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#### Abstract

Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. For every ordinal $\alpha<\kappa$, let $G_{\alpha}$ be a nontrivial group written additively, let $G=\bigoplus_{\alpha<\kappa} G_{\alpha}$, and let $H_{\alpha}=\{x \in G: x(\gamma)=0$ for all $\gamma<\alpha\}$. Let $\beta G$ be the StoneČech compactification of $G$ as a discrete semigroup and define a closed subsemigroup $T \subseteq \beta G$ by $T=\bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} \mathrm{cl}_{\beta G}\left(H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. We show that, for every $p, q \in T$, if $(\beta G+p) \cap(\beta G+q) \neq \emptyset$, then either $p \in \beta G+q$ or $q \in \beta G+p$.


Let $S$ be a discrete semigroup with Stone-Čech compactification $\beta S$. The operation on $S$ extends to one on $\beta S$ in such a way that for each $a \in S$, the left translation

$$
\beta S \ni x \mapsto a x \in \beta S
$$

is continuous, and for each $q \in \beta S$, the right translation

$$
\beta S \ni x \mapsto x q \in \beta S
$$

is continuous.
We take the points of $\beta S$ to be the ultrafilters on $S$, identifying the principal ultrafilters with the points of $S$. The topology of $\beta S$ is generated by taking as a base the subsets of the form

$$
\bar{A}=\{p \in \beta S: A \in p\},
$$

where $A \subseteq S$. For $p, q \in \beta S$, the ultrafilter $p q$ has a base consisting of subsets of the form

$$
\bigcup_{x \in A} x B_{x}
$$

where $A \in p$ and $B_{x} \in q$.
The semigroup $\beta S$ is interesting both for its own sake and for its applications to Ramsey theory and to topological dynamics. An elementary introduction to $\beta S$ can be found in [1].

In the study of algebraic structure of $\beta S$ an important role is played by the following fact.
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Theorem 1 ([1, Corollary 6.20]). Let $G$ be a countable group. For every $p, q \in \beta G$, if $((\beta G) p) \cap((\beta G) q) \neq \emptyset$, then either $p \in(\beta G) q$ or $q \in(\beta G) p$.

Theorem 1 tells us that for any countable group $G$ and for any two principal left ideals of $\beta G$, either one of them is contained in another or they are disjoint.

In this note we prove the following extension of Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. Let $\kappa$ be an infinite cardinal. For every ordinal $\alpha<\kappa$, let $G_{\alpha}$ be a nontrivial group written additively, let $G=\bigoplus_{\alpha<\kappa} G_{\alpha}$, and let

$$
H_{\alpha}=\{x \in G: x(\gamma)=0 \text { for all } \gamma<\alpha\} .
$$

Define a closed subsemigroup $T \subseteq \beta G$ by $T=\bigcap_{\alpha<\kappa} \overline{H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\}}$. For every $p, q \in T$, if $(\beta G+p) \cap(\beta G+q) \neq \emptyset$, then either $p \in \beta G+q$ or $q \in \beta G+p$.

Before proving Theorem 2, let us check that $T$ is indeed a subsemigroup. It suffices to show that for every $p, q \in T$ and $\alpha<\kappa, p+q \in \overline{H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\}}$, equivalently $H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\} \in p+q$. Define $A \in p$ and $B_{x} \in q$ for every $x \in A$ by $A=H_{\alpha}$ and $B_{x}=H_{\alpha} \backslash\{-x\}$. Then $x+B_{x} \subseteq H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\}$, and so $\bigcup_{x \in A}\left(x+B_{x}\right) \subseteq H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\}$. But $\bigcup_{x \in A}\left(x+B_{x}\right) \in p+q$. Hence, $H_{\alpha} \backslash\{0\} \in p+q$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume on the contrary that $p \notin \beta G+q$ and $q \notin$ $\beta G+p$ for some $p, q \in T$. We shall show that

$$
(\beta G+p) \cap(\beta G+q)=\emptyset
$$

which is a contradiction.
Since $p \notin \beta G+q$, there are $P \in p$ and $Q_{x} \in q$ for every $x \in G$ such that

$$
P \cap \bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+Q_{x}\right)=\emptyset
$$

And since $q \notin \beta G+p$, there are $Q \in q$ and $P_{x} \in p$ for every $x \in G$ such that

$$
Q \cap \bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+P_{x}\right)=\emptyset
$$

For every $x \in G \backslash\{0\}$, let

$$
\phi(x)=\max \operatorname{supp}(x) \text { and } \theta(x)=\min \operatorname{supp}(x) .
$$

As usual, $\operatorname{supp}(x)=\{\alpha<\kappa: x(\alpha) \neq 0\}$. Also let

$$
\phi(0)=-1 \text { and } \theta(0)=\kappa
$$

Define partial orders $\leq_{L}$ and $\leq_{R}$ on $G$ by
$x \leq_{L} y$ if and only if $x(\alpha)=y(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \leq \phi(x)$,
$x \leq_{R} y$ if and only if $x(\alpha)=y(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \geq \theta(x)$.

Now for every $x \in G$, define $A_{x} \in p$ and $B_{x} \in q$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{x}=\left(\bigcap_{y \leq R} P_{y}\right) \cap P \cap H_{\phi(x)+1}, \\
& B_{x}=\left(\bigcap_{y \leq R} Q_{y}\right) \cap Q \cap H_{\phi(x)+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Notice that $\left\{y \in G: y \leq_{R} x\right\}$ is finite.) It then follows that:
(1) $\left(\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+A_{x}\right)\right) \cap B_{0}=\emptyset$ and $\left(\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+B_{x}\right)\right) \cap A_{0}=\emptyset$.
(2) For every $x \in G$ and for every $y \leq_{R} x$, one has $A_{x} \subseteq A_{y}$ and $B_{x} \subseteq B_{y}$, in particular, $A_{x} \subseteq A_{0}$ and $B_{x} \subseteq B_{0}$.
(3) For every $x \in G$, one has $A_{x}, B_{x} \subseteq H_{\phi(x)+1}$.

We claim that

$$
\left(\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+A_{x}\right)\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+B_{x}\right)\right)=\emptyset .
$$

To see this, let $x, y \in G$. We have to show that $\left(x+A_{x}\right) \cap\left(y+B_{y}\right)=\emptyset$. Consider two cases.

Case 1: neither $x \leq_{L} y$ nor $y \leq_{L} x$. Then $\left(x+H_{\phi(x)+1}\right) \cap\left(y+H_{\phi(y)+1}\right)=\emptyset$. Consequently by (3), $\left(x+A_{x}\right) \cap\left(y+B_{y}\right)=\emptyset$.

Case 2: either $x \leq_{L} y$ or $y \leq_{L} x$. Let $y \leq_{L} x$. By (1), $\left(x-y+A_{x-y}\right) \cap B_{0}=$ $\emptyset$, so $\left(x+A_{x-y}\right) \cap\left(y+B_{0}\right)=\emptyset$. But $x-y \leq_{R} x$ and $0 \leq_{R} y$. Consequently by (2), again $\left(x+A_{x}\right) \cap\left(y+B_{y}\right)=\emptyset$.

Since the subsets

$$
U=\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+A_{x}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad V=\bigcup_{x \in G}\left(x+B_{x}\right)
$$

of $G$ are disjoint, the subsets $\bar{U}$ and $\bar{V}$ of $\beta G$ are also disjoint. But $\beta G+p \subseteq$ $\bar{U}$ and $\beta G+q \subseteq \bar{V}$. Hence, $(\beta G+p) \cap(\beta G+q)=\emptyset$.
Remark 1. Theorem 2 was inspired by [2, Proposition 3.4].
Remark 2. The semigroup $T$ from Theorem 2 depends only on two cardinals: $\kappa$ and $\lambda=\min \left\{\left|\bigoplus_{\gamma \leq \alpha<\kappa} G_{\alpha}\right|: \gamma<\kappa\right\}[3]$.

We conclude this note with the following question.
Question. Is it true that for any (Abelian) group $G$ and for any two principal left ideals of $\beta G$, either one of them is contained in another or they are disjoint?
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