations of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ is distal on  $B_r$  and the following shows that up to finite extensions these are the only distal actions on  $B_r$  which may be proved along the lines of Proposition 2.3 of [13] (with some minor modifications).

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  is distal on  $B_r$ . Then  $B_r$  has a series of closed connected  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups

$$B_r = K_0 \supset K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \cdots \supset K_{n-1} \supset K_n = (e)$$

such that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $K_i/K_{i+1}$  is finite for any  $i \geq 0$ . In particular,  $\Gamma$  is a finite extension of a group of unipotent transformations of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Suppose each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on  $B_r$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is distal on  $B_r$ . In addition if the dual action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^r_d$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma$  is finite.

**Proof.** By considering the dual action of  $\Gamma$ , we may view  $\Gamma$  as a group of linear maps on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ . Then by Proposition 5.1, eigenvalues of elements of  $\Gamma$  are of absolute value one. Let  $V = \mathbb{Q}_d^r \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Then by [5], there exist  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspaces (0) =  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_m = V$  such that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $V_{i+1}/V_i$  is isometric. Thus, there exists a  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace W of V such that  $W \neq V$  and the action of  $\Gamma$  on V/W is isometric.

Assume that the dual action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  is irreducible. Since  $V = \langle \mathbb{Q}_d^r \rangle$ and  $W \neq V$ ,  $W \cap \mathbb{Q}_d^r \neq \mathbb{Q}_d^r$  and is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Since action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  is irreducible,  $W \cap \mathbb{Q}_d^r = (0)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then by Proposition 5.1,  $\alpha^k$  is unipotent for some  $k \geq 1$ . This implies that  $\alpha^k$  is unipotent and isometric on V/W and hence  $\alpha^k(v) \in v+W$ for all  $v \in V$ . This implies that for  $v \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r$ ,  $\alpha^k(v) - v \in W \cap \mathbb{Q}_d^r = (0)$  and hence  $\alpha^k$  is identity. Thus, every element of  $\Gamma$  has finite order. It follows from Lemma 4.3 of [2] that  $\Gamma$  is finite.  $\Box$ 

We now proceed to show that ergodic action of  $\Gamma$  on a finite-dimensional compact connected abelian group yields an ergodic automorphism in  $\Gamma$  provided  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of a compact abelian group K and  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$  are distal on K and  $\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1} = \Gamma$ . Then the group generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$  is distal on K.

**Proof.** Let  $\Delta$  be the group generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $\alpha$ . Let L be a nontrivial closed subgroup of K invariant under  $\Delta$ . Let  $A = \{\chi \in \hat{L} \mid \Gamma(\chi) \text{ is finite}\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is normalized by  $\alpha$ , A is  $\alpha$ -invariant. Since  $\alpha$  and  $\Gamma$  are distal on K, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that there exists a nontrivial  $\chi_0 \in A$  such that  $\alpha^k(\chi_0) = \chi_0$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . Now,  $\Gamma \alpha^i(\chi_0) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k \Gamma(\alpha^j(\chi_0))$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies that the orbit  $\Delta(\chi_0)$  is finite. This shows by Proposition 2.1 that  $\Delta$  is distal on K.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $\alpha$  be an ergodic automorphism of  $B_r$  and L be a closed connected  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup of  $B_r$ . Then  $\alpha$  is ergodic on L.

**Proof.** It can easily be seen that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $B_r$  if and only if no power of  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  has a nonzero fixed point. Let V be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  such that the dual of L is  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V$ . Since  $\alpha$  is ergodic, no power of  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  has a nonzero fixed point and hence no power of  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V$  has a nonzero fixed point. Thus,  $\alpha$  is ergodic on L.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is contained in a group  $\Gamma$  of automorphisms of  $B_r$  such that  $\Gamma$  is distal and  $\beta$  is ergodic and normalizes  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\alpha^i \beta^j$  and  $\beta^j \alpha^i$  are ergodic for all i and j in  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $j \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\beta\alpha$  are ergodic. We first consider the case when  $\Gamma$  is finite. Assume  $\Gamma$  is finite. Let  $\chi$  be a character such that the orbit  $\{(\alpha\beta)^n(\chi) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is finite. Since  $\Gamma$  is finite and  $\Gamma$  is normalized by  $\alpha\beta$ , the orbit  $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\chi)$  is also finite where  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is the group generated by  $\alpha\beta$ and  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\beta \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\beta$  is ergodic, we get that  $\chi$  is trivial. Thus,  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic.

We now consider the general case. Let  $V = \{\chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r \mid \Gamma(\chi) \text{ is finite}\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is distal, V is a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace and V is invariant under  $\beta$  as  $\Gamma$  is normalized by  $\beta$ . Let L be the closed connected subgroup of  $B_r$  such that the dual  $B_r/L$  is V. Then L is a proper closed connected subgroup invariant under  $\Gamma$  and  $\beta$  and  $\Gamma$  is finite on  $B_r/L$ . Then  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic on

310

 $B_r/L$ . Since the dual of L is  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V$ ,  $L \simeq B_s$  for some s < r. By Lemma 5.4,  $\beta$  is ergodic on L and hence by induction on dimension of  $B_r$ ,  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic on L. Thus,  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ . Similarly we may show that  $\beta\alpha$  is also ergodic on  $B_r$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $\Delta$  be a group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Suppose there exists a  $\Delta$ -invariant closed connected subgroup K of  $B_r$  such that  $\Delta$  is ergodic on K and  $\Delta$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Then K is  $N(\Delta)$ -invariant where  $N(\Delta)$  is the normalizer of  $\Delta$  in Aut $(B_r)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $V_1$  be the Q-subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  defined by

 $V_1 = \{ \chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r \mid \Delta(\chi) \text{ is finite} \}$ 

and define  $V_i$  inductively by

 $V_i = \{ \chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r \mid \Delta(\chi) + V_{i-1} \text{ is finite in } \mathbb{Q}_d^r / V_{i-1} \}$ 

for any i > 1. Then each  $V_i$  is a  $\Delta$ -invariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace. Since  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  has finite-dimension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a n such that  $V_n = V_{n+i}$  for all  $i \ge 0$ and for any nontrivial  $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_n$ , the orbit  $\Delta(\chi) + V_n$  is infinite. Let Sbe a closed subgroup of  $B_r$  such that the dual of S is  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_n$ . Then S is  $\Delta$ -invariant and connected. The choice of  $V_n$  shows that  $\Delta$  is ergodic on Sand  $\Delta$  is distal on  $B_r/S$ . This implies that  $\Delta$  is distal on  $KS/S \simeq K/K \cap S$ and also on  $KS/K \simeq S/K \cap S$  but  $\Delta$  is ergodic on K and also on S. Thus,  $S = K \cap S = K$ .

If  $\beta \Delta = \Delta \beta$ , then for any  $\chi \in V_1$ ,  $\Delta(\beta(\chi)) = \beta(\Delta(\chi))$  is finite. Thus,  $V_1$  is  $\beta$ -invariant. Since each  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  is the space of all characters whose  $\Delta$ -orbit is finite in  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_{i-1}$ , we get that  $V_i$  is  $\beta$ -invariant for any  $i \geq 1$ . Thus, K is  $\beta$ -invariant.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let  $\Delta$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$  generated by  $\alpha$  and a subgroup  $\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1} = \Gamma$ . Suppose  $\Gamma$  is distal on  $B_r$ . Then there exists a closed connected  $\Delta$ -invariant subgroup K of  $B_r$  such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K and  $\alpha$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ .

**Proof.** Let  $V_1$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  defined by

$$V_1 = \{ \chi \in \mathbb{Q}^r_d \mid (\alpha^n(\chi)) \text{ is finite} \}$$

and define  $V_i$  inductively by

$$V_i = \{ \chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r \mid (\alpha^n(\chi) + V_{i-1}) \text{ is finite in } \mathbb{Q}_d^r / V_{i-1} \}$$

for any i > 1. Then each  $V_i$  is a  $\alpha$ -invariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace. Since  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$  has finite-dimension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there exists a n such that  $V_n = V_{n+i}$  for all  $i \ge 0$ and for any nontrivial  $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_n$ , the orbit  $(\alpha^n(\chi) + V_n)$  is infinite. Let Kbe a closed subgroup of  $B_r$  such that the dual of K is  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_n$ . Then K is  $\alpha$ -invariant and connected. The choice of  $V_n$  shows that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on Kand  $\alpha$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Let  $\Delta_0 = \Delta$  and  $\Delta_i = [\Delta, \Delta_{i-1}]$  for i > 0. Since  $\Delta$  is nilpotent, there exists a  $k \ge 0$  such that  $\Delta_k$  is nontrivial and  $\Delta_{k+1}$  is trivial. Since  $\Delta/\Gamma$  is abelian,  $\Delta_i \subset \Gamma$  for  $i \ge 1$ , hence each  $\Delta_i$  is distal on  $B_r$  ( $i \ge 1$ ). Now  $\alpha$ commutes with elements of  $\Delta_k$  and hence  $V_1$  as well as  $V_i$  is  $\Delta_k$ -invariant. Thus, K is  $\Delta_k$ -invariant. Since  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\Delta_k$ , it follows from Lemma 5.3 that  $\langle \alpha, \Delta_k \rangle$  is distal on  $B_r/K$  and ergodic on K. Now for  $\beta \in \Delta_{k-1}$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta \in \Delta_k$  and hence  $\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta \in \langle \alpha, \Delta_k \rangle$ . By Lemma 5.6, K is  $\Delta_{k-1}$ invariant. Repeating this argument we can get that K is  $\Delta$ -invariant.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 5.8.** Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $B_r$ . Then there exists a compact connected subgroup K of  $B_r$  isomorphic to  $B_s$  for some s > 0 such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K and  $\alpha$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Moreover, if  $\Gamma$  is a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$  with  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_k = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{k-1}]$  for  $k \ge 1$  and if  $\alpha \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Gamma_{i+1}$  and  $\Gamma_{i+1}$  is distal on  $B_r$ , then K is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a nilpotent group containing  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Gamma_i \setminus \Gamma_{i+1}$  and  $\Gamma_{i+1}$  is distal on  $B_r$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the group generated by  $\Gamma_{i+1}$  and  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 5.7,  $B_r$  contains a closed connected  $\Delta$ -invariant subgroup K such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K and  $\alpha$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Since  $\Gamma_{i+1}$  is distal and  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\Gamma_{i+1}$ ,  $\Delta$  is distal on  $B_r/K$  (cf. Lemma 5.3). Since  $\alpha \in \Delta$ ,  $\Delta$  is ergodic on K. Since  $\Delta$  is a normal subgroup, it follows from Lemma 5.6 that K is  $\Gamma$ -invariant.

**Lemma 5.9.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_i = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{i-1}]$  for  $i \ge 1$ . Let  $k \ge 1$  be such that  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{k-1} \setminus \Gamma_k$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $B_r$  and  $\Gamma_k$  is distal on  $B_r$ . Then there exists a  $i \ge 0$  such that  $\alpha^i \beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ .

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on the dimension of  $B_r$ . If r = 1, then we have nothing to prove. So, we may assume that r > 1. If  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ , then we are done. Hence we may assume that  $\alpha\beta$  is not ergodic on  $B_r$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the group generated by  $\alpha\beta$  and  $\Gamma_k$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a nilpotent group and  $[\Delta, \Delta] \subset \Gamma_k$ . So, we may assume by Lemma 5.7 that there exists a closed connected  $\Delta$ -invariant subgroup K of  $B_r$  such that  $\alpha\beta$  is ergodic on K and  $\alpha\beta$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Since  $\alpha\beta$  is not ergodic on  $B_r$ ,  $K \neq B_r$ . Then by Lemma 5.3,  $\Delta$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  commute modulo  $\Gamma_k$ , we get that  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\Delta$ . By Lemma 5.6, K is  $\alpha$ -invariant and hence by Lemma 5.5,  $\alpha^i\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r/K$  for all  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K, induction hypothesis applied to K in place of  $B_r$  and  $\alpha^2\beta$  in place of  $\beta$ , we get that  $\alpha^j\beta$  is ergodic on K for some  $j \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_i = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{i-1}]$  for  $i \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 1$  be such that  $\alpha \in \Gamma_{k-1} \setminus \Gamma_k$ . Let K be a closed  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroup of  $B_r$  isomorphic to  $B_s$  for some  $s \ge 0$  such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K and  $\alpha$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . If  $\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r/K$  and  $\Gamma_k$  is distal on  $B_r$ , then there exists  $j \ge 0$  such that  $\alpha^j \beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha$  normalizes  $\Gamma_k$ , by Lemma 5.3, the group generated by  $\alpha$  and  $\Gamma_k$  is distal on  $B_r/K$ . Since  $\beta$  centralizes  $\alpha$  modulo  $\Gamma_k$ , it follows from Lemma 5.5 that  $\alpha^i\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r/K$  for all  $i \ge 0$ . By Lemma 5.9,  $\alpha^j\beta$  is ergodic on K for some  $j \ge 0$ . This shows that for some  $j \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha^j\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ .

**Lemma 5.11.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_i = [\Gamma, \Gamma_{i-1}]$  for  $i \ge 1$ . Suppose that the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $B_r$  is ergodic. Then there exist a series

$$(e) = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_{m-1} \subset K_m = B_r$$

of closed connected  $\Gamma$ -invariant subgroups with each  $K_i \simeq B_{r_i}$  for some  $r_i \geq 0$  and automorphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  in  $\Gamma$  with the following properties for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ :

- (1) If  $k_i$  is the smallest integer k for which  $\alpha_i \notin \Gamma_k$ , then the action of  $\Gamma_{k_i}$  on  $B_r/K_{i-1}$  is distal.
- (2) The action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_i}$  on  $K_i/K_{i-1}$  is ergodic.
- (3) The action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_i}$  on  $B_r/K_i$  is distal.

**Proof.** For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , if the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  is distal on  $B_r$ , then by Theorem 5.2, the action of  $\Gamma$  is distal. This is a contradiction to the ergodicity of  $\Gamma$  by Proposition 2.1. Thus, the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  is not distal for some  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent, there exists a k such that  $\Gamma_k \neq (e)$  and  $\Gamma_{k+1} = (e)$ . Now, choose  $\alpha_1 \in \Gamma_{k_1-1} \setminus \Gamma_{k_1}$  such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_1}$  is not distal on  $B_r$ but the action of  $\Gamma_{k_1}$  is distal on  $B_r$ . By Lemma 5.8, there exists a nontrivial  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed connected subgroup  $K_1$  of  $B_r$  isomorphic to  $B_{r_1}$  for some  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\alpha_1$  is ergodic on  $K_1$  and  $\alpha_1$  is distal on  $B_r/K_1$ .

Let  $L = B_r/K_1$ . Then the action of  $\Gamma$  on L is ergodic and  $L \simeq B_{s_1}$  for  $s_1 < r$  as  $K_1$  is nontrivial. By applying induction on the dimension of  $B_r$ , we get  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed connected subgroups  $(e) = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_{m-1} \subset K_m = B_r$  and automorphisms  $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  satisfying (1)–(3) for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Theorem 5.12.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . If  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ , then  $\Gamma$  contains ergodic automorphisms of  $B_r$ .

**Proof.** We now prove the result by induction on r. If r = 1, we are done. By Lemma 5.11, there are  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed connected subgroups  $(e) = K_0 \subset K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_{m-1} \subset K_m = B_r$  with each  $K_i \simeq B_{r_i}$  for some  $r_i \ge 0$  and automorphisms  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  in  $\Gamma$  satisfying (1)–(3) of Lemma 5.11. We may assume that  $K_i \ne K_{i-1}$  for  $1 \le i \le m$ . Induction hypothesis applied to the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $B_r/K_1 \simeq B_{r-r_1}$  yields  $\beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $\beta$  is ergodic on  $B_r/K_1$ . By Lemma 5.10, there exists  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ . We now consider compact connected finite-dimensional abelian groups. Let K be a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \subset \hat{K} \subset \mathbb{Q}^r_d$$

and K is a quotient of  $B_r$  for some  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of K. Then  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $\hat{K}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}^r \subset \hat{K}$ ,  $\alpha$  has a canonical extension to an invertible  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r$ , say  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Thus, any automorphism  $\alpha$  of K can be lifted to a unique automorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of  $B_r$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be the group consisting of lifts  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of automorphisms  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . We consider  $\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  as topological groups with their respective compact-open topologies as automorphism groups of K and  $B_r$ . By looking at the dual action, we can see that the topological groups  $\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  are isomorphic. If  $\phi: B_r \to K$  is the canonical quotient map, then for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in B_r$ , we have

$$\phi(\widetilde{\alpha}(x)) = \alpha(\phi(x))$$

where  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  is the lift of  $\alpha$  on  $B_r$ .

**Proposition 5.13.** Let K be a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group. Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K and  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be the corresponding group of automorphisms of  $B_r$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is distal (respectively, ergodic) on K if and only if  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is distal (respectively, ergodic) on  $B_r$ .

**Proof.** For  $\chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r$ , there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $n\chi \in \hat{K}$  and since  $\hat{K} \subset \mathbb{Q}_d^r$ ,  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on K if and only if  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ . Since K is a quotient of  $B_r$ ,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is distal on  $B_r$  implies  $\Gamma$  is distal on K (see [4], Corollary 6.10).

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is distal on K. By Proposition 2.1, there exists a nontrivial character  $\chi_1$  in  $\hat{K} \subset \mathbb{Q}_d^r$  such that  $\Gamma(\chi_1)$  is finite. Let

$$V_1 = \{ \chi \in \mathbb{Q}_d^r \mid \widetilde{\Gamma}(\chi) \text{ is finite} \}.$$

Then  $V_1$  is a nontrivial  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subspace as  $\chi_1 \in V_1$ . Let M be a closed subgroup of  $B_r$  such that the dual of M is  $\mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_1$ . Then M is  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -invariant and  $M \simeq B_s$  for s < r. Let  $A = V_1 \cap \hat{K}$  and L be a closed subgroup of K such that the dual of L is  $\hat{K}/A$ . Then L is  $\Gamma$ -invariant. Since  $\hat{K}/A \subset \mathbb{Q}_d^r/V_1$ ,  $\hat{K}/A$  has no element of finite order and hence L is connected (see Theorem 30 of [15]). It can be verified that the dimension of L is same as the dimension of M. Hence by induction on the dimension of K we get that  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is distal on M. Since the action of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  on  $B_r/M$  is finite,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is distal on  $B_r$ .

**Theorem 5.14.** Let K be a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a nilpotent group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on K. Then there exists an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that  $\alpha$  is ergodic on K.

**Proof.** Now let K be a compact connected finite-dimensional abelian group and r be the dimension of K. Then K is a quotient of  $B_r$ . Let  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  be the group of lifts of automorphisms of  $\Gamma$ . Then by Proposition 5.13,  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ . It follows from Theorem 5.12 that there exists  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that the lift  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$  is ergodic on  $B_r$ . Another application of Proposition 5.13 shows that  $\alpha$  itself is ergodic on K.

We now show that the distal condition for algebraic actions on connected finite-dimensional compact groups has a local to global correspondence with no restriction on the acting group  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 5.15.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of a compact connected finite-dimensional group K. Suppose each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is distal on K. Then the action of  $\Gamma$  on K is distal.

**Proof.** If K is abelian, then the result follows from Proposition 5.13 and Theorem 5.2. Suppose K is any finite-dimensional compact connected group. Let  $x \in K$  and  $(\alpha_n)$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma$ . Suppose  $\alpha_n(x) \to e$ .

Let T be a maximal compact connected abelian subgroup of K containing x: cf. Theorem 9.32 of [11] for existence of such T. Since K is a connected group,  $\operatorname{Aut}(K) = \operatorname{Inn}(K)\Omega$  where  $\Omega = \{\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(K) \mid \alpha(T) = T\}$  and  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)$  is the group of inner automorphisms of K (see Corollary 9.87 of [11]). Let  $\Lambda = \operatorname{Inn}(K)\Gamma$ . Since  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)$  is a compact normal subgroup, each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  is distal on K. Let  $\alpha_n = a_n\beta_n$  where  $a_n \in \operatorname{Inn}(K)$  and  $\beta_n \in \Omega \cap \Lambda$ for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\operatorname{Inn}(K)$  is compact, by passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that  $\beta_n(x) \to e$ . Since T is closed in K which is of finite-dimension, T is also of finite-dimension ([16]). It follows from the abelian case that  $\Omega \cap \Lambda$  is distal on T and hence x = e as  $x \in T$  and  $\beta_n \in \Omega \cap \Lambda$ . Thus, the action of  $\Gamma$  is distal on K.  $\Box$ 

We now provide an example to show that the nilpotency assumption on the acting group  $\Gamma$  in Theorem 5.14 can not be relaxed: it may be noted that Theorem 5.14 is true with no restriction on the acting group  $\Gamma$  if the compact group K is the two-dimensional torus.

**Example 5.16.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\Gamma^+$  be the semidirect product of  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_d^n$  with the canonical action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^n$ . We define an action of  $\Gamma^+$  on  $\mathbb{Q}_d^{n+1}$  by

$$(\alpha, w)(q_1, \dots, q_n, q_{n+1}) = \alpha(q_1, \dots, q_n) + wq_{n+1} + (0, \dots, 0, q_{n+1})$$

for all  $(\alpha, w) \in \Gamma^+$  and  $(q_1, \ldots, q_n, q_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}_d^{n+1}$ :  $\mathbb{Q}_d^n$  is identified as a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_d^{n+1}$  via the canonical map  $(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \mapsto (q_1, \ldots, q_n, 0)$ . It may be useful to note that  $(\alpha, w)$  has the following matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & w^T \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $w^T$  is the transpose of w. Considering the dual action, we get that  $\Gamma^+ \subset \operatorname{Aut}(B_{n+1})$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{Q}_d^n$ ,  $\Gamma^+(z) = \Gamma(z)$  and for  $z \in \mathbb{Q}_d^{n+1} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_d^n$ ,  $\Gamma^+(z)$  can easily be seen to be infinite. Thus,  $\Gamma$  is ergodic on  $B_n$  if and only if  $\Gamma^+$ 

is ergodic on  $B_{n+1}$ . For any  $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , no  $(\alpha, w) \in \Gamma^+$  is ergodic on  $B_{n+1}$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , take  $\Gamma$  to be the group generated by  $\alpha \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$  that is ergodic on  $B_n$ . Then  $\Gamma^+$  is a solvable group and is ergodic on  $B_{n+1}$  but no automorphism in  $\Gamma^+$  is ergodic on  $B_{n+1}$ .

## 6. Example

We now provide an example to show that the existence of a finite sequence as in Proposition 5.1 need not be true for connected infinite-dimensional compact abelian groups. We first state a general form of Proposition 5.1 which can be proved as in Proposition 2.3 of [13].

**Proposition 6.1.** Let K be a compact abelian group and  $\Gamma$  be a group of automorphisms of K. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is distal. Then there exists a collection  $(K_i)$  of  $\Gamma$ -invariant closed subgroups of K such that:

- (1)  $K_0 = K$ .
- (2) For  $i \ge 0$  either  $K_{i+1} = (e)$  or  $K_{i+1}$  is a proper subgroup of  $K_i$ .
- (3) The action of  $\Gamma$  on the dual of  $K_i/K_{i+1}$  has only finite orbits for any  $i \geq 0$ .

In contrast to the finite-dimensional case we now show by an example that the sequence  $(K_i)$  in Proposition 6.1 need not be finite. Let  $T_k$  be the k-dimensional torus, a product of k copies of the circle group. Let  $\alpha_k$  be the automorphism of  $T_k$  defined by

 $\alpha_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k, x_2 x_3 \dots x_k, \dots, x_{k-1} x_k, x_k)$ for all  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in T_k$ . For  $0 \le j \le k$ , let

$$M_{k,j} = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-j}, e, \dots, e) \in T_k \}.$$

Then each  $M_{k,j}$  is  $\alpha_k$ -invariant and  $\alpha_k$  is trivial on  $M_{k,j}/M_{k,j+1}$  for  $j \ge 0$ . We first prove the following fact about  $T_k$  and  $\alpha_k$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $T_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  be as above. Suppose there exists a series

 $T_k = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_{n-1} \supset M_n = (e)$ 

of  $\alpha_k$ -invariant closed subgroups such that for  $i \geq 0$ , the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_k}$  on  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is finite and  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is not finite. Then n = k.

**Proof.** Let V be the Lie algebra of  $T_k$ . We first show that  $M_{n-1}$  is onedimensional. For  $0 \le i < n$ , let  $V_i$  be the Lie subalgebra of V corresponding to the Lie subgroup  $M_i$ . Now, there exists a m such that  $\alpha_k^m$  is trivial on  $M_{n-1}$ . Suppose  $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k) \in V_{n-1}$ . Then  $\alpha_k^m(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k) =$  $(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k)$ . This implies that for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $u_i = u_i + \sum_{j>i}^k m_{i,j}u_j$ where  $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ . For i = k - 1,  $u_{k-1} = u_{k-1} + m_{k-1,k}u_k$  and hence  $u_k = 0$ . If  $u_p = 0$  for all p > q > 1, then for i = q - 1,

$$u_{q-1} = u_{q-1} + \sum_{j \ge q} m_{q-1,j} u_j = u_{q-1} + m_{q-1,q} u_q$$

316

and hence  $u_q = 0$ . Thus,  $V_{n-1}$  is at most one-dimensional. Since  $M_{n-1}/M_n = M_{n-1}$  is not finite,  $M_{n-1}$  has dimension one and

$$V_{n-1} = \{(u_1, 0, \dots, 0) \mid u_1 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It can be seen that  $T_k/M_{n-1} \simeq T_{k-1}$  and the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_k}$  on  $T_k/M_{n-1}$ is same as the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_{k-1}}$  on  $T_{k-1}$ . Moreover,  $M_{i+1}/M_{n-1} \subset M_i/M_{n-1}$ and  $\frac{M_i/M_{n-1}}{M_{i+1}/M_{n-1}} \simeq M_i/M_{i+1}$  for  $0 \le i < n-1$  with  $M_0/M_{n-1} = T_k/M_{n-1}$ and  $M_{n-1}/M_{n-1} = (e)$ . By induction on k, we get that n-1 = k-1.  $\Box$ 

Let  $K = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T_k$ . Let  $\alpha \colon K \to K$  be the automorphism defined by  $\alpha(f)(k) = \alpha_k(f(k))$  for all  $f \in K$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a continuous automorphism and the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action defined by  $\alpha$  is distal on K.

If there is a finite sequence

$$(e) = K_n \subset K_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset K_1 \subset K_0 = K$$

of  $\alpha$ -invariant closed subgroups such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha}$  on  $K_i/K_{i+1}$  is finite for  $i \geq 0$ . This implies that each  $T_k$  has a finite series

$$(e) = K_{n,k} \subset K_{n-1,k} \subset \cdots \subset K_{1,k} \subset K_{0,k} = T_k$$

of  $\alpha_k$ -invariant closed subgroups such that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha_k}$  on  $K_{i,k}/K_{i+1,k}$  is finite for  $i \geq 0$ .

It follows from Lemma 6.2 that  $k \leq n$ . Since  $k \geq 1$  is arbitrary, this is a contradiction. Thus, the sequence  $(K_i)$  of closed subgroups as in Proposition 6.1 for K and  $\alpha$  is not finite.

Addendum. Recently [18] proved Theorem 5.14 for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on compact groups K provided the  $Z(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , centralizer of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  in Aut (K) has DCC, that is any decreasing sequence of  $Z(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -invariant closed subgroups is finite.

Acknowledgement. A part of the work was done when I was visiting W. Jaworski. I would like to thank Prof. W. Jaworski for offering a post-doctoral fellowship of NSERC and many helpful suggestions and discussions. I would also like to thank Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University for their hospitality during my stay.

#### References

- ABELS, HERBERT. Distal automorphism groups of Lie groups. J. Reine Angew. Math. 329 (1981) 82–87. MR0636446 (83i:22013), Zbl 0463.22006.
- BEREND, DANIEL. Ergodic semigroups of epimorphisms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 289 (1985) 393–407. MR0779072 (86j:22007), Zbl 0579.22008.
- [3] BERGELSON, VITALY; GORODNIK, ALEXANDER. Ergodicity and mixing of noncommuting epimorphisms. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 95 (2007) 329–359. MR2352564 (2008k:37013), Zbl 1127.37007.
- [4] BERGLUND, JOHN F.; JUNGHENN, HUGO D.; MILNES, PAUL. Analysis on semigroups. Function spaces, compactifications, representations. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. xiv+334 pp. ISBN: 0-471-61208-1. MR0999922 (91b:43001), Zbl 0727.22001.

- [5] CONZE, JEAN-PIERRE; GUIVARC'H, YVES. Remarques sur la distalité dans les espaces vectoriels. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278 (1974) 1083–1086. MR0339108 (49 #3871), Zbl 0275.54028.
- [6] CURTIS, CHARLES W.; REINER, IRVING. Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras [Russian translation]. *Izdat. "Nauka", Moscow*, 1969. 668 pp. MR0248238 (40 #1490).
- [7] ELLIS, ROBERT. Distal transformation groups. Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958) 401–405. MR0101283 (21 #96).
- [8] FOLLAND, GERALD B. A course in abstract harmonic analysis. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995. x+276 pp. ISBN: 0-8493-8490-7. MR1397028 (98c:43001), Zbl 0857.43001.
- [9] GUIVARC'H, YVES. Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques. Bull. Soc. Math. France 101 (1973) 333–379. MR0369608 (51 #5841), Zbl 0294.43003.
- [10] HOCHSCHILD, G. The structure of Lie groups. Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco-London-Amsterdam, 1965. ix+230 pp. MR0207883 (34 #7696), Zbl 0131.02702.
- [11] HOFMANN, KARL H.; MORRIS, SIDNEY A. The structure of compact groups. A primer for the student—a handbook for the expert. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 25. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998. xviii+835 pp. ISBN: 3-11-015268-1. MR1646190 (99k:22001), Zbl 0919.22001.
- [12] JAWORSKI, WOJCIECH; RAJA, C. ROBINSON EDWARD. The Choquet-Deny theorem and distal properties of totally disconnected locally compact groups of polynomial growth. New York J. Math. 13 (2007) 159–174. MR2336237 (2008h:60020), Zbl 1118.60008.
- [13] KEYNES, HARVEY B.; NEWTON, DAN. Minimal (G, τ)-extensions. Pacific J. Math. 77 (1978) 145–163. MR0507627 (80c:54049), Zbl 0362.28010.
- [14] LOSERT, V. On the structure of groups with polynomial growth. II. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 63 (2001) 640–654. MR1825980 (2002f:22007), Zbl 1010.22008.
- [15] MORRIS, SIDNEY A. Pontryagin duality and the structure of locally compact abelian groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, No. 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York-Melbourne, 1977. viii+128 pp. MR0442141 (56 #529), Zbl 0446.22006.
- [16] NAGATA, JUN-ITI. Modern dimension theory. Revised edition. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 2. *Heldermann Verlag, Berlin*, 1983. ix+284 p. ISBN: 3-88538-002-1. MR0715431 (84h:54033), Zbl 0518.54002.
- [17] RAJA, C. ROBINSON EDWARD. On classes of *p*-adic Lie groups. New York J. Math. 5 (1999) 101–105. MR1703206 (2000f:22014), Zbl 0923.22006.
- [18] RAJA, C. ROBINSON EDWARD. On the existence of ergodic automorphisms in ergodic Z<sup>d</sup>-actions on compact groups. To appear in *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*.
- [19] ROSENBLATT, JOSEPH. A distal property of groups and the growth of connected locally compact groups. *Mathematika* 26 (1979) 94–98. MR0557132 (81c:22014), Zbl 0402.22002.
- [20] RUDIN, WALTER. Fourier analysis on groups. Reprint of the 1962 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990. x+285 pp. ISBN: 0-471-52364-X. MR1038803 (91b:43002), Zbl 0698.43001.

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 8TH MILE MYSORE ROAD, BANGA-LORE 560 059. INDIA

creraja@isibang.ac.in

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2009/15-17.html.