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#### Abstract

We calculate the low temperature asymptotics of a function $\gamma$ that generates the temperature dependence of all static correlation functions of the isotropic Heisenberg chain.
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## 1 Introduction

Over the past few years the mathematical structure of the static correlation functions of the $X X Z$ chain was largely resolved. After an appropriate regularization by a disorder parameter they all factorize into polynomials in only two functions $\rho$ and $\omega$ [8]. These are the one-point function and a special neighbor two-point function which, in turn, can be represented as integrals over solutions of certain linear and non-linear integral equations [2]. This resembles much the situation with free fermions, and what is behind is indeed a remarkable fermionic structure on the space of quasi-local operators acting on the spin chain [5]. It allows us, for instance, to calculate short-range correlators with high numerical precision directly in the thermodynamic limit [1, 12].

The low temperature asymptotics of $\rho$ and $\omega$ universally determines the low temperature properties of all static correlation functions. In this short note we obtain the low temperature asymptotics in the special case of the isotropic Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=J \sum_{j}\left(\sigma_{j-1}^{x} \sigma_{j}^{x}+\sigma_{j-1}^{y} \sigma_{j}^{y}+\sigma_{j-1}^{z} \sigma_{j}^{z}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with no magnetic field applied and vanishing disorder parameter. Then $\rho=1$ and we are left with only one function (and its derivatives) which, up to a trivial factor, is the function $\gamma$ defined in [3].

## 2 Definition of the basic function $\gamma$

For our purpose here it is convenient to introduce the function $\gamma$ within the context of a special realization of a six-vertex model (see e.g. [4]) and its associated quantum transfer matrix [10].

[^0]By definition the latter has $2(\mathcal{N}+\mathcal{M})$ vertical lines alternating in direction and carrying spectral parameters

$$
\underbrace{u,-u, u,-u, \ldots,-u}_{2 \mathcal{N}}, \underbrace{u^{\prime}+\mu_{1}, \mu_{1}-u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}+\mu_{1}, \mu_{1}-u^{\prime}, \ldots, \mu_{1}-u^{\prime}}_{2 \mathcal{M}} .
$$

The spectral parameter on the horizontal line will be denoted $\mu_{2}$. We consider this system in the limit $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} \rightarrow+\infty$ with the fine tuning $u \mathcal{N}=i \frac{J}{T}$ and $u^{\prime} \mathcal{M}=i \frac{\delta}{T}$. With an appropriate overall normalization the largest eigenvalue $\Lambda\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \left(\Lambda\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right)\right)= & \frac{4 \pi J}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi \delta}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right) \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \frac{\ln \left[\left(1+\mathfrak{b}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right]}{2 \cosh \left(\pi\left(\mu_{2}-t\right)\right)} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that we recover the familiar system of equations, allowing us to study the thermodynamical properties of the Hamiltonian (1.1), by setting $\delta=0$. The function $K(x)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k \frac{e^{-i k x}}{1+e^{|k|}} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\psi\left(1-i \frac{x}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1+i x}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1-i x}{2}\right)+\psi\left(1+i \frac{x}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi$ is the digamma function. The auxiliary functions $\mathfrak{b}(x, \mu)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}(x, \mu)$ are solutions of a pair of non-linear integral equations given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \left(\mathfrak{b}\left(x, \mu_{1}\right)\right)= & -\frac{2 \pi J}{T \cosh (\pi x)}-\frac{2 \pi \delta}{T \cosh \left(\pi\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)\right)}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t K(x-t) \ln \left(1+\mathfrak{b}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t K(x-t+i) \ln \left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and a similar equation obtained by exchanging $\mathfrak{b} \leftrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $i \leftrightarrow-i$ in (2.2). The function $\gamma$ can now be introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=-1+\left.\left(1+\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}\right) T \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \ln \left(\Lambda\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right|_{\delta=0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been conjectured [3] that the correlation functions of the isotropic Heisenberg chain at any finite temperature (for vanishing magnetic field) are polynomials in $\gamma$ and its derivatives evaluated at $(0,0)$. A similar statement (involving a function $\omega$ and its derivative with respect to the disorder parameter) was proved for the anisotropic XXZ chain [5, 8, 2]. Amazingly the isotropic limit seems non-trivial and is still a subject of ongoing work. Here we would only like to mention that the nearest- and next-to-nearest-neighbor two-point functions were expressed in terms of $\gamma$ in [3] starting from the multiple integral representation for the density matrix of the Heisenberg chain obtained in [7]. The formulae for the longitudinal two-point functions are, for instance,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{2}^{z}\right\rangle_{T} & =-\frac{1}{3} \gamma(0,0)  \tag{2.4}\\
\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{z}\right\rangle_{T} & =-\frac{1}{3} \gamma(0,0)-\frac{1}{6} \gamma_{x x}(0,0)+\frac{1}{3} \gamma_{x y}(0,0) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

They will be used below to test our results for the low-temperature expansion. We denoted derivatives with respect to the first (resp. second) argument by the subscript $x$ (resp. $y$ ). Similar results for four sites can be obtained from [1] in the isotropic limit. In previous work [13] the high-temperature expansion (up to order 25) of the two-point functions was obtained analytically based on (2.4) and (2.5).

## 3 Low-temperature expansion

To compute the low-temperature expansion of $\gamma$, we follow the line of reasoning of the article [9], where a similar task was performed for the free energy. There are, however, two differences between the usual equations and the ones used in this note: the additional driving term in (2.2) proportional to $\delta$ and the shift $\mu_{2}$ in the kernel of the integration in (2.1).

The computation is based on the introduction of a shift $\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left(\pi \frac{J}{T}\right)$ in the auxiliary functions:

$$
\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=\mathfrak{b}(x+\mathcal{L}) \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)=\mathfrak{b}(-x-\mathcal{L}) .
$$

In the low-temperature limit these functions satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(x, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \sim & -4 e^{-\pi x}-4 \frac{\delta}{J} e^{-\pi\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)}+\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \\
& +\int_{-\mathcal{L}}^{\infty} d t\left[K(x-t) \ln \left(1+\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)-K(x-t+i) \ln \left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ is the rest of the integral which does not contribute to the low-temperature limit, when the magnetic field vanishes (see [9]). A similar relation holds with $\mathfrak{b} \leftrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $i \leftrightarrow-i$ exchanged.

In terms of the shifted functions the largest eigenvalue becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left(\Lambda\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \sim & \frac{4 \pi J}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi \delta}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{T}{J \pi} \int_{-\mathcal{L}}^{\infty} d t e^{\pi\left(\mu_{2}-t\right)} \ln \left[\left(1+\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{T}{J \pi} \int_{-\mathcal{L}}^{\infty} d t e^{-\pi\left(\mu_{2}+t\right)} \ln \left[\left(1+\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\widetilde{\overline{\mathfrak{b}}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

To evaluate these integrals we compute

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{-\mathcal{L}}^{\infty} d t\left[\ln \left(1+\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \ln \left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\ln \left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \ln \left(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right]
$$

using two different methods. Here the prime stands for the derivative with respect to $t$. First, we compute it explicitly using the change of variables $z=\ln \left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\right)$ or $z=\ln \left(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\right)$, respectively, which results in

$$
\mathcal{I}=2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \ln \left(1+e^{z}\right) d z=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} .
$$

Second, we replace $\ln \left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\ln \left(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)$ by their scaling limits (3.1) and simplify the resulting expression by taking into account that the derivative of $K(x)$ is odd and contributions by double integrals cancel pairwise. This way we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}=4 \pi\left(1+\frac{\delta}{J} e^{\pi \mu_{1}}\right) \int_{-\mathcal{L}}^{\infty} d t e^{-\pi t} \ln \left[\left(1+\mathfrak{b}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\overline{\mathfrak{b}}\left(t, \mu_{1}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

The same type of manipulation can be performed for the functions $\widetilde{b}$, and a similar result is obtained with $\mu_{1}$ replaced by $-\mu_{1}$.

Gathering these findings we obtain the asymptotic form of the largest eigenvalue,

$$
\ln \left(\Lambda\left(\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right)\right) \sim \frac{4 \pi J}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}\right)+\frac{4 \pi \delta}{T} K\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)+\frac{T}{24 J}\left(\frac{e^{\pi \mu_{2}}}{1+\frac{\delta}{J} e^{\pi \mu_{1}}}+\frac{e^{-\pi \mu_{2}}}{1+\frac{\delta}{J} e^{-\pi \mu_{1}}}\right) .
$$



Figure 1. Comparison of the high- and low-temperature expansions (HTE, LTE) of $\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{z}\right\rangle$ with the full numerical solution obtained from the integral equations (NLIE) and with Monte-Carlo data (QMC).

Thus, using (2.3), the function $\gamma$ behaves asymptotically for small temperatures as

$$
\gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) \sim-1+\left(1+\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(4 \pi K\left(\mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right)-\frac{T^{2}}{12 J^{2}} \cosh \left(\pi\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

This is our main result.
Using (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain the low-temperature expansion of the longitudinal correlation functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{2}^{z}\right\rangle_{T} & \sim \frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{3} \ln (2)+\frac{T^{2}}{J^{2}} \frac{1}{36} \\
\left\langle\sigma_{1}^{z} \sigma_{3}^{z}\right\rangle_{T} & \sim \frac{1}{3}-\frac{16}{3} \ln (2)+3 \zeta(3)-\frac{T^{2}}{J^{2}} \frac{1}{36}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}-4\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The constant terms (independent of the temperature) in these expansions are in agreement with those originally found in $[11,6]$. In the figure we compare the combined low- and hightemperature results for the next-to-nearest neighbor $z z$-correlation functions with the full numerical curve obtained by implementing the linear and non-linear integral equations that determine $\gamma$ and its derivatives [3] on a computer. The high-temperature data and some additional Monte-Carlo data are taken from [14]. We find that the numerical curves (NLIE, QMC) are amazingly well approximated by its low- and high-temperature approximations.
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