

ON A GRAPH INVARIANT RELATED TO THE SUM OF ALL DISTANCES IN A GRAPH

A. Dobrynin and I. Gutman

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{W}(G)$ be the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of a graph G . For an edge e of G , connecting the vertices u and v , the number $n_u(e)$ counts the vertices of G that lie closer to u than to v . In this paper we consider the graph invariant $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \sum_e n_u(e)n_v(e)$, defined for any connected graph G . According to a long-known result in the theory of graph distances, if G is a tree then $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$. We establish a number of properties of the graph invariant \mathbf{W}^* .

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider finite connected undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let G be such a graph, possessing n vertices and m edges. The vertex and edge sets of G are denoted by $\mathbf{V}(G)$ and $\mathbf{E}(G)$, respectively.

The distance between the vertices of G is defined in the usual manner [1], namely $d(x, y) = d(x, y | G)$ is equal to the number of edges in the shortest path connecting the vertices x and y of the graph G . If G is connected, then $d(x, y)$ exists for all $x, y \in \mathbf{V}(G)$.

The distance of a vertex v of G is defined as

$$d(v) = d(v | G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v, x | G)$$

whereas the distance of the graph G is

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v | G).$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{W}(G)$ is equal to the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices in G . This quantity is sometimes called the Wiener number (or Wiener index), because the American scientist Harold Wiener seems to be the first to study \mathbf{W} and to

Supported by the Science Fund of Serbia, grant number 0401A, through Math. Inst.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 05C12

determine its properties [7]. The Wiener number has noteworthy applications in chemistry [4, 5, 7].

Let u and v be two adjacent vertices of the graph G and e be the edge between them. Throughout this paper it will be always assumed that the edge labeled by e corresponds to a pair of vertices labeled by u and v , $e = (u, v)$

Define the sets $\mathbf{B}_u(e)$ and $\mathbf{B}_v(e)$ of vertices of G :

$$\mathbf{B}_u(e) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{V}(G), d(x, u) < d(x, v)\}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_v(e) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{V}(G), d(x, v) < d(x, u)\}.$$

Observe that if $d(x, u) = d(x, v)$, then the vertex x is neither in $\mathbf{B}_u(e)$ nor in $\mathbf{B}_v(e)$. Let further $n_u(e) = |\mathbf{B}_u(e)|$; $n_v(e) = |\mathbf{B}_v(e)|$.

The following result was both stated and proved in Wiener's first work [7] on the distance of graphs.

THEOREM 1. *If G is a tree then*

$$\mathbf{W}(G) = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} n_u(e)n_v(e) \quad (1)$$

The identity (1) motivated us to introduce [2] and examine a novel graph invariant $\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}^*(G)$:

Definition 1. If G is a connected graph, then

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}^*(G) = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} n_u(e)n_v(e). \quad (2)$$

From Theorem 1 and Definition 1 it is immediately seen that if G is a tree, then $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$.

Further details on the relation between the invariants \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{W}^* are given in the subsequent section.

1. Relations between \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{W}^*

We first provide a proof of the long-known Theorem 1. The reason for this is that the arguments utilized in the proof will enable us to deduce a few additional results on the invariant \mathbf{W}^* .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph and $e = (u, v)$ an edge. Suppose that the following conditions are obeyed:

- (a) The shortest path between any two vertices of G is unique;
- (b) if $x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)$ and $y \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)$, then, and only then, the shortest path between x and y contains the edge e .

If both (a) and (b) hold, then the product $n_u(e)n_v(e)$ counts the number of times the edge e belongs to the shortest path between pairs of vertices of G . The sum of $n_u(e)n_v(e)$ over all edges of G is equal to the number of edges in the shortest

paths between all pairs of vertices of G , i.e., equal to the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of G , i.e. equal to $\mathbf{W}(G)$.

It is evident that the conditions (a) and (b) are fulfilled if G is a tree. Consequently, the equation (1) hold for trees. \square

COROLLARY 1. *The equality $\mathbf{W}^* = \mathbf{W}$ holds for all graphs that satisfy the conditions (a) and (b).*

COROLLARY 2. *If conditions (a) and (b) are not simultaneously satisfied, then $\mathbf{W}^* > \mathbf{W}$.*

Proof. If (a) is violated, then the right-hand side of (2) counts the edges of more than one shortest path between pairs of vertices of G . If (b) is violated, then the right-hand side of (2) counts some edges that do not belong to shortest paths between pairs of vertices. In both cases \mathbf{W}^* will exceed \mathbf{W} . \square

COROLLARY 3. *If G is a connected cyclic bipartite graph, then $\mathbf{W}^*(G) > \mathbf{W}(G)$.*

Proof. Consider a circuit of G having minimal size (say $2k$). Two vertices of this circuit, being at maximal distance ($= k$) are connected by two distinct shortest paths. Hence condition (b) from the proof of the Theorema 1 is violated. \square

In the case of non-bipartite graphs it may happen that $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$. The simplest example for the equality between the two graph invariants is the complete graph K_n , $n \geq 1$ [2].

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{K} be the class of connected graphs in which every block is a complete graph. Then for $G \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$.*

Proof of the Theorem 2. It is sufficient to observe that the graphs from \mathcal{K} satisfy the conditions (a) and (b) from the proof of the Theorem 1. \square

Note that \mathcal{K} contains the complete graphs (when the number of blocks is one) and the trees (when every block is a two-vertex complete graph).

Conjecture. $\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \mathbf{W}(G)$ holds if and only if $G \in \mathcal{K}$.

2. The Invariant \mathbf{W}^* of Bipartite Graphs

LEMMA 1. *If G is a connected bipartite graph on n vertices, and e its arbitrary edge, then $n_u(e) + n_v(e) = n$. If G is non-bipartite, then for the edges lying on odd-membered circuits, $n_u(e) + n_v(e) < n$.*

Proof of the above Lemma is given in [2].

LEMMA 2. *If G is a connected graph and e an arbitrary edge, then*

$$n_u(e) - n_v(e) = d(v|G) - d(u|G) \quad (3)$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathbf{B}_0(e)$ the set of vertices of G which are at equal distance to both u and v . Then, of course, $\mathbf{B}_0(e) \cup \mathbf{B}_u(e) \cup \mathbf{B}_v(e) = \mathbf{V}(G)$.

Further,

$$d(u|G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)} d(x, u) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)} d(x, u) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e)} d(x, u) \quad (4)$$

$$d(v|G) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e)} d(x, v) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e)} d(x, v) + \sum_{x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e)} d(x, v) \quad (5)$$

Subtracting (4) from (5) and taking into account

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, v) &= d(x, u) + 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_u(e) \\ d(x, v) &= d(x, u) - 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_v(e) \\ d(x, v) &= d(x, u) & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_0(e) \end{aligned}$$

we straightforwardly arrive at (3). \square

THEOREM 3. *If G is a connected bipartite graph with n vertices and m edges, then*

$$\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \frac{1}{4} \left[n^2 m - \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]^2 \right] \quad (6)$$

Proof. By Lemmas 1 and 2, $2n_u(e) = n + [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]$ and $2n_v(e) = n - [d(v|G) - d(u|G)]$. Substituting this back into (2) we arrive at (6). \square

Denote by $\mathbf{N}(v) = \mathbf{N}(v|G)$ the set of all neighbors of the vertex v of the graph G . Clearly, $|\mathbf{N}(v)| = \deg(v)$, where $\deg(v)$ is the degree of v .

COROLLARY 4. *Using the notation of Theorem 3,*

$$\mathbf{W}^*(G) = \frac{1}{4} \left[n^2 m - \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v|G) \left[d(v|G) \deg(v) - \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u|G) \right] \right]. \quad (7)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}(G)} [d(v) - d(u)]^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} [d(v)^2 + d(u)^2 - 2d(v)d(u)] \\ &= \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v) \left[d(v) \deg(v) - \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

because

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(v)^2 = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v)^2 \deg(v)$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u)^2 = \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(G)} d(v)^2 \deg(v).$$

Substituting (8) back into (6) we obtain (7). \square

In the case of trees, equations (6) and (7) automatically become statements about the graph distance \mathbf{W} . In the case, however, we can say more because of the identity

$$\sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} d(u) = d(v) \deg(v) + n \deg(v) - 2(n - 1). \quad (9)$$

Relation (9) follows immediately from Lemmas 1 and 2, and from the fact that for trees $\sum_{u \in \mathbf{N}(v)} n_u(e) = n - 1$. By combining (7) and (9) we get

COROLLARY 5. *If T is a tree with n vertices, then*

$$\mathbf{W}(T) = \mathbf{W}^*(T) = \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n - 1) + \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}(T)} d(v|T) \deg(v) \right] \quad (10)$$

A result equivalent to (10) was recently obtained by Klein et al. [6], using a completely different way of reasoning. Another approach leading to (10), also based on a completely different way of reasoning, was put forward by one of the authors [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Buckley, F. Harary, *Distance in Graphs*, Addison-Wesley, Redwood, 1990.
- [2] I. Gutman, *A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to cyclic graphs*, Graph Theory Notes, New York **27** (1994), 9–15.
- [3] I. Gutman, *Selected properties of the Shultz molecular topological index*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. **34** (1994), 1087–1089.
- [4] I. Gutman, O.E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, pp. 123–128.
- [5] I. Gutman, Y.N. Yeh, S.L. Lee, Y.L. Luo, *Some recent results in the theory of the Wiener number*, Indian J. Chem. **32a** (1993), 651–661.
- [6] D.J. Klein, Z. Mihalić, D. Plavšić, N. Trinajstić, *Molecular topological index: A relation with the Wiener index*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. **32** (1992), 304–305.
- [7] H. Wiener, *Structural determination of paraffin boiling points*, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. **69** (1947), 17–20.

Institute of Mathematics
Russian Academy of Sciences
Siberian Branch
Novosibirsk 630090
Russia

(Received 01 07 1994)

Faculty of Science
University of Kragujevac
P.O. Box 60
YU-34000 Kragujevac
Yugoslavia