New York Journal of Mathematics

A characterization of length-factorial Krull monoids

Alfred Geroldinger and **Qinghai Zhong**

ABSTRACT. An atomic monoid is length-factorial if each two distinct factorizations of any element have distinct factorization lengths. We provide a characterization of length-factorial Krull monoids in terms of their class groups and the distribution of prime divisors in the classes.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results

By an atomic monoid, we mean a commutative unit-cancellative semigroup with identity in which every non-invertible element is a finite product of irreducible elements. The monoids we have in mind stem from ring and module theory. An atomic monoid H is said to be

- *half-factorial* if for every element $a \in H$ each two factorizations of a have the same length;
- *length-factorial* if for every element $a \in H$ each two distinct factorizations of a have distinct lengths.

Thus, an atomic monoid is factorial if and only if it is half-factorial and length-factorial. A commutative ring is said to be atomic (half-factorial resp. length-factorial) if its monoid of regular elements has the respective property. All these arithmetical properties can be characterized in terms of catenary degrees. Indeed, it is easy to verify that a monoid is factorial (half-factorial resp. length-factorial) if its catenary degree $c(H) = 0$ (its adjacent catenary degree $c_{\mathrm{adj}}(H) = 0$ resp. its equal catenary degree $c_{\mathrm{eq}}(H) = 0$). Half-factoriality has been studied

since the beginning of factorization theory and there is a huge amount of literature. Monotone and equal catenary degrees were first studied by Foroutan ([25]), and for some recent contributions we refer to [42, 50, 28, 34, 31]. Length-factoriality was first studied (in different terminology) by Coykendall and Smith ([16]), who showed that an atomic integral domain is length-factorial if and only if it is factorial. However, such a result is far from being true in the monoid case (we refer to recent contributions by Chapman, Coykendall, Gotti, and others [11, 39, 40, 15] as well as to work on monoids that are not length-factorial [12, 7]).

In the present paper, we focus on Krull monoids. Krull monoids are atomic and they are factorial if and only if their class group is trivial. Let H be a Krull monoid with class group G and let $G_P \subset G$ denote the set of classes containing prime divisors. Then H is half-factorial if and only if the monoid of zero-sum sequences $\mathcal{B}(G_P)$ over G_P is half-factorial. There is a standing conjecture that for every abelian group G^* there is a half-factorial Krull monoid (equivalently, a half-factorial Dedekind domain) with class group isomorphic to G^* ([36, Section 5]). The conjecture holds true for Warfield groups but not even for finite cyclic groups G the structure or the maximal size of subsets $G_0 \subset G$, for which $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is half-factorial, are known in general ([51, 52]).

Our main result provides a characterization of when a Krull monoid is length-factorial, in terms of the class group and the distribution of prime divisors in the classes. Recall that reduced Krull monoids are uniquely determined by their class groups and by the distribution of prime divisors in the classes [29, Theorem 2.5.4].

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be a Krull monoid. Then $H = H^\times \times \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^*$, where P_0 is a set of representatives of prime elements of H, $\mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^* \cong H_{\mathrm{red}}$, and H^* is a reduced Krull monoid without primes. The class groups $\mathcal{C}(H)$ of H and $\mathcal{C}(H^*)$ of H^* are isomorphic, and H is length-factorial if and only if H^* is length-factorial. Let $G_{P^*} \subset \mathcal{C}(H^*)$ denote the set of classes containing prime divisors.*
Then H is length-factorial but not factorial if and only if every class of G_{P^*} contains precisely one prime divisor, $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$,

$$G_{P^*} = \{e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{1,t}, e_{2,1}, \dots, e_{2,t}, \dots, e_{k,1}, \dots, e_{k,t},$$
$$g_1, \dots, g_k, e_{0,1}, \dots, e_{0,t}, g_0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(H^*) = \langle e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{1,t}, g_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_{k,1}, \dots, e_{k,t}, g_k \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}^t \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^k,$$

where

- *$t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k + 1 \neq s_0 + s_1 + \dots + s_t \geq 2$, independent elements $e_{1,1}, \dots, e_{1,t}, e_{2,1}, \dots, e_{2,t}, \dots, e_{k,1}, \dots, e_{k,t} \in \mathcal{C}(H^*)$ of infinite order and independent elements $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathcal{C}(H^*)$, which are of infinite order in case $t > 0$ and of finite order for $t = 0$;*
- *s_0 is the smallest integer such that $s_0 g_i \in \langle e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,t} \rangle$ and $-s_0 g_i = s_1 e_{i,1} + \dots + s_t e_{i,t}$ for every $i \in [1, k]$;*

- $e_{0,j} = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,j}$ *for all* $j \in [1,t]$, $g_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i$; *and*
- $n = \gcd(s_0, \dots, s_t)$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(H^*)$ *is a torsion group if and only if* $t = 0$ *and in that case we have* $\mathcal{C}(H^*) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^k$, *where* $n \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $k + 1 \neq n$, *and* $\mathrm{ord}(g_i) = n$ *for all* $i \in [1,k]$.

Theorem 1.1 shows in particular that, if H is a length-factorial Krull monoid, then H^* is finitely generated Krull with torsion-free quotient group, whence H^* is a normal affine monoid in the sense of combinatorial commutative algebra ([10]). We proceed with a series of corollaries. Based on the algebraic characterization of length-factorial Krull monoids given in Theorem 1.1, we start with the description of their arithmetic. We explicitly determine the system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of sets of lengths, which has been done only in seldom cases ([33]). In particular, the set of distances and the elasticity are finite (a geometric characterization of when the elasticity of Krull monoids with finitely generated class group are finite can be found in [41]). Moreover, we observe that $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is additively closed, a quite rare property ([32]).

Corollary 1.2 (**Arithmetic of length-factorial Krull monoids**)**.** *Let H be a length-factorial Krull monoid, that is not factorial, and let all notation be as in Theorem 1.1.*

1. *The inclusion $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(G_{P^*})$ is a divisor theory with class group isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(H)$. The set of atoms*

$$\mathcal{A}(G_{P^*}) = \{U_0, \dots, U_k, V_0, \dots, V_t\},$$

   where, for every $i \in [0,k]$ and every $j \in [1,t]$,

$$U_0 = g_0^{s_0} e_{0,1}^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{0,t}^{s_t}, \quad U_i = e_{i,0}^{s_0} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i,t}^{s_t}, \quad V_0 = g_0 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k, \quad V_j = e_{0,j} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{k,j}$$

   and $U_0 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k = V_0^{s_0} \cdot \dots \cdot V_t^{s_t}$.
2. *Every $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ can be written uniquely in the form*

$$B = (U_0 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k)^x \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{y_i} \prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j},$$

   where $x, y_0, \dots, y_k, z_0, \dots, z_t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $y_i = 0$ for some $i \in [0,k]$, and $z_j < s_j$ for some $j \in [0,t]$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathsf{L}(B) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i + \sum_{j=0}^{t} z_j + \Big\{ \nu(k+1) + (x - \nu) \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0,x] \Big\}.$$

3. *For the system of sets of lengths $\mathcal{L}(H)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \Big\{ \big\{ y + \nu(k+1) + (x - \nu) \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0,x] \big\} : y, x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \Big\}.$$

   In particular, the system $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is additively closed with respect to set addition as operation.

Next, we consider Krull monoids having some key properties, namely the approximation property or the property that every class contains at least one prime divisor. All Krull domains have the approximation property. Holomorphy rings in global fields are Dedekind domains with finite class group and infinitely many prime divisors in all classes. Cluster algebras that are Krull ([26]) and monoid algebras that are Krull ([23]) are more recent examples of Krull domains having infinitely many prime divisors in all classes. Examples of Krull monoids stemming from module theory and having prime divisors in all classes will be discussed in Section 2. Corollary 1.3 should be compared with the classical result that a Krull monoid having prime divisors in each class is half-factorial if and only if its class group has at most two elements.

Corollary 1.3. *Let H be a Krull monoid and H^* be as in Theorem* 1.1.

1. *If H satisfies the approximation property, then H is length-factorial if and only if it is factorial.*
2. *Suppose that every nonzero class of H contains a prime divisor. Then H is length-factorial if and only if $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(H) \setminus \{0\})$ and $\big(|\mathcal{C}(H)| \leq 3$ or $\mathcal{C}(H)$ is an elementary 2-group of rank two$\big)$.*

As already said before, it was proved by Coykendall and Smith that a commutative integral domain is length-factorial if and only if it is factorial ([16]). Our next corollary shows that this result remains true for commutative Krull rings with zero divisors and for normalizing (but not necessarily commutative) Krull rings.

Corollary 1.4 (**Length-factorial Krull rings**).

1. *Let R be an additively regular Krull ring. Then R is length-factorial if and only if R is factorial.*
2. *Let R be a normalizing Krull ring. Then R is length-factorial if and only if R is factorial.*

We end with a corollary on transfer Krull monoids. A monoid H is said to be *transfer Krull* if there is a transfer homomorphism $\theta : H \to B$, where B is a Krull monoid. Thus, Krull monoids are transfer Krull, with θ being the identity. However, in general, transfer Krull monoids need neither be cancellative nor completely integrally closed nor v-noetherian. We discuss an example after the proof of Corollary 1.5 (Example 3.4) and refer to the survey [35] for more. In particular, all half-factorial monoids are transfer Krull but not necessarily Krull. But reduced length-factorial transfer Krull monoids are Krull, as we show in our final corollary.

Corollary 1.5 (**Length-factorial transfer Krull monoids**). *Let H be a transfer Krull monoid. If H is length-factorial, then H_{red} is Krull whence it fulfills the structural description given in Theorem* 1.1.

All results of the present paper, as well as prior work done in [11], indicate that length-factoriality is a much more exceptional property than half-factoriality and that this is true not only for domains (which is known since [16]) but also for commutative and cancellative monoids. The innocent Example 2.2 seems to suggest that the situation is quite different for commutative semigroups that are unit-cancellative but not necessarily cancellative.

2. Background on Krull monoids

Our notation and terminology are consistent with [29]. We gather some key notions. For every positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n denotes a cyclic group with n elements. For integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq x \leq b\}$ denotes the discrete interval between a and b. For subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$, $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ denotes their sumset and the set of distances $\Delta(A) \subset \mathbb{N}$ is the set of all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there is an element $a \in A$ such that $[a, a + d] \cap A = \{a, a + d\}$. For a set $L \subset \mathbb{N}$, we let $\rho(L) = \sup L / \min L \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$ denote the elasticity of L, and we set $\rho(\{0\}) = 1$.

Let H be a commutative semigroup with identity. We denote by $H^\times$ the group of invertible elements. We say that H is reduced if $H^\times = \{1\}$ and we denote by $H_{\text{red}} = \{aH^\times : a \in H\}$ the associated reduced semigroup. An element $u \in H$ is said to be cancellative if $au = bu$ implies that $a = b$ for all $a, b, u \in H$.

The semigroup H is called

- *cancellative* if all elements of H are cancellative;
- *unit-cancellative* if $a, u \in H$ and $a = au$ implies that $u \in H^\times$.

Thus, every cancellative monoid is unit-cancellative.

Throughout this paper, a monoid means a
commutative and unit-cancellative semigroup with identity.

For a set P, let $\mathcal{F}(P)$ be the free abelian monoid with basis P. An element $a \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ is written in the form

$$a = \prod_{p \in P} p^{\mathsf{v}_p(a)} \in \mathcal{F}(P),$$

where $\mathsf{v}_p : \mathcal{F}(P) \to \mathbb{N}_0$ denotes the p-adic valuation. Then $|a| = \sum_{p \in P} \mathsf{v}_p(a) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the length of a and $\text{supp}(a) = \{p \in P : \mathsf{v}_p(a) > 0\} \subset P$ is the support of a. Let H be a multiplicatively written monoid. An element $u \in H$ is said to be

- *prime* if $u \notin H^\times$ and, for all $a, b \in H$ with $u \mid ab$, $u \nmid a$ implies $u \mid b$.
- *irreducible* (or an *atom*) if $u \notin H^\times$ and, for all $a, b \in H$, $u = ab$ implies that $a \in H^\times$ or $b \in H^\times$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the set of atoms of H and, if H is cancellative, then $\mathsf{q}(H)$ is the quotient group of H. The free abelian monoid $\mathsf{Z}(H) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(H_{\text{red}}))$ is the factorization monoid of H and $\pi : \mathsf{Z}(H) \to H_{\text{red}}$, defined by $\pi(u) = u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{A}(H_{\text{red}})$, is the factorization homomorphism of H. For an element $a \in H$,

- $\mathsf{Z}_H(a) = \mathsf{Z}(a) = \pi^{-1}(aH^\times) \subset \mathsf{Z}(H)$ is the *set of factorizations* of a, and
- $\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \mathsf{L}(a) = \{|z| : z \in \mathsf{Z}(a)\}$ is the *set of lengths* of a.

Note that $\mathsf{L}(a) = \{0\}$ if and only if $a \in H^{\times}$. Then H is atomic (resp. factorial) if $\mathsf{Z}(a) \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $|\mathsf{Z}(a)| = 1$) for all $a \in H$. Examples of atomic monoids, that are not necessarily cancellative, include semigroups of ideals and semigroups of isomorphism classes of modules (see [24, Section 3.2 and 3.3], [31, Section 4], and Examples 2.2 and 3.4). If H is atomic, then

$$1 \leq |\mathsf{L}(a)| \leq |\mathsf{Z}(a)| \qquad \text{for all} \quad a \in H.$$

We say that the monoid H is

- *half-factorial* if $1 = |\mathsf{L}(a)|$ for all $a \in H$, and
- *length-factorial* if $1 \leq |\mathsf{L}(a)| = |\mathsf{Z}(a)|$ for all $a \in H$.

Thus, by definition, H is factorial if and only if it is half-factorial and length-factorial. Furthermore, H is factorial (half-factorial resp. length-factorial) if and only if H_{red} has the respective property. Then

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \{\mathsf{L}(a) : a \in H\}$$

is the *system of sets of lengths* of H,

$$\Delta(H) = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}(H)} \Delta(L) \subset \mathbb{N}$$

is the *set of distances* of H, and

$$\rho(H) = \sup\{\rho(L) : L \in \mathcal{L}(H)\} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is the *elasticity* of H. We say that H has *accepted elasticity* if there is $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $\rho(L) = \rho(H)$. If H is not half-factorial, then $\min \Delta(H) = \gcd \Delta(H)$. We start with a simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a length-factorial monoid.*

1. *$\rho(H) < \infty$.*
2. *If H is cancellative, then the elasticity $\rho(H)$ is accepted.*
3. *If H is cancellative but not factorial, then $|\Delta(H)| = 1$.*

Proof. Without restriction, we may suppose that H is reduced. By definition, H is half-factorial if and only if $\rho(H) = 1$ if and only if $\Delta(H) = \emptyset$, and if this holds, then the elasticity is accepted. Thus, we may suppose that H is not half-factorial.

1. Assume to the contrary that $\rho(H)$ is infinite and choose an element $a \in H$ with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a)) > 1$. Then there exist $u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s, w_1, \ldots, w_t \in \mathcal{A}(H)$, where $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_t\} = \emptyset$ such that

$$a = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_t.$$

with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a)) = (r + t)/(r + s) > 1$. Since $\rho(H)$ is infinite, there exists $b \in H$ such that $\rho(\mathsf{L}(b)) > t/s$. Moreover, there exist $r', s', t' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$x_1, \ldots, x_{r'}, y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}, z_1, \ldots, z_{t'} \in \mathcal{A}(H) \quad \text{with} \quad \{y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t'}\} = \emptyset$$

such that

$$b = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'} = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t'}$$

with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(b)) = (r' + t')/(r' + s') > t/s$. Since

$$a^{t'-s'}b^{t-s} = (u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{t'-s'}(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t'})^{t-s}$$
$$= (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'})^{t-s}(u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_t)^{t'-s'}$$

and since H is length-factorial, we obtain that

$$(u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{t'-s'}(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t'})^{t-s} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$(x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'})^{t-s}(u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_t)^{t'-s'}$$

are equal in the factorization monoid $\mathsf{Z}(H)$. Since

$$\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_t\} = \emptyset \text{ and } \{y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t'}\} = \emptyset,$$

it follows that $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{t'-s'}$ and $(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'})^{t-s}$ are equal in the factorization monoid $\mathsf{Z}(H)$, whence $s(t' - s') = s'(t - s)$. Therefore, $t/s = t'/s' > \rho(\mathsf{L}(b))$, a contradiction.

2. This proof runs along similar lines as the proof of the first assertion. But, we need to use cancellativity now which is not needed in 1. (see Example 2.2). Assume to the contrary that $\rho(H)$ is not accepted and choose an element $a \in H$ with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a)) > 1$. Then there exist $r, s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s, w_1, \ldots, w_t \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ with $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_t\} = \emptyset$ such that

$$a = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_t$$

with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a)) = (r+t)/(r+s) > 1$. Let $a_0 = v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s$. Then $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a_0)) = t/s > 1$. Since $\rho(H)$ is not accepted, there exists $b \in H$ such that $\rho(\mathsf{L}(b)) > \rho(\mathsf{L}(a_0))$. Moreover, there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_{r'}, y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}, z_1, \ldots, z_{t'} \in \mathcal{A}(H)$, where $r', s', t' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t'}\} = \emptyset$ such that

$$b = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'} = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{r'} z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t'}$$

with $\rho(\mathsf{L}(b)) = (r' + t')/(r' + s') > \rho(a_0)$. Let $b_0 = y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'}$. Then $\rho(\mathsf{L}(b_0)) = t'/s' > \rho(a_0)$. Since H is length-factorial and

$$a_0^{t'-s'} b_0^{t-s} = (v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{t'-s'}(z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t'})^{t-s} = (y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'})^{t-s}(w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_t)^{t'-s'},$$

it follows from

$$\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_t\} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \{y_1, \ldots, y_{s'}\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t'}\} = \emptyset$$

that $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{t'-s'}$ and $(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{s'})^{t-s}$ are equal in the factorization monoid $\mathsf{Z}(H)$, whence $s(t' - s') = s'(t - s)$. Therefore, we infer that $\rho(\mathsf{L}(a_0)) = t/s = t'/s' = \rho(\mathsf{L}(b_0))$, a contradiction.

3. Assume to the contrary that $|\Delta(H)| \geq 2$. Since $\min \Delta(H) = \gcd \Delta(H)$, we may choose $d, d_0 \in \Delta(H)$ with $d_0 \neq d$ such that d_0 divides d. Let $r, s, k, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s, w_1, \ldots, w_{s+d_0}, x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_t, z_1, \ldots, z_{t+d} \in \mathcal{A}(H)$$

with

$$\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_{s+d_0}\} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \{y_1, \ldots, y_t\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t+d}\} = \emptyset$$

such that

$$a = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{s+d_0}$$

with

$$\mathsf{L}(a) \cap [r + s, r + s + d_0] = \{r + s, r + s + d_0\}$$

and

$$b = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t+d}$$

with

$$\mathsf{L}(b) \cap [k + t, k + t + d] = \{k + t, k + t + d\}.$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^d b^{d_0} &= (u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^d (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t+d})^{d_0} \\
&= (x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t)^{d_0} (u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_r w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{s+d_0})^d .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d(r + s) + d_0(k + t + d) = d_0(k + t) + d(r + s + d_0)$ and H is length-factorial, we obtain that the two factorizations $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^d (z_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{t+d})^{d_0}$ and $(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t)^{d_0}(w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{s+d_0})^d$ are equal (in the factorization monoid $\mathsf{Z}(H)$). Since $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \cap \{w_1, \ldots, w_{s+d_0}\} = \emptyset$, we obtain $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^d$ divides $(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t)^{d_0}$ in $\mathsf{Z}(H)$. Since $\{y_1, \ldots, y_t\} \cap \{z_1, \ldots, z_{t+d}\} = \emptyset$, we obtain $(y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t)^{d_0}$ divides $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^d$ in $\mathsf{Z}(H)$, whence $(v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^d = (y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t)^{d_0} \in \mathsf{Z}(H)$. It follows that $y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_t = (v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{d/d_0}$ and hence

$$b = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k (v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{d/d_0} = x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k (v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_s)^{d/d_0 - 1} w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_{s+d_0} ,$$

which implies that $k + t + d_0 \in \mathsf{L}(b) \cap [k + t, k + t + d]$, a contradiction. $\qquad \square$

Our next example shows that the elasticity of a non-cancellative length-factorial monoid does not need to be accepted and that the set of distances may contain more than one element.

Example 2.2.

1. Let R be a ring and $\mathcal{C}$ be a small class of left R-modules that is closed under finite direct sums, direct summands, and isomorphisms. Then the set $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ of isomorphism classes of modules from $\mathcal{C}$ is a reduced commutative semigroup, with operation induced by the direct sum ([6]). Suppose that all modules from $\mathcal{C}$ are directly finite (or Dedekind finite), which means that

If M, N are modules from $\mathcal{C}$ such that $M \cong M \oplus N$, then $N = 0$.

This property holds true for large classes of modules (including all finitely generated modules over commutative rings; for more see [37, 20]) and is equivalent to $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ being unit-cancellative. We will meet such monoids $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ at several places of the manuscript (e.g., in Example 3.4).

2. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the commutative monoid H_m generated by $A_m = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m, u_1, u_2\}$ with relations generated by

$$R_m = \{(a_1 u_1^2, a_1 u_2^3), (a_2 u_1^4, a_2 u_2^6), \ldots, (a_m u_1^{2m}, a_m u_2^{3m})\},$$

say

$$H_m = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m, u_1, u_2 \mid a_1 u_1^2 = a_1 u_2^3, a_2 u_1^4 = a_2 u_2^6, \ldots, a_m u_1^{2m} = a_m u_2^{3m} \rangle.$$

Then H_m is a reduced, commutative, atomic, non-cancellative monoid with $\mathcal{A}(H_m) = A_m$. By construction, we have $[1, m] \subset \Delta(H_m)$, $\rho(H_m) = 3/2$, and $\rho(H)$ is not accepted. We assert that H_m is length-factorial.

We define, for any $a, b \in H_m$, that $a \sim b$ if there exists $c \in H_m$ such that $ac = bc$. This is a congruence relation on H_m and the monoid $H_{m,\text{canc}} = H_m / \sim$ is the associated cancellative monoid of H_m. For every $a \in H_m$, we denote by $[a] \in H_{m,\text{canc}}$ the congruence class of H. Then

$$H_{m,\text{canc}} \cong \mathcal{F}(\{[a_i] : i \in [1, m]\}) \times \langle [u_1], [u_2] \mid [u_1]^2 = [u_2]^3 \rangle,$$

whence it is easy to see that $H_{m,\text{canc}}$ is length-factorial. Let x_1, x_2 be two atoms of H_m. By our construction of H, we have $[x_1] = [x_2]$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$. Therefore, the length-factoriality of $H_{m,\text{canc}}$ implies that H_m is length-factorial. By a result of Bergman-Dicks ([8, Theorems 6.2 and 6.4] and [9, page 315]), the monoid H_m can be realized as a monoid of isomorphism classes of modules, as introduced in 1.

Next we discuss Krull monoids. A monoid homomorphism $\varphi \colon H \to D$ is called a

- *divisor homomorphism* if $a, b \in H$ and $\varphi(a) \mid \varphi(b)$ (in D) imply that $a \mid b$ (in H);
- *divisor theory* (for H) if φ is a divisor homomorphism, D is free abelian, and for every $a \in D$ there are $a_1, \dots, a_m \in H$ such that

$$a = \gcd\left(\varphi(a_1), \dots, \varphi(a_m)\right).$$

A monoid H is a *Krull monoid* if it is cancellative and satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions ([29, Theorem 2.4.8]):

(a) H is completely integrally closed and satisfies the ACC on divisorial ideals.
(b) H has a divisor homomorphism to a free abelian monoid.
(c) H has a divisor theory.

Property (a) can be used to show that a domain is a Krull domain if and only if its multiplicative monoid of nonzero elements is a Krull monoid. Examples of Krull monoids are given in [29] and in the recent survey [35]). In particular, let $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ be a monoid of isomorphism classes of modules, as introduced in Example 2.2.1. If $\text{End}_R(M)$ is semilocal for all M from $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ is a reduced Krull monoid ([17, Theorem 3.4]), and every reduced Krull monoid can be realized as a monoid of isomorphism classes of modules ([22, Theorem 2.1]).

To discuss class groups of Krull monoids, let H be a Krull monoid. Then there is a divisor theory $H_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow F = \mathcal{F}(P)$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(H) = \mathcal{C}(H_{\text{red}}) = \mathsf{q}(F)/\mathsf{q}(H_{\text{red}}) \tag{2.1}$$

is the (divisor) class group of H. The divisor class group is isomorphic to the (ideal theoretic) v-class group of H, and if R is a Krull domain, then the class group of the Krull monoid $R \setminus \{0\}$ coincides with the usual divisor class group of the domain R. If the monoid H in Theorem 1.1 is length-factorial, then H^* is a reduced finitely generated Krull monoid. There are various characterizations

of finitely generated Krull monoids ([29, Theorem 2.7.14]). In particular, every such monoid is a Diophantine monoid (the monoid of non-negative solutions of a system of linear Diophantine equations; [14]). For every $a \in \mathsf{q}(F)$, we denote by $[a] = a\mathsf{q}(H_{\mathrm{red}}) \subset \mathsf{q}(F)$ the class containing a. For $g \in \mathcal{C}(H)$, $P \cap g$ is the set of prime divisors lying in g. Concerning the distribution of prime divisors in Krull monoids of isomorphism classes of modules we refer to [21, 18, 43, 19, 3].

Let G be an additive abelian group and $G_0 \subset G$ be a subset. We denote by $\langle G_0 \rangle \subset G$ the subgroup generated by G_0 and by $[G_0] \subset G$ the submonoid generated by G_0. A tuple $(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \in G^r$, with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (respectively, the elements $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in G$) are called *independent* if $e_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [1, r]$ and $\langle e_1, \ldots, e_r \rangle = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$, and it is called a *basis* of G if $e_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [1, r]$ and $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$.

We discuss a class of Krull monoids needed in the sequel, namely monoids of zero-sum sequences. For an element

$$S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_\ell = \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{\mathsf{v}_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G_0),$$

where $g_1, \ldots, g_\ell \in G_0$, $|S| = \ell = \sum_{g \in G_0} \mathsf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the length of S, and

$$\sigma(S) = g_1 + \ldots + g_\ell \in G \quad \text{is the } \textit{sum of } S.$$

We say that S is *zero-sum free* if $\sum_{i \in I} g_i \neq 0$ for all $\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, \ell]$. The *monoid of zero-sum sequences*

$$\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \{ S \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) : \sigma(S) = 0 \} \subset \mathcal{F}(G_0)$$

over G_0 is a Krull monoid, by Property (b), since the inclusion $\mathcal{B}(G_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ is a divisor homomorphism. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(G_0) := \mathcal{A}\big(\mathcal{B}(G_0)\big)$ the set of atoms (minimal zero-sum sequences) of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$. The subset G_0 is called half-factorial (non-half-factorial resp. minimal non-half-factorial) if the monoid $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is half-factorial (not half-factorial resp. G_0 is not half-factorial but every proper subset is half-factorial). Half-factorial and (minimal) non-half-factorial subsets play a central role when studying the arithmetic of Krull monoids (we refer to [29, Chapter 6] for the basics and to [57, 53]). Note that minimal non-half-factorial subsets are finite.

The arithmetic of Krull monoids is studied via transfer homomorphisms to monoids of zero-sum sequences. We recall the required concepts. A monoid homomorphism $\theta \colon H \to B$ is called a *transfer homomorphism* if it has the following properties:

(T 1) $B = \theta(H)B^\times$ and $\theta^{-1}(B^\times) = H^\times$.

(T 2) If $u \in H$, $b, c \in B$ and $\theta(u) = bc$, then there exist $v, w \in H$ such that $u = vw$, $\theta(v) \in bB^\times$, and $\theta(w) \in cB^\times$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\theta \colon H \to B$ be a transfer homomorphism of atomic monoids.*

1. *For every $a \in H$, we have $\mathsf{L}_H(a) = \mathsf{L}_B\big(\theta(a)\big)$.*
2. *Let $p \in H$. Then p is an atom in H if and only if $\theta(p)$ is an atom in B. Moreover, if p is a prime in H, then $\theta(p)$ is a prime in B.*

3. $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(B)$, *whence H is half-factorial if and only if B is half-factorial.*
4. *If H is length-factorial, then B is length-factorial.*

Proof. Without restriction, we may suppose that H and B are reduced. Then **(T1)** implies that θ is surjective.

1. This easily follows from **(T 2)** (for details in the cancellative setting we refer to [29, Chapter 3.2]).

2. Let $p \in H$. Since p is an atom in H if and only if $\mathsf{L}_H(p) = \{1\}$ and similarly for $\theta(p)$ and B, 1. implies that p is an atom in H if and only if $\theta(p)$ is an atom in B.

Now suppose that p is a prime in H and let $\alpha, \beta \in B$ such that $\theta(p) \mid \alpha\beta$. Then there is $c \in H$ such that $\alpha\beta = \theta(pc)$. Then **(T2)** implies that there are $a, b \in H$ such that $pc = ab$, $\theta(a) = \alpha$, and $\theta(b) = \beta$. Without restriction, we may suppose that $p \mid a$, say $a = pa'$ for some $a' \in H$, whence $\alpha = \theta(a) = \theta(p)\theta(a')$. Thus, $\theta(p)$ is a prime in B.

3. This follows immediately from 1.

4. Suppose that H is length-factorial and choose some $\alpha \in B$. Let $a \in H$ such that $\theta(a) = \alpha$, and let $k \in \mathsf{L}_B(\alpha) = \mathsf{L}_H(a)$. By **(T2)**, every factorization of α of length k can be lifted to a factorization of a of length k. Thus, if there is only one factorization of a of length k, there is only one factorization of α of length k. This implies that B is length-factorial. $\qquad\square$

Let all notation be as in Lemma 2.3. There are examples (even for cancellative monoids) where $\theta(p)$ is a prime in B but p fails to be prime in H. Furthermore, B may be length-factorial, but H is not length-factorial.

The study of factorial versus length-factorial monoids can be seen as part of a larger program. We briefly outline this and introduce (as suggested by the reviewer) the concept of length-FF-monoids. A monoid H is said to be

- an FF-*monoid* (finite factorization monoid) if $\mathsf{Z}(a)$ is finite nonempty for all $a \in H$.
- a BF-*monoid* (bounded factorization monoid) if $\mathsf{L}(a)$ is finite nonempty for all $a \in H$.
- a *length*-FF-*monoid* if it is atomic and every element has only finitely many factorizations of the same length.

A commutative ring R has one of these properties if the respective property holds true for its monoid of regular elements. By definition, a monoid is an FF-monoid if and only if it is a BF-monoid and a length-FF-monoid.

Every Krull monoid is an FF-monoid. Let H be a cancellative monoid. If H satisfies the ACC on divisorial ideals, then H is a BF-monoid and every BF-monoid satisfies the ACC on principal ideals. Suppose that H satisfies the ACC on divisorial ideals and $(H : \widehat{H}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\widehat{H}$ is a Krull monoid, and H is an FF-monoid if and only if the factor group $\widehat{H}^\times/H^\times$ is finite ([29, Theorem 1.5.6]). In particular, a Noetherian domain R, whose integral closure $\overline{R}$ is a finitely generated R-module, is an FF-domain if and only if $\overline{R}^\times/R^\times$ is finite.

The ring of integer-valued polynomials $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is an FF-domain and hence a BF-domain but it does not satisfy the ACC on divisorial ideals. We continue with two examples.

Example 2.4.

1. Let $H \subset (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, +)$ be the additive submonoid of the non-negative rationals that is generated by $\{1/p : p \text{ is prime}\}$. Then H satisfies the ACC on principal ideals by [13, Theorem 4.5]. Since $\mathcal{A}(H) = \{1/p : p \in \mathbb{P}\}$ and $1 = 1/p + ... + 1/p$, it follows that $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathsf{L}_H(1)$, whence H is not a BF-monoid. We assert that H is a length-FF-monoid. In order to show this, let $r = \frac{n}{m} \in H$ and let $k \in \mathsf{L}_H(r)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. It suffices to show that there are only finitely many primes p such that $1/p$ can appear in a factorization of r of length k. Suppose

$$r = \frac{n}{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{q_i}{p_i}, \quad \text{where } p_1, ..., p_t \text{ are pairwise distinct primes},$$

$$q_1, ..., q_t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{t} q_i = k.$$

If $i \in [1, t]$ and p_i does not divide m, then p_i must divide q_i, whence $q_i \in [p_i, k]$. Together with the fact that m has only finitely many prime divisors, the assertion follows.

2. Let H be as in 1. and consider the monoid algebra

$$\mathbb{Q}[H] = \Big\{ \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} r_p X^{1/p} : \quad r_p \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad r_p = 0 \quad \text{for almost all } p \in \mathbb{P} \Big\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ satisfies the ACC on principal ideals ([38, Proposition 4.2]), it is atomic. Since $X^{1/p}$ is an atom for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $X = X^{1/p} \cdot ... \cdot X^{1/p}$, it follows that $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathsf{L}_{\mathbb{Q}[H]}(X)$, whence $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is not a BF-monoid. We assert that $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is not a length-FF-monoid. In order to prove this, we introduce some further notation. For an element $f = r_0 X^{\alpha_0} + r_1 X^{\alpha_1} + ... + r_k X^{\alpha_k} \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, r_0, ..., r_k \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_k \in H$ with $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < ... < \alpha_k$, we define $\mathsf{n}(f) = r_0$, and $\mathsf{m}(f) = \alpha_k$. Thus, $\mathsf{m}(f) = 0$ if and only if $f \in \mathbb{Q}$.

For an odd prime p, we consider the factorization

$$1 - X = 1 - (X^{1/p})^p = (1 - X^{1/p})(1 + X^{1/p} + ... + X^{(p-1)/p}),$$

and we assert that both, $1 - X^{1/p}$ and $1 + X^{1/p} + ... + X^{(p-1)/p}$, are atoms of $\mathbb{Q}[H]$. If this holds, then $1 - X$ has infinitely many factorizations of length two, whence $\mathbb{Q}[H]$ is not a length-FF-monoid.

Suppose that $1 - X^{1/p} = gh$ for some $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$. Then $1/p = \mathsf{m}(g) + \mathsf{m}(h)$ and, since $1/p$ is an atom of H, it follows that $\mathsf{m}(g) = 0$ or $\mathsf{m}(h) = 0$. Thus, $g \in \mathbb{Q}$ or $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $1 - X^{1/p}$ is an atom.

Suppose that $1 + X^{1/p} + ... + X^{p-1/p} = gh$ for some $g, h \in \mathbb{Q}[H]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathsf{n}(g) = \mathsf{n}(h) = 1$ and we set

$$g = 1 + u_1 X^{\alpha_1} + ... + u_k X^{\alpha_k} \quad \text{and} \quad h = 1 + v_1 X^{\beta_1} + ... + v_\ell X^{\beta_\ell},$$

where $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $u_0 = v_0 = 1$, $u_1, ..., u_k, v_1, ..., v_\ell \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_k$, $\beta_0, ..., \beta_\ell \in H$ with $0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < ... < \alpha_k$ and $0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < ... < \beta_\ell$. We have to show that either $g = 1$ or $h = 1$.

The coefficient of $X^{\alpha_k + \beta_\ell}$ in gh is $u_k v_\ell \neq 0$, whence $\alpha_k + \beta_\ell = (p-1)/p$. Since for every $i \in [1, p-1]$, the element $i/p \in H$ has unique factorization in H, it follows that $\alpha_k = a/p$ and $\beta_\ell = b/p$ for some $a, b \in [0, p-1]$ with $a + b = p - 1$. Let

$$I = \left\{ i \in [1, k] : \alpha_i \notin \{r/p : r \in [1, a-1]\} \right\}, \quad g_2 = \sum_{i \in I} u_i X^{\alpha_i}, \; g_1 = g - g_2,$$

and

$$J = \left\{ j \in [1, \ell] : \beta_j \notin \{r/p : r \in [1, b-1]\} \right\}, \quad h_2 = \sum_{j \in J} v_j X^{\beta_j}, \; h_1 = h - h_2,$$

with the convention that $g_2 = 0$ if $I = \emptyset$ and $h_2 = 0$ if $J = \emptyset$. Then $gh - g_1 h_1 = g_1 h_2 + h_1 g_2 + g_2 h_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X^{1/p}]$. As above, we use that i/p has unique factorization in H for every $i \in [1, p-1]$ and infer that the coefficient of $X^{i/p}$ in $g_1 h_2 + h_1 g_2 + g_2 h_2$ equals zero. Therefore, we obtain that $g_1 h_2 + h_1 g_2 + g_2 h_2 = 0$, whence $gh = g_1 h_1$. Since $g_1, h_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X^{1/p}]$, $\mathsf{m}(g) = \mathsf{m}(g_1)$, and $\mathsf{m}(h) = \mathsf{m}(h_1)$, we set

$$g_1 = 1 + u_1' X^{1/p} + ... + u_a' X^{a/p}, \quad h_1 = 1 + v_1' X^{1/p} + ... + v_b' X^{b/p},$$

where $u_0' = v_0' = 1$, $u_1', ..., u_a', v_1', ..., v_b' \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $u_a' \neq 0$, and $v_b' \neq 0$. Setting $Y = X^{1/p}$ we obtain

$$1 + Y + ... + Y^{p-1} = (1 + u_1' Y + ... + u_a' Y^a)(1 + v_1' Y + ... + v_b' Y^b) \in \mathbb{Q}[Y].$$

Since $1 + Y + ... + Y^{p-1}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[Y]$, we obtain, after renumbering if necessary, that $b = 0$, $\mathsf{m}(h) = 0$, and $h = 1$.

The examples show that non-BF-monoids may or may not be length-FF-monoids. Thus, the length-FF-property could be a tool leading to a better understanding of the non-BF-property. Indeed, although the concepts of BF- and FF-monoids and domains were introduced more than thirty years ago ([2]), the arithmetic of non-BF-monoids has not been studied yet in a systematic way (a main obstacle is that they miss the ACC on divisorial ideals).

Furthermore, the examples show that the length-FF-property does not always imply the BF-property, whence it need not imply the FF-property. This is in analogy to the fact that length-factoriality does not always imply factoriality. But, since the latter implication does hold true for large classes of monoids including all domains, it is a natural question in the same vein to ask in which classes of monoids or domains the length-FF-property implies the FF-property.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and of its corollaries

In this section we prove our main results. To do so, we start with three lemmas on Krull monoids.

Lemma 3.1. *Let H be a reduced Krull monoid with divisor theory $H \hookrightarrow F = \mathcal{F}(P)$, class group $G = \mathcal{C}(H)$, and let $G_P = \{[p] : p \in P\} \subset G$ be the set of classes containing prime divisors.*

1. *The map $\beta : H \to \mathcal{B}(G_P)$, defined by $a = p_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell \mapsto [p_1] \cdot \ldots \cdot [p_\ell]$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell \in P$, is a transfer homomorphism.*
2. *The map β is an isomorphism if and only if every class $g \in G_P$ contains precisely one prime divisor.*
3. *We have $G = [G_P]$ and $G = [G_P \setminus \{g\}]$ for all classes $g \in G_P$ that contain precisely one prime divisor.*

Proof. 1. This follows from [29, Theorem 3.4.10].

2. Since $\mathcal{B}(G_P)$ is reduced, **(T 1)** implies that β is surjective. Thus, β is an isomorphism if and only if every class $g \in G_P$ contains precisely one prime divisor.

3. This follows from [29, Theorem 2.5.4]. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be an abelian group and let $G_0 \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ be a subset such that $G = [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$ for all $g \in G_0$. Suppose there is $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ having two distinct factorizations*

$$B = U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k = V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_\ell,$$

where $k, \ell \geq 2$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_k, V_1, \ldots, V_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$.

1. *For any distinct $g, h \in G_0$, there exist two atoms $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ such that $\mathsf{v}_g(A_1) = 1$ and $h \in \mathrm{supp}(A_2) \subset G_0 \setminus \{g\}$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is length-factorial, then $\mathcal{A}(G_0) = \{U_1, \ldots, U_k, V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$.*

Proof. 1. Let $g, h \in G_0$ with $g \neq h$. Since $-h \in G = [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$, there is an atom $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{g\})$ such that $h \in \mathrm{supp}(A_2) \subset G_0 \setminus \{g\}$. Since $-g \in G = [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$, there is an atom $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ such that $\mathsf{v}_g(A_1) = 1$.

2. Suppose $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is length-factorial. Assume to the contrary there is an atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0) \setminus \{U_1, \ldots, U_k, V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\}$. If $|\mathrm{supp}(A)| = 1$, say $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \{g\}$, then $\mathrm{ord}(g)$ is finite and by 1. there exists an atom A_1 with $\mathsf{v}_g(A_1) = 1$, whence $A_1 \neq A$. Therefore, A divides $A_1^{\mathrm{ord}(g)}$. If $|\mathrm{supp}(A)| \geq 2$, then for every $g \in \mathrm{supp}(A)$, it follows by 1. that there exists an atom $A_g \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ with $g \in \mathrm{supp}(A_g)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(A) \not\subset \mathrm{supp}(A_g)$. Then $A \neq A_g$ for every $g \in \mathrm{supp}(A)$ and A divides $\prod_{g \in \mathrm{supp}(A)} A_g^{\mathsf{v}_g(A)}$.

To sum up, there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and atoms $W_1, \ldots, W_s$ with $A \neq W_i$ for every $i \in [1, s]$ such that A divides $W_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot W_s$. We may suppose $W_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot W_s = A X_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot X_t$, where $t \geq 2$ and $X_2, \ldots, X_t \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$. If $\ell = k$ or $t = s$, then $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$

is not length-factorial, a contradiction. Suppose $\ell \neq k$ and $t \neq s$. By symmetry, we may suppose that $\ell > k$. If $t > s$, then

$$(W_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot W_s)^{\ell-k}(V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_\ell)^{t-s} = (U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^{t-s}(AX_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot X_t)^{\ell-k}$$

has two distinct factorizations of length $\ell t - sk$, whence $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is not length-factorial, a contradiction. If $s > t$, then

$$(W_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot W_s)^{\ell-k}(U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^{s-t} = (V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_\ell)^{s-t}(AX_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot X_t)^{\ell-k}$$

has two distinct factorizations of length $s\ell - tk$, whence $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is not length-factorial, a contradiction. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be an abelian group and let $G_0 \subset G \setminus \{0\}$ be a subset such that $[G_0 \setminus \{g\}] = G$ for all $g \in G_0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is length-factorial but not factorial.*

1. *G_0 is a minimal non-half-factorial set.*
2. *For every $g \in G_0$, there exist $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ such that $\mathsf{v}_g(A_1) = 1$ and $|\{A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0) : \mathsf{v}_g(A) > 0\}| = 2$.*
3. *For any two distinct atoms $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$, either*

$$\mathrm{supp}(A_1) \cap \mathrm{supp}(A_2) = \emptyset \quad or \quad |\gcd(A_1, A_2)| = 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is length-factorial but not factorial, it is not half-factorial.

1. There is a $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ such that $|\mathsf{L}(B_0)| \geq 2$, which implies that $\mathrm{supp}(B_0)$ is not half-factorial. Let $G_1 \subset \mathrm{supp}(B_0)$ be a minimal non-half-factorial subset and let $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(G_1)$ such that $|\mathsf{L}(B_1)| \geq 2$. Then Lemma 3.2.2 implies $\mathcal{A}(G_0) = \mathcal{A}(G_1)$.

Assume to the contrary that $G_0 \setminus G_1 \neq \emptyset$. Let $h \in G_0 \setminus G_1$. Then by Lemma 3.2.1, there is an atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ with $h \in \mathrm{supp}(A)$, whence $A \notin \mathcal{A}(G_1)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $G_0 = G_1$ is a minimal non-half-factorial subset.

2. Let $g \in G_0$. By Lemma 3.2.1, there exists an atom A_1 such that $\mathsf{v}_g(A_1) = 1$ and hence $|\mathrm{supp}(A_1)| \geq 2$. Let $h_0 \in \mathrm{supp}(A_1) \setminus \{g\}$. Then Lemma 3.2.1 implies there exists an atom $A_g \in \mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{h_0\})$ such that $g \in \mathrm{supp}(A_g)$. Thus, $A_g \neq A_1$. Furthermore, for every $h \in \mathrm{supp}(A_1) \setminus \{g\}$, Lemma 3.2.1 implies that there exists an atom $A_h \in \mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{g\})$ such that $h \in \mathrm{supp}(A_h)$.

Assume to the contrary that there exists an atom $A_3 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0) \setminus \{A_1, A_g\}$ such that $g \in \mathrm{supp}(A_3)$. Therefore,

$$A_3 \prod_{h \in G_0 \setminus \{g\}} A_h^{\mathsf{v}_g(A_3)\mathsf{v}_h(A_1)} = A_1^{\mathsf{v}_g(A_3)} X_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot X_s,$$

where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_s \in \mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{g\})$. It follows by Lemma 3.2.2 that $A_g \in \{A_1, A_3\} \cup \{A_h : h \in \mathrm{supp}(A) \setminus \{g\}\} \cup \{X_i : i \in [1,s]\}$, a contradiction.

3. Let $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_0)$ be distinct such that $\mathrm{supp}(A_1) \cap \mathrm{supp}(A_2) \neq \emptyset$. Assume to the contrary that there are $g, h \in G_0$ such that gh divides $\gcd(A_1, A_2)$. By 2., there is no other atom A such that $\mathrm{supp}(A) \cap \{g, h\} \neq \emptyset$. If $g = h$, then there is no atom A with $\mathsf{v}_g(A) = 1$, a contradiction to 2. If $g \neq h$, then

$-h \in [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$ implies that there is an atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{g\})$ with $h \in \text{supp}(A)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let H be a Krull monoid. By [29, Theorem 2.4.8], there is a decomposition $H = H^\times \times H_0$, where H_0 is a reduced Krull monoid, isomorphic to H_{red}. If $P_0 \subset H_0$ is the set of prime elements of H_0 and $H^* = \{a \in H_0 : p \nmid a \text{ for all } p \in P_0\}$, then $H_0 = \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^*$ ([29, Theorem 1.2.3]). Clearly, H^* is a reduced Krull monoid. By definition, H is length-factorial if and only if $H_{\text{red}} \cong H_0$ is length-factorial, and H_0 is length-factorial if and only if H^* is length-factorial.

Let $H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P^*)$ be a divisor theory. Then $H_0 = \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times \mathcal{F}(P^*) = \mathcal{F}(P)$, where $P = P_0 \uplus P^*$, is a divisor theory, whence we obtain that (we use (2.1))

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(H) = \mathcal{C}(H_0) &= \mathsf{q}(\mathcal{F}(P))/\mathsf{q}(H_0) \\
&= \mathsf{q}(\mathcal{F}(P_0)) \times \mathsf{q}(\mathcal{F}(P^*))/\mathsf{q}(\mathcal{F}(P_0)) \times \mathsf{q}(H^*) \\
&\cong \mathsf{q}(\mathcal{F}(P^*))/\mathsf{q}(H^*) = \mathcal{C}(H^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $G_{P^*} \subset \mathcal{C}(H^*)$ denote the set of classes containing prime divisors, and note that $0 \notin G_{P^*}$. It remains to prove the characterization of length-factoriality. Note that the *Moreover* statement, dealing with the case of torsion class groups, follows immediately from the main statement. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1. Suppose that H and H^* are length-factorial but not factorial.

Assume to the contrary that there exist distinct $p, q \in P^*$ such that $0 \neq [p] = [q] \in \mathcal{C}(H^*)$. Since $H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P^*)$ is a divisor theory, there exist $r \geq 2$ and pairwise distinct $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in H^*$ such that $p = \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathcal{A}(H^*)$.

Let $a_1 = p^k q_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot q_s p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell$, where $k \geq 1$, $s \geq 0$, $\ell \geq 1$, $q_1, \ldots, q_s \in P^* \setminus \{p\}$ with $[q_j] = [p]$ for $j \in [1, s]$, and $p_2, \ldots, p_\ell \in P^*$ with $[p_i] \neq [p]$ for $i \in [2, \ell]$. If $k + s \geq 2$, then $b_1 = p^{k+s} p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell$ and $b_2 = q^{k+s} p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell$ are both atoms of H^*. We observe that

$$b_1 b_2 = (p^{k+s-1} q p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell)(p q^{k+s-1} p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell)$$

has two distinct factorizations of length two, a contradiction. Thus, $k + s = 1$ and $a_1 = p p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell$. Similarly, we may assume that $a_2 = p p_2' \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{\ell'}'$, where $\ell' \geq 2$ with $[p_i'] \neq [p]$ for $i \in [2, \ell']$. We observe that

$$a_1(q p_2' \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{\ell'}') = (q p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_\ell) a_2$$

has two distinct factorizations of length two, a contradiction. Therefore, every nonzero class $g \in \mathcal{C}(H^*)$ contains at most one prime divisor. Thus, Lemma 3.1.2 implies that $\beta : H^* \to \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ is an isomorphism, whence $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ and $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ is length-factorial but not factorial.

It remains to determine the structure of G_{P^*}. Since $H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P^*)$ is a divisor theory and every class of $\mathcal{C}(H^*)$ contains at most one prime divisor, we obtain that $\mathcal{C}(H^*) = [G_{P^*} \setminus \{g\}]$ for all $g \in G_{P^*}$ by Lemma 3.1.3. Thus, the assumption

of Lemma 3.3 is satisfied which implies that G_{P^*} is a minimal non-half-factorial set. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ with $|\mathsf{L}(B)| \geq 2$ and let $|B|$ be minimal with this property, say

$$B = U_0 U_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k = V_0 V_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_\ell \,,$$

where $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \neq \ell$, and $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_k, V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_\ell \in \mathcal{A}(G_{P^*})$. Then Lemma 3.2.2 implies that

$$\{U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_k, V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_\ell\} = \mathcal{A}(G_{P^*})\,.$$

The minimality of $|B|$ implies that $U_i \neq V_j$ for every $i \in [0, k]$ and every $j \in [0, \ell]$. If there exist $j \in [0, \ell]$ and a proper subset $I \subsetneq [0, k]$ such that V_j divides $\prod_{i \in I} U_i$, then $\prod_{i \in I} U_i$ has two distinct factorizations, a contradiction to either the minimality of $|B|$ or the length-factoriality of $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$. Therefore, $\gcd(U_i, V_j) \neq 1 \in \mathcal{F}(G_{P^*})$ for every $i \in [0, k]$ and $j \in [0, \ell]$, whence $|\gcd(U_i, V_j)| = 1$ by Lemma 3.3.3. It follows that $|U_i| = \ell + 1$ and $|V_j| = k + 1$ for every $i \in [0, k]$ and $j \in [0, \ell]$. Since

$$|\gcd(\prod_{i \in I} U_i, B)| = |I|(\ell + 1), \quad |\gcd(\prod_{i \in I} U_i, \prod_{j \in J} V_j)| \leq |I||J|, \text{ and}$$

$$|\gcd(\prod_{i \in I} U_i, \prod_{j \in [0,\ell] \setminus J} V_j)| \leq |I|(\ell + 1 - |J|)$$

for every $I \subset [0, k]$ and every $J \subset [0, \ell]$, we obtain that

$$|\gcd(\prod_{i \in I} U_i, \prod_{j \in J} V_j)| = |I||J|\,. \tag{3.1}$$

For every $g \in G_{P^*}$, there exist $i \in [0, k]$ and $j \in [0, \ell]$ such that $g \in \mathrm{supp}(U_i) \cap \mathrm{supp}(U_j)$. Then, by Lemma 3.3.2, for any $i_1, i_2 \in [0, k]$ and any $j_1, j_2 \in [0, \ell]$ we have either $U_{i_1} = U_{i_2}$ or $\mathrm{supp}(U_{i_1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(U_{i_2}) = \emptyset$ and either $V_{j_1} = V_{j_2}$ or $\mathrm{supp}(V_{j_1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(V_{j_2}) = \emptyset$.

Assume to the contrary that there exist distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [0, k]$ and distinct $j_1, j_2 \in [0, \ell]$ such that $U_{i_1} = U_{i_2}$ and $V_{j_1} = V_{j_2}$. Then $\gcd(U_{i_1}, V_{j_1}) = g$ for some $g \in G_{P^*}$ and hence $\gcd(U_{i_1} U_{i_2}, V_{j_1} V_{j_2}) = g^2$, a contradiction to Equation (3.1). Thus, by symmetry, we may suppose $U_{i_1} \neq U_{i_2}$ for any distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [0, k]$. Therefore $\mathrm{supp}(U_{i_1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(U_{i_2}) = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [0, k]$. Assume to the contrary that there exist $g \in G_{P^*}$ and $j \in [0, \ell]$ such that $\mathsf{v}_g(V_j) \geq 2$. Then there is $i \in [0, k]$ such that $\mathsf{v}_g(U_i) \geq 2$, and hence there is no atom $A \in \mathcal{A}(G_{P^*})$ with $\mathsf{v}_g(A) = 1$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.3.2. Thus, $\mathsf{v}_g(V_j) = 1$ for all $g \in \mathrm{supp}(V_j)$ and all $j \in [0, \ell]$.

We set $U_1 = g_1^{s_0} e_{1,1}^{s_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{1,t}^{s_t}$, where $s_0, \ldots, s_t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g_1, e_{1,1}, \ldots, e_{1,t} \in G_{P^*}$ are pairwise distinct. After renumbering if necessary, we may suppose $e_{1,i} \in \mathrm{supp}(V_i)$ for every $i \in [1, t]$ and $g_1 \in \mathrm{supp}(V_0)$. Note that if $\mathrm{supp}(V_{j_1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(V_{j_2}) \neq \emptyset$, then $V_{j_1} = V_{j_2}$, where $j_1, j_2 \in [0, \ell]$. Therefore,

$$B = U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k = V_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot V_\ell = V_0^{s_0} V_1^{s_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{s_t}\,.$$

The length-factoriality of $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ implies that $k + 1 \neq s_0 + \ldots + s_t$. Since $\mathrm{supp}(U_{i_1}) \cap \mathrm{supp}(U_{i_2}) = \emptyset$ for any two distinct $i_1, i_2 \in [0, k]$, $U_1, \ldots, U_k$ and $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ can be written as the form

$$U_i = g_i^{s_0} e_{i,1}^{s_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{i,t}^{s_t}, \; V_j = e_{0,j} e_{1,j} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{k,j}$$

and

$$U_0 = g_0^{s_0} e_{0,1}^{s_1} \ldots e_{0,t}^{s_t}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_0 = g_0 g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_k,$$

where $e_{2,1}, \ldots, e_{2,t}, \ldots, e_{k,1}, \ldots, e_{k,t}, g_2, \ldots, g_k \in G_{P^*}$, $g_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i$, and $e_{0,j} = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{i,j}$ for every $j \in [1, t]$. For each $i \in [0, k]$, $\mathcal{B}(\{g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t}\})$ is half-factorial and length-factorial, whence it is factorial and for its set of atoms we have $\mathcal{A}(\{g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t}\}) = \{U_i\}$. Thus, we obtain that s_0 is the minimal integer such that $-s_0 g_i \in \langle e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t} \rangle$.

In order to show that $(e_{1,1}, \ldots, e_{1,t}, e_{2,1}, \ldots, e_{2,t}, \ldots, e_{k-1,1}, \ldots, e_{k-1,t})$ is independent we set

$$G_1 = \{e_{1,1}, \ldots, e_{1,t}, e_{2,1}, \ldots, e_{2,t}, \ldots, e_{k-1,1}, \ldots, e_{k-1,t}\}.$$

Assume to the contrary that the above tuple is not independent. Then there are two distinct $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G_1)$ such that $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T_2)$. By symmetry, we may assume that $T_1 \neq 1_{\mathcal{F}(G_1)}$. There exist non-negative integers $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ with $x_1 + \ldots + x_t = |T_1|$ such that T_1 divides $V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t}$ in $\mathcal{F}(G_1)$, whence $V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t} T_2 T_1^{-1}$ is a zero-sum sequence. Since $V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t} T_2 T_1^{-1}$ has only one factorization and $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ are the only atoms dividing $V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t} T_2 T_1^{-1}$, it follows that $V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t} T_2 T_1^{-1} = V_1^{x_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{x_t}$ and hence $T_1 = T_2$, a contradiction.

Next we show that $\langle g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t} \rangle \cap \langle g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\} \rangle = \{0\}$ for every $i \in [1, k]$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $0 \neq h \in \langle g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t} \rangle \cap \langle g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\} \rangle$. Since $\langle g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t} \rangle = [g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t}]$ and $\langle g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\} \rangle = [g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\}]$, there exist a zero-sum free sequence T_1 over $\{g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t}\}$ and a zero-sum free sequence T_2 over $\{g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\}\}$ such that $h = \sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T_2)$. Let N be large enough such that T_1 divides U_i^N. Then $U_i^N T_2 T_1^{-1}$ is a zero-sum sequence such that $\mathrm{supp}(U_i^N T_2 T_1^{-1}) \cap \{g_j, e_{j,1}, \ldots, e_{j,t} : j \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\}\} \neq \emptyset$, which implies that there exists $\nu \in [1, k] \setminus \{i\}$ such that U_ν divides $U_i^N T_2 T_1^{-1}$ and hence U_ν divides T_2, a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{C}(H^*) = \langle G_{P^*} \rangle = \langle e_{1,1}, \ldots, e_{1,t}, g_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle e_{k,1}, \ldots, e_{k,t}, g_k \rangle.$$

Let $i \in [1, k]$ and set $G_i = \langle g_i, e_{i,1}, \ldots, e_{i,t} \rangle$. Then $G_i \cong \mathbb{Z}^t \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the maximal order of all the torsion elements of G_i. Let $\gcd(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_t) = n$. Then the fact that $h = \sigma(g_i^{s_0/n} e_{i,1}^{s_1/n} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{i,t}^{s_t/n})$ has order n implies that $n \leq m$. It remains to verify that $n \geq m$. Let $\alpha \in G_i$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(\alpha) = m$. Suppose $\alpha = w_0 g_i + w_1 e_{i,1} + \ldots + w_t e_{i,t}$, where $w_0, \ldots, w_t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $(g_i^{w_0} e_{i,1}^{w_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot e_{i,t}^{w_t})^m = U_i^w$ for some $w \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(m, w) = 1$, which implies that m divides $\gcd(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_t) = n$.

Step 2. Suppose that $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ and that G_{P^*} has the given form. We have to show that $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ is length-factorial but not factorial.

We use the simple fact that if an abelian group G is a direct sum, say $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$, and if $G_i' \subset G_i$ are subsets for $i \in [1,2]$, then $\mathcal{A}(G_1' \uplus G_2') = \mathcal{A}(G_1') \uplus \mathcal{A}(G_2')$. We define, for every $i \in [0,k]$ and every $j \in [1,t]$,

$$U_0 = g_0^{s_0} e_{0,1}^{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{0,t}^{s_t}, \quad U_i = e_{i,0}^{s_0} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{i,t}^{s_t},$$
$$V_0 = g_0 \cdot \dots \cdot g_k, \quad \text{and} \quad V_j = e_{0,j} \cdot \dots \cdot e_{k,j}.$$

Clearly, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{A}(G_{P^*}) = \{U_0, \dots, U_k, V_0, \dots, V_t\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_0 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k = V_0^{s_0} \cdot \dots \cdot V_t^{s_t}. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ is not factorial. By definition, we have $|U_i| = \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j$, $|V_j| = k+1$ for every $i \in [0,k]$ and every $j \in [0,t]$. Assume to the contrary that there exists $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ such that B_0 has two distinct factorizations of the same length. We may assume that B_0 is a counterexample with minimal length. Suppose

$$B_0 = \prod_{i \in I_1} U_i^{a_i} \prod_{j \in J_1} V_j^{b_j} \quad \text{and} \quad B_0 = \prod_{i \in I_2} U_i^{a_i'} \prod_{j \in J_2} V_j^{b_j'}$$

are two distinct factorizations of the same length, where $I_1, I_2 \subset [0,k]$, $J_1, J_2 \subset [0,t]$, $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for every $i \in I_1$, $a_i' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for every $i \in I_2$, $b_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for every $j \in J_1$, and $b_j' \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for every $j \in J_2$. The minimality of $|B_0|$ implies that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ and $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$. If $I_1 \cup I_2 = \emptyset$, then those two factorizations of B_0 must be equal, a contradiction. By symmetry, we may suppose $I_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then $\cup_{i \in I_1} \mathrm{supp}(U_i) \subset \mathrm{supp}(\prod_{i \in I_2} U_i^{a_i'} \prod_{j \in J_2} V_j^{b_j'})$ implies that $J_2 = [0,t]$ and $J_1 = \emptyset$, whence $I_1 = [0,k]$ and $I_2 = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$B_0 = \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{a_i} = \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{b_j'},$$

whence $(s_0 + \dots + s_t) \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i |U_i| = |B_0| = \sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j' |V_j| = (k+1) \sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j'$. Since $s_0 + \dots + s_t \neq k+1$, we obtain $\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i \neq \sum_{j=1}^{t} b_j'$, a contradiction to the fact that the two factorizations have the same length. $\square$

The system of sets of lengths $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of an atomic monoid H is said to be *additively closed* if the sumset $L_1 + L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ for all $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Clearly, $L_1 + L_2 = L_1$ implies that $L_2 = \{0\}$ for all nonempty sets $L_1, L_2 \subset \mathbb{N}_0$, whence set addition is a unit-cancellative operation. Thus, $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is additively closed if and only if $(\mathcal{L}(H), +)$ is a reduced monoid with set addition as operation.

Let H be a Krull monoid with class group G and let $G_0 \subset G$ denote the set of classes containing prime divisors. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{B}(G_0) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ is a divisor homomorphism but it need not be a divisor theory ([54]). In Corollary 1.2 we prove that in case of length-factorial Krull monoids this inclusion is a divisor theory.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let H be a length-factorial Krull monoid, that is not factorial, and let all notation be as in Theorem 1.1.

1. Since the inclusion $H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P^*)$ is a divisor theory, $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$, and every class of G_{P^*} contains precisely one prime divisor, the inclusion $\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(G_{P^*})$ is a divisor theory with class group isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(H^*) \cong \mathcal{C}(H)$. The assertion on $\mathcal{A}(G_{P^*})$ follows from Equation (3.2).

2. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})$ and $z \in \mathsf{Z}(B)$. By (3.2), z can be written in the form

$$z = \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{c_i} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{d_j} \in \mathsf{Z}(B),$$

where $c_i, d_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for every $i \in [0, k]$ and every $j \in [0, t]$, and we have to determine the relations between the exponents $c_1, \ldots, c_k, d_1, \ldots, d_t$. Let

$$x_1 = \min\{c_i : i \in [0, k]\} \text{ and } x_2 = \min\left\{\left\lfloor \frac{d_j}{s_j} \right\rfloor : j \in [0, t]\right\}.$$

Then

$$z = \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{c_i} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{d_j} = (U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^{x_1}(V_0^{s_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{s_t})^{x_2} \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{c_i - x_1} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{d_j - x_2 s_j}.$$

We set $x = x_1 + x_2$, $y_i = c_i - x_1$, and $z_j = d_j - x_2 s_j$ for every $i \in [0, k]$ and every $j \in [0, t]$. Thus,

$$B = (U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^x \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{y_i} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{z_j}$$

has a factorization of the required form. Since for every $\nu \in [0, x]$,

$$z' = (U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^\nu (V_0^{s_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot V_t^{s_t})^{x-\nu} \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{y_i} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{z_j} \in \mathsf{Z}(B),$$

we have

$$|z| \in \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i + \sum_{j=0}^{t} z_j + \left\{\nu(k + 1) + (x - \nu)\sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0, x]\right\} \subset \mathsf{L}(B).$$

If B can be written uniquely in the asserted form then, since z is chosen arbitrary, it follows that

$$\mathsf{L}(B) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i + \sum_{j=0}^{t} z_j + \left\{\nu(k + 1) + (x - \nu)\sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0, x]\right\}.$$

It remains to verify the uniqueness assertion. Suppose that

$$B = (U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^x \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{y_i} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{z_j} = (U_0 \cdot \ldots \cdot U_k)^{x'} \prod_{i=0}^{k} U_i^{y_i'} \prod_{j=0}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}, \quad \text{where}$$

- $x, y_0, \dots, y_k, z_0, \dots, z_t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $y_i = 0$ for some $i \in [0, k]$, and $z_j < s_j$ for some $j \in [0, t]$, and
- $x', y_0', \dots, y_k', z_0', \dots, z_t' \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $y_{i_0}' = 0$ for some $i_0 \in [0, k]$, and $z_{j_0}' < s_{j_0}$ for some $j_0 \in [0, t]$.

Note, if there would exist $i \in [0, k]$ such that U_i divides $\prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}$, then

$$s_{j_0} = \mathsf{v}_{e_{i,j_0}}(U_i) \le \mathsf{v}_{e_{i,j_0}}(\prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}) = z_{j_0'},$$

a contradiction. If $x > x'$, then $U_{i_0}^{y_{i_0}+1}$ divides $\prod_{i=1}^{k} U_i^{y_i'} \prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}$. Since $\operatorname{supp}(U_{i_0}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(U_i) = \emptyset$ for every $i \in [0, k] \setminus \{i_0\}$, we have $U_{i_0}^{y_{i_0}+1}$ divides $U_{i_0}^{y_{i_0}'} \prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'} = \prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}$, a contradiction. Thus, $x \le x'$. By symmetry, we obtain that $x' \le x$, whence $x = x'$. If $y_i > y_i'$ for some $i \in [0, k]$, then U_i must divide $\prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}$, a contradiction. Thus, $y_i \le y_i'$ for every $i \in [0, k]$. By symmetry, we obtain that $y_i' \le y_i$, whence $y_i = y_i'$ for every $i \in [0, k]$. Since $x = x'$ and $y_i = y_i'$ for every $i \in [0, k]$, we infer that $\prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{t} V_j^{z_j'}$, whence $z_j = z_j'$ for every $j \in [0, t]$.

3. By Lemma 2.3.3, Lemma 3.1.1, and Theorem 1.1, we have $\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}\big(\mathcal{B}(G_{P^*})\big)$. By item 2., we infer that

$$\mathcal{L}(H) \subset \Big\{\{y + \nu(k+1) + (x - \nu) \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0, x]\} : y, x \in \mathbb{N}_0\Big\}.$$

Conversely, if $y, x \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $B = (U_0 \cdot \dots \cdot U_k)^x U_0^y$, then $\{y + \nu(k+1) + (x - \nu) \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0, x]\} = \mathsf{L}(B) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, whence

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \Big\{\{y + \nu(k+1) + (x - \nu) \sum_{j=0}^{t} s_j : \nu \in [0, x]\} : y, x \in \mathbb{N}_0\Big\}.$$

The given description shows immediately that $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is additively closed with respect to set addition. □

Before proving Corollary 1.3 we briefly recall the involved concepts. Let H be a Krull monoid and $H_{\mathrm{red}} \hookrightarrow F = \mathcal{F}(P)$ be a divisor theory. Then H satisfies the *approximation property* if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied ([29, Proposition 2.5.2]):

(a) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinct $p, p_1, \dots, p_n \in P$ there exists some $a \in H$ such that $\mathsf{v}_p(a) = 1$ and $\mathsf{v}_{p_i}(a) = 0$ for all $i \in [1, n]$.
(b) For all $a, b \in F$, there exists some $c \in F$ such that $[a] = [c] \in G$ and $\gcd(b, c) = 1$.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let H be a Krull monoid. Without restriction we may suppose that H is reduced. Using the notation of Theorem 1.1, we have $H = \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^*$ and a divisor theory $\mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times \mathcal{F}(P^*)$. Let $G_{P*} \subset \mathcal{C}(H^*) \cong \mathcal{C}(H)$ denote the set of classes containing prime divisors.

1. If H and H^* are length-factorial but not factorial, then P^* is finite by Theorem 1.1. Thus, Condition (b) above cannot hold, whence H does not satisfy the approximation property.

2. Suppose that every nonzero class of $G = \mathcal{C}(H^*)$ contains a prime divisor. Note that $0 \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ is the only prime element of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and $\mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{F}(\{0\}) \times \mathcal{B}(G \setminus \{0\})$. Thus $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is length-factorial if and only if $\mathcal{B}(G \setminus \{0\})$ is length-factorial.

First, we suppose that $H^* \cong \mathcal{B}(G \setminus \{0\})$ and that either $|G| \leq 3$ or $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_2$. We have to verify that $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is length-factorial. If $|G| \leq 2$, then $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is factorial and hence length-factorial. If $|G| = 3$ or $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_2$, then it can be checked directly that $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is length-factorial.

Conversely, suppose that H^* is length-factorial. Since $G \setminus \{0\} \subset G_{P*}$, the description of G_{P*} achieved in Theorem 1.1 implies that $|G| \leq 3$ or $G \cong C_2 \oplus C_2$. $\qquad \square$

In order to prove Corollary 1.4, we first gather some basics from the theory of rings with zero-divisors. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let $R^\bullet$ denote its monoid of regular elements. Then R is *additively regular* if for each pair of elements $a, b \in R$ with b regular, there is an element $r \in R$ such that $a + br$ is a regular element of R ([44, 46]). Every additively regular ring is a Marot ring and every Marot ring is a v-Marot ring. The ring R is a Krull ring if it is completely integrally closed and satisfies the ACC on regular divisorial ideals. If R is a Krull ring, then $R^\bullet$ is a Krull monoid and if R is a v-Marot ring, then the converse holds ([30, Theorem 3.5]). We say that R is atomic (factorial, half-factorial, resp. length-factorial) if $R^\bullet$ has the respective property.

Next we need the concept of normalizing Krull rings. A cancellative but not necessarily commutative semigroup S (resp. a ring R) is said to be *normalizing* if $aS = Sa$ for all $a \in S$ (resp. $aR = Ra$ for all $a \in R$). A prime Goldie ring is said to be a Krull ring (or a Krull order) if it is completely integrally closed (equivalently, a maximal order) and satisfies the ACC on two-sided divisorial ideals. Thus, every commutative Krull domain is a normalizing Krull ring. For examples and background on non-commutative (normalizing) Krull rings we refer to [56, 45, 48, 1], and for background on factorizations in the non-commutative setting to [5, 55]. In particular, normalizing Krull monoids are transfer Krull.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. 1. Let R be an additively regular Krull ring. Then $R^\bullet$ satisfies the approximation property by [49, Theorem 2.2] (this needs the assumption that R is additively regular). Thus, $R^\bullet$ is a Krull monoid satisfying the approximation property, whence the assertion follows from Corollary 1.3.1.

2. Let R be a normalizing Krull ring. Then R satisfies the approximation property ([45, Proposition 2.9], [47, Theorem 4]). If H denotes the monoid of

regular elements, then H_{red} is a commutative Krull monoid by [27, Corollary 4.14 and Proposition 5.1]. Thus, the assertion follows from Corollary 1.3.1. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let H be a length-factorial transfer Krull monoid. We have to show that H_{red} is a Krull monoid. Since H_{red} is a length-factorial transfer Krull monoid, we may suppose that H is reduced. Let B be a Krull monoid and let $\theta' : H \to B$ be a transfer homomorphism. We may suppose that B is reduced and start with the following assertion.

A. H is cancellative.

Proof of **A**. Let $a, b, c \in H$ such that $ab = ac$. Since $\theta'(a)\theta'(b) = \theta'(a)\theta'(c)$, we obtain that $\theta'(b) = \theta'(c)$. If $\theta'(b) = \theta'(c) = 1_B$, then $b = c = 1_H$. If $\theta'(b) = \theta'(c) = w_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot w_r$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_1, \ldots, w_r \in \mathcal{A}(B)$, then there exist $b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathcal{A}(H)$ such that $b = b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_r$ and $c = c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_r$. Suppose $a = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}(H)$. Then the two factorizations $z_1 = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_r \in \mathsf{Z}(ab)$ and $z_2 = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_r \in \mathsf{Z}(ab)$ of ab have the same length $k + r$, whence $z_1 = z_2$. Thus, $b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_r = c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_r \in \mathsf{Z}(H)$, whence $b = c \in H$. $\square$*(Proof of* **A***)*.

Thus, H is a reduced cancellative length-factorial transfer Krull monoid. If H is factorial, then H is Krull. Suppose that H is not factorial. Then H is not half-factorial. Let G be the class group of B and let $G_0 \subset G$ be the set of classes containing prime divisors. Thus, Lemma 2.3 implies that B is length-factorial but not half-factorial. Theorem 1.1 implies that every class of G_0 contains precisely one prime divisor. Lemma 3.1.1 implies that there is a transfer homomorphism $\beta : B \to \mathcal{B}(G_0)$. Since every class of G_0 contains precisely one prime divisor, Lemma 3.1.3 implies that $G = [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$ for every $g \in G_0$. Since the composition of transfer homomorphisms is a transfer homomorphism again, we obtain a transfer homomorphism $\theta = \beta \circ \theta' : H \to \mathcal{B}(G_0)$.

Let $P_0 \subset H$ be the set of prime elements of H and $H_0 = \{a \in H : p \nmid a$ for all $p \in P_0\}$. Since H is cancellative, we obtain that $H = \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H_0$. Since $G = [G_0 \setminus \{g\}]$ for every $g \in G_0$, the only possible prime element of $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ is the sequence $S = 0 \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$. Thus Lemma 2.3.2 implies that, if $P_0 \neq \emptyset$, then $\theta(P) = \{0\}$. Thus, we obtain that $\theta(H_0) = \mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$ and hence $\theta_{H_0} : H_0 \to \mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$ is a surjective transfer homomorphism. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$ is length-factorial but not half-factorial. By Corollary 1.2.1, $\mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$ is finite, say $\mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{0\}) = \{U'_1, \ldots, U'_k, V'_1, \ldots, V'_\ell\}$, $U'_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U'_k = V'_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V'_\ell$, $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $k \neq \ell$, and $U'_i \neq V'_j$ for all $i \in [1, k]$ and $j \in [1, \ell]$.

Assume to the contrary that θ_{H_0} is not injective. Then there exist $a, b \in H_0$ with $a \neq b$ such that $T = \theta(a) = \theta(b)$, say $T = W_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot W_r$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $W_1, \ldots, W_r \in \mathcal{A}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$. Then there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r \in \mathcal{A}(H_0)$ such that $a = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_r$, $b = b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_r$, and $\theta(a_i) = \theta(b_i) = W_i$ for all $i \in [1, r]$. Since $a \neq b$, there exists $i_0 \in [1, r]$, say $i_0 = 1$, such that $a_1 \neq b_1$. After renumbering if necessary, we may suppose $W_1 = U'_1$. Let $c \in H_0$ such that $\theta(c) = \prod_{i=2}^k U'_i$. Therefore, $\theta(a_1 c) = \theta(b_1 c) = U'_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot U'_k = V'_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot V'_\ell$, which implies that

there exist $u_1, \ldots, u_\ell, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ such that $a_1 c = u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_\ell$, $b_1 c = v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_\ell$, and $\theta(u_j) = \theta(v_j) = V'_j$ for all $j \in [1, \ell]$. We observe that

$$a_1 b_1 c = a_1 v_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot v_\ell = b_1 u_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot u_\ell \,.$$

If there exists $j \in [1, \ell]$ such that $a_1 = u_j$, then $U'_1 = \theta(a_1) = \theta(u_j) = V'_j$, a contradiction. Thus, $a_1 b_1 c$ has two distinct factorization of length $\ell + 1$, a contradiction. Therefore θ_{H_0} is injective, whence $H_0 \cong \mathcal{B}(G_0 \setminus \{0\})$ is Krull and so $H = \mathcal{F}(P_0) \times H_0$ is Krull. □

The monoids, discussed in Example 2.2.2, are reduced and length-factorial but not cancellative. Thus, they cannot be transfer Krull by Corollary 1.5. We end with an example of transfer Krull monoids.

Example 3.4. Let R be a Bass ring and let $\mathsf{T}(R)$ be the monoid of isomorphism classes of torsion-free finitely generated R-modules, together with the operation induced by the direct sum (this is a monoid as discussed in Example 2.2.1). Then $\mathsf{T}(R)$ is a reduced transfer Krull monoid by [4, Theorem 1.1]. There are algebraic characterizations of when $\mathsf{T}(R)$ is factorial, resp. half-factorial, resp. cancellative (see [4, Proposition 3.13, Corollary 1.2, Remark 3.17]). These characterizations show that $\mathsf{T}(R)$ is rarely cancellative, whence rarely Krull, and thus, by Corollary 1.3, it is rarely length-factorial.

Acknowledgement. We thank the reviewers for their careful reading and for all their comments which led to the introduction of length-FF monoids.

References

[1] AKALAN, EVRAM; MARUBAYASHI, HIDETOSHI. Multiplicative ideal theory in noncommutative rings. *Multiplicative ideal theory and factorization theory*, 1–21. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 170. *Springer, [Cham]*, 2016. MR3565802, Zbl 1357.16006, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-38855-7_1. 1368

[2] ANDERSON, DANIEL D.; ANDERSON, DAVID F.; ZAFRULLAH, MUHAMMAD. Factorization in integral domains. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **69** (1990), no. 1, 1–19. MR1082441, Zbl 0727.13007, doi: 10.1016/0022-4049(90)90074-R. 1359

[3] BAETH, NICHOLAS R.; GEROLDINGER, ALFRED. Monoids of modules and arithmetic of direct-sum decompositions. *Pacific J. Math.* **271** (2014), no. 2, 257–319. MR3267531, Zbl 1347.16006, arXiv:1401.6553, doi: 10.2140/pjm.2014.271.257. 1356

[4] BAETH, NICHOLAS R.; SMERTNIG, DANIEL. Lattices over Bass rings and graph agglomerations. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, to appear. arXiv:2006.10002, doi: 10.1007/s10468-021-10040-2. 1370

[5] BAETH, NICHOLAS R.; SMERTNIG, DANIEL. Factorization theory: from commutative to noncommutative settings. *J. Algebra* **441** (2015), 475–551. MR3391936, Zbl 1331.20074, arXiv:1402.4397, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.06.007. 1368

[6] BAETH, NICHOLAS R.; WIEGAND, ROGER. Factorization theory and decomposition of modules. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **120** (2013), no. 1, 3–34. MR3007364, Zbl 1271.13023, doi: 10.4169/amer.math.monthly.120.01.003. 1354

[7] BARROSO, EVELIA R. G.; GARCÍA-MARCO, IGNACIO; MÁRQUEZ-CORBELLA, IRENE. Factorizations of the same lengths in abelian monoids. *Ric. Mat.*, to appear. arXiv:2007.05567, doi: 10.1007/s11587-021-00562-8. 1348

[8] BERGMAN, GEORGE M. Coproducts and some universal ring constructions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **200** (1974), 33–88. MR0357503, Zbl 0264.16018, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1974-0357503-7. 1355

[9] BERGMAN, GEORGE M.; DICKS, WARREN. Universal derivations and universal ring constructions. *Pacific J. Math.* **79** (1978), no. 2, 293–337. MR0531320, Zbl 0359.16001, doi: 10.2140/pjm.1978.79.293. 1355

[10] BRUNS, WINFRIED; GUBELADZE, JOSEPH. Polytopes, rings, and *K*-theory. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. *Springer, Dordecht*, 2009. xiv+461 pp. ISBN: 978-0-387-76355-2. MR2508056, Zbl 1168.13001, doi: 10.1007/b105283. 1349

[11] CHAPMAN, SCOTT T.; COYKENDALL, JIM; GOTTI, FELIX; SMITH, WILLIAM W. Length-factoriality in commutative monoids and integral domains. *J. Algebra* **578** (2021), 186–212. MR4234800, Zbl 1462.13020, arXiv:2101.05441, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.03.010. 1348, 1351

[12] CHAPMAN, SCOTT T.; GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ, PEDRO A.; LLENA, DAVID; MARSHALL, JORDAN. Elements in a numerical semigroup with factorizations of the same length. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **54** (2011), no. 1, 39–43. MR2797486, Zbl 1213.20056, doi: 10.4153/CMB-2010-068-3. 1348

[13] CHAPMAN, SCOTT T.; GOTTI, FELIX; GOTTI, MARLY. When is a Puiseux monoid atomic? *Amer. Math. Monthly* **128** (2021), no. 4, 302–321. MR4234728, Zbl 7333575, arXiv:1908.09227 doi: 10.1080/00029890.2021.1865064. 1358

[14] CHAPMAN, SCOTT T.; KRAUSE, ULRICH; OELJEKLAUS, EBERHARD. On Diophantine monoids and their class groups. *Pacific J. Math.* **207** (2002), no. 1, 125–147. MR1974468, Zbl 1060.20050, doi: 10.2140/pjm.2002.207.125. 1356

[15] CORREA-MORRIS, JYRKO; GOTTI, FELIX. On the additive structure of algebraic valuations of cyclic free semirings. Preprint, 2020. arXiv:2008.13073 1348

[16] COYKENDALL, JIM; SMITH, WILLIAM W. On unique factorization domains. *J. Algebra* **332** (2011), 62–70. MR2774678, Zbl 1235.13014, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.10.024. 1348, 1350, 1351

[17] FACCHINI, ALBERTO. Direct sum decomposition of modules, semilocal endomorphism rings, and Krull monoids. *J. Algebra* **256** (2002), no. 1, 280–307. MR1936890, Zbl 1016.16002, doi: 10.1016/S0021-8693(02)00164-3. 1355

[18] FACCHINI, ALBERTO. Krull monoids and their application in module theory. *Algebras, rings and their representations*, 53–71. *World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ*, 2006. MR2234300, Zbl 1113.20049, doi: 10.1142/9789812774552_0006. 1356

[19] FACCHINI, ALBERTO. Direct-sum decompositions of modules with semilocal endomorphism rings. *Bull. Math. Sci.* **2** (2012), no. 2, 225–279. MR2994204, Zbl 1277.16001, doi: 10.1007/s13373-012-0024-9. 1356

[20] FACCHINI, ALBERTO. Semilocal categories and modules with semilocal endomorphism rings. Progress in Mathematics, 331. *Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham*, 2019. xvi+463 pp. ISBN: 978-3-030-23283-2; 978-3-030-23284-9. MR3970986, Zbl 1444.18002, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23284-9. 1354

[21] FACCHINI, ALBERTO; HASSLER, WOLFGANG; KLINGLER, LEE; WIEGAND, ROGER. Direct-sum decompositions over one-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay local rings. *Multiplicative ideal theory in commutative algebra*, 153–168. *Springer, New York*, 2006. MR2265807, Zbl 1121.13024, doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-36717-0_10. 1356

[22] FACCHINI, ALBERTO; WIEGAND, ROGER. Direct-sum decomposition of modules with semilocal endomorphism rings. *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), no. 2, 689–707. MR2043372, Zbl 1094.20036, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2003.06.004. 1355

[23] FADINGER, VICTOR; WINDISCH, DANIEL. On the distribution of prime divisors in Krull monoid algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, to appear. arXiv:2101.04398. 1350

[24] FAN, YUSHUANG; GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; KAINRATH, FLORIAN; TRINGALI, SALVATORE. Arithmetic of commutative semigroups with a focus on semigroups of ideals and

modules. *J. Algebra Appl.* **16** (2017), no. 12, 1750234, 42 pp. MR3725094, Zbl 1441.20041, arXiv:1612.03116, doi:10.1142/S0219498817502346. 1352

[25] FOROUTAN, ANDREAS. Monotone chains of factorizations. *Focus on commutative rings research*, 107–130. *Nova Sci. Publ., New York*, 2006. MR2387746, Zbl 1165.13302. 1348

[26] GARCÍA ELSENER, ANA; LAMPE, PHILIPP.; SMERTNIG, DANIEL. Factoriality and class groups of cluster algebras. *Adv. Math.* **358** (2019), 106858, 48 pp. MR4021162, Zbl 1436.13048, arXiv:1712.06512, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2019.106858. 1350

[27] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED. Non-commutative Krull monoids: a divisor theoretic approach and their arithmetic. *Osaka J. Math.* **50** (2013), no. 2, 503–539. MR3080813, Zbl 1279.20073, arXiv:1208.4202, doi:10.18910/25092. 1369

[28] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; GRYNKIEWICZ, DAVID J.; SCHAEFFER, GEORGE J.; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. On the arithmetic of Krull monoids with infinite cyclic class group. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), no. 12, 2219–2250. MR2660910, Zbl 1208.13003, arXiv:0908.4191, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2010.02.024. 1348

[29] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; HALTER-KOCH, FRANZ. Non-unique factorizations. Algebraic, combinatorial and analytic theory. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), 278. *Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL*, 2006. xxii+700 pp. ISBN: 978-1-58488-576-4; 1-58488-576-9. MR2194494, Zbl 1113.11002. 1348, 1351, 1355, 1356, 1357, 1360, 1362, 1367

[30] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; RAMACHER, SEBASTIAN; REINHART, ANDREAS. On v-Marot Mori rings and C-rings. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (2015), no. 1, 1–21. MR3299367, Zbl 1327.13064, arXiv:1401.2761, doi:10.4134/JKMS.2015.52.1.001. 1368

[31] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; REINHART, ANDREAS. The monotone catenary degree of monoid of ideals. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **29** (2019), no. 3, 419–457. MR3955817, Zbl 1419.13005, arXiv:1709.10270, doi:10.1142/S0218196719500097. 1348, 1352

[32] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. A characterization of Krull monoids for which sets of lengths are (almost) arithmetical progressions. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **37** (2021), no. 1, 293–316. MR4201412, Zbl 1455.13002, arXiv:1901.03506, doi:10.4171/rmi/1207. 1349

[33] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A.; ZHONG, QINGHAI. Systems of sets of lengths: transfer Krull monoids versus weakly Krull monoids. *Rings, polynomials, and modules*, 191–235. *Springer, Cham*, 2017. MR3751698, Zbl 1434.11036, arXiv:1606.05063, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-65874-2_11. 1349

[34] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; YUAN, PINGZHI. The monotone catenary degree of Krull monoids. *Results Math.* **63** (2013), no. 3-4, 999–1031. MR3057352, Zbl 1278.20079, doi:10.1007/s00025-012-0250-1. 1348

[35] GEROLDINGER, ALFRED; ZHONG, Q. Factorization theory in commutative monoids. *Semigroup Forum* **100** (2020), no. 1, 22–51. MR4061567, Zbl 1442.20037, arXiv:1907.09869, doi:10.1007/s00233-019-10079-0. 1350, 1355

[36] GILMER, ROBERT. Some questions for further research. *Multiplicative ideal theory in commutative algebra*, 405–415. *Springer, New York*, 2006. MR2265822, Zbl 1122.13300, doi:10.1007/978-0-387-36717-0_24. 1348

[37] GOODEARL, KENNETH R. von Neumann regular rings. Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 4. *Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London*, 1979. xvii+369 pp. ISBN: 0-273-08400-3. MR533669, Zbl 0411.16007. 1354

[38] GOTTI, FELIX. On semigroup algebras with rational exponents. *Comm. Algebra*, to appear. arXiv:1801.06779, doi:10.1080/00927872.2021.1949018. 1358

[39] GOTTI, FELIX. Geometric and combinatorial aspects of submonoids of a finite-rank free commutative monoid. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **604** (2020), 146–186. MR4116825, Zbl 1458.20050, arXiv:1907.00744, doi:10.1016/j.laa.2020.06.009. 1348

[40] GOTTI, FELIX. Irreducibility and factorizations in monoid rings. *Numerical semigroups*, 129–139. Springer INdAM Ser., 40. *Springer, Cham*, 2020. MR4178257, Zbl 7238003, arXiv:1905.07168, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-40822-0_9. 1348

[41] GRYNKIEWICZ, DAVID J. The characterization of finite elasticities. Preprint, 2020. arXiv:2012.12757. 1349

[42] HASSLER, WOLFGANG. Properties of factorizations with successive lengths in one-dimensional local domains. *J. Commut. Algebra* **1** (2009), no. 2, 237–268. MR2504934, Zbl 1223.13001, doi: 10.1216/JCA-2009-1-2-237. 1348

[43] HASSLER, WOLFGANG; KARR, RYAN; KLINGLER, LEE C.; WIEGAND, ROGER. Large inde-composable modules over local rings. *J. Algebra* **303** (2006), no. 1, 202–215. MR2253659, Zbl 1106.13026, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2006.05.016. 1356

[44] HUCKABA, JAMES A. Commutative rings with zero divisors. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 117. *Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York*, 1988. x+216 pp. ISBN: 0-8247-7844-8 MR0938741, Zbl 0637.13001. 1368

[45] LE BRUYN, LIEVEN; VAN OYSTAEYEN, FREDDY M. J. A note on noncommutative Krull domains. *Comm. Algebra* **14** (1986), no. 8, 1457–1472. MR0859444, Zbl 0605.16004, doi: 10.1080/00927878608823376. 1368

[46] LUCAS, THOMAS G. Additively regular rings and Marot rings. *Palest J. Math.* **5** (2016), Special Issue 90–99. MR3477618, Zbl 1346.13019. 1368

[47] MAURY, GUY. Théorème d'approximation pour un anneau de Krull non commutatif (au sens de M. Chamarie) et applications. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **39** (1982), no. 6, 541–545. MR0690474, Zbl 0487.16007, doi: 10.1007/BF01899658. 1368

[48] OKNIŃSKI, JAN. Noetherian semigroup algebras and beyond. *Multiplicative ideal theory and factorization theory*, 255–276. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 170. *Springer, [Cham]*, 2016. MR3565812, Zbl 1357.16040, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-38855-7_11. 1368

[49] OSMANAGIĆ, ENVER. On an approximation theorem for Krull rings with zero divisors. *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), no. 8, 3647–3657. MR1699649, Zbl 0941.13001, doi: 10.1080/00927879908826653. 1368

[50] PHILIPP, ANDREAS. A characterization of arithmetical invariants by the monoid of relations II: The monotone catenary degree and applications to semigroup rings. *Semigroup Forum* **90** (2015), no. 1, 220–250. MR3297821, Zbl 1323.20057, arXiv:1104.0293, doi: 10.1007/s00233-014-9616-x. 1348

[51] PLAGNE, ALAIN; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. On large half-factorial sets in elementary *p*-groups : maximal cardinality and structural characterization. *Israel J. Math.* **145** (2005), 285–310. MR2154731, Zbl 1072.20032, doi: 10.1007/BF02786695. 1348

[52] PLAGNE, ALAIN; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. On the maximal cardinality of half-factorial sets in cyclic groups. *Math. Ann.* **333** (2005), no. 4, 759–785. MR2195142, Zbl 1093.11067, doi: 10.1007/s00208-005-0690-y. 1348

[53] PLAGNE, ALAIN; SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. On congruence half-factorial Krull monoids with cyclic class group. *J. Comb. Algebra* **3** (2019), no. 4, 331–400. MR4046034, Zbl 1456.20015, arXiv:1709.00859, doi: 10.4171/JCA/34. 1356

[54] SCHMID, WOLFGANG A. Higher-order class groups and block monoids of Krull monoids with torsion class group. *J. Algebra Appl.* **9** (2010), no. 3, 433–464. MR2659729, Zbl 1203.20056, doi: 10.1142/S0219498810004002. 1365

[55] SMERTNIG, DANIEL. Factorizations of elements in noncommutative rings: a survey. *Multiplicative ideal theory and factorization theory*, 353–402. Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 170. *Springer, [Cham]*, 2016. MR3565816, Zbl 1356.16029, arXiv:1507.07487. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-38855-7_15. 1368

[56] WAUTERS, PAUL; JESPERS, ERIC. Examples of noncommutative Krull rings. *Comm. Algebra* **14** (1986), no. 5, 819–832. MR0834466, Zbl 0587.16005, doi: 10.1080/00927878608823338. 1368

[57] ZHONG, QINGHAI. Sets of minimal distances and characterizations of class groups of Krull monoids. *Ramanujan J.* **45** (2018), no. 3, 719–737. MR3776434, Zbl 1426.11005, arXiv:1606.08039, doi: 10.1007/s11139-016-9873-2. 1356

(Alfred Geroldinger) UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA
alfred.geroldinger@uni-graz.at
https://imsc.uni-graz.at/geroldinger

(Qinghai Zhong) UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, NAWI GRAZ, INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, ZIBO, SHANDONG 255000, CHINA
qinghai.zhong@uni-graz.at
https://imsc.uni-graz.at/zhong/

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2021/27-52.html.