ON THE SIGNLESS LAPLACIAN SPECTRAL RADIUS OF UNICYCLIC GRAPHS WITH FIXED MATCHING NUMBER

Jing-Ming Zhang, Ting-Zhu Huang, and Ji-Ming Guo

ABSTRACT. We determine the graph with the largest signless Laplacian spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with fixed matching number.

1. Introduction

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a simple connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set E(G). Its adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})$ is defined as an $n \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) , where $a_{ij} = 1$ if v_i is adjacent to v_j ; $a_{ij} = 0$, otherwise. Denote by $d(v_i)$ or $d_G(v_i)$ the degree of the vertex v_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. Let Q(G) = D(G) + A(G) be the signless Laplacian matrix of a graph G, where $D(G) = \text{diag}(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))$ denotes the diagonal matrix of the vertex degrees of G. It is well known that A(G) is a real symmetric matrix and Q(G) is a positive semidefinite matrix. Hence, the eigenvalues of A(G) and Q(G) can be ordered as

$$\lambda_1(G) \ge \lambda_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(G)$$

and

 $q_1(G) \ge q_2(G) \ge \cdots \ge q_n(G) \ge 0,$

respectively. The largest eigenvalues of A(G) and Q(G) are called the spectral radius and the signless Laplacian spectral radius of G, denoted by $\rho(G)$ and q(G), respectively. When G is connected, A(G) and Q(G) are nonegative irreducible matrix. By the Perron–Frobenius theory, $\rho(G)$ is simple and has a unique positive unit eigenvector, so does q(G). We refer to such the eigenvector corresponding to q(G) as the Perron vector of G.

Two distinct edges in a graph G are independent if they are not adjacent in G. A set of pairwise independent edges of G is called a matching in G. A matching of

Partially supported by NSFC (Nos. 11371372, 61370147, and 61170309) and Chinese Universities Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program (20110185110020).

Communicated by Sobodan Simić.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ unicyclic graph; signless Laplacian spectral radius; matching number.

maximum cardinality is a maximum matching in G. The cardinality of a maximum matching of G is commonly known as its matching number, denoted by $\mu(G)$.

Denote by C_n and P_n the cycle and the path on n vertices, respectively. The characteristic polynomial of A(G) is $\det(xI - A(G))$, which is denoted by $\Phi(G)$ or $\Phi(G, x)$. The characteristic polynomial of Q(G) is $\det(xI - Q(G))$, which is denoted by $\Psi(G)$ or $\Psi(G, x)$.

A unicyclic graph is a connected graph in which the number of vertices equals the number of edges. Let $U_n(\mu)$ denote the set of all unicyclic graphs on n vertices with matching number μ .

The investigation on the spectral radius of graphs is an important topic in the theory of graph spectra, and some early results can go back to the very beginnings (see [4]). The recent developments on this topic also involve the problem concerning graphs with maximal or minimal spectral radius of a given class of graphs. In [2], Chang et al. gave the first two spectral radii of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings. Recently, Yu et al. [9] gave the first two spectral radii of unicyclic graphs with a given matching number; and Guo [13] gave the first six spectral radii over the class of unicyclic graphs on a given number of vertices; Guo [12] gave the first ten spectral radii over the class of unicyclic graphs on a given number of vertices and the first four spectral radii of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings. For more results on this topic, the reader is referred to [1, 6, 14, 3] and the references therein.

In this paper, we deal with the extremal signless Laplacian spectral radius problems for the unicyclic graphs with fixed matching number. The graph with the largest signless Laplacian spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with a fixed matching number is obtained.

2. Lemmas

Let G - u or G - uv denote the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertex $u \in V(G)$ or the edge $uv \in E(G)$. A pendant vertex of G is a vertex with degree one. A path $P: vv_1v_2 \cdots v_k$ in G is called a pendant path if $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = \cdots = d(v_{k-1}) = 2$ and $d(v_k) = 1$. If k = 1, then we say vv_1 is a pendant edge of the graph G.

In order to complete the proof of our main result, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. [7, 15] Let G be a connected graph, and u, v be two vertices of G. Suppose that $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s \in N(v) \setminus \{N(u) \cup u\}$ $(1 \leq s \leq d(v))$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is the Perron vector of G, where x_i corresponds to the vertex v_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Let G^{*} be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edges vv_i and adding the edges $uv_i(1 \leq i \leq s)$. If $x_u \geq x_v$, then $q(G) < q(G^*)$.

From Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following results.

COROLLARY 2.1. Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. Suppose that v_1v_2 is an edge of G which does not lie on a circuit of length three satisfying $d(v_1) \ge 2$ and $d(v_2) \ge 2$. Let \tilde{G} be the graph obtained

from $G - v_1v_2$ by amalgamating v_1 and v_2 to form a new vertex w_1 together with attaching a new pendant vertex w_2 to w_1 . Then $q(\tilde{G}) > q(G)$.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let w and v be two vertices in a connected graph G and suppose that s paths $\{ww_1w'_1, ww_2w'_2, \ldots, ww_sw'_s\}$ of length 2 are attached to Gat w and t paths $\{vv_1v'_1, vv_2v'_2, \ldots, vv_tv'_t\}$ of length 2 are attached to G at v to form $G_{s,t}$. Then either $q(G_{s+i,t-i}) > q(G_{s,t})$ $(1 \le i \le t)$, or $q(G_{s-i,t+i}) > q(G_{s,t})$ $(1 \le i \le s)$ and $\mu(G_{0,s+t}) = \mu(G_{s+t,0}) = \mu(G_{s,t})$.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let w and v be two vertices in a connected graph G and suppose that s paths $\{ww_1, ww_2, \ldots, ww_s\}$ of length 1 are attached to G at w and t paths $\{vv_1v'_1, vv_2v'_2, \ldots, vv_tv'_t\}$ of length 2 are attached to G at v to form $H_{s,t}$. Then either

 $q(H_{s,t} - ww_1 - \dots - ww_i + vw_1 + \dots + vw_i) > q(H_{s,t}) \ (1 \le i \le s) \ or$ $q(H_{s,t} - vv_1 - \dots - vv_i + wv_1 + \dots + wv_i) > q(H_{s,t}) \ (1 \le i \le t).$

COROLLARY 2.4. Let w and v be two vertices in a connected graph G and suppose that s paths $\{ww_1, ww_2, \ldots, ww_s\}$ of length 1 are attached to G at w and t paths $\{vv_1, vv_2, \ldots, vv_t\}$ of length 1 are attached to G at v to form $F_{s,t}$. Then either

$$q(F_{s+i,t-i}) > q(F_{s,t}) \ (1 \le i \le t), \ or \ q(F_{s-i,t+i}) > q(F_{s,t}) \ (1 \le i \le s).$$

COROLLARY 2.5. Suppose u is a vertex of graph G with $d(u) \ge 2$. Let G: uv be a graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge uv to G at u. Suppose t paths $\{vv_1v'_1, \ldots, vv_tv'_t\}$ of length 2 are attached to G: uv at v to form $L_{0,t}$. Let

$$M_{1,t} = L_{0,t} - vv_1 - \dots - vv_t + uv_1 + \dots + uv_t$$

Then we have $\mu(M_{1,t}) = \mu(L_{0,t})$ and $q(M_{1,t}) > q(L_{0,t}), \ (t \ge 1).$

An internal path of a graph G is a sequence of vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m with $m \ge 2$ such that:

(1) The vertices in the sequences are distinct (except possibly $v_1 = v_m$);

(2) v_i is adjacent to $v_{i+1}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1);$

(3) The vertex degrees $d(v_i)$ satisfy $d(v_1) \ge 3$, $d(v_2) = \cdots = d(v_{m-1}) = 2$ (unless m = 2) and $d(v_m) \ge 3$.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that $P: v_1v_2\cdots v_k$ $(k \ge 3)$ is an internal path of the graph G and $v_1v_k \notin E(G)$ for k = 3. Let G^* be the graph obtained from $G - v_iv_{i+1} - v_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ $(1 \le i \le k-2)$ by amalgamating v_i , v_{i+1} and v_{i+2} to form a new vertex w_1 together with attaching a new pendant path $w_1w_2w_3$ of length 2 at w_1 . Then $q(G^*) > q(G)$ and $\mu(G^*) = \mu(G)$.

PROOF. Let $G' = G^* - w_2 - w_3$. By similar reasoning as that of Theorem 3.1 of [11] and Theorem 4.11 of [10], we have q(G') > q(G). From the well-known Perron–Frobenius theory, we have $q(G^*) > q(G')$. Thus we have $q(G^*) > q(G)$. Next,we prove $\mu(G^*) \ge \mu(G)$. Let M be a maximum matching of G. If $v_i v_{i+1} \in M$ or $v_{i+1}v_{i+2} \in M$, then $\{M - \{v_i v_{i+1}\}\} \cup \{w_2 w_3\}$ or $\{M - \{v_{i+1} v_{i+2}\}\} \cup \{w_2 w_3\}$ is a matching of G^* . Thus, $\mu(G^*) \ge \mu(G)$; if $v_i v_{i+1} \notin M$ and $v_{i+1} v_{i+2} \notin M$, then there exist two edges $v_i u$ and $v_{i+2} v \in M$. Thus, $\{M - \{v_i u\}\} \cup \{w_2 w_3\}$ is a matching of G^* . Hence, $\mu(G^*) \ge \mu(G)$. Let M_0 be a maximum matching of G^* . If there exists some vertex, say $u(\ne w_2)$, of G^* such that $uw_1 \in M_0$, then $w_2w_3 \in M_0$. Thus $\{M_0 - \{uw_1, w_2w_3\}\} \cup \{uv_i, v_{i+1}v_{i+2}\}$ is a matching of G; if there's no such a vertex, then we have either $w_1w_2 \in M_0$ or $w_2w_3 \in M_0$. Thus $\{M_0 - \{w_1w_2, w_2w_3\}\} \cup \{v_{i+1}v_{i+2}\}$ is a matching of G. So, $\mu(G) \ge \mu(G^*)$. Hence, $\mu(G) = \mu(G^*)$.

Let S(G) be the subdivision graph of G obtained by subdividing every edge of G.

LEMMA 2.3. [5, 18] Let G be a graph on n vertices and m edges. Then $\Phi(S(G)) = x^{m-n}\Psi(G, x^2)$, where $\Phi(G)$ and $\Psi(G)$ are the characteristic polynomials of A(G) and Q(G), respectively.

LEMMA 2.4. [8] Let u be a vertex of a connected graph G with at least two vertices. Let $G_{k,l}$ $(k, l \ge 0)$ be the graph obtained from G by attaching two pendant paths of lengths k and l at u, respectively. If $k \ge l \ge 1$, then $q(G_{k,l}) > q(G_{k+1,l-1})$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Suppose that $P: v_1v_2\cdots v_k$ $(k \ge 4)$ is a pendant path of the graph G with $d(v_1) \ge 3$. Let $G^* = G - v_{k-2}v_{k-1} + v_1v_{k-1}$. Then $q(G^*) > q(G)$ and $\mu(G^*) = \mu(G)$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.4, we have $q(G^*) > q(G)$. By similar reasoning as that of Lemma 2.2, we have $\mu(G^*) = \mu(G)$.

LEMMA 2.5. [17] Let e = uv be an edge of G, and C(e) be the set of all circuits containing e. Then $\Phi(G)$ satisfies

$$\Phi(G) = \Phi(G-e) - \Phi(G-u-v) - 2\sum_{Z} \Phi(G-V(Z)),$$

where the summation extends over all $Z \in C(e)$.

From the Perron–Frobenius theory, we immediately have the following

LEMMA 2.6. (1) Let $\triangle(G)$ be the maximum degree of G. Then $\rho(G) \ge \sqrt{\triangle(G)}$. (2) Let G be a connected graph, and let G' be a proper spanning subgraph of G. Then $\rho(G) > \rho(G')$ and q(G) > q(G').

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph with $n \ge 4$ vertices. Suppose that $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$, $v_1v_3 \in E(G)$, $v_1v_4 \in E(G)$, $d(v_3) \ge 2$, $d(v_4) \ge 2$, $d(v_1) = 3$, and $d(v_2) = 1$. Let $G_{v_1v_3}(G_{v_1v_4})$ be the graph obtained from $G - v_1v_3$ $(G - v_1v_4)$ by amalgamating v_1 and v_3 (v_4) to form a new vertex w_1 (w_3) together with subdivising the edge w_1v_2 (w_3v_2) with a new vertex $w_2(w_4)$. If q = q(G) > $3 + \sqrt{5} \approx 5.23606$, then

(1) either $q(G_{v_1v_3}) > q(G)$ or $q(G_{v_1v_4}) > q(G)$;

(2) $\mu(G_{v_1v_3}) \ge \mu(G)$ and $\mu(G_{v_1v_4}) \ge \mu(G)$.

PROOF. Let $X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ be the Perron vector of G. Then from (D(G) + A(G))X = q(G)X, we have

(3.1)
$$qx_i = d_i x_i + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E} x_j.$$

We distinguish the following two cases:

Case 1: $x_3 \ge x_4$. From (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) x_1 = (q-1)x_2,$$

$$(3.3) qx_1 = 3x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.3), together with condition $x_3 \ge x_4$, we get

$$(3.4)\qquad \qquad \left(q-3-\frac{1}{q-1}\right)x_1 \leqslant 2x_3$$

From (3.4), we have if $q-3-\frac{1}{q-1} > 2$, namely, $q(G) > 3+\sqrt{5}$, then $x_3 > x_1$. Suppose that the vertices $w_1, w_2, v_2, v_4, \ldots, v_n$ of $G_{v_1v_3}$ are relabelled $v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4, \ldots, v_n$, respectively. Then

$$X^{T}Q(G_{v_{1}v_{3}})X - q(G) = X^{T}Q(G_{v_{1}v_{3}})X - X^{T}Q(G)X = x_{3}^{2} - x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{4}(x_{3} - x_{1}) > 0.$$

Thus $q(G_{v_1v_3}) > q(G)$.

Case 2: $x_4 > x_3$. By similar reasoning as that of Case 1, we have $q(G_{v_1v_4}) > q(G)$.

Now, we prove that (2) holds. Let M be a maximum matching of G. If $v_1v_3 \in M$, then $\{M - v_1v_3\} \cup \{v_2w_2\}$ is a matching of $G_{v_1v_3}$. So, $\mu(G_{v_1v_3}) \ge \mu(G)$. If $v_1v_3 \notin M$, then $v_1v_2 \in M$ or $v_1v_4 \in M$. So $\{M - v_1v_2 - v_1v_4\} \cup \{v_2w_2\}$ is also a matching of $G_{v_1v_3}$. Thus, $\mu(G_{v_1v_3}) \ge \mu(G)$. By similar reasoning, we have $\mu(G_{v_1v_4}) \ge \mu(G)$.



Figure 1. $G_1 - G_4$

LEMMA 3.1. Let G_1 , G_2 and G_3 be the graphs as given in Figure 1. Then for $n \ge 6$, we have $q(G_1) > q(G_2)$, $q(G_1) > q(G_3)$.

PROOF. From Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that $q(G_1) > q(G_2)$. Now we prove that $q(G_1) > q(G_3)$. From Lemma 2.5, we have

(3.5)
$$\Phi(S(G_3)) - \Phi(S(G_1)) = x^2 (x^2 - 1)^{n-2\mu} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{\mu-4} [(n - \mu - 3)x^{10} + (20 + 6\mu - 6n)x^8 + (11n - 49 - 10\mu)x^6 + (54 - 6n + 3\mu)x^4 + (n - 25)x^2 + 4].$$

If $n \ge 10$, it is easy to prove that for $x \ge \sqrt{n-\mu+1}$, $x^2-1 > 0$, $x^4-3x^2+1 > 0$ and $(n-\mu-3)x^{10} + (20+6\mu-6n)x^8 + (11n-49-10\mu)x^6 + (54-6n+3\mu)x^4 + (n-25)x^2+4 > 0$. By Lemma 2.6, we know that $\rho(S(G_1)) \ge \sqrt{n-\mu+1}$. Thus, $\rho(S(G_1)) > \rho(S(G_3))$ $(n \ge 10)$. For $6 \le n \le 9$, by direct calculation, we have $\rho(S(G_1)) > \rho(S(G_3))$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $q(G_1) > q(G_3)$.

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose u is a vertex of the unicyclic graph G with $d(u) \ge 2$. Let G: uv be a graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge uv to G at u. Suppose that s paths $\{vw_1, \ldots, vw_s\}$ of length 1 and t paths $\{vv_1v'_1, \ldots, vv_tv'_t\}$ of length 2 are attached to G: uv at v to form $L_{s,t}$. Let $M_{s-1,t+1} = L_{s,t} - vv_1 - \cdots - vv_t - vw_1 - \cdots - vw_{s-1} + uv_1 + \cdots + uv_t + uw_1 + \cdots + uw_{s-1}$. Then we have

(1) $q(M_{s-1,t+1}) > q(L_{s,t}), (s \ge 2 \text{ or } t \ge 1);$

(2) $\mu(L_{0,t}) = \mu(M_{-1,t+1})$ and $\mu(L_{s,t}) \leq \mu(M_{s-1,t+1}), (s \geq 1).$

PROOF. We distinguish the following four cases:

Case 1: $s = 0, t \ge 1$. Then we have $M_{s-1,t+1} = M_{-1,t+1}$ and $L_{s,t} = L_{0,t}$.

Since $M_{-1,t+1}$ $(t \ge 1)$ can also be obtained from $L_{0,t}$ by identifying u and v with subsequent removal of the loop, and adding a new pendant edge at this new vertex, it is easy to show that $\mu(M_{-1,t+1}) = \mu(L_{0,t})$ and from Corollary 2.1, we have $q(M_{-1,t+1}) > q(L_{0,t})$, $(t \ge 1)$.

Case 2: $s \ge 2, t \ge 1$. Suppose a new pendant edge vw is attached to G : uv at v to form G : uvw. And then we subdivide every edge of G in G : uvw to obtain the graph S(G) : uvw.

Suppose that s paths $\{ww_1w'_1, \ldots, ww_sw'_s\}$ of length 2 and t paths

```
\{ww_{11}w_{12}w_{13}w_{14},\ldots,ww_{t1}w_{t2}w_{t3}w_{t4}\}\
```

of length 4 are attached to S(G) : uvw at w to form $L_{s,t}$. Let

$$M_{s-1,t+1} = L_{s,t} - ww_1 - \dots - ww_{s-1} - ww_{11} - \dots - ww_{t1} + uw_1 + \dots + uw_{s-1} + uw_{11} + \dots + uw_{t1}.$$

Obviously, $\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1} \cong S(M_{s-1,t+1})$, $\bar{L}_{s,t} \cong S(L_{s,t})$ and $\bar{M}_{0,1} \cong \bar{L}_{1,0}$.

By Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that $\rho(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1}) > \rho(\bar{L}_{s,t})$. Obviously, P_4 is a proper subgraph of $S(L_{1,t})$. From Lemma 2.6, we have $\rho(S(L_{1,t})) > \rho(S(G) - u) > \rho(P_4) \approx 1.61803 > \sqrt{2}$.

From Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\bar{L}_{s,t}) &= \Phi(\bar{L}_{s,t} - ww_1) - \Phi(\bar{L}_{s,t} - w - w_1) \\ &= (x^2 - 1)\Phi(\bar{L}_{s-1,t}) - x(x^2 - 1)^{s-1}(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(S(G) : uv) \\ (3.6) & \cdots \\ &= (x^2 - 1)^{s-1}\Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t}) - (s-1)x(x^2 - 1)^{s-1}(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(S(G) : uv), \\ \text{and} \end{split}$$

$$(3.7) \qquad \Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t}) = \Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t} - ww_{11}) - \Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t} - w - w_{11}) = (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t-1}) - (x^2 - 1)(x^3 - 2x) \cdot (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t-1}\Phi(S(G) : uv) \dots = (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(\bar{L}_{1,0}) - t(x^2 - 1)(x^3 - 2x) \cdot (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t-1}\Phi(S(G) : uv).$$

Substituting (3.7) into (3.6), we have

$$(3.8) \qquad \Phi(\bar{L}_{s,t}) = (x^2 - 1)^{s-1} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(\bar{L}_{1,0}) - t(x^3 - 2x)(x^2 - 1)^s (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t-1} \Phi(S(G) : uv) - (s - 1)x(x^2 - 1)^{s-1} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(S(G) : uv), (3.9) \qquad \Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1}) = \Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1} - uw_1) - \Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1} - u - w_1) = (x^2 - 1)\Phi(\bar{M}_{s-2,t+1}) - x(x^2 - 1)^{s-2} \cdot (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t+1}\Phi(S(G) - u) \dots = (x^2 - 1)^{s-1}\Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t+1}) - (s - 1)x(x^2 - 1)^{s-2}$$

$$(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t+1} \Phi(S(G) - u),$$

$$(3.10) \qquad \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t+1}) = \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t+1} - uw_{11}) - \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t+1} - u - w_{11}) \\ = (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t}) - (x^3 - 2x)(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \\ \cdot \Phi(S(G) - u) \\ \cdots \\ = (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,1}) - t(x^3 - 2x)(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \\ \cdot \Phi(S(G) - u).$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.9), we have

(3.11)
$$\Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1}) = (x^2 - 1)^{s-1} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,1}) - t(x^3 - 2x)(x^2 - 1)^{s-1} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t \Phi(S(G) - u) - (s-1)x(x^2 - 1)^{s-2} (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t+1} \Phi(S(G) - u).$$

From (3.8) and (3.11), we have

(3.12)
$$\Phi(L_{s,t}) - \Phi(M_{s-1,t+1}) = [t(x^3 - 2x)(x^2 - 1)^{s-1}(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t-1} + (s-1)x(x^2 - 1)^{s-2} \cdot (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^t][(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv)].$$

From Lemma 2.5, by simple calculation, we have

$$(3.13) \qquad (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv) \\ = -x^2\Phi(S(G) - u) + x(x^2 - 1)\sum_y \Phi(S(G) - u - y) \\ + 2x(x^2 - 1)\sum_Z \Phi(S(G) - Z).$$

Since $d_G(u) \ge 2$ and S(G) is the subdivision graph of G, we have $d_{S(G)}(u) \ge 2$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that y_1 and y_2 are two vertices of S(G) such that $y_i u \in E(S(G))$ (i = 1, 2). For $x > \rho(S(G) - u)$, we have from (3.13) that (3.14) $(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) = (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) + uv)$

$$(3.14) \quad (x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv) \\ \ge -x^2\Phi(S(G) - u) + x(x^2 - 1)[\Phi(S(G) - u - y_1) + \Phi(S(G) - u - y_2)]$$

and from [16], we have

(3.15)
$$x\Phi(S(G) - u - y_i) > \Phi(S(G) - u) > 0, \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

Since $x > \rho(S(G) - u) > \sqrt{2}$, we have $2(x^2 - 1) > x^2$. Hence, we have from (3.15) that for $x > \rho(S(G) - u)$,

 $-x^{2}\Phi(S(G)-u) + x(x^{2}-1)[\Phi(S(G)-u-y_{1}) + \Phi(S(G)-u-y_{2})] > 0.$

So, from (3.14), we have for $x > \rho(S(G) - u)$,

$$(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv) > 0.$$

Combined with (3.12), we have for $x > \rho(S(G) - u)$, $\Phi(\bar{L}_{s,t}) - \Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1}) > 0$. Since $\rho(\bar{L}_{s,t}) > \rho(S(G) - u)$, we have $\rho(\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1}) > \rho(\bar{L}_{1,t})$. Hence, $q(M_{s-1,t+1}) > q(L_{s,t})$ by Lemma 2.3.

Case 3: $s = 1, t \ge 1$. Then we have $\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1} = \bar{M}_{0,t+1}, \bar{L}_{s,t} = \bar{L}_{1,t}$. From (3.7) and (3.10), we have

$$\Phi(\bar{L}_{1,t}) - \Phi(\bar{M}_{0,t+1}) = t(x^3 - 2x)(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)^{t-1}$$
$$[(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv)].$$

By the similar reasoning as that of Case 2, we have $q(M_{0,t+1}) > q(L_{1,t})$.

Case 4: $s \ge 2, t = 0$. Then we have $\bar{M}_{s-1,t+1} = \bar{M}_{s-1,1}$ and $\bar{L}_{s,t} = \bar{L}_{s,0}$. From (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$\Phi(\bar{L}_{s,0}) - \Phi(\bar{M}_{s-1,1}) = (s-1)x(x^2-1)^{s-2}$$

$$\cdot [(x^4 - 3x^2 + 1)\Phi(S(G) - u) - (x^2 - 1)\Phi(S(G) : uv)].$$

By the similar reasoning as that of Case 2, we have $q(M_{s-1,1}) > q(L_{s,0})$.

In the end, we prove that $\mu(L_{s,t}) \leq \mu(M_{s-1,t+1})$ for $s \geq 1$. Let M be a maximum matching of $L_{s,t}$. If $uv \in M$, then $\{M - \{uv\}\} \cup \{vw_s\}$ is a matching of $M_{s-1,t+1}$. So, $\mu(L_{s,t}) \leq \mu(M_{s-1,t+1})$. If $uv \notin M$, then there exists some edge, say vw_s , of $L_{s,t}$ such that $vw_s \in M$. Then M is also a matching of $M_{s-1,t+1}$. Thus, $\mu(L_{s,t}) \leq \mu(M_{s-1,t+1})$.

The length of the shortest path between vertices u and v is defined as the distance of u and v, denoted by d(u, v). Let G_1 , G_2 , G_3 and G_4 be the graphs as Figure 1. Let B, \overline{G}_1 , \overline{G}_2 and \overline{G}_3 be the graphs as given in Figure 2.



Denote by $U_n^g(\mu)$ the set of all unicyclic graphs on *n* vertices with matching number μ and girth $g \ (\geq 3)$.

THEOREM 3.3. If $G \in U_n(\mu)$, $(n \ge 6)$, then $q(G) \le q(G_1)$, with equality if and only if $G = G_1$.

PROOF. Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T$ be the Perron vector of G. From Lemma 2.6 and by direct calculations, we have for $\mu \ge 3$, $q(G_1) \ge q(B) \approx 5.38 > 3 + \sqrt{5} > 4 \ge q(C_n)$. So, in the following, we can suppose that $q(G) > 3 + \sqrt{5}$ and $G \ne C_n$.

Choose $G^* \in U_n(\mu)$ such that $q(G^*)$ is as large as possible. Then G^* consists of a cycle C_g $(g \ge 3)$ as a subgraph. Let T be a tree attached at some vertex, say z, of C_g , |V(T)| is the number of vertices of T including the vertex z. In the following, we prove that T is formed by attaching paths of length at most 2 at z.

Suppose that $P: v_0v_1 \ldots v_k$ is a pendant path of G^* and v_k is a pendant vertex. If $k \ge 3$, let $H_1 = G^* - v_{k-2}v_{k-1} + v_0v_{k-1}$. From Corollary 2.6, we have $H_1 \in U_n^g(\mu)$ and $q(H_1) > q(G^*)$, a contradiction. Hence, the pendant paths of G^* have length at most 2.

For each vertex $u \in V(T-z)$, we prove that $d(u) \leq 2$. Otherwise, there must exist some vertex u_0 of T-z such that $d(z, u_0) = max\{d(z, v) | v \in V(T), d(v) \geq 3\}$. By similar reasoning as that of above, the pendant paths attached at u_0 have length at most 2 by Corollary 2.6. Furthermore, there exists an internal path between u_0 and some vertex w of T, denoted by $\overline{P} : u_0 w_1 \dots w_m$ ($w_m = w$). If $m \geq 2$, let H_2 be the graph obtained from $G^* - u_0 w_1 - w_1 w_2$ by amalgamating u_0, w_1 and w_2 to form a new vertex s_1 together with attaching a new pendant path $s_1 s_2 s_3$ of length 2 at s_1 . From Lemma 2.2, we have $H_2 \in U_n^g(\mu)$ and $q(H_2) > q(G^*)$, a contradiction. If m = 1, by Theorem 3.2, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, we can get a new graph $H_3 \in U_n^g(\mu)$ and $q(H_3) > q(G^*)$, a contradiction. Thus, we have that the tree T is obtained by attaching some pendant paths of length at most 2 at z.

From Corollary 2.2, we have that all the pendant paths of length 2 in G^* must be attached at the same vertex of C_q .

Now we prove that for cycle C_g of G^* , g = 3. Assume that the cycle C_g of G^* with length at least 4. From Lemma 2.2, we have that each internal path of G^* has length 1. From Corollary 2.4, there exists at most one vertex of C_g such that it is attached more than one path of length 1. Then there must exist edges $v_1v_2 \in E(G^*)$, $v_1v_3 \in E(C_g)$, $v_1v_4 \in E(C_g)$ and $d(v_1) = 3$, $d(v_2) = 1$, $d(v_3) \ge 3$ and $d(v_4) \ge 3$. Let H_4 (H_5) be the graph obtained from $G^* - v_1v_3$ ($G^* - v_1v_4$) by amalgamating v_1 and v_3 (v_4) to form a new vertex y_1 (y_3) together with subdivising the edge y_1v_2 (y_3v_2) with a new vertex y_2 (y_4). From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have either $q(H_4 - y_2v_2 + y_1v_2) > q(H_4) > q(G^*)$ or $q(H_5 - y_4v_2 + y_3v_2) > q(H_5) > q(G^*)$ and $\mu(H_4 - y_2v_2 + y_1v_2) \le \mu(G^*) \le \mu(H_4)$, $\mu(H_5 - y_4v_2 + y_3v_2) \le \mu(G^*) \le \mu(H_5)$, a contradiction. Hence, g = 3.

Thus, by Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4, we have G^* is the graph obtained by attaching the pendant paths of length at most 2 at the same vertex of C_3 of \overline{G} , where \overline{G} is one of the graphs C_3 , \overline{G}_1 , \overline{G}_2 , and \overline{G}_3 (see Figure 2). Then G^* is isomorphic to one of graphs G_1 , G_2 , G_3 and G_4 . From Lemma 3.1, we know $q(G_1) > q(G_2)$ and $q(G_1) > q(G_3)$. If $G^* = G_4$ (in this case, $n = 2\mu + 1$), by Lemma 2.4, we have $q(G_1) > q(G_4)$. Thus, $G^* = G_1$, and the result follows.

References

- R. A. Brualdi, E. S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of connected graphs, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér. 39(53) (1986), 45–54.
- A. Chang, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings, Linear Algebra Appl. 370 (2003), 237–250.
- A. Chang, F. Tian, A. Yu, On the index of bicyclic graphs with perfect matchings, Discr. Math. 283 (2004), 51–59.
- L. Collatz, U. Sinogowitz, Spektren endlicher grapen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. 21 (1957), 63–77.
- 5. D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs*, third ed., Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, Leipzig, 1995.
- 6. D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, Spectra of unicyclic graphs, Graphs Combin. 3 (1987), 7–23.
- D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, Signless Laplacian of finite graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 423 (2007), 155–171.
- D. Cvetković, S. K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian I, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Sér. 85(99) (2009) 19–33.
- A. Yu, F. Tian, On the spectral radius of unicyclic graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 51 (2004), 97–109.
- R. Grone, R. Merris, V.S. Sunder, *The Laplacian spectrum of a graph*, Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **11** (1990), 218–238.
- J.-M. Guo, The Laplacian spectral radius of a graph under perturbation, Computers Math. Appl. 54 (2007), 709–720.
- _____, On the spectral radius of unicyclic graphs with fixed matching number, Discr. Math. 308 (2008), 6115–6131.
- S.G. Guo, First six unicyclic graphs of order n with largest spectral radius, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. A 18(4) (2003), 480–486.

- Y. Hong, On the spectra of unicycle graph, J. East China Norm. Uni. Natur. Sci. Ed. 1 (1986), 31–34.
- Y. Hong, X. D. Zhang, Sharp upper and lower bounds for largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrices of trees, Discr. Math. 296 (2005), 187–197.
- Q. Li, K.Q. Feng, On the largest eigenvalue of graphs, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica 2 (1979), 167–175 (in Chinese).
- A. J. Schwenk, R. J. Wilson, On the eigenvalues of a graph; in: L. W. Beineke, R. J. Wilson (Eds.), Selected Topics in Graph Theory, Academic Press, London, 1978, 307–336 (Chapter II).
- B. Zhou, I. Gutman, A connection between ordinary and Laplacian spectra of bipartite graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 56 (2008), 305–310.

School of Mathematical Sciences(Received 19 12 2012)University of Electronic Science and Technology of China(Revised 06 11 2013)Sichuan, Chengdu, 611731ChinaChinaZhangjm7519@126.comtingzhuhuang@126.comUniversity of Science

College of Science China University of Petroleum Shandong, QingDao, 266580 China Zhangjm7519@126.com

College of Science East China University of Science and Technology Shanghai, 200237 China (Corresponding author) jimingguo@hotmail.com