Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino Vol. 61, 4 (2003)

G.H. Hakobyan

ESTIMATES OF THE HIGHER ORDER DERIVATIVES OF THE SOLUTIONS OF HYPOELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

Abstract. In this work we establish a connection between the behaviour of the higher order derivatives of the solutions of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f and the estimates of the derivatives of f(x) in terms of multianisotropic Gevrey classes.

1. Introduction

The Gevrey classes play an important role in the theory of linear partial differential equations as intermediate spaces between the C^{∞} and the analytic functions. In particular, whenever the properties of an operator differ in the C^{∞} and in the analytic framework, it is natural to test its behaviour in the classes of the Gevrey functions and distributions. As a matter of facts, that weak solutions of the equation P(D)u = f belong to C^{∞} , in particular to Gevrey classes, is important for the application of variational methods to the differential equation. A complete description of linear differential equations with constant coefficients having only C^{∞} solutions for all infinitely differentiable right-hand sides has been given by L. Hörmander [8]. Equations of this type are called hypoelliptic.

It is well known (cf. [8], Chapter 11) that the regularity of the solutions of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f is determined by the behaviour of the function $d_P(\xi)$ as $\xi \to \infty$, where $d_P(\xi)$ is the distance from the point $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the surface $\{\zeta : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n, P(\zeta) = 0\}$.

The behaviour of the function $d_P(\xi)$ at infinity is related to many properties of the solutions of an hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = 0, in particular it belongs to the Gevrey class $G^{\lambda}(\Omega)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is determinated by the growth of the function $d_P(\xi)$ if $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $|\xi|$ is sufficiently large (cf. [8], Theorem 11.4.1) (for the definition of the Gevrey classes $G^{\lambda}(\Omega)$, see for example [8] Def. 11.4.11).

V. Grushin [3, 4] proved that if P(D) is an hypoelliptic operator with index of hypoelliplticity equal to λ , then all the solutions of the nonhomogeneous equation P(D)u = f belong to $G^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ if $f \in G^{\lambda}(\Omega)$.

In [2] L. Cattabriga derived for an hypoelliptic operator P(D) the algebraic conditions ensuring that the map $P(D) : G^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto G^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an isomorphism. Such hypoelliptic operators are called G^{λ} -hypoelliptic operators. The G^{λ} -hypoelliptic operators have been studied by many authors: L.R.Volevich, B.Pini, L.Rodino, L.Zanghirati and others. Detailed references for G^{λ} -hypoelliptic operators can be found in the books L. Rodino [12, 1].

G.Ghazaryan [9] introduced some functional characteristics, called weight of hypoellipticity, which coincides with the function $h(\xi) = |\xi|$ in the elliptic case and is specified in the general case. Moreover, more fine estimates of higher order derivatives of the solutions of an hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = 0 are obtained.

After introducing in [5] the concept of multianisotropic Gevrey classes, it became possible to improve the above mentioned results and formulate a general theorem, establishing the relationship between the growth of the derivatives of the solutions of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f and the growth of the function f. We shall prove:

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in G^B(\Omega)$. Then any solution of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f belongs to $G^{B \cap A_P}(\Omega)$.

For a convex set B, $G^B(\Omega)$ is the associated multianisotropic Gevrey class, and the set A_P is determined by the hypoelliptic operator P(D).

2. Definitions and notations

Let $P(D) = \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}$ be a linear differential operator with constant coefficients, and let $P(\xi)$ be its characteristic polynomial. Here the sum goes over a finite set $(P) = \{\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \gamma_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$, where $\mathbb{N}_0^n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is the set of *n*-dimensional multi-indices.

We denote:

$$\mathbb{R}^{n}_{0} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \xi_{1} ... \xi_{n} \neq 0 \}, \\ \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \xi_{j} \ge 0, j = 1, ...n \}.$$

Let $A = \{v^k \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, k = 0, ..., m\}.$

DEFINITION 1. The characteristic polyhedron (or Newton polyhedron) (C.P.) $\mathcal{N}(A)$ of the set A is defined to be the smallest convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n_+ containing all the points $A \cup \{0\}$. The characteristic polyhedron (or Newton polyhedron) (C.P.) $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(P)$ of a polynomial $P(\xi)$ (or of a operator P(D)) is defined to be the smallest convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n_+ containing all the points $(P) \cup \{0\}$.

DEFINITION 2. A polyhedron \mathcal{N} is said to be completely regular (C.R.) if:

a) \mathcal{N} has vertices at the origin and on all the coordinate axes of \mathbb{N}_0^n different from the origin.

b) all the coordinates of the exterior normals to the non-coordinate (n - 1) - dimensional faces N are strictly positive.

It is well known that if P(D) is an hypoelliptic operator, then C.P. of P(D) is a C.R.

Let
$$h(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} |\xi|^{\nu^k}$$
, where $\nu^0 = 0, \nu^k \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, |\xi|^{\nu^k} = |\xi_1|^{\nu_1^k} \cdot ... \cdot |\xi_n|^{\nu_n^k}$, and

 $A_h = \{v^k\}_{k=0}^m.$

DEFINITION 3. (cf. [9]) A function $h(\xi)$ is called weight of hypoellipticity of the polynomial $P(\xi)$ (or of the operator P(D)) if there exists a constant C > 0 such that:

(1)
$$F_P(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \left(\frac{|D^{\alpha} P(\xi)|}{|P(\xi)| + 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{|\alpha|}} \le \frac{C}{h(\xi)}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

DEFINITION 4. A weight of hypoellipticity of the operator P(D) is called exact weight of hypoellipticity of the operator P(D) if for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \setminus \mathcal{N}(A_h)$:

$$\sup_{\xi} |\xi^{\nu}| F_P(\xi) = +\infty.$$

By Lemma 11.1.4 of [8], for any weight of hypoellipticity of the operator P(D), there exists a constant C > 0 such that:

(2)
$$h(\xi) \le C \cdot (1 + d_P(\xi)), \quad \forall \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote by Λ^{n-1} the set of the exterior normals λ (relative to $\mathcal{N}(P)$) of the noncoordinate (n-1) - dimensional faces $\mathcal{N}(P)$, for which $\min \lambda_i = 1$.

We set:

$$\mathcal{M}_P = \{ \nu : \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \sup_{\xi} |\xi^{\nu}| \cdot F_P(\xi) < \infty \},\$$
$$E(\mathcal{N}(P)) = \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, (\nu, \lambda) \le 1, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda^{n-1} \}.$$

It is well known (cf. [9], Lemma 3.5), that for any hypoelliptic operator P(D) the set \mathcal{M}_P is included in $E(\mathcal{N}(P))$.

LEMMA 1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set, P(D) be an hypoelliptic operator and $h(\xi)$ be a weight of hypoellipticity of the operator P(D). Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any function $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and any natural number l the following estimate is satisfied:

$$\sum_{0\neq\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}\varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|}\left\|P^{(\alpha)}(\xi)(\varepsilon h(\xi))^{l}F(V)\right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \leq C\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}}\varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|}\left\|P^{(\alpha)}(\xi)(\varepsilon h(\xi))^{l-1}F(V)\right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2},$$

where F(V) is the Fourier transform of the function V(x).

This lemma can be proved similary to Lemma 11.1.4 of [8] using the estimates (2). For a bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x : x \in \Omega, \rho(x, \partial\Omega) > \varepsilon\}$, where ρ is a distance in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\delta \in (0, 1]$, r be a natural number, $B = \{x : x \in \Omega\}$ \mathbb{R}^n , ||x|| < 1 and $\varphi(x) \ge 0$ a function such that $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(B)$, $\int \varphi(x) dx = 1$. Denote by $\varphi_r^{\delta}(x) = \chi_{\Omega_{r,\delta-\frac{\delta}{2}}} * \varphi^{\frac{\delta}{2}}(x)$, where for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi^{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-n} \cdot \varphi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ and $\chi_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the set Ω_{ε} .

LEMMA 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded set, l be a natural number. Then there exists a constant $C_l = C_l(\Omega) > 0$ such that:

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega} |D^{\alpha}\varphi_j^{\varepsilon}| \le C_l \cdot \varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}, \quad |\alpha| \le l, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

The proof easily follows from the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}(x)| &= \left|\int \chi_{\Omega_{j\varepsilon-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}(y) \cdot D^{\alpha}\varphi^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x-y)dy\right| \\ &= \left|\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{-|\alpha|}\int_{\Omega_{j\varepsilon-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}}(D^{\alpha}\varphi)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x-y)dy\right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{-|\alpha|}\int |D^{\alpha}\varphi(y)|dy| \leq C_{l}\varepsilon^{-|\alpha|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_l = \max_{|\alpha| \le l} 2^{-|\alpha|} \int |D^{\alpha}\varphi(y)| dy$.

Let $\lambda^j \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ (j = 1, ..., k) be vectors with rational coordinates, for which $\min_{1 \le l \le n} \lambda_l^j = 1$, and d_j $(0 < d_j \le 1, j = 1, ..., k)$ be rational numbers such that the set $A_P = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : (v, \lambda^i) \le d_i, i = 1, ..., k\} \subset \mathcal{M}_P$ is C.R.. We denote by A_P^0 the set of the vertices of the polyhedron A_P .

We let
$$h_{A_P}(\xi) = \sum_{\nu \in A_P^0} |\xi|^{\nu^k}$$
.

LEMMA 3. Let P(D) be an hypoelliptic operator (ordP=m), l be a natural number. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\beta \in lA_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and any function $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the following estimate is satisfied:

$$(3) \qquad \varepsilon^{2l} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| D^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon_{j}})}^{2} \leq C \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon \cdot (j-1)})}^{2} \\ + C \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{|\beta| \leq (i-1)m, \ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \left\| (\varepsilon \cdot h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l-i} \cdot \varepsilon^{|\beta|} F(D^{\beta} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D) u) \right\|_{L_{2}(R^{n})}^{2}, \\ j = 1, 2, ...$$

where $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$.

Proof. For some constant C > 0 and for any $\beta \in l \cdot A_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$ we have $|\xi|^{\beta} \leq |\xi|^{\beta}$

 $Ch_{A_P}^l(\xi), \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then by Parceval equality there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{2l} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| D^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon_{j}})}^{2} \\ & \leq \varepsilon^{2l} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| D^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(D)(u\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ & = \varepsilon^{2l} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| \xi^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) F(u\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ & \leq C_{1} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| (\varepsilon \cdot h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l} P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) F(u\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1 there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that:

(4)

$$\varepsilon^{2l} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| D^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon_{j}})}^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{2} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| (\varepsilon \cdot h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l-1} P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) F(u\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$+ C_{2} \left\| (\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l-1} P(\xi) F(u \cdot \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

By Newton-Leibniz formula, we can estimate the second term of the right hand side of (4) for a constant $C_3 > 0$:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} P(\xi) F(u\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &+ C_{3} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha} \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(P^{(\alpha)}(D)u(D^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon})) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &= \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &+ C_{3} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(P^{(\alpha)}(D)u\varepsilon^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &+ C_{3} \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \sum_{|\beta| \leq m, \ 0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left(\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi) \right)^{l-1} F(P^{(\alpha)}(D)u\varepsilon^{|\beta|} D^{\beta}\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

By the estimate (4) there is a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{2l} &\sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| D^{\beta} P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon_{j}})}^{2} \\ &\leq C_{4} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \left\| \left| (\varepsilon h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l-1} P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) \varepsilon^{|\beta|} F(D^{\beta} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} u) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &+ C_{4} \cdot \left\| (\varepsilon \cdot h_{A_{P}}(\xi))^{l-1} F(\varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D) u) \right\| \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Going on analogously as above, since $supp\varphi_j^{\varepsilon} \subset \omega_{\varepsilon \cdot (j-1)}$ at step (l-1), then we obtain the estimate (3).

We denote by s the smallest natural number such that $s \cdot A_P^0 \subset \mathbb{N}_0^n$; and for any multi-index $\alpha \in s \cdot A_P^0$, there is $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $\alpha = 2 \cdot \beta$. We set $Q_P(\xi) = \sum_{\beta \in sA_P^0} \xi^{\beta}$, $q(\xi) = |Q(\xi)|^{\frac{1}{s}}$. Let $Q_P(D)$ be a differential operator, and

 $Q_P(\xi)$ its corresponding polynomial. In Lemma 3 we can take $q(\xi)$ in place of $h_{A_P}(\xi)$.

LEMMA 4. Let P(D) be an hypoelliptic operator (ordP=m). Then there is a constant C > 0 such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, and any function $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the following estimate is satisfied:

$$\varepsilon^{2s} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in N_0^n} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_P(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_2(\omega_{\varepsilon_j})}^2$$

$$\leq C \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_2(\omega_{\varepsilon_j-1}))}^2$$

$$+ C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{|\beta| \le (i-1) \cdot m, \ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \left\| \left| (\varepsilon \cdot q(\xi))^{s-i} \cdot \varepsilon^{|\beta|} F(D^{\beta} \varphi_j^{\varepsilon} P(D) u) \right| \right|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

$$j = 1, 2, ...$$

where $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$.

The proof follows from Lemma 3 and the definition of the polynomial $Q_P(\xi)$.

LEMMA 5. For any couple of multi-indeces β , α such that $\beta \in sA_P$ and $\alpha \in jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P$, $\beta \geq \alpha$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}_0^1, j \leq s)$, we have $|\beta - \alpha| \leq s - j$.

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Let's suppose there are two multiindeces $\beta \in sA_P$ and $\alpha \in jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P$, $\beta \geq \alpha$ such that $|\beta - \alpha| \geq s - j + 1$. Since $\alpha \notin (j-1)A_P$, then from the definition of the set A_P , it follows that there exists an

index $i_0: 1 \le i_0 \le k$ such that $(\alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) > d_{i_0}(j-1)$. As $\min_{1 \le j \le n} \lambda_j^{i_0} = 1$, $0 < d_{i_0} \le 1$, then $(\beta, \lambda^{i_0}) = (\beta - \alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) + (\alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) \ge |\beta - \alpha| + (\alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) > s - j + 1 + (j-1)d_{i_0} \ge sd_{i_0}$, i.e. $\beta \notin sA_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$.

LEMMA 6. Let P(D) be an hypoelliptic operator (ordP=m), j be a natural number. Then there is a constant C > 0 for which the following estimate is satisfied:

(6)

$$\varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \mathcal{Q}_{P}(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon_{j}})}^{2}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon}(j-1))}^{2}$$

$$+ C \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in (rA_{P} \setminus (r-1)A_{P}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2r} \left\| D^{\beta} P(D) u \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega_{\varepsilon}(j-1))}^{2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3, it is sufficient to estimate the second term of the right hand side of (5). There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ for which it holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (i-1)m} \left\| (\varepsilon q(\xi))^{s-i} \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| ((\varepsilon q(\xi))^{s} + 1) \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$= C_{1} \cdot \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| (\varepsilon^{s} \cdot Q_{P}(\xi) + 1) \cdot \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| Q_{P}(\xi) \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$+ C_{1} \cdot \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

Applying Parceval equality and the Newton-Leibniz formula to the first term of the right hand side of (7), we obtain:

$$\varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}(\xi) \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$(8) \qquad = \varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}(D) (\varepsilon^{|\gamma|} D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) P(D)u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$\leq C_{1} \cdot \varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{(\beta)} (\varepsilon^{|\gamma|} D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) D^{\beta}(P(D)u) \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

G.H. Hakobyan

As from Lemma 5, for any $\alpha \in s \cdot A_P$, $\beta \in rA_P \setminus (r-1)A_P$, $\beta \geq \alpha$ $(s \geq r)$ $|\alpha - \beta| \leq s - r$, then by (8) there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}(\xi) \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right| \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ \le C_{1} \cdot \varepsilon^{2s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in (rA_{P} \setminus (r-1)A_{P}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{(\beta)}(\varepsilon^{|\gamma|} D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) D^{\beta}(P(D)u) \right\| \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ \le C_{2} \cdot \sum_{|\gamma| \le (s-1)m} \sum_{\alpha \in sA_{P}} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in (rA_{P} \setminus (r-1)A_{P}) \cap \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \\ \varepsilon^{2r} \left\| \varepsilon^{|\alpha - \beta + \gamma|} (D^{\alpha - \beta + \gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon}) D^{\beta}(P(D)u) \right\| _{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \end{split}$$

Then by Lemma 2 from (7), there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{|\gamma| \le (i-1)m} \left\| \left(\varepsilon \cdot q(\xi) \right)^{s-i} \cdot \varepsilon^{|\gamma|} F(D^{\gamma} \varphi_{j}^{\varepsilon} P(D)u) \right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$
$$\leq C_{3} \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in rA_{P} \cap \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{2r} \left\| D^{\beta} P(D)u \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega(j-1))}^{2}.$$

From this estimate we get the proof of the Lemma.

3. Estimates for higher order derivatives

For a convex set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n_+$ we denote:

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot A &= \{ \nu; \, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+; \frac{\nu}{t} \in A \} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0 \cdot A &= 0, \\ t \cdot A &= \emptyset \quad \text{for } t < 0. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 5. (cf. [5]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a open set. By $G^A(\Omega)$ we denote the set of the functions $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there exists a constant C = C(K) for which:

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D^{\alpha} f(x)| \le C^{j+1} j^{j}, \ \alpha \in jA, \ j = 1, 2, \dots$$

The class $G^A(\Omega)$ is called multianisotropic Gevrey class.

In [5] it was proved that if $A = \{v : v \in \mathbb{R}^n_+; (v, \lambda) \le 1\}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \cap \mathbb{R}^n_0$, $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \lambda_i = 1$, then $G^A(\Omega) = G^{\lambda}(\Omega)$. If $\lambda = (1, ..., 1)$, then the class $G^A(\Omega)$ is the class of the analytic functions with real variables.

LEMMA 7. Let \mathcal{N} be a C.R. polyhedron, l a natural number, $\Omega' \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an open set with diameter less than 2. If $f \in G^{\mathcal{N}}(\Omega')$, then there is a constant C = C(l, f) > 0such that, for any j > l $(j \in \mathbb{N}_0^1)$, and any multiindex $\alpha \in j \cdot \mathcal{N}$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ the following estimate is satisfied:

(9)
$$\delta^{j} \cdot \sup_{x \in \Omega'_{(j-l)\delta}} |D^{\alpha} f(x)| \le C^{j+1}.$$

Proof. Since if $(j - l)\delta \ge 1$, then $\Omega'_{(j-l)\delta} = \emptyset$, therefore it is sufficient to prove the estimate (9) in the case $\delta(j - l) < 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x \in \Omega'_{(j-l)\delta}} |D^{\alpha}f(x)| &\leq C^{j+1} \cdot j^{j} = C^{j+1} \cdot (j-l)^{j} \cdot \left(\frac{j}{j-l}\right)^{j} \\ &\leq C_{1}^{j+1} \cdot (j-l)^{j} \leq C_{1}^{j+1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{j}. \end{split}$$

Now the proof easily follows.

THEOREM 2. Let u(x) be a solution of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f, where $f \in G^{A_P}(\Omega)$. Then there is a constant $K = K(u, \omega) > 0$ ($\omega \subset \Omega$) such that:

(10)
$$\varepsilon^{2js+2m} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| Q_P^j(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right\|_{L_2(\omega_{\varepsilon j})}^2 \le K^{2(j+1)}, \quad j = 1, 2...,$$

where *m* denotes the order of P(D).

Proof. Since any solution u(x) of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f belongs to $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ if $f \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then there is a constant K > 0 such that the inequality (10) is true for j = 0. We proceed by induction. Let's suppose that the estimate (10) is true for any $j \leq l(l \geq 0)$. Then we prove it for j = l + 1. Since $V(x) = Q_P^l(D)u(x)$ is a solution of the equation $P(D)V = Q_P^l(D)f$, then by Lemma 6 we get:

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{2s(l+1)+2m} &\cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{l+1}(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{(l+1)\varepsilon})}^{2} \\ &= \varepsilon^{2s(l+1)+2m} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{l}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{(l+1)\varepsilon})}^{2} \\ &\leq C \cdot \varepsilon^{2sl+2m} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left| \left| P^{(\alpha)}(D) \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{l}(D) u \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{l\varepsilon})}^{2} \\ &+ C \cdot \varepsilon^{2sl+2m} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in rA \cap \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}} \varepsilon^{2r} \left| \left| D^{\beta} \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{l}(D) f \right| \right|_{L_{2}(\omega_{l\varepsilon})}^{2} . \end{split}$$

G.H. Hakobyan

And by induction we have:

(12)
$$\varepsilon^{2sl+2m} \cdot \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| P^{(\alpha)}(D) Q_P^l(D) u \right\|_{L_2(\omega_{l\varepsilon})}^2 \leq K^{2(l+1)}.$$

For the second term of the right hand side of the estimate (11), by Lemma 7, and as $f \in G^{A_P}(\Omega)$, then there is a constant $q = q(f, \omega) > 0$ such that:

(13)
$$\varepsilon^{2sl+2m} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{s} \sum_{\beta \in rA_P \cap N_0^n} \varepsilon^{2r} \left\| D^{\beta} Q_P^l(D) f \right\|_{L_2(\omega_{l\varepsilon})}^2 \le q^{2(l+2)}.$$

And by (12)-(13), we obtain from (11):

$$\varepsilon^{2s(l+1)+2m} \cdot \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} \varepsilon^{-2|\alpha|} \left\| \left| \mathcal{Q}_{P}^{l+1}(D) P^{(\alpha)}(D) u \right| \right\|_{L_{2}(\omega_{(l+1)\varepsilon})}^{2} \leq C \cdot (K^{2(l+1)} + q^{2(l+2)}) \\ \leq K^{2(l+2)},$$

if K is sufficiently large.

THEOREM 3. Let u(x) be a solution of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f, where $f \in G^{A_P}(\Omega)$. Then for any $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$ there is a constant $K_1 = K_1(u, \omega) > 0$ such that:

$$\left\| Q_P^j(D) u \right\|_{L_2(\omega)}^2 \le K_1^{2(j+1)} \cdot j^{2sj}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Since $\rho = \rho(\omega, \partial \Omega) > 0$, then for any $\delta \in (0, \rho)$ there is $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$ such that $\omega \subset \Omega'_{\delta}$. Then for any natural number j, taking $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{j}$ from Theorem 2, we have:

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{j}\right)^{2sj} \left\| \mathcal{Q}_P^j(D)u \right\|_{L_2(\omega)}^2 \le \left(\frac{\delta}{j}\right)^{2sj} \left\| \mathcal{Q}_P^j(D)u \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_{\delta}')}^2 \le K^{2(j+1)}.$$

It follows:

$$\left\| Q_P^j(D) u \right\|_{L_2(\omega)}^2 \le K^{2(j+1)} \cdot \left(\frac{j}{\delta}\right)^{2sj} = K_1^{2(j+1)} \cdot j^{2sj}; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

PROPOSITION 1. For any multiindex $\alpha \notin (s-1)A_P$ we have $D^{\alpha}Q_P(\xi) \equiv const$. *Proof.* Since for any multiindex α :

$$D^{\alpha} Q_{P}(\xi) = \sum_{\beta \in s A^{0}_{P}, \beta \geq \alpha} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta - \alpha)!} \xi^{\beta - \alpha},$$

452

then it is sufficient to consider the case $\alpha \in sA_P$. Let $\beta_0 \in sA_P^0 \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$ be such that $\alpha \leq \beta_0, \alpha \neq \beta_0$, then $|\beta_0 - \alpha| \geq 1$. By the difinition of the set A_P , there is a natural number $i_0, (1 \leq i_0 \leq k)$ such that $(\alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) > d_{i_0}(s-1)$ and $\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j^{i_0} = 1$. So we obtain $(\beta_0, \lambda^{i_0}) = (\beta_0 - \alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) + (\alpha, \lambda^{i_0}) > |\beta_0 - \alpha| + d_{i_0}(s-1) \geq 1 + d_{i_0}(s-1) \geq sd_{i_0}$. This leads to a contradiction, therefore such $\beta_0 \in sA_P^0 \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$ can't exist. The Proposition is proved.

LEMMA 8. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a constant C > 0 for which the following estimate is satisfied:

$$\varepsilon^{-(s-j)}||D^{\alpha}\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C(||Q_{P}(D)\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \varepsilon^{-(s)}||\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, 0 \leq j \leq s, \forall \alpha \in jA_{P}.$$

Proof. By the definition of the polynomial $Q_P(\xi)$, for any $\alpha \in jA_P$, $(0 \le j \le s)$ there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $|\xi^{2\alpha}| \le C_1 |Q_P(\xi)|^{\frac{2j}{s}}$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Multiply the latter by $\varepsilon^{-2(s-j)}$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, then by Hölder's inequality there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that:

(14)
$$\varepsilon^{-2(s-j)}|\xi^{2\alpha}| \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{-2(s-j)}|Q_P(\xi)|^{\frac{2j}{s}} \leq C_2(Q_P^2(\xi) + \varepsilon^{-2s}).$$

Applying Parceval equality, then for any $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the following is satisfied:

$$\varepsilon^{-(s-j)}||D^{\alpha}\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C(||Q_{P}(D)\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} + \varepsilon^{-(s)}||\varphi||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}).$$

L	
L	

LEMMA 9. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set, j be a natural number, $0 \leq j \leq s$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then for any function $\varphi_{\delta} \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $0 \leq \varphi_{\delta} \leq 1$, $\varphi_{\delta} = 1$ on Ω_{δ} , there is a constant $C_s > 0$ such that:

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Q_P^{(\alpha)}(D)\varphi_{\delta}| \leq C_s \delta^{-(s-j)}, \ \forall \alpha \in jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P.$$

The proof easily follows from Lemma 4.1 in [7] and Proposition 1.

For any number μ , any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any function $f \in L_2^{loc}(\Omega)$ we write (cf. [7]):

$$N_{\Omega,\mu}(f) = N_{\mu}(f) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{\mu} ||f||_{L_2(\Omega_{\delta})}.$$

THEOREM 4. For any multiindex $\beta \in jA_P$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}_0^1, 0 \le j \le s)$ there is a constant C > 0 such that:

$$N_j(D^{\beta}u) \leq C(N_s(Q_P(D)u) + N_0(u)), \quad \forall u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi_{\delta} \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be a function satisfying the condition of Lemma 9, then by Newton-Leibniz formula, we have:

(15)
$$Q_P(D)(\varphi_{\delta}u) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Q_{P(D)}^{(\alpha)}\varphi_{\delta}}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha}u$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha \notin (s-1)A_P} \frac{Q_P^{(\alpha)}(D)\varphi_{\delta}}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha}u + \sum_{\alpha \in (s-1)A_P} \frac{Q_P^{(\alpha)}(D)\varphi_{\delta}}{\alpha!} D^{\alpha}u.$$

By Proposition 1 the first term of (15) is equal to $\varphi_{\delta} Q_P(D)u$. Therefore:

$$Q_P(D)(\varphi_{\delta} u) = \varphi_{\delta} Q_P(D) u + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in (jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P)} \frac{Q_P^{(\alpha)}(D) \varphi_{\delta} \alpha!}{D}^{\alpha} u.$$

So there is a constant C > 0 such that:

$$||Q_P(D)(\varphi_{\delta}u)||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\{||\varphi_{\delta}Q_P(D)u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in (jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P)} ||Q_P^{(\alpha)}(D)\varphi_{\delta}D^{\alpha}u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}.$$

By the definition of N_{μ} , for a suitable constant $C_1 > 0$ we have:

(16)
$$||Q_P(D)(\varphi_{\delta}u)||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 \delta^{-s} \left\{ N_s(Q_P(D)u) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in (jA_P \setminus (j-1)A_P)} N_j(D^{\alpha}u) \right\}$$
$$\leq C_1 \delta^{-s} \left\{ N_s(Q_P(D)u) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in jA_P} N_j(D^{\alpha}u) \right\}.$$

Since $||\varphi_{\delta}u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0(u)$, then by Lemma 8 from (16) it follows that for a constant $C_2 > 0$ we have:

(17)

$$\varepsilon^{-(s-j)}||D^{\beta}(\varphi_{\delta}u)||_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$\leq C_{2}\left\{\delta^{-s}\left\{N_{s}(Q_{P}(D)u) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1}\sum_{\alpha\in jA_{P}}N_{j}(D^{\alpha}u)\right\} + \varepsilon^{-s}N_{0}(u)\right\},$$

 $\forall \beta \in jA_P$. Taking $\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{\sigma}$, $\delta < \frac{\dim\Omega}{2}$ and multiplying by (17) δ^s , we get:

(18)
$$\sigma^{(s-j)}N_j(D^{\beta}u) \le C_2 \left\{ N_s(\mathcal{Q}_P(D)u) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in jA_P} N_j(D^{\alpha}u) \right\},$$
$$\forall \beta \in jA_P.$$

Taking the sum of (18) for all j, j = 0, ..., s:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s} \sigma^{(s-j)} \sum_{\beta \in jA_P} N_j(D^{\beta}u)$$

$$\leq (s+1) \cdot C_2 \left\{ N_s(Q(D)u) + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\alpha \in jA_P} N_j(D^{\alpha}u) + \sigma^s N_0(u) \right\}$$

For sufficiently large σ , we can find a constant $C_3 = C_3(\sigma) > 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s} \sigma^{(s-j)} \sum_{\beta \in j A_P} N_j(D^{\beta} u) \le C_3 \{ N_s(Q_P(D)u) + N_0(u) \}.$$

The proof of the Lemma follows.

THEOREM 5. Any solution of an hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f belongs to $G^{A_P}(\Omega)$, if $f \in G^{A_P}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$. By Theorem 4, for any $v \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have:

$$||D^{p}v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{s+t})} \leq C_{2}(||Q_{P}(D)v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{s})} + t^{-s}||v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{s})}),$$

where t > 0. Taking $t = \frac{\delta}{l}$, $s = (1 - \frac{1}{l})\delta$ we get:

(19)
$$||D^{\beta}v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{\delta})} \leq C_{2}\left(||Q_{P}(D)v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta})} + \left(\frac{\delta}{l}\right)^{-s} ||v||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta})}\right).$$

By Theorem 1.1 of [6], for the polyhedron sA_P there is a natural number $j_0 \ge s$ such that any multi-index $\alpha \in jA_P$, $j \ge j_0$, can be represented in the form $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$, where $\beta \in sA_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$, $\gamma \in (j-s)A_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$. For simplicity let $j_0 = s$. Therefore, every multiindex α can be represented as $\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \alpha^{(k)}$, where $l = \lfloor \frac{j}{s} \rfloor$ if $\lfloor \frac{j}{s} \rfloor$ is integer, and $l = \lfloor \frac{j}{s} \rfloor + 1$ otherwise, $\alpha^{(k)} \in sA_P \cap \mathbb{N}_0^n$, k = 1, ..., l. Now let $\beta = \alpha^1$, then by (19) we get:

$$||D^{\alpha^{1}}(D^{\alpha-\alpha^{1}})u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{\delta})} \leq C_{2}\left(||Q_{P}(D)(D^{\alpha-\alpha^{1}})u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta}} + \left(\frac{\delta}{l}\right)^{-s}||D^{\alpha-\alpha^{1}}u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta})}\right) \leq C_{2}\left(||D^{\alpha^{2}}D^{\alpha-\alpha^{1}-\alpha^{2}}Q_{P}(D)u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta})} + \left(\frac{\delta}{l}\right)^{-s}||D^{\alpha^{2}}(D^{\alpha-\alpha^{1}-\alpha^{2}})u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{(1-\frac{1}{l})\delta})}\right).$$

Taking the function $v = D^{\alpha - \alpha^1 - \alpha^2} Q_P(D)u$ in the first term of the right hand side of (20) and taking $v = D^{\alpha - \alpha^1 - \alpha^2}u$ in the second term of the right hand side of (20), applying to (20) the estimate (19) and working anologously to step (l-1), we obtain:

$$\begin{split} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{\delta})} &\leq C_{2}^{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l} C_{l}^{i} \left(\frac{l}{\delta}\right)^{si} ||Q_{P}^{(l-i)}(D)u||_{L_{2}(\omega_{\delta})} \\ &\leq C_{2}^{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l} C_{l}^{i} \left(\frac{l}{\delta}\right)^{si} K^{l-i+1} (l-i)^{s(l-i)} \\ &\leq C_{3}^{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l} C_{l}^{i} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{si} (l)^{sl} \leq (C_{4}(\delta))^{j+1} j^{j}, \end{split}$$

i.e. $u \in G^{A_P}(\Omega)$.

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, i = 1, ..., n, $\min_{1 \le i \le n} \mu_i = 1$ and $0 < \rho_i \le 1, i = 1, ...n$. We denote by $B = \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, (\nu, \mu_i) \le \rho_i, i = 1, ..., n \}.$

THEOREM 6. Let $f \in G^B(\Omega)$. Then any solution of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = f belongs to $G^{B \cap A_P}(\Omega)$.

The theorem was proved analogously to Theorem 2.4 with some modifications. We now present two examples clarifying the previous results.

EXAMPLE 1. Let n = 2, $P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y})(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$. Using the notations $D_1 = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $D_2 = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, we have:

$$P(D) = (-D_1^2 - iD_2)(-D_2^2 - iD_1) = iD_1^3 + iD_2^3 + D_1^2D_2^2 - D_1D_2,$$

and its characteristic polynomial is:

$$P(\xi) = (-\xi_1^2 - i\xi_2)(-\xi_2^2 - i\xi_1) = i\xi_1^3 + i\xi_2^3 + \xi_1^2\xi_2^2 - \xi_1\xi_2.$$

It is easy to see that $P(\xi)$ is a multi-quasi-elliptic polynomial, and therefore the set M_P is a C.R. polyhedron. Simple computations show that $M_P = \{v \in R^2_+, 2v_1 + v_1 \le 1; v_1 + 2v_1 \le 1\}$. The exact weight hypoellipticity of the operator P(D) is

$$h(\xi) = |\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\xi_2|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\xi_1|^{\frac{1}{3}} |\xi_2|^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

By Hörmander Theorem (cf. 8, Theorem 11.4.1), all the solutions of the equation P(D)u = 0 belong to the Gevrey class $G^{2,2}(\Omega)$ and this result is sharp remaining in the frame of the anisotropic Gevrey classes. However, from the hypoellipticity and the form of the operator P(D), it follows that any solution can be represented in the form:

$$u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) + u_2(x, y),$$

where $u_1(x, y) \in G^{1,2}(\Omega), u_2(x, y) \in G^{2,1}(\Omega)$. Using this fact, we can estimate $D_1^{\alpha_1} D_2^{\alpha_2} u$, where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = j, j = 1, 2, ...$ as follows: for any compact subset $K \subset \Omega$ there exist two constants $C_1 = C_1(K, u_1) > 0$ and $C_2 = C_2(K, u_2) > 0$ such that:

$$\begin{split} \sup_{x \in K} |D_1^j D_2^j u(x, y)| &\leq \sup_{x \in K} |D_1^j D_2^j u_1(x, y)| + \sup_{x \in K} |D_1^j D_2^j u_2(x, y)| \\ &\leq C_1^{2j+1} j^{1j} j^{2j} + C_2^{2j+1} j^{2j} j^{1j} \leq C_3^{2j+1} j^3, \end{split}$$

where $C_3 = \max(C_1, C_2)$. Therefore, the classical Gevrey classes don't describe completely the behaviour of the solutions of the hypoelliptic equation P(D)u = 0. Using the multianisotropic classes Gevrey and noticing that $(j, j) \in 3jM_P$, we have:

$$\sup_{x \in K} |D_1^j D_2^j u(x, y)| \le C^{2j+1} j^{3j}.$$

Let for example $f \in G^B(\Omega)$, where:

$$B = \left\{ \nu \in R_+^2, \, 3\nu_1 + \frac{3}{2}\nu_2 \le 1 \right\}.$$

Then $A = M_P \cap B = \{ \nu \in R^2_+, 3\nu_1 + \frac{3}{2}\nu_2 \le 1; \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 \le 1 \}.$

From Theorem 2.5 we have that all the solutions of the equation P(D)u = f belong to $G^A(\Omega)$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let n = 2 and P(D) be the operator with symbol:

$$P(\xi) = \xi_1^6 (\xi_1 - \xi_2)^6 + \xi_1^8 \xi_2^2 + \xi_1^8 + 1.$$

The polynomial $P(\xi)$ is not multi-quasi-elliptic. Simple computations show that:

$$M_P = \left\{ \nu \in R_+^2, 2\nu_1 + 3\nu_2 \le 1; \nu_1 + \nu_2 \le \frac{2}{3} \right\}.$$

Let P(D)u = f, where $f \in G^B(\Omega)$, B for instance has the form:

$$B = \{ \nu \in R_+^2, 2\nu_1 + \frac{3}{2}\nu_2 \le 1 \}.$$

Since $B \cap M_P = M_P$, then from Theorem 2.5 it follows that $u \in G^{M_P} \Omega$.

References

- [1] BOGGIATTO P., BUZANO E. AND RODINO L., *Global hypoellipticity and spectral theory*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin 1996.
- [2] CATTABRIGA L., Solutions in Gevrey spaces of partial differential equations with constant coefficients, Asterisque **89-90** (1981), 129–151.

- [3] GRUSHIN V.V., On a class of solutions of a hypoelliptic equation, Doklady Akad. Nauk. USSR **137** (1961), 768–771.
- [4] GRUSHIN V.V., Connection between local and global properties of solutions of hypoelliptic equation with constant coefficients, Mat. Sbornik 108 (1965), 525– 550.
- [5] HAKOBYAN G.H. AND MARGARYAN V.N., On Gevrey type solutions of hypoelliptic equations, Izvestiya Akad. Nauk Armenia. Matematika 31 2 (1996), 33–47 (in Russian, English translation in J. of Contemp. Math. Anal., Armenian Academy of Sciences).
- [6] HAKOBYAN G.H. AND MARGARYAN V.N., On Gevrey class solutions of hypoelliptic equations, IIzvestiya Akad. Nauk Armenia. Matematika 33 1 (1998), 35–47.
- [7] HÖRMANDER L., On interior regularity of the solutions of partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **11** (1958), 197-218.
- [8] HÖRMANDER L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, I,II,III,IV*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1963.
- [9] KAZARYAN G.G., On the functional index of hypoellipticity, Mat. Sbornik 128 (1985), 339–353.
- [10] NEWBERGER E. AND ZIELEZNY Z., *The growth of hypoelliptic polynomials and Gevrey classes*, Proc. of the AMS **39** 3 (1973).
- [11] PINI B., Proprietà locali delle soluzioni di una classe di equazioni ipoellittiche, Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 32 (1962), 221–238.
- [12] RODINO L., Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore 1993.
- [13] VOLEVICH L.R., *Local regularity of the solutions of the quasi-elliptic systems*, Mat. Sbornik **59** (1962), 3–52 (Russian).
- [14] ZANGHIRATI L., Iterati di una classe di operatori ipoellitici e classi generalizzate di Gevrey, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., Suppl. 1 (1980), 177–195.

AMS Subject Classification: 35B05, 35H10.

Gagik HAKOBYAN Department of Physics Yerevan State University Al. Manoogian str. 1 375025 Yerevan, ARMENIA e-mail: gaghakob@ysu.am

Lavoro pervenuto in redazione il 10.02.2003 e, in forma definitiva, il 09.07.2003.