

NON LOCAL SOLUTIONS OF A NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

CÍCERO LOPES FROTA

Abstract: In this work we prove that the mixed problem for a temporally nonlinear Kirchhoff-Carrier model, for vibrations of a nonhomogeneous stretched string, has unique nonlocal solution for small data. The solution is obtained in S.L. Sobolev spaces.

Introduction

The nonlinear model of Kirchhoff-Carrier, cf. Carrier [5], for vibrations of an elastic string, of length L , is given by:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left(\frac{P_o}{\rho \cdot h} + \frac{E}{2L\rho} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s, t) \right|^2 ds \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

where $0 \leq x \leq L$ and $t > 0$ represent the string in repose, $u(x, t)$ is the vertical displacement of the point x at the instant t , ρ is the mass density, h is the area of the cross section of the string, L is the length of the string, P_o the initial tension on the string and E the Young's modulus of the material.

The natural generalization of the model (1) is given by the following nonlinear mixed problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = f \text{ on } \mathbf{Q} = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma = \Gamma \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_0(x) \text{ on } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \text{ on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded open set of \mathbf{R}^n with smooth boundary Γ , $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a positive real function and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the Laplace operator.

Remark 1. In the Kirchhoff-Carrier model (1), $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is $M(\lambda) = \frac{P_o}{\rho \cdot h} + \frac{E}{2L\rho} \lambda$.

Several authors have investigated the nonlinear problem (2). When $n = 1$ and $\Omega = (0, L)$, it was studied by Dickey [8] and Bernstein [3] whom considered ϕ_o and ϕ_1 analytic functions with some growth conditions. Assuming Ω bounded open set of \mathbf{R}^n , ϕ_o and ϕ_1 analytic functions, Pohozaev [18] obtained existence and uniqueness of global solutions for the mixed problem (2). In Lions [12] he formulated the Pohozaev's results in an abstract context obtaining better results and presenting a collection of problems. One of the problems proposed by Lions [12] was the study of the problem (2) with $M: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, i.e., the problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M\left(x, \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f \text{ on } \mathbf{Q} \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_o(x) \text{ on } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \text{ on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

that is, for nonhomogeneous materials. This case has its origin in the model (1) when the physic elements ρ , h and E are not constants, but depends on the point x in the string. In Rivera Rodrigues [20] the author proved the existence and uniqueness of local solutions for the problem (3).

In a more general context it is correct to consider ρ , h and E changing not only with the point x in the string but with the instant t too, i.e., $\rho = \rho(x, t)$, $h = h(x, t)$ and $E = E(x, t)$. In this case, we have the problem

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M\left(x, t, \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f \text{ on } \mathbf{Q} \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_o(x) \text{ on } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \phi_1(x) \text{ on } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $M: \Omega \times [0, T] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

In this work we study the problem (4) and making use of the same technique used by Rivera Rodrigues [20], we prove that if ϕ_o , ϕ_1 , f and $\frac{\partial M}{\partial t}$ are small in some sense, then exist one, and only one, nonlocal solution for the problem (4). It's important to observe that it's a good assumption to consider $\frac{\partial M}{\partial t}$ small, because in normal conditions ρ , h and E have a small variation with the time.

For the study of problem (2) with dissipative terms we have, for instance, Brito [4] and Medeiros-Milla Miranda [14]. The problem (2) in the degenerate case can be find in Arosio-Spagnolo [1], Ebihara-Medeiros-Milla Miranda [9], Arosio-Garavaldi [2], Crippa [6], Yamada [21], Nishihara-Yamada [17] and Nishihara [16].

The plan of this paper is the following:

- 1) Notations and preliminary results;
- 2) Assumptions and statement of the principal result;
- 3) Galerkin's approximation and a priori estimates;
- 4) Proof of the theorem;
- 5) Uniqueness.

1 – Notation and preliminary results

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbf{R}^n with smooth boundary Γ . By $L^2(\Omega)$ we represent the usual space of Lebesgue square integrable functions on Ω whose inner product and norm will be denoted by (\cdot, \cdot) and $|\cdot|$ respectively. In the Sobolev space $H_o^1(\Omega)$ we consider the norm

$$(5) \quad \|u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^2 dx$$

and inner product

$$(6) \quad ((u, v)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx .$$

Let $(-\Delta)$ be the operator defined by $\{H_o^1(\Omega), L^2(\Omega), ((\cdot, \cdot))\}$. Then as we well known $(-\Delta)$ is an unbounded selfadjoint operator in $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain

$$(7) \quad D(-\Delta) = \left\{ u \in H_o^1(\Omega); \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\} = H_o^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$$

and it has the following properties:

(a) There exist $m_o > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad (-\Delta u, u) \geq m_o |u|^2, \quad \forall u \in D(-\Delta);$$

(b)

$$(9) \quad (-\Delta u, u) = \|u\|^2, \quad \forall u \in D(-\Delta);$$

(c) There exist a sequence $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ of real numbers and $(w_j)_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ a sequence of $L^2(\Omega)$ vectors such that

$$(10) \quad m_o \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

$$(11) \quad -\Delta w_j = \lambda_j w_j, \quad \forall j \in \mathbf{N}$$

$$(12) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = \infty$$

$$(13) \quad \{w_j\} \text{ is a orthonormal complete set in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ and orthogonal complete set in } H_o^1(\Omega) \text{ and in } H_o^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega).$$

Remark 2. We introduce the equivalent norm

$$(14) \quad \|u\|_{H_o^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)} = |-\Delta u|, \quad \forall u \in H_o^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$$

for smooth boundary Γ .

In order to complete this section we introduce a compactness result. It is a version of Arzela's theorem and its proof follows the same argument as the usual proof of scalar Arzela's theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let E and F be Banach spaces, $E \hookrightarrow F$ with compact injection. Let $(\sigma_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of functions from the interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ into E . If $(\sigma_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $[a, b]$ with respect to the norm of E and equicontinuous with respect to the norm of F , then there exist a subsequence $(\sigma_{m_\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}}$ of $(\sigma_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ and a continuous function $\sigma: [a, b] \rightarrow F$ such that*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{m_\nu}(t) = \sigma(t) \text{ in } F \text{ uniformly for } t \in [a, b].$$

Moreover, if E is a reflexive Banach space then we find that $\sigma \in L^\infty(a, b; E)$.

2 – Assumptions and principal result

Let Ω be as in section 1, $T > 0$ a real number. We consider a real function

$$\begin{aligned} M: \Omega \times [0, T] \times [0, \infty) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ (x, t, \lambda) &\longmapsto M(x, t, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

such that the following assumptions are satisfied:

- (H.1) $M \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty); W^{1,\infty}(\Omega \times (0, T)))$, i.e., for each $k > 0$ we have $M \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, k))$, $\frac{\partial M}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, k))$ and $\frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i} \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, k))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (H.2) For each $L > 0$ we have $\frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, L))$.
- (H.3) There exist a real number $m_1 > 0$ such that $m_1 \leq M(x, t, \lambda)$, $\forall x \in \Omega$, $t \in [0, T]$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} k_o &= 4(m_o m_1^3)^{-1/2}, & k_1 &= \frac{1}{m_1} \\ \theta_o &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ 0 < t < T}} \left| \frac{\partial M}{\partial t}(x, t, 0) \right| \\ (16) \quad k_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \|M\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, 1))} \right] \\ k_3 &= \frac{4}{m_o m_1} \left[\left(k_2 + \frac{T}{2} \right) \left(1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T} \right) \right] \\ k_4 &= \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, k_3))} \end{aligned}$$

$$(17) \quad \delta = \min \left\{ 1; m_o^{1/2}; \frac{\ln 2}{3T[1 + T k_o k_4 + T k_o k_4 e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T}]}; \left[\frac{\ln 2}{6T k_o k_2 k_4 (1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T})} \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

$$(18) \quad k_\delta = k_2 \delta^2 + \frac{T}{2} \delta .$$

Theorem. Let $M: \Omega \times [0, T] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a real function satisfying (H.1)–(H.3), $\phi_o \in H^1_o(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, $\phi_1 \in H^1_o(\Omega)$ and $f: [0, T] \rightarrow H^1_o(\Omega)$ a continuous

function. If

$$(19) \quad |\Delta\phi_o|^2 + \|\phi_1\|^2 + 0 \leq t \leq T \rightarrow \text{Máx} \|f(t)\|^2 \leq \delta^2$$

and

$$(20) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, k_3))} \leq \frac{\ln 2}{3Tk_1}.$$

Then there exist one, and only one, function $u: [0, T] \rightarrow H_o^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(21) \quad u \in C([0, T]; H_o^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega)),$$

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} u \in L^\infty(0, T; H_o^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \\ u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H_o^1(\Omega)) \\ u'' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \end{cases}$$

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} u''(t) - M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \Delta u(t) = f(t) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \\ u(0) = \phi_o \\ u'(0) = \phi_1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3. In (23)₁ we are making use of the following notation: if $\psi: \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function then $\psi(t): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is defined by $\psi(t)(x) = \psi(x, t)$.

3 – Galerkin's approximation and a priori estimates

We consider $V_o = \{0\}$ and $V_m = [w_1, \dots, w_m]$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ i.e., V_m is the vector space spanned by w_1, \dots, w_m ; where $(w_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is as in the section 1. The sequence of Galerkin's approximation is defined by induction as follows: we put

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_o: [0, T] & \longrightarrow & V_o \\ t & \longmapsto & u_o(t) = 0 \end{array}$$

and for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, we consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_m: [0, T_m] & \longrightarrow & V_m \\ t & \longmapsto & u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t)w_j \end{array}$$

the unique solution of the initial value problem, with the coefficient of $-\Delta u_m(t)$ depends on the time t :

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} u_m''(t) - M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t) = f_m(t) \text{ in } V_m, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_m] \\ u_m(0) = \varphi_{om} \\ u_m'(0) = \varphi_{1m} \end{cases}$$

where

$$(25) \quad T_m = \sup \left\{ \tau; 0 < \tau \leq T_{m-1} \text{ and } u_m: [0, \tau] \rightarrow V_m \text{ is solution of (24)} \right\},$$

$$(26) \quad f_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m (f(t), w_j) w_j, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

$$(27) \quad \varphi_{0m} = \sum_{j=1}^m (\phi_0, w_j) w_j,$$

$$(28) \quad \varphi_{1m} = \sum_{j=1}^m (\phi_1, w_j) w_j.$$

Remark 4. The Galerkin's approximation is well defined. It's sufficient we note that the initial value problem (24) is equivalent to the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} g''_{jm}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k g_{km}(t) (M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) w_k, w_j) = (f(t), w_j) \\ 0 \leq t \leq T_m; j = 1, \dots, m \\ g_{jm}(0) = (\phi_0, w_j) \\ g'_{jm}(0) = (\phi_1, w_j). \end{cases}$$

Estimate (i) From (24)₁ we have the approximate equation

$$(30) \quad (u''_m(t), v) - (M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t), v) = (f_m(t), v), \quad \forall v \in V_m.$$

Take $v = -\Delta u'_m(t)$ in (30) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}\|^2) \Delta u_m(x, t) \cdot \Delta u'_m(x, t) dx = ((f_m(t), u'_m(t))),$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(x, t) \Delta u'_m(x, t) dx &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t), \Delta u_m(t)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} (x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) (\Delta u_m(x, t))^2 dx \\ &\quad - ((u_{m-1}(t), u'_{m-1}(t))) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} (x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) (\Delta u_m(x, t))^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(31) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\|u'_m(t)\|^2 + \left(M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t), \Delta u_m(t) \right) \right] \right\} =$$

$$= ((f_m(t), u'_m(t))) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial t}(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) (\Delta u_m(x, t))^2 dx$$

$$+ ((u_{m-1}(t), u'_{m-1}(t))) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) (\Delta u_m(x, t))^2 dx,$$

$$\forall t \in [0, T_m], \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Lemma 2. *Let be*

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} Z_o(t) = 0 \\ Z_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\|u_m(t)\|^2 + \left(M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t), \Delta u_m(t) \right) \right] \\ 0 \leq t \leq T_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_m} Z_m(t), \quad \alpha'_m = \frac{2}{m_o m_1} \alpha_m,$$

$$\theta_m = \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, \alpha'_m))}, \quad \beta_m = \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, \alpha'_m))}.$$

Then, $T_m = T$, α_m is finite $\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$ and

$$(33) \quad Z_m(t) \leq \left[Z_m(0) + \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|^2 ds \right] e^{(\delta + k_1 \theta_{m-1} + k_o \alpha_{m-1} \beta_{m-1})t}.$$

Proof: The proof will be done by induction on m . Clearly the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} g''_{11}(t) + \lambda_1(M(t, 0)w_1, w_1)g_{11}(t) = (f(t), w_1) \\ g_{11}(0) = (\phi_o, w_1) \\ g'_{11}(0) = (\phi_1, w_1) \end{cases}$$

is defined in all $[0, T]$. This show us that $T_1 = T$. Moreover if we consider the assumption (H.3) on M we have

$$(34) \quad |\Delta u_1(t)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{m_1} Z_1(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

From (31) and (34) we get

$$Z'_1(t) - (\delta + k_1 \theta_o) Z_1(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \|f_1(t)\|^2,$$

where δ is given by (17). By the last inequality we obtain

$$Z_1(t) \leq \left[Z_1(0) + \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_0^t \|f_1(s)\|^2 ds \right] e^{(\delta+k_1\theta_o)t}$$

and it proves that α_1 is finite and (33) is true when $m = 1$. Now we make the induction assumption, i.e., we assume that for $m \geq 1$ we have $T_m = T$, α_m finite and (34) true for this m . Then (31) for $m + 1$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} Z'_{m+1}(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \|f_{m+1}(t)\|^2 + \delta Z_{m+1}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial M}{\partial t}(x, t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \right| (\Delta u_{m+1}(x, t))^2 dx \\ &\quad + \|u_m(t)\| \|u'_m(t)\| \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(x, t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \right| (\Delta u_{m+1}(x, t))^2 dx . \end{aligned}$$

By the other hand, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} (35) \quad \|u_m(t)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{m_o} |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{m_o m_1} Z_m(t) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{m_o m_1} \alpha_m = \alpha'_m, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$Z'_{m+1}(t) - (\delta + k_1\theta_m + k_o\alpha_m\beta_m) Z_{m+1}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \|f_{m+1}(t)\|^2 .$$

The above inequality shows that (33) is true for $(m + 1)$, α_{m+1} is finite and $T_{m+1} = T$, i.e., the proof of Lemma 2 is complete. ■

We denote,

$$(36) \quad \tau_m = Z_m(0) + \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_0^T \|f_m(t)\|^2 dt, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

and then the sequence $(\tau_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. In fact, by (26), (27) and (28) we have that

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta\varphi_{om} \rightarrow \Delta\phi_o \text{ strong in } L^2(\Omega) \\ \varphi_{1m} \rightarrow \phi_1 \text{ strong in } H_o^1(\Omega) \\ f_m(t) \rightarrow f(t) \text{ strong in } H_o^1(\Omega), \text{ uniformly on } [0, T] \end{cases}$$

and from the hypothesis of small data (17) we obtain

$$(38) \quad |\Delta\varphi_{om}|^2 + \|\varphi_{1m}\|^2 + 0 \leq t \leq T \rightarrow \text{Máx} \|f_m(t)\|^2 \leq \delta^2, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N} .$$

Therefore,

$$\|\varphi_{om}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m_o} |\Delta\varphi_{om}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m_o} \delta^2 \leq 1, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N},$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_m &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\|\varphi_{1m}\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} M(x, 0, \|\varphi_{o(m-1)}\|^2) (\Delta\varphi_{om}(x))^2 dx \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_0^T \|f_m(t)\|^2 dt \leq k_2\delta^2 + \frac{T}{2} \delta = k_{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that:

$$(39) \quad 0 \leq \tau_m \leq k_{\delta}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N},$$

and

$$(40) \quad Z_m(t) \leq \tau_m e^{(\delta+k_1\theta_{m-1}+k_o\alpha_{m-1}\beta_{m-1})t}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Lemma 3. *Exists a constant c_o (independent of $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t \in [0, T]$) such that*

$$(41) \quad Z_m(t) \leq 2c_o, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Proof: We consider $c_o = k_{\delta}[1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T}]$. Then, we have by (39):

$$(42) \quad \tau_m \leq c_o, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N},$$

and by (40)

$$Z_1(t) \leq \tau_1 e^{(\delta+k_1\theta_o)t} \leq k_{\delta} e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T} \leq c_o \leq 2c_o,$$

it shows that (41) is true for $m = 1$. Now, we do the follows induction assumption: given $m \geq 1$ we assume that (41) is true for this m . In order to prove that (41) is true for $(m + 1)$ we have

$$\alpha_m = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} Z_m(t) \leq 2c_o$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_m &= \frac{2\alpha_m}{m_o m_1} \leq \frac{4c_o}{m_o m_1} = \frac{4}{m_o m_1} \left\{ k_{\delta} [1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T}] \right\} = \\ &= \frac{4}{m_o m_1} \left\{ \left(k_2\delta^2 + \frac{T}{2} \delta \right) \left(1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T} \right) \right\} \leq k_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can see that

$$(43) \quad \beta_m \leq \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T) \times (0,k_3))} = k_4$$

and

$$(44) \quad \theta_m \leq \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T) \times (0,k_3))} \leq \frac{\ln 2}{3Tk_1}.$$

By (40), (42), (43) and (44) we get

$$Z_{m+1}(t) \leq \tau_{m+1} e^{(\delta+k_1\theta_m+k_o\alpha_m\beta_m)t} \leq c_o e^{(\delta+\frac{\ln 2}{3T}+2k_ok_4c_o)t}.$$

We note that, from our choice we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\delta + \frac{\ln 2}{3T} + 2k_ok_4c_o \right) &= \left[1 + Tk_ok_4 + Tk_ok_4 e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T} \right] \delta + \\ &+ 2k_ok_2k_4[1 + e^{(1+k_1\theta_o)T}] \delta^2 + \frac{\ln 2}{3T} \leq \frac{\ln 2}{3T} + \frac{\ln 2}{3T} + \frac{\ln 2}{3T} = \frac{\ln 2}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(45) \quad \left(\delta + \frac{\ln 2}{3T} + 2k_ok_4c_o \right) t \leq \ln 2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

and then

$$Z_{m+1}(t) \leq 2c_o, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

The above relation complete the proof of lemma 3. ■

We obtain from (41) the first estimate: There exists a constant c_1 such that

$$(46) \quad \|u_m(t)\|^2 + \|u'_m(t)\|^2 + |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq c_1, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}$$

Estimate (ii) We start observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_m(t) \right|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} \left| M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \right|^2 |\Delta u_m(x, t)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \|M\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T) \times (0,c_1))} \cdot c_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|f_m(t)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m |(f(t), w_j)|^2 \leq |f(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{m_o} \|f(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{\delta^2}{m_o} \leq 1.$$

Thus, using (24)₁ we obtain the existence of a constant c_2 such that

$$(47) \quad |u_m''(t)|^2 \leq c_2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By (46), (47) and the fundamental theorem of calculus we choose $t, s \in [0, T]$ and we have that

$$(48) \quad \|u_m(t) - u_m(s)\| \leq \sqrt{c_1} |t - s|,$$

$$(49) \quad |u_m'(t) - u_m'(s)| \leq c_2 |t - s|.$$

In order to obtain an estimate for (u_m'') analogous to (48) and (49) we choose $t, s \in [0, T]$ and by (24)₁ we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_m''(t) - u_m''(s) &= M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta(u_m(t) - u_m(s)) + \\ &+ \left[M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) - M(s, \|u_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right] \Delta u_m(s) + (f_m(t) - f_m(s)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $v \in H_o^1(\Omega)$ we note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \cdot v \right\|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \cdot v(x) + M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2|v|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, c_1))}^2 + 2\|M\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, c_1))}^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left[\|M\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, c_1))} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T) \times (0, c_1))} \right] \left[|v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Whence, there exists a constant c_3 such that

$$(50) \quad \left\| M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \cdot v \right\|^2 \leq c_3 \|v\|^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By the above estimate we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta(u_m(t) - u_m(s)), v) &= \\ &= \left(\Delta(u_m(t) - u_m(s)), M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \cdot v \right) \\ &= \left((u_m(s) - u_m(t), M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) v) \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{c_3} \|v\| \|u_m(s) - u_m(t)\| \end{aligned}$$

and using (48) we get

$$(51) \quad \left| \left(M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta(u_m(t) - u_m(s)), v \right) \right| \leq \sqrt{c_1 c_3} \|v\| |t - s| .$$

Now, if we consider $g(x, t) = (x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) - M(x, s, \|u_{m-1}(s)\|^2) &= \\ &= \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (M \circ g)(x, \xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_s^t \frac{\partial M}{\partial \xi} (x, \xi, \|u_{m-1}(\xi)\|^2) d\xi \\ &\quad + 2 \int_s^t \frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda} (x, \xi, \|u_{m-1}(\xi)\|^2) ((u_{m-1}(\xi), u'_{m-1}(\xi))) d\xi . \end{aligned}$$

Then we can see that there exists a constant c_4 such that

$$\left| M(x, t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) - M(x, s, \|u_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \leq c_4 |t - s|$$

and this estimate shows that there exists a constant c_5 such that

$$(52) \quad \left| \left(\left[M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) - M(s, \|u_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right] \Delta u_m(s), v \right) \right| \leq c_5 \|v\| |t - s| .$$

Finally, we note that

$$(53) \quad |(f_m(t) - f_m(s), v)| \leq \frac{1}{m_o} \|f(t) - f(s)\| \|v\| .$$

From (51), (52) and (53) we obtain that there exists a constant c_6 such that

$$(54) \quad \|u''_m(t) - u''_m(s)\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq c_6 \left(|t - s| + \|f(t) - f(s)\| \right) .$$

The estimate (ii) is the relations (47), (48), (49) and (54).

4 – Proof of the theorem

By estimates (i) and (ii) we have:

$(u_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ uniformly bounded in $[0, T]$ with respect to the norm of $H^1_o(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and equicontinuous with respect to the norm of $H^1_o(\Omega)$.

$(u'_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ uniformly bounded in $[0, T]$ with respect to the norm of $H^1_o(\Omega)$ and equicontinuous with respect to the norm of $L^2(\Omega)$.

$(u''_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ uniformly bounded in $[0, T]$ with respect to the norm of $L^2(\Omega)$ and equicontinuous with respect to the norm of $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Then, by lemma 1, there exists a function $u: \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and a subsequence $(u_{m_\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}}$ extracted from $(u_m)_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$, such that

$$(55) \quad u \in C([0, T]; H^1_o(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega)) ,$$

$$(56) \quad \begin{cases} u_{m_\nu}(t) \rightarrow u(t) \text{ strongly in } H^1_o(\Omega), \text{ uniformly in } [0, T] \\ u'_{m_\nu}(t) \rightarrow u'(t) \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \text{ uniformly in } [0, T] \\ u''_{m_\nu}(t) \rightarrow u''(t) \text{ strongly in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \text{ uniformly in } [0, T] . \end{cases}$$

Moreover, since $H^1_o(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, $H^1_o(\Omega)$ and $L^2(\Omega)$ are reflexive Banach spaces, we still have

$$(57) \quad \begin{cases} u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_o(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \\ u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1_o(\Omega)) \\ u'' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) . \end{cases}$$

The convergences don't allow us to pass to the limit in the approximate equation. Indeed, the sequence $(u_{m_\nu})_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}}$ have the properties, but we can't say the same for $(u_{m_\nu-1})_{\nu \in \mathbf{N}}$. In order to solve this problem we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{m+1}(t) - u_m(t)\|^2 = 0$ uniformly on $[0, T]$.

Proof: For each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we define $w_m = u_{m+1} - u_m$. Then

$$\|u_{m+1}(t) - u_m(t)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(x, t) \right)^2 dx$$

and making use of the assumption (H.3) we can see that there exists a constant c_7 such that

$$(58) \quad \|u_{m+1}(t) - u_m(t)\|^2 \leq \leq c_7 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[|w'_m(t)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) \right] \right\}.$$

Hence, we are motivated to put

$$(59) \quad \psi_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[|w'_m(t)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) \right]$$

and then, we will conclude with the proof of lemma showing that $\psi_m(t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $[0, T]$.

Differentiating $\psi_m(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (60) \quad \psi'_m(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |w'_m(t)|^2 + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial t}(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) + \\
 &+ ((u_m(t), u'_m(t))) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) + \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w'_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the approximation equation we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 w''_m(t) + [M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2) - M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2)] \Delta u_m(t) - \\
 - M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \Delta w_m(t) = f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |w'_m(t)|^2 &= \left(M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \Delta w_m, w'_m(t) \right) \\
 &+ \left([M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) - M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2)] \Delta u_m(t), w'_m(t) \right) \\
 &+ \left(f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t), w'_m(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above relation and (60) we obtain

$$(61) \quad \psi'_m(t) = A_m(t) + B_m(t) + C_m(t) + D_m(t) + E_m(t)$$

where

$$(62) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned}
 A_m(t) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x_i}(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), w'_m(t) \right) \\
 B_m(t) &= \left([M(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) - M(t, \|u_{m-1}(t)\|^2)] \Delta u_m(t), w'_m(t) \right) \\
 C_m(t) &= ((u_m(t), u'_m(t))) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial \lambda}(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) \\
 D_m(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial t}(t, \|u_m(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w_m}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) \\
 E_m(t) &= (f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t), w'_m(t)).
 \end{aligned} \right.$$

By (59) and the estimates we find constants c_8, c_9, c_{10} and c_{11} such that

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(t) &\leq c_8 \psi_m(t), & B_m(t) &\leq c_9 [\psi_{m-1}(t) - \psi_m(t)] \\ C_m(t) &\leq c_{10} \psi_m(t), & D_m(t) &\leq c_{11} \psi_m(t) \end{aligned}$$

and $E_m(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} |f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t)|^2 + \psi_m(t)$.

Then we prove that there exists a constant c_{12} , independent of m and $t \in [0, T]$, such that

$$\psi'_m(t) - c_{12} \psi_m(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} |f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t)|^2 + c_{12} \psi_{m-1}(t)$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(t) &\leq e^{c_{12}T} \left[\psi_m(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t)|^2 dt \right] \\ &\quad + c_{12} e^{c_{12}T} \int_0^t \psi_{m-1}(s) ds . \end{aligned}$$

Now we denote by

$$\gamma_m = \psi_m(0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |f_{m+1}(t) - f_m(t)|^2 dt ,$$

and choose

$$c_{13} = \text{Máx} \left\{ e^{c_{12}T}, c_{12} e^{c_{12}T}, 0 \leq t \leq T \rightarrow \text{Máx} \psi_1(t) \right\} .$$

Then, we can see that

$$(63) \quad \begin{cases} \psi_1(t) \leq c_{13} \\ \psi_m(t) \leq c_{13} \gamma_m + c_{13} \int_0^t \psi_{m-1}(s) ds . \end{cases}$$

By induction we find

$$(64) \quad \psi_m(t) \leq c_{13} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(c_{13} + t)^j}{j!} \gamma_{m-j}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

If we consider (37) we get

$$(65) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_m = 0$$

and, as we well know,

$$(66) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(c_{13}T)^j}{j!} = e^{c_{13}T} .$$

Therefore, from (64), (65) and (66) we conclude that $\psi_m(t) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $[0, T]$ and the proof of lemma 4 is complete. ■

The result of lemma 4 implies that

$$(67) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{m\nu-1}(t)\|^2 = \|u(t)\|^2 \text{ uniformly in } [0, T] .$$

Then, we have the following convergences:

$$(68) \quad M(t, \|u_{m\nu-1}(t)\|^2) \cdot v \rightarrow M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \cdot v$$

strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, uniformly in $[0, T]$, $\forall v \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$(69) \quad \Delta u_{m\nu}(t) \rightarrow \Delta u(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T .$$

The convergences (68) and (69) imply

$$(70) \quad M(t, \|u_{m\nu-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u_{m\nu}(t) \rightarrow M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \Delta u(t)$$

weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$.

We have then by passage to the limit in ν that

$$u''(t) - M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \Delta u(t) = f(t) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T .$$

Clearly we also have $u(0) = \phi_o$ and $u'(0) = \phi_2$.

5 – Uniqueness

Let u and v be satisfying (21), (22) and (23). Then, if we define $w = u - v$ we get

$$(71) \quad \begin{cases} w''(t) + M(t, \|v(t)\|^2) \Delta v(t) - M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \Delta u(t) = 0 \\ w(0) = w'(0) = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Now we put

$$(72) \quad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[|w'(t)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(M(t, \|u(t)\|^2) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}(t), \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i}(t) \right) \right] .$$

Therefore, using again the same analysis used in the proof of lemma 4, we obtain a constant c_{14} such that

$$\psi'(t) - c_{14} \psi(t) \leq 0$$

and this imply

$$(73) \quad \psi(t) \leq c^{c_{14}t} \psi(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, T] .$$

But, from (72) there exists a constant c_{15} such that

$$0 \leq \psi(t) \leq c_{15} \left[|w'(t)|^2 + \|w(t)\|^2 \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T .$$

By (71)₂, if we take $t = 0$ in the above relation, we have $\psi(0) = 0$. This fact with (73) shows that $\psi(t) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq T$; and then we have uniqueness.

REFERENCES

- [1] AROSIO, A. and SPAGNOLO, S. – *Global solutions to the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation*, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications, Collège de France Seminar, vol. 6, Edited by Brézis H. and Lions J.L., Pitman, London, 1984.
- [2] AROSIO, A. and GARAVALLI – On the mildly degenerate Kirchhoff string, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 14 (1991), 177–195.
- [3] BERNSTEIN, S. – Sur une classe d'équations fonctionnelles aux dérivées partielles, *Isv. Acad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Math.*, 4, 17–26.
- [4] BRITO, E.H. – The damped elastic stretched string equation generalized: existence, uniqueness, regularity and stability, *Applicable Anal.*, 13 (1982), 219–233.
- [5] CARRIER, G.F. – On the vibration problem of elastic string, *Q.J. Appl. Math.*, 3 (1945), 151–165.
- [6] CRIPPA, H.R. – *On local solutions of some mildly degenerate hyperbolic equations* (to appear).
- [7] D'ANCONA, P. and SPAGNOLO, S. – Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 108 (1992), 247–262.
- [8] DICKEY, R.W. – Infinite systems of nonlinear oscillation equations related to string, *Proc. A.M.S.* (1969), 459–469.
- [9] EBIHARA, Y.; MEDEIROS, L.A. and MILLA MIRANDA, M. – Local solutions for a nonlinear degenerated hyperbolic equation, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 10 (1986), 27–40.
- [10] GENG DI and QU CHANG ZHENG – On nonlinear hyperbolic equation in unbounded domain, *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* (English Edition), 23(3) (1992), 255–261.
- [11] LIONS, J.L. – *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, 1968.
- [12] LIONS, J.L. – *On some questions in boundary value problem of Mathematical Physics*, Contemporary developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, North Holland, Math. Studies, Edited by G.M. de la Penha and L.A. Medeiros, 1977.
- [13] MATOS, M.P. – Mathematical analysis of the nonlinear model for the vibrations of a string, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, 17(12) (1991), 1125–1137.

- [14] MEDEIROS, L.A. and MILLA MIRANDA, M. – On a nonlinear wave equation with damping, *Revista Matemática de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid*, 3(2,3) (1990).
- [15] MENZALA, G.P. – On global solutions of a quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 3(5) (1979), 613–627.
- [16] NISHIHARA, K. – Degenerate quasilinear hyperbolic equation with strong damping, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 27 (1984), 125–145.
- [17] NISHIHARA, K. and YAMADA, Y. – On global solutions of some degenerated quasilinear hyperbolic equations with dissipative terms, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 33 (1990), 151–159.
- [18] POHOZAEV, S. – On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Math. Sbornik*, 95 (1975), 152–166.
- [19] RIVERA RODRIGUES, P.H. – On local strong solutions of a nonlinear partial differential equation, *Applicable Analysis*, 10 (1980), 93–104.
- [20] RIVERA RODRIGUES, P.H. – On a nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation, *Revista de Ciências, Univ. San Marcos*, 74(1), (1986), 1–16.
- [21] YAMADA, Y. – Some nonlinear degenerate wave equation, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods & Applications*, 11(10) (1987), 1155–1168.

Cícero Lopes Frota,
Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Maringá,
Agencia Postal UEM, 87 020-900 Maringá - PR - BRAZIL