

ON OPERATORS OF SAPHAR TYPE

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

Abstract: A bounded linear operator T on a complex Banach space X is called an operator of Saphar type, if T is relatively regular and if its null space is contained in its generalized range $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$. This paper contains some characterizations of operators of Saphar type. Furthermore, for a function f admissible in the analytic calculus, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $f(T)$ is an operator of Saphar type.

1 – Terminology and introduction

Let X denote a Banach space over the complex field \mathbf{C} and let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X . If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, we denote by $N(T)$ the kernel and by $T(X)$ the range of T . The spectrum of T is denoted by $\sigma(T)$. The resolvent set $\rho(T)$ is defined by $\rho(T) = \mathbf{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$. We write $\mathcal{H}(T)$ for the set of all complex valued functions which are analytic in some neighbourhood of $\sigma(T)$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$, the operator $f(T)$ is defined by the well known analytic calculus (see [3, §99]).

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then an operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ will be called a pseudo inverse for T if

$$TST = T .$$

We then say that T is relatively regular. A relatively regular operator T is called an operator of Saphar type if its null space $N(T)$ is contained in its generalized range

$$T^\infty(X) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X) .$$

We write $\mathcal{S}(X)$ for the set of all operators of Saphar type. This class of operators has been studied by P. Saphar [6] (see also [1]). Although operators in $\mathcal{S}(X)$ seem rather special, they have an important property:

Theorem 1. *T is an operator of Saphar type if and only if there is a neighbourhood $U \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ of 0 and a holomorphic function $F: U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that*

$$(T - \lambda I) F(\lambda)(T - \lambda I) = T - \lambda I \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U .$$

Proof: [5, Théorème 2.6] or [8, Theorem 1.4]. ■

In [6] Saphar considered the following question: if $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ and A is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, when is $T - A$ an operator of Saphar type? In Section 2 of this paper we use the perturbation results of [6] to characterize operators of Saphar type.

Section 3 deals with Atkinson operators, i.e. relatively regular operators having at least one of $\dim N(T)$, $\text{codim } T(X)$ finite. The main results in Section 1 allow us to give a simple proof of the following well known fact: if T is an Atkinson operator, then $\dim N(T - \lambda I)$ (resp. $\text{codim } (T - \lambda I)(X)$) is constant in a neighbourhood of 0 if and only if $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

In Section 4 of the present paper we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $f(T) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. Moreover, if $f(T)$ is an operator of Saphar type, we obtain a formula for a pseudo inverse for $f(T)$.

We close this section with some definitions, notations and preliminary results which we need in the sequel.

$\mathcal{R}(X)$ will denote the set of all relatively regular operators in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ satisfies the two equations

$$TST = T \quad \text{and} \quad STS = S$$

then S will be called a g_2 -inverse for T . We shall make frequent use of the following results which will be quoted without further reference.

- 1) $T \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ if and only if $N(T)$ and $T(X)$ are closed complemented subspaces of X (see [3, Satz 74.2]).
- 2) If $TST = T$ for some operator S , then TS is a projection onto $T(X)$ and $I - ST$ is a projection onto $N(T)$ (see [3, p. 385]).
- 3) If S is a pseudo inverse for T , then STS is a g_2 -inverse for T (simple verification).

2 – Perturbation properties

We begin with the following basic facts.

Lemma 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

- (a) *If $N(T) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$, then $T(T^\infty(X)) = T^\infty(X)$.*
- (b) *If $N(T) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$ and $T(X)$ is closed, then $T^n(X)$ is closed for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $T^\infty(X)$ is closed.*

Proof:

(a) The inclusion $T(T^\infty(X)) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$ is obvious. Let y be an arbitrary element of $T^\infty(X)$. Then for every $k=1, 2, \dots$ there exists $x_k \in X$ so that $y = T^k x_k$. If we set $z_k = x_1 - T^{k-1} x_k$ for $k \geq 1$, then $Tz_k = Tx_1 - T^k x_k = y - y = 0$, hence $z_k \in N(T) \subseteq T^{k-1}(X)$. It follows that $x_1 = z_k + T^{k-1} x_k \in T^{k-1}(X)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Because of $y = Tx_1$ we see that $y \in T(T^\infty(X))$.

(b) [7, Satz 4]. ■

Corollary 1. *An operator T of Saphar type has the following properties:*

- (a) *$T^n(X)$ is closed for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T^\infty(X)$ is closed.*
- (b) *T maps $T^\infty(X)$ onto itself.*

Lemma 2. *Let T be a relatively regular operator in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, S a pseudo inverse for T and $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $\|A\| < \|S\|^{-1}$, then*

- (a) *$N(T - A) \subseteq (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T))$.*
- (b) *$T(X) \subseteq (I - AS)^{-1}((T - A)(X))$.*

Proof:

(a) Since $S(T - A) = ST - SA = I - SA - (I - ST)$, we have $(I - SA)^{-1}S(T - A) = I - (I - SA)^{-1}(I - ST)$. Let $x \in N(T - A)$, then $0 = (I - SA)^{-1}S(T - A)x = x - (I - SA)^{-1}(I - ST)x$, hence $x \in (I - SA)^{-1}((I - ST)(X)) = (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T))$.

(b) $(I - AS)TS = TS - ASTS = T(STS) - A(STS) = (T - A)STS$, thus $TS = (I - AS)^{-1}(T - A)STS$. This gives $T(X) = (TS)(X) = [(I - AS)^{-1}(T - A)](STS)(X) \subseteq (I - AS)^{-1}((T - A)(X))$. ■

The next theorem shows that under the hypotheses of Lemma 2 it follows that T is an operator of Saphar type, if equality holds in (a) (or (b)) for all A with $\|A\|$ sufficiently small.

Theorem 2. Let T be a relatively regular operator and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ a pseudo inverse for T .

- (a) If $N(T - \lambda I) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}(N(T))$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ in a neighbourhood of 0, then $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.
- (b) If $T(X) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}((T - \lambda I)(X))$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ in a neighbourhood of 0, then $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Proof: Put $G(\lambda) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n S^n$ ($|\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1}$), $P = I - ST$ and $Q = TS$. Recall that $P(X) = N(T)$ and $Q(X) = T(X)$.

(a) Since $N(T - \lambda I) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}(N(T))$ in a neighbourhood U of 0, we have $N(T - \lambda I) = (G(\lambda)P)(X)$ for $\lambda \in U$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (T - \lambda I)G(\lambda)P = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n T S^n P - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1} S^n P \\ &= \underbrace{TP}_{=0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (T S^n P - S^{n-1}P) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in U. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$(1) \quad TS^n P = S^{n-1}P \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We prove by induction that for $n \geq 1$

$$(2) \quad P = T^n S^n P.$$

(1) shows that (2) holds for $n = 1$. Now suppose that (2) holds for some integer $n \geq 1$. This gives

$$T^{n+1} S^{n+1} P = T^n (T S^{n+1} P) = T^n S^n P = P$$

by (1). Thus (2) is proved.

Since $N(T) = P(X)$, it follows that

$$N(T) = P(X) = (T^n S^n P)(X) \subseteq T^n(X) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Therefore $N(T) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$.

(b) Since $Q(X) = T(X) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}((T - \lambda I)(X))$ in a neighbourhood U of 0, we derive

$$G(\lambda)(T - \lambda I) = QG(\lambda)(T - \lambda I) \quad (\lambda \in U).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(\lambda)(T - \lambda I) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n S^n T - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n+1} S^n \\ &= T + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (S^n T - S^{n-1}) \\ &= TS \left(T + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (S^n T - S^{n-1}) \right) \\ &= T + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (TS^{n+1}T - TS^n) \quad (\lambda \in U) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$S^n T - S^{n-1} = TS^{n+1}T - TS^n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N},$$

therefore $(S^n T - S^{n-1})P = (TS^{n+1}T - TS^n)P$. Since TP vanishes, we get

$$TS^n P = S^{n-1} P \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

But this is equation (1). As in part (a) of this proof, it follows that $N(T) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$. ■

Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and let S be a pseudo inverse for T . Define

$$\mathcal{P}_S(T) = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \|A\| < \|S\|^{-1} \text{ and } A(T^\infty(X)) \subseteq T^\infty(X) \right\}.$$

Remarks.

1. The condition $A(T^\infty(X)) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$ is satisfied by any operator A which commutes with T .
2. If $\|A\| < \|S\|^{-1}$ then $I - AS$ and $I - SA$ are invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $S(I - AS)^{-1} = (I - SA)^{-1}S$.

The next result, a perturbation theorem, is due to P. Saphar [6] (see also [1, Theorem 9 in §5]).

Theorem 3. *Let T be an operator in $\mathcal{S}(X)$ with g_2 -inverse S and suppose that $A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T)$. Then $T - A$ is an operator of Saphar type with g_2 -inverse $S(I - AS)^{-1} = (I - SA)^{-1}S$ and*

$$N(T - A) \subseteq T^\infty(X) \subseteq (T - A)^\infty(X).$$

Corollary 2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3 the equations*

$$N(T - A) = (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T))$$

and

$$T(X) = (I - AS)^{-1}((T - A)(X))$$

are valid for all $A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T)$.

Proof: By Theorem 3, $I - (I - SA)^{-1}S(T - A) = (I - SA)^{-1}[I - SA - S(T - A)] = (I - SA)^{-1}(I - ST)$ is a projection onto $N(T - A)$. It follows that

$$N(T - A) = (I - SA)^{-1}((I - ST)(X)) = (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T)) .$$

According to Theorem 3, $(T - A)S(I - AS)^{-1}$ is a projection onto $(T - A)(X)$, thus $(T - A)(X) = (T - A)S(I - AS)^{-1}(X) = (T - A)(S(X)) = (T - A)(ST)(X) = (TST - AST)(X) = (T - AST)(X) = (I - AS)(T(X))$. This gives $T(X) = (I - AS)^{-1}((T - A)(X))$. ■

From Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 we obtain immediately the following characterizations of operators of Saphar type.

Theorem 4. *Let T be a relatively regular operator in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.
- (b) $N(T - A) = (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T))$ whenever S is a g_2 -inverse for T and $A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T)$.
- (c) $T(X) = (I - AS)^{-1}((T - A)(X))$ whenever S is a g_2 -inverse for T and $A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T)$.
- (d) There is a pseudo inverse S for T such that

$$N(T - A) = (I - SA)^{-1}(N(T)) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T) .$$

- (e) There is a pseudo inverse S for T such that

$$T(X) = (I - AS)^{-1}(T - A)(X) \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{P}_S(T) .$$

- (f) There is a pseudo inverse S for T such that

$$N(T - \lambda I) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}(N(T)) \quad \text{for all } |\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1} .$$

- (g) There is a pseudo inverse S for T such that

$$T(X) = (I - \lambda S)^{-1}((T - \lambda I)(X)) \quad \text{for all } |\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1} .$$

3 – Atkinson operators

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is an Atkinson operator, if T is relatively regular and at least one of the $\dim N(T)$, $\text{codim } T(X)$ is finite. The set of Atkinson operators will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(X)$.

It is well known (see [1, Theorem 5 in §5]) that $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and $\dim N(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\text{codim } T(X) < \infty$) if and only if T is left invertible (resp. right invertible) modulo $\mathcal{K}(X)$, where $\mathcal{K}(X)$ denotes the closed ideal of compact operators on X . Therefore the following assertions are valid:

- (a) $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is open in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.
- (b) With T also $T + K$ lies in $\mathcal{A}(X)$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.
- (c) If $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ then $T^n \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The above results are also valid for semi-Fredholm operators, i.e., operators with closed range having at least one of $\dim N(T)$, $\text{codim } T(X)$ finite (see [3, §82]).

To each $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $T \neq 0$, we can associate a number $\gamma(T)$, the minimum modulus of T , which plays an important role in perturbation theory:

$$\gamma(T) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|Tx\|}{d(x, N(T))} : x \notin N(T) \right\},$$

where $d(x, N(T))$ is the distance of x from $N(T)$. It is of central importance that $T \neq 0$ has closed range if and only if $\gamma(T) > 0$ [4, Lemma 322].

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and $TST = T$. Then*

$$\|S\|^{-1} < \gamma(T).$$

Proof: Let $x \in X$. Then $x - STx \in N(T)$, thus $d(x, N(T)) = d(STx, N(T)) \leq \|STx\| \leq \|S\| \|Tx\|$. ■

The next theorem, the punctured neighbourhood theorem for Atkinson operators, is well-known. Part (c) of this theorem follows immediately from our results in Section 1.

Theorem 5. *Let $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ with $\dim N(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\text{codim } T(X) < \infty$) and pseudo inverse S . Then*

- (a) $T - A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\|A\| < \|S\|^{-1}$.

- (b) $\dim N(T - \lambda I)$ is a constant $\leq \dim N(T)$ (resp. $\text{codim } (T - \lambda I)(X)$ is a constant $\leq \text{codim } T(X)$) for $0 < |\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1}$.
- (c) $\dim N(T - \lambda I)$ is constant (resp. $\text{codim } (T - \lambda I)(X)$ is constant) for $|\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1}$ if and only if T is an operator of Saphar type.

Proof:

(a) [1, Theorem 6 in §5].

(b) Theorem V.1.6 and Corollary V.1.7 in [2] show that $T - \lambda I$ is a semi-Fredholm operator for $|\lambda| < \gamma(T)$ and $\dim N(T - \lambda I)$ is a constant $\leq \dim N(T)$ (resp. $\text{codim } (T - \lambda I)(X)$ is a constant $\leq \text{codim } T(X)$) in the annulus $0 < |\lambda| < \gamma(T)$. Since $\|S\|^{-1} < \gamma(T)$, by Lemma 3, and $T - \lambda I \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ for $|\lambda| < \|S\|^{-1}$, by (a), the proof of (b) is complete. ■

At the beginning of this section we have seen that the set $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of all Atkinson operators is open. The following assertion is obtained from [2, Theorem V.2.6] and shows that the set $\mathcal{R}(X)$ of all relatively regular operators is in general not open.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ has closed range and $\dim N(T) = \text{codim } T(X) = \infty$. Then there exists a compact operator K such that $T + \lambda K$ does not have closed range for all $\lambda \neq 0$.*

With the help of the above result we now characterize the interior points of $\mathcal{R}(X)$.

Theorem 6. *For an operator T in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) T is an interior point of $\mathcal{R}(X)$.
- (b) $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.
- (c) $T + K \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

Proof:

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Suppose that T is an interior point of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ but $T \notin \mathcal{A}(X)$, hence $\dim N(T) = \text{codim } T(X) = \infty$. Because of Lemma 4 there exists an operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that $T + \lambda K$ does not have closed range for all $\lambda \neq 0$, therefore $T + \lambda K \notin \mathcal{R}(X)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$. Since T is an interior point of $\mathcal{R}(X)$, it follows that $T + \lambda K \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently small, a contradiction. Hence $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Clear since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is open and $\mathcal{A}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(X)$.

(b)⇒(c): Since $T \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ implies $T + K \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, we get $T + K \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

(c)⇒(b): We have $T + \lambda K \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and all $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, thus (put $\lambda = 0$) T is relatively regular. Lemma 4 shows that $\dim N(T) < \infty$ or $\text{codim } T(X) < \infty$. ■

Let us write $\mathcal{C}(X)$ for the set of all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $T(X)$ closed. Then, by Lemma 4, $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is in general not open. If we go through the above proof and make the necessary modifications, we see that for $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ the following assertions are equivalent:

- (a) T is an interior point of $\mathcal{C}(X)$.
- (b) T is a semi-Fredholm operator.
- (c) $T + K \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$.

4 – Mapping properties

We begin this section with products of relatively regular operators.

Lemma 5.

- (a) Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ with pseudo inverses S_1 and S_2 , respectively. If $N(T_1) \subseteq T_2(X)$, then $T_1T_2 \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ and S_2S_1 is a pseudo inverse for T_1T_2 .
- (b) Let T_1, \dots, T_m be relatively regular operators with pseudo inverses S_1, \dots, S_m , respectively. If
 - (3) $N(T_1 \cdots T_k) \subseteq T_{k+1}(X)$ for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$,
 - then $T_1 \cdots T_m$ is relatively regular and $S_1 \cdots S_m$ is a pseudo inverse for $T_1 \cdots T_m$.
- (c) Let $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ and $TST = T$ for some $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then $T^n \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ and $T^n S^n T^n = T^n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof:

(a) Since $(I - S_1T_1)(X) = N(T_1) \subseteq T_2(X) = (T_2S_2)(X)$, it follows that $T_2S_2(I - S_1T_1) = I - S_1T_1$, hence $T_2S_2S_1T_1 = T_2S_2 - I + S_1T_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1T_2(S_2S_1)T_1T_2 &= T_1(T_2S_2 - I + S_1T_1)T_2 \\ &= T_1 \underbrace{T_2S_2T_2}_{=T_2} - T_1T_2 + \underbrace{T_1S_1T_1}_{=T_1} T_2 \\ &= T_1T_2 . \end{aligned}$$

(b) By (a) and (3), $T_1 T_2 (S_2 S_1) T_1 T_2 = T_1 T_2$. Suppose that

$$T_1 \cdots T_j (S_j \cdots S_1) T_1 \cdots T_j = T_1 \cdots T_j$$

for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. (3) implies that

$$N(T_1 \cdots T_j) \subseteq T_{j+1}(X) ,$$

consequently, by (a),

$$(T_1 \cdots T_j) T_{j+1} (S_{j+1} (S_j \cdots S_1)) (T_1 \cdots T_j) T_{j+1} = (T_1 \cdots T_j) T_{j+1} .$$

(c) Since $T \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, $N(T) \subseteq T^n(X)$ for $n \geq 1$, thus $N(T^n) \subseteq T(X)$ for $n \geq 1$ [4, Lemma 511]. Now use (b). ■

In this section, we shall consider the following question: If T is an operator in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and f is a function in $\mathcal{H}(T)$, when is $f(T)$ an operator of Saphar type? Furthermore, if $f(T) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, we shall consider the problem of finding a pseudo inverse for $f(T)$.

To this end, we need some concepts from [7] and [9]. We define

$$\rho_{rr}(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C} : T - \lambda I \in \mathcal{S}(X) \right\}$$

and

$$\rho_k(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C} : (T - \lambda I)(X) \text{ is closed and } N(T - \lambda I) \subseteq (T - \lambda I)^\infty(X) \right\} .$$

Then $\rho(T) \subseteq \rho_{rr}(T) \subseteq \rho_k(T)$. Theorem 3 in [4] shows that $\rho_k(T)$ is open. By Theorem 1, $\rho_{rr}(T)$ is open. Setting

$$\sigma_{rr}(T) = \mathbf{C} \setminus \rho_{rr}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(T) = \mathbf{C} \setminus \rho_k(T) ,$$

we obtain two ‘essential spectra’ of T . We have

$$\sigma_k(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) .$$

We showed in [7, Satz 2] that $\partial\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_k(T)$, hence $\sigma_k(T) \neq \emptyset$. It was shown in [9, Theorem 3] that

$$f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) = \sigma_{rr}(f(T)) \quad \text{for } T \in \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{H}(T) .$$

Theorem 7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ and let $Z(f)$ denote the set of zeros of f in $\sigma(T)$. Then $f(T)$ is an operator of Saphar type if and only if $Z(f) \subseteq \rho_{rr}$. In this case $Z(f)$ is finite or empty.*

Proof: Since $f(T) \in \mathcal{S}(X) \iff 0 \notin \sigma_{rr}(f(T)) = f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) \iff Z(f) \subseteq \rho_{rr}(T)$, the first assertion is proved. If $Z(f) \subseteq \rho_{rr}(T)$, then f does not vanish on $\sigma_k(T)$, since $\sigma_k(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(T)$. Satz 3 in [7] shows that f has at most a finite number of zeros in $\sigma(T)$. ■

We are now going to calculate a pseudo inverse for $f(T) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Theorem 8. *Suppose*

- (a) $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ are such that $f(T)$ is an operator of Saphar type,
- (b) $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are the zeros of f in $\sigma(T)$ with respective orders n_1, \dots, n_m ,
- (c) S_j is a pseudo inverse for $T - \lambda_j I$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$).

Put

$$S = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m S_j^{n_j} \right) h(T)^{-1} ,$$

where h is a function in $\mathcal{H}(T)$ such that $f(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{n_j} \right) h(\lambda)$. Then S is a pseudo inverse for $f(T)$.

Proof: Put $p(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^m (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{n_j}$. Then $f(\lambda) = p(\lambda) h(\lambda)$, thus

$$f(T) = p(T) h(T) = h(T) p(T)$$

and $h(T)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Use [3, Satz 80.1] to derive

$$(4) \quad N\left(\prod_{j=1}^k (T - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}\right) = N\left((T - \lambda_1 I)^{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus N(T - \lambda_k I)^{n_k}\right) \\ \subseteq (T - \lambda_{k+1} I)^{n_{k+1}}(X)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, m - 1$. By Lemma 5(c), $(T - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}$ is relatively regular and $S_j^{n_j}$ is a pseudo inverse for $(T - \lambda_j I)^{n_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Thus, using (4) and Lemma 5(b), we conclude that $p(T)$ is relatively regular and $B = \prod_{j=1}^m S_j^{n_j}$ is a pseudo inverse for $p(T)$. Therefore

$$f(T) S f(T) = f(T) B h(T)^{-1} f(T) = h(T) p(T) B h(T)^{-1} h(T) p(T) \\ = h(T) p(T) B p(T) = h(T) p(T) = f(T) . \blacksquare$$

REFERENCES

- [1] CARADUS, S.R. – *Generalized Inverses and Operator Theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math. No. 50, 1978.
- [2] GOLDBERG, S. – *Unbounded linear operators*, New York, 1966.
- [3] HEUSER, H. – *Funktionalanalysis*, 2nd. ed. Stuttgart, 1986.
- [4] KATO, T. – Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators, *J. Analyse Math.*, 6 (1958), 261–322.
- [5] MBEKTHA, M. – Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux, *Glasgow Math. J.*, 29 (1987), 159–175.
- [6] SAPHAR, P. – Contribution à l'étude des applications linéaires dans un espace de Banach, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 92 (1964), 363–384.
- [7] SCHMOEGER, CH. – Ein Spektralabbildungssatz, *Arch. Math.*, 55 (1990), 484–489.
- [8] SCHMOEGER, CH. – The punctured neighbourhood theorem in Banach algebras, *Proc. R. Ir. Acad.*, 91A(2) (1991), 205–218.
- [9] SCHMOEGER, CH. – Relatively regular operators and a spectral mapping theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (to appear).

Christoph Schmoeger,
Mathematisches Institut I, Universität Karlsruhe,
Postfach 6980, D-7500 Karlsruhe 1 – GERMANY