

# On zero-testing and interpolation of sparse character sums (preliminary version)

Andreas Dress \*

Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Bielefeld

Johannes Grabmeier  
IBM Deutschland GmbH  
Wissenschaftliches Zentrum Heidelberg

## Abstract

Motivated by an amazing result of D. Y. Grigoriev and M. Karpinski, the interpolation problem for  $k$ -sparse multivariate polynomials has received some attention in recent years. In this note we want to show that essentially all of the results obtained so far hold more generally for  $k$ -sparse sums of characters of abelian monoids, thereby providing a useful unified approach to this active field of research. As it turns out the basic ingredients of this approach are the construction of distinction sets for characters and zero-test sets for  $k$ -sparse character sums.

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Character Sums of Cyclic Groups</b>	<b>4</b>

---

\*Part of this work was prepared during a visit at the Scientific Center Heidelberg, IBM Germany which is gratefully acknowledged.

2	Character Sets which Allow Reduction to Cyclic Groups	7
3	General Case	12

## 0 Introduction

The basic ideas of the paper [GK87] were the starting point for the papers [BT88], [CDGK88] and [GKS88], where the question of zero-testing and interpolation of  $k$ -sparse multivariate polynomials over fields of characteristic 0 and over finite fields were studied. In this note we want to provide a unified approach to this active field of research, based on the observation that the fundamental ideas in these papers are valid in the more general context of  $k$ -sparse sums of characters of abelian monoids.

Let  $A$  be an abelian monoid with neutral element  $1_A$  and let  $K$  be a field. According to the well known Artin-Dedekind Lemma the set  $Hom(A, (K, *))$  of all *characters*, i.e. monoid homomorphisms with  $1_A \mapsto 1_K$  from  $A$  into the multiplicative monoid  $(K, *)$  of  $K$  is a linearly independent subset of the  $K$ -space of all maps from  $A$  into  $K$ . For any subset  $X \subseteq Hom(A, (K, *))$  of characters and every positive integer  $k$  define the set  $X_k$  of  $k$ -sums of characters by

$$X_k := \{f : A \rightarrow K \mid \exists f_1, \dots, f_k \in K, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_k \in X, f = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k f_\kappa \chi_\kappa\}.$$

For given  $X$  and  $k$  we are interested in procedures by which for any such  $f = \sum_\chi f_\chi \chi$  in  $X_k$  its support

$$supp(f) := \{\chi \in X \mid f_\chi \neq 0\}$$

and its coefficients  $f_\chi$  can be determined from as few as possible evaluations of  $f$ . A first step to solve this *interpolation problem* is, of course, the study of (small) subsets  $T$  of  $A$  which allow to distinguish any non-trivial  $k$ -sum of characters from  $X$  from the zero map, that is, subsets  $T \subseteq A$  such that for any  $f \in X_k \setminus \{0\}$  there exists some  $a \in T$  with  $f(a) \neq 0$ . We will refer to such subsets as *zero-test sets* for  $X_k$ . Obviously, any such zero-test set for  $X_k$  must contain at least  $k$  elements unless  $\#X < k$ , compare the proof of Lemma 1.1. However, as we will see later on, only in the most simple case of cyclic groups zero-test sets of this cardinality can be guaranteed.

The relation between zero-test sets and subsets of  $A$  which may be suitable for interpolation, that is, which allow to distinguish any two different  $k$ -sums of characters, is simple and obvious.

**Lemma 0.1** *A subset  $T \subseteq A$  has the property that the associated restriction map  $K^A \rightarrow K^T : f \mapsto f|_T$  is injective on  $X_k \subseteq K^A$  if and only if  $T$  is a zero-test set for  $X_{2k}$ .*

Hence, in principle, for any zero-test set  $T$  for  $X_{2k}$  it should be possible to compute for any map  $f = \sum_{\chi \in X} f_\chi \chi$  in  $X_k$  its support and its coefficients from its restriction  $f|_T$ . Again, this holds indeed for the particularly simple minimal zero-test sets one has in cyclic groups. In general there does not seem to exist a universally applicable interpolation algorithm which for any field  $K$ , any monoid  $A$ , any set  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  of  $K$ -valued characters of  $A$ , and any zero-test set  $T \subseteq A$  for  $X_{2k}$  allows to reconstruct the support and the coefficients of  $f$  for any  $f \in X_k$  systematically from its restriction to  $T$ , except, of course, the trivial, but surely not efficient algorithm, which for all possible choices of  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_k \in X$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in K$  compares  $f(a)$  with  $\sum_{\kappa=1}^k f_\kappa \chi_\kappa(a)$  for all  $a \in T$ . Therefore it appears to be worthwhile to discuss in some detail what can be done in this direction.

The examples we have in mind are in particular the cases where  $A$  equals  $U^n$  for some submonoid  $U$  of the monoid  $(K, *)$  and  $X$  is a subset of all maps

$$\chi^\alpha = \chi^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} : U^n \rightarrow K$$

where

$$\chi^\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n)) := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{\alpha_n}$$

for  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, \dots\}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in U$ .

In the case of  $K := \mathbb{Q}$  (or any other infinite field) and  $U := K$  the characters from  $X := \{\chi^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$  correspond to the monomials in  $n$  indeterminates as  $k$ -sum characters correspond to  $k$ -sparse polynomials. In case  $U$  is finite it has turned out to be particularly interesting to study the above problems for such *monomial characters* of type  $\chi^\alpha$  whose *local degrees*  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , are bounded by a natural number  $q$ , that is we choose

$$X = X(q, n) := \{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{q}^n\},$$

where  $\mathbf{q}$  is defined to be  $\mathbf{q} := \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ .

The overall outline of our note is as follows: In the first section we will assume  $A$  to be a cyclic group. In this almost trivial case minimal zero-test

sets and optimal interpolation procedures can be constructed quite easily in a rather natural and a straightforward manner. Once this is established we will show in the second section that for surprisingly many other choices of  $A$  and  $X$  our problem can be reduced to the cyclic case.

In various cases this can be done simply by exhibiting a cyclic submonoid, (i.e. a submonoid which is a cyclic group)  $A'$  of  $A$  which distinguishes the characters in the given set  $X$  (i.e. with  $\chi|_{A'} \neq \psi|_{A'}$  for any two different characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  in  $X$ ).

In other cases where this simple procedure cannot be applied a more subtle approach can be used instead which consists in the construction of a whole family of cyclic submonoids such that for any  $k$  characters from  $X$  there exists at least one member in this family which distinguishes these  $k$  characters. It is remarkable that all but one of the cases studied in [BT88], [CDGK88] and [GKS88] fall in either one of these two categories.

In section 3 we will discuss methods which apply to ‘properly’ non-cyclic cases. A method based on a simple idea, developed in [CDGK88], by which zero-test sets for a product of monoids can be constructed from zero-test sets of the factors, is presented. In addition a quite general and efficient interpolation algorithm is given, of course needing more evaluations than in the cyclic case, but not needing to find roots of a polynomial as it is necessary in the case of cyclic groups. Instead, it presupposes the knowledge of a finite super set  $Y$  of  $\text{supp}(f)$ , say of cardinality  $q$ , in which case it needs one inversion of a  $q \times q$ -matrix and many inversions of  $k \times k$ -matrices.

Finally, in the last section, we will use all these results to discuss in some detail how for a given submonoid  $U$  of the multiplicative monoid  $(K, *)$  and for variable  $n, q, k \in \mathbb{N}$  the minimal cardinality of zero-test sets in  $U^n$  for  $k$ -sums of characters from the set  $X = X(q, n)$  varies with  $n, q$  and  $k$ . As it will turn out there seems to exist some kind of ‘phase transition’ depending on the size first of all of  $q$ , but also of  $n$  and  $k$ , relative to the cardinality of  $U$ . This will help to clarify in particular the relation between the results presented in [BT88], [CDGK88] and [GKS88].

## 1 Character Sums of Cyclic Groups

In this section we assume  $A$  to be a cyclic group, generated by some  $a \in A$ , and we assume  $X$  to consist of all  $K$ -valued characters of  $A$ :

$$X = \text{Hom}(A, (K, *)).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume  $A$  to be infinite in which case evaluation at  $a$  defines a bijection

$$X \rightarrow K, \quad \chi \mapsto \chi(a)$$

whose inverse is given by

$$K \rightarrow X, \quad c \mapsto (\chi_c : A \rightarrow K, a^i \mapsto c^i).$$

The basis observation on which everything in the next two sections is based, is the following Vandermonde Lemma:

**Lemma 1.1** *Let  $A$  be a cyclic group generated by an element  $a \in A$ . Then for  $X = \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  and each natural number  $k \leq \#A$  the set*

$$\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{k-1}\}$$

*is a minimal zero-test set for  $X_k$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k f_{\kappa} \chi_{\kappa} \in X_k$  be a  $k$ -sum of characters. We have

$$f(a^i) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k f_{\kappa} \chi_{\kappa}(a^i) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^k f_{\kappa} \chi_{\kappa}(a)^i$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus we obtain the following matrix equation

$$(\chi_{\kappa}(a)^i)_{0 \leq i < k, 1 \leq \kappa \leq k} \cdot (f_{\kappa})_{1 \leq \kappa \leq k} = (f(a^i))_{0 \leq i < k}.$$

The  $k$ -square matrix  $(\chi_{\kappa}(a)^i)_{0 \leq i < k, 1 \leq \kappa \leq k}$  is a non-singular Vandermonde matrix since the  $\chi_{\kappa}(a)$  are pairwise different.  $\square$

Note that our proof shows as well how to compute the coefficients of any  $f \in X_k$  from the values  $f(1), f(a), f(a^2), \dots, f(a^{k-1})$  once its support is known.

To find the support of  $f$  from its values on the zero-test set  $\{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{2k-1}\}$  for  $X_{2k}$  we can use the following result, rather special cases of which occur in [BT88] and [CDGK88] and decoding of BCH-codes, see [LN83].

**Theorem 1** *Let  $A$  be a cyclic group generated by an element  $a \in A$  and let  $f$  be a sum of at most  $k$  characters from  $X = \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$ . Then the following holds:*

i) The rank of the matrix  $M_k := (f(a^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j < k}$  coincides with the cardinality of  $\text{supp}(f)$ .

ii) If  $\tilde{k} := \#\text{supp}(f) \leq k$  and if

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_{\tilde{k}} \end{pmatrix} := M_{\tilde{k}}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -f(a^{\tilde{k}}) \\ -f(a^{\tilde{k}+1}) \\ \vdots \\ -f(a^{2\tilde{k}-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

then the equation

$$X^{\tilde{k}} + e_1 X^{\tilde{k}-1} + \dots + e_{\tilde{k}-1} X + e_{\tilde{k}} = 0 \quad (1)$$

has  $\tilde{k}$  different solutions  $c_1, \dots, c_{\tilde{k}}$  in  $K$ . Furthermore one has

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{\chi_{c_\kappa} \mid 1 \leq \kappa \leq \tilde{k}\}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f = \sum_{\kappa \in I} f_\kappa \chi_\kappa$ , where  $I$  is any finite superset of the indices of the support of  $f$ . We denote by  $e_i(I)$  the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $\#I$  indeterminates, evaluated at  $(\chi_\alpha(a))_{\alpha \in I}$ . Now substituting  $\chi_\alpha(a)$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , for  $X$  in the polynomial

$$p := \prod_{\beta \in I} (X - \chi_\beta(a)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\#I} (-1)^{\#I-j} e_{\#I-j}(I) \cdot X^j \in K[X] \quad (2)$$

yields the generalized Newton identities [MS72], p. 244

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\#I} (-1)^{\#I-j} e_{\#I-j}(I) \chi_\alpha(a)^j, \quad \alpha \in I.$$

Fixing an  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , multiplying the equation corresponding to  $\alpha$  by  $f_\alpha \chi_\alpha(a)^i$  and summing over all  $\alpha \in I$  results in the following system of equations

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\#I} (-1)^{\#I-j} e_{\#I-j}(I) f(a^{i+j}), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As  $e_0(I) = 1$  the equations for  $0 \leq i < \#I$  are equivalent to the matrix equation

$$(f(a^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j < \#I} \cdot \left( (-1)^{\#I-j} e_{\#I-j}(I) \right)_{0 \leq j < \#I} = -(f(a^{i+\#I}))_{0 \leq i < \#I}. \quad (3)$$

The matrix  $(f(a^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j < \#I}$  equals  $(\chi_\alpha(a^i)) D_I (\chi_\alpha(a^i))^t$ , where the  $\#I$ -square matrix  $D_I$  is the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}((f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I})$ , see [LN83], 9.48, 9.49. As  $\#\{\chi_\alpha(a) \mid \alpha \in \text{supp}(f)\} = \tilde{k}$ , the cardinality of  $\text{supp}(f)$  equals the rank of the  $k$ -square matrix  $(f(a^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j < k}$  and this proves *i*). Furthermore  $M_{\tilde{k}} = (f(a^{i+j}))_{0 \leq i, j < \tilde{k}}$  is non-singular and from equation (3) we see that  $e_{\tilde{k}-j} = (-1)^{\#I-j} e_{\#I-j}(I)$  holds for all  $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{k}$  and for  $I = \text{supp}(f)$ . Therefore the polynomial in equation (1) coincides with *p* and *ii*) is proved.  $\square$

If an efficient algorithm for finding the roots of a polynomial over  $K$  which is known to have all its roots in  $K$ , then it is easy to derive an efficient algorithm to interpolate any  $f \in X_k$  from its values on  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{2k-1}\}$  from Theorem 1

## 2 Character Sets which Allow Reduction to Cyclic Groups

Given an abelian monoid  $A$  and a set  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  of  $K$ -valued characters of  $A$ , we say that  $X$  *allows reduction to one cyclic group* if there exists an element  $a \in A$  which distinguishes all characters in  $X$ , i.e.  $\chi(a) \neq \xi(a)$  for  $\chi \neq \xi$ . It follows immediately from the Artin-Dedekind Lemma that in this case a  $k$ -sum  $f$  of characters from  $X$  is trivial if and only if the restriction of  $f$  to the cyclic group generated by  $a$  is trivial. Hence the results of section 1 can be applied. In particular  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{k-1}\}$  is a zero-test for  $X_k$  and the sums  $f$  of characters in  $X_k$  can be identified from the values of  $f$  on  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{2k-1}\}$ .

Important examples of character sets which allow cyclic reduction are the following ones:

1. If the submonoid  $U$  of  $(K, *)$  contains a submonoid which is a free abelian submonoid of rank  $n$ , generated, say, by  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ , (in particular, this is the case if  $U$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), then the set of all monomial characters  $\{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  of  $U^n$  allows reduction to a cyclic group:

indeed, any two different monomial characters of  $U^n$  differ necessarily on  $a := (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . This implies in particular many of the results presented in [GK87] and [BT88], where  $u_i$  is chosen to be the  $i$ -th prime.

2. Similarly, if  $U \leq (K, *)$  contains at least one element, say  $u$ , of infinite order or of order at least  $q^n$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  then all monomial characters in  $X := X(q, n) = \{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{q}^n\}$  differ on  $a := (u, u^q, \dots, u^{q^{n-1}})$  in view of the uniqueness of  $q$ -adic expansion, and this is used in [CDGK88].

In particular, since any infinite submonoid of  $(K, *)$  either contains an element of infinite order or cyclic submonoids of arbitrary large order (cf. Artin) we get the following essentially trivial, though surprisingly general theorem.

**Theorem 2** *If  $U$  is an infinite submonoid of the multiplicative monoid  $(K, *)$  of a field  $K$  and if for natural numbers  $n$  and  $q$*

$$X := X(q, n) = \{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{q}^n\}$$

*denotes the set of all monomial characters of  $U^n$  local degree between 0 and  $q - 1$ , then for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a zero-test set of the form  $\{1, a, \dots, a^{k-1}\}$  for  $X^k$  in  $U^n$  and, in addition, one can identify the support of any map  $f \in X_k$  from its values on a corresponding zero-test set for  $X_{2k}$ .*

To apply the results from section 1 even in cases which do not simply allow reduction to a cyclic group we use the following definition: for given  $A$  and  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(X, (K, *))$ , as above we define a subset  $D \subseteq A$  to be a  $k$ -cover for  $X$  if for any subset  $Y \subseteq X$  of cardinality at most  $k$  there exists some  $a \in D$  with  $\chi(a) \neq \psi(a)$  for all  $\chi, \psi \in Y$  with  $\chi \neq \psi$ . Obviously, Lemma 1.1 implies :

**Lemma 2.1** *If  $D$  is a  $k$ -cover of an abelian monoid  $A$  and for a character set  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(X, (K, *))$ , then  $D^{[k]} := \{a^i \mid a \in D, 1 \leq i < k\}$  is a zero-test set for  $X_k$ .*

In particular, we have the following

**Corollary 2.2** *If  $X = \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  and if  $D \subseteq A$  generates  $A$ , then  $D^{[2]} = D \cup \{1_A\}$  is a zero-test set for  $X_2$ .*



To construct  $k$ -covers in more general situations we may adopt an idea from [GKS88]: for  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  and a natural number  $k$  we define the collection of  $h$ -distinction sets:

$$\mathcal{D}(X, h) := \{D \subseteq A \mid \forall \chi, \xi \in X, \chi \neq \xi, \#\{d \in D \mid \chi(d) = \xi(d)\} < h\}.$$

Hence a member  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}(X, h)$  has the property that for every pair of distinct characters there are at most  $h - 1$  elements in  $D$  where the two characters are equal. Of course we are not interested in sets  $D$  of cardinality smaller than  $h$ , which are trivially in  $\mathcal{D}(X, h)$ , but in those which are large enough to have subsets being in  $\mathcal{D}(X, 1)$  as well.

**Lemma 2.3** *Every  $h$ -distinction set  $D$  having more than  $(h-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2}$  elements is a  $k$ -cover of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$ , having at most  $k$  elements. The set  $\cup_{\chi, \xi \in Y, \chi \neq \xi} \{d \in D \mid \chi(d) = \xi(d)\}$  has at most  $(h-1) \cdot \binom{\#Y}{2} \leq (h-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2}$  elements, therefore there exists an element  $a$  in  $D$  such that  $\chi(a) \neq \xi(a)$  for all distinct  $\chi, \xi \in Y$ .  $\square$

In [GKS88] D. Grigoriev, M. Karpinski and M. Singer have shown that the following observation — tranformed here into our more general context — has striking consequences.

**Lemma 2.4 (cf. [GKS88])** . *Let  $A$  denote an abelian monoid and assume  $K$  to be field, containing a primitive root of unity  $\omega$  of order  $e$ . Assume that for some positive integer  $n$  we have characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n : A \rightarrow K$ , elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ , and integers  $\epsilon_{\mu, \nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n$  such that*

$$\chi_\mu(a_\nu) = \omega^{\epsilon_{\mu, \nu}}.$$

*Assume furthermore that  $\det(\epsilon_{\mu, \nu}) \neq 0$  and that  $c := (c_{\nu, \rho})_{1 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq \rho \leq r}$  is an  $n \times r$ -matrix for some  $r \geq n$  such that every  $n \times n$ -submatrix of  $c$  has a non-vanishing determinant. Then if*

$$q := \left\lceil \frac{e}{n \cdot \max_{\mu, \rho} (|\sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \epsilon_{\mu, \nu} c_{\nu, \rho}|)} \right\rceil$$

and

$$X := \{\chi^\alpha : A \rightarrow R \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{q}^n\},$$

where  $\chi^\alpha$  denotes  $\prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \chi_\nu^{\alpha_\nu}$ , is a set of  $q^n$  different characters, then the set

$$D := \{d_\rho := \prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} a_\nu^{c_{\nu,\rho}} \mid 1 \leq \rho \leq r\}$$

is in  $\mathcal{D}(X, n)$ .

**Proof.** For every pair of different characters  $\chi^\alpha$  and  $\chi^\beta$  from  $X$  and for all  $1 \leq \rho \leq r$  we have

$$\chi^\alpha(d_\rho) = \omega^{\sum_{\mu=1}^n (\sum_{\nu=1}^n \epsilon_{\mu,\nu} c_{\nu,\rho}) \alpha_\mu}$$

which equals  $\chi^\beta(d_\rho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \epsilon_{\mu,\nu} c_{\nu,\rho} \right) (\alpha_\mu - \beta_\mu) \equiv 0 \pmod{e}.$$

Furthermore we have

$$\left| \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \epsilon_{\mu,\nu} c_{\nu,\rho} \right) (\alpha_\mu - \beta_\mu) \right| \leq n \cdot \max_{\mu,\rho} \left( \left| \sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \epsilon_{\mu,\nu} c_{\nu,\rho} \right| \right) (q-1) < e.$$

Altogether two different characters  $\chi^\alpha, \chi^\beta \in X$  coincide at an element  $d_\rho \in D$  if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^n \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \epsilon_{\mu,\nu} c_{\nu,\rho} \right) (\alpha_\mu - \beta_\mu) = 0.$$

If there were more than  $n-1$  elements from  $D$  where  $\chi^\alpha$  and  $\chi^\beta$  coincide then the non-singularity of the corresponding  $n \times n$ -submatrix of  $c$  together with that of  $\det(\epsilon_{\mu,\nu})$  would imply  $\alpha - \beta = (0, \dots, 0)$ .  $\square$

In order to apply this lemma we first of all have to construct an integral matrix  $c$  satisfying the requirements from the lemma and having not too large entries. To do this we present the following lemma from [GKS88], which uses Cauchy's determinants in a rather elegant way:

**Lemma 2.5** *For every two positive integers  $r$  and  $n$  there exists an integral  $n \times r$ -matrix  $c = (c_{\nu,\rho})_{1 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq \rho \leq r}$ , the absolute value of each entry bounded by  $n+r-1$ , such that no subdeterminant of  $c$  vanishes. Furthermore, all entries in the first row are pairwise different.*

**Proof.** Choose a prime number  $p$  with  $n + r \leq p < 2(n + r)$ . Then for  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  and  $1 \leq \rho \leq r$  none of the numbers  $\nu + \rho - 1$  considered in  $GF(p)$  equals 0. Therefore we can consider the matrix

$$\left( \frac{1}{\nu + \rho - 1} \right)_{1 \leq \nu, \rho < r} \in GF(p)^{n \times r}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 below no subdeterminant of this matrix vanishes. Choose integers  $c_{\nu, \rho}$  with  $-\frac{p-1}{2} \leq c_{\nu, \rho} \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\nu + \rho - 1} = c_{\nu, \rho}$  in  $GF(p)$ , then the same is true for the matrix  $c = (c_{\nu, \rho})$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6 (Cauchy)** . *For every natural number  $n$  the following identity in rational functions in commuting indeterminates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  holds:*

$$\det \left( \frac{1}{x_i + y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n} = \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_j - y_i)}{n \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_i + y_j)}.$$

**Proof.** The polynomial

$$\prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (x_i + y_j) \det \left( \frac{1}{x_i + y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

is not the zero-polynomial, because the coefficient of

$$x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \dots x_{n-1} y_1^{n-1} y_2^{n-2} \dots y_{n-1}$$

is 1. Considered as polynomial in the  $y$ 's it is alternating. Each coefficient of the monomials in the  $y$ 's is itself an alternating polynomial in the  $x$ 's. As the Vandermonde is the alternating non-zero polynomial having smallest degree, namely  $\binom{n}{2}$ , it is a scalar multiple of the Vandermonde determinant involving the indeterminates  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and the coefficient has to be a Vandermonde determinant involving  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .  $\square$

The last lemmata together imply the next result.

**Theorem 3** *If  $U$  is a finite (and therefore cyclic!) subgroup order  $e$  of the multiplicative group of a field  $K$ , if  $A = U^n$  is the  $n$ -fold direct product of  $U$ , then for every positive integer  $k$  and  $q$  satisfying*

$$n \cdot (q - 1) \cdot (n + (n - 1) \cdot \binom{k}{2}) < e$$

there exists a zero-test set of order at most  $k \cdot ((n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2} + 1)$  for the sums of characters from  $X(q, n)_k = \{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{q}^n\}_k$ .

**Proof.** W.l.o.g. assume  $n \geq 2$ . Put  $r := (n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2} + 1$  and choose  $c = (c_{\nu, \rho})_{1 \leq \nu \leq n, 1 \leq \rho \leq r}$  according to Lemma 2.5. Choose a generator  $\omega$  for  $U$ . Note that  $\chi_\mu(a_\nu) = \omega^{\delta_{\mu, \nu}}$  for

$$a_\nu := (1, \dots, 1, \omega, 1, \dots, 1),$$

$\omega$  at the position  $\nu$ , and the projections  $\chi_\mu = \chi^{(\delta_{\mu, 0}, \dots, \delta_{\mu, n-1})}$  to the  $\mu$ -th component holds for all  $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq n$ . An application of Lemma 2.4 guarantees the set

$$D := \{d_\rho := \prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} a_\nu^{c_{\nu, \rho}} \mid 1 \leq \rho \leq r\}$$

to be in  $\mathcal{D}(X(\tilde{q}, n), n)$  for  $\tilde{q} := \lceil \frac{e}{n \cdot \max_{\mu, \rho} (|\sum_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \epsilon_{\mu, \nu} c_{\nu, \rho}|)} \rceil$  and therefore in  $\mathcal{D}(X(q, n), n)$  in view of

$$\begin{aligned} q &< \frac{e}{n \cdot (n + (n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2})} + 1 = \frac{e}{n \cdot (n + r - 1)} + 1 \leq \\ &\leq \frac{e}{n \cdot \max_{\mu, \rho} (|c_{\mu, \rho}|)} + 1 \leq \tilde{q} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

that is  $q \leq \tilde{q}$ . In view of  $0 \neq |c_{0, \rho} - c_{0, \rho'}| \leq 2 \cdot (n + r - 1) = 2 \cdot (n + (n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2}) < e$  and therefore  $d_\rho \neq d_{\rho'}$  for  $1 \leq \rho < \rho' \leq r$  the set  $D$  has  $r$  elements. Hence  $(n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2} \leq r = \#D$  and we may apply the Lemmata 2.3 and 2.1 to conclude that

$$\{(\omega^{\kappa \cdot c_{0, \rho}}, \omega^{\kappa \cdot c_{1, \rho}}, \dots, \omega^{\kappa \cdot c_{n-1, \rho}}) \mid 1 \leq \rho \leq r, 1 \leq \kappa < k\}$$

is a zero-test set for  $X(q, n)_k$  of size at most  $k \cdot r = k \cdot ((n-1) \cdot \binom{k}{2} + 1)$   $\square$

The main result of the paper [GKS88] is the case where  $K$  is the finite field  $GF(s)$  for a some  $s$  with  $s \geq qk^2n^2$  and  $U := GF(s) \setminus \{0\}$  in Theorem 3.

### 3 General Case

If no reduction to a cyclic group is possible, all we can do is to give a method for a recursive construction of zero-test sets for direct products of abelian monoids from those of the factors.

**Lemma 3.1** (cf. [CDGK88]) . *If  $A$  and  $B$  are abelian monoids, if for given  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  and  $Y \subseteq \text{Hom}(B, (K, *))$  we have zero-test sets  $A_1 = \{1_A\}, A_2, \dots, A_k \subseteq A$  and  $B_1 = \{1_B\}, B_2, \dots, B_k \subseteq B$  for  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k$ , respectively, then — identifying  $\text{Hom}(A \times B, (K, *))$  with  $\text{Hom}(A, (K, *)) \times \text{Hom}(B, (K, *))$ , as usual — the set*

$$\bigcup_{i+j \leq k} A_i \times B_j \subseteq A \times B$$

*is a zero-test set for  $(X \times Y)_k$ .*

**Proof.** Note that any  $f \in (X \times Y)_k$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$f = \sum_{\eta \in Y} f_\eta \cdot \eta$$

for some  $f_\eta \in X_{i(\eta)}$  ( $\eta \in Y$ ) and  $\sum_{\eta \in Y} i(\eta) \leq k$ . Obviously the cardinality  $j$  of the  $Y$ -support  $\text{supp}_Y(f) := \{\eta \in Y \mid f_\eta \neq 0\}$  of  $f$  is bounded by  $k$  and in case  $f \neq 0$  there must exist some  $\eta_0 \in \text{supp}_Y(f)$  with  $i(\eta_0) \leq \frac{k}{j}$ . Choose  $a \in A_{i(\eta_0)}$  with  $f_{\eta_0}(a) \neq 0$ . Consequently,  $f(a, -)$  is a non-zero  $j$ -sum of characters from  $Y$  for which we can find an element  $b \in B_j$  with  $f(a, b) \neq 0$ .

□

This lemma generalizes immediately to the situation of more than two factors.

**Lemma 3.2** *If  $A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)}$  are abelian monoids, if for given  $X^{(\nu)} \subseteq \text{Hom}(A^{(\nu)}, R)$  we have zero-test sets  $A_1^{(\nu)} = \{1_{A^{(\nu)}}\}, A_2^{(\nu)}, \dots, A_k^{(\nu)} \subseteq A^{(\nu)}$  for  $X_1^{(\nu)}, X_2^{(\nu)}, \dots, X_k^{(\nu)}$ , respectively for  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ , then the set*

$$\bigcup_{i_1 \dots i_n \leq k} A_{i_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times A_{i_n}^{(n)} \subseteq A^{(1)} \times \dots \times A^{(n)}$$

*is a zero-test set for  $k$ -sums from  $X^{(1)} \times \dots \times X^{(n)} \subseteq \text{Hom}(A^{(1)}, R) \times \dots \times \text{Hom}(A^{(n)}, R) = \text{Hom}(A^{(1)} \times \dots \times A^{(n)}, R)$ .*

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $A$  be a finitely generated abelian group isomorphic to  $\prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} C_{q_\nu}$  where  $C_{q_\nu}$  is a cyclic group of order  $q_\nu$ ,  $q_\nu$  a prime power or  $\infty$ , generated by  $a_\nu$ . Then*

$$\bigcup_{\substack{k_1 \dots k_n \leq k \\ k_\nu \leq \min(k, q_\nu)}} T_{k_1}^{(1)} \times \dots \times T_{k_n}^{(n)},$$

where  $T_{k\nu}^{(\nu)} := \{1, a_\nu, a_\nu^2, \dots, a_\nu^{k\nu-1}\}$  is a zero-test set for all sums of characters from  $X_k = \{\chi^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n} \mathbf{q}_\nu\}$  ( $\infty := \mathbb{Z}$ ).

**Theorem 4 (cf. [CDGK88])** . Let  $U$  be a finite submonoid of order  $q$  of the multiplicative group of a field  $K$  for a natural number  $q$ , let  $X$  be the set of characters  $X(n, q)$  for  $U^n$  and  $T$  be any zerotest set for  $X_k$ . If  $U$  contains 0, then for every subset  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\#S \leq \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$  the set  $T$  contains an element  $a^S = (a_1^S, \dots, a_n^S)$  with  $S = \{i : a_i^S = 0\}$ . Hence  $T$  has at least  $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor} \binom{n}{i}$  elements.

**Proof.** For every subset  $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\#S \leq \lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$  define a sum of characters by

$$f_S := \prod_{i \in S} (\chi_i^{q-1} - 1) \cdot \prod_{i \notin S} \chi_i,$$

where  $\chi_i$  is the projection to the  $i$ -th component. These functions have the following properties:

1.  $p_S$  is in  $X_k$ .
2.  $p_S(a) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\{i : a_i = 0\} = S$ .

The first property follows from  $2^{\#S} \leq 2^{\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor} \leq k$ , the second from the fact that the zeros of  $\chi_i^{q-1} - 1$  are exactly the elements of  $U \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence, to distinguish such a polynomial and the zero-polynomial, there has to be an element  $a^S$  as claimed in the set  $A$ .  $\square$

In case  $q = 2$  we may combine Corollary 2.2 and the results before to obtain a minimal zero-test set.

**Theorem 5 (cf. [CDGK88])** . Let  $U$  be the submonoid  $\{0, 1\}$  of a field  $K$ , let  $X$  be the set of characters  $X(n, 2)$  for  $U^n$ . Then

$$\{a^S \in U^n \mid S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, a_i^S = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in S; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

is a minimal zero-test set of  $X_k$  of cardinality  $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor} \binom{n}{i}$  .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that this set really is a zero-test set, but this follows from Lemma 3.1 using  $A_2^{(\nu)} := \{0, 1\}$  for all  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$ .  $\square$

As we have observed already in the introductions there does not seem to exist a universally applicable algorithm which would allow to interpolate  $k$ -sums of characters from some character set  $X$  from their restrictions to zero-test sets for  $X_{2k}$ . Hence to construct interpolation algorithms one has to consider more specific situations. One such situation is described in the following:

**Theorem 6 .** *Assume that for some field  $K$ , some monoid  $A$ , some finite set  $X \subseteq \text{Hom}(A, (K, *))$  of  $K$ -valued characters of  $A$  of cardinality  $q$ , and some subset  $D \subseteq A$  of the same cardinality  $q$  with  $\det(\chi(a))_{\chi \in X, a \in D} \neq 0$ , the inverse of the  $q \times q$ -matrix  $(\chi(a))_{\chi \in X, a \in D}$  is given and that in addition for any two natural numbers  $k$  and  $n$  a zero-test set  $T_{n,k} \subseteq A^n$  of cardinality  $t(n, k)$  for  $X^n$  is specified. Then for any  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  one can compute  $\text{supp}(f)$  as well as the coefficients of  $f$  for any  $f \in (X^n)_k$  from altogether at most  $n \cdot (k^2 = q) \cdot t(n - 1, k)$  evaluations of  $f$  by an algorithm which needs at most  $2n$  matrix inversions, each matrix having at most  $k$  rows and columns, and otherwise only matrix multiplications and methods to find for  $r \leq k$  and  $r \leq l \leq \max(k^2, q)$  the first  $r$  linearly independent columns in an  $r \times l$  matrix of rank  $r$ . Moreover, the  $2n$  matrix inversions can be performed on  $n$  parallel processors so that the first  $n$  inversions, then the next  $\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}, \dots$  inversions can be done in parallel, leading to altogether to  $\log_2(n)$  basic computational rounds.*

**Proof.** We define set partitions  $P^l := (P_1^l, \dots, P_{\lceil \frac{n}{2^l} \rceil}^l)$  of  $\mathbf{n}$  for  $0 \leq l \leq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$  by

$$P_\nu^l := \{\nu \cdot 2^l, \nu \cdot 2^l + 1, \dots, (\nu + 1) \cdot 2^l - 1\},$$

of course stopping at  $n - 1$  in the last part. Next the sets  $(\text{supp}_{P_\nu^l})_{0 \leq \nu < \lceil \frac{n}{2^l} \rceil}$  are determined inductively. In case  $l = 0$  we use  $n$ -times Lemma ?? for  $T := P_\nu^0 = \{\nu\}$  and the supersets  $\hat{Y}^{\{\nu\}}$ , always setting  $A^T$  to be  $\prod_{\nu \notin T} A^{(\nu)}$  and making the usual identifications. For the induction step we use at most  $\lceil \frac{n}{2^{l+1}} \rceil$ -times Lemma ?? for  $T := P_\nu^{(l+1)}$  and the supersets  $\hat{Y}^{P_\nu^{l+1}} := \text{supp}_{P_{2\nu}^l}(f) \times \text{supp}_{P_{2\nu+1}^l}(f)$ .

This is justified as more generally suppose that for disjoint subsets  $T_0$  and  $T_1$  of  $\mathbf{n}$  the corresponding supports  $\text{supp}_{Y^{T_0}}(f)$  and  $\text{supp}_{Y^{T_1}}(f)$  are known,

then it is clear that we can use  $Y^{T_0 \cup T_1} \supseteq \hat{Y}^{T_0 \cup T_1} := \text{supp}_{Y^{T_0}}(f) \times \text{supp}_{Y^{T_1}}(f)$  as a finite superset of  $\text{supp}_{Y^{T_0 \cup T_1}}(f)$ .

Finally we arrive at  $\text{supp}(f)$ . An application of lemma ?? for  $T := \mathbf{n}$  gives the coefficients of  $f$ .  $\square$

Note that we only required elements  $d^T$  and zero-test sets  $Z^T$  for the at most  $2n + 1$  sets occurring in the set partitions. The calculations to recover  $f$  require at most  $2n + 1$  applications of Lemma ??, i.e. in step 0 we have to invert (in parallel)  $n$  Vandermonde matrices, having as many rows and columns as the cardinality of the given supersets of  $\text{supp}_{\{\nu\}}(f)$ . In the next  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$  steps at each stage  $l$  at most  $\lceil \frac{n}{2^l} \rceil$  Vandermonde matrices of size  $k^2 \times k^2$  have to be inverted. A further inversion of a  $k \times k$  Vandermonde matrix gives the coefficients. Note further that the number of evaluation points can be reduced if one allows adaptive algorithms.

A similar result holds for the more general case of products  $\prod_{1 \leq \nu \leq n}$  and character sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as long as for every  $1 \leq \nu \leq n$  a zero-test set for a  $(\prod_{\mu \neq \nu} X_\mu)_k$  is known.

## References

- [BT88] Ben-Or, M., Tiwari, P. A Deterministic Algorithm for Sparse Multivariate Polynomial Interpolation, Proc. STOC ACM, (1988).
- [CDGK88] Clausen, M., Dress, A., Grabmeier, J., Karpinski, M. On zero-testing and interpolation of  $k$ -sparse multivariate polynomials over finite fields, Theor. Comp. Sc., to appear, 1989
- [GK87] Grigoriev, D.Y., Karpinski, M. The Matching Problem for Bipartite Graphs with Polynomially Bounded Permanents is in NC, Proc. 28<sup>th</sup> IEEE FOCS (1987), Los Angeles, Oct. 12–14, 1987.
- [GKS88] Grigoriev, D.Y., Karpinski, M., Singer, M.F. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Sparse Multivariate Polynomial Interpolation over Finite Fields, preprint, 1988.
- [KR88] Karp, R.M., Ramachandran, V. L. A Survey of Parallel Algorithms for Shared-Memory Machines, Preprint, 1988, to appear in the Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science, North-Holland



- [LN83] Lidl, H., Niederreiter, H. Finite Fields, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol.10, Cambridge University Press 1983.
- [MS72] MacWilliams, F.J., Sloane, N.J.A. The Theory of Error Correcting Codes, North Holland (1972).
- [M86] Mulmuley, K. A Fast Parallel Algorithm to Compute the Rank of a Matrix over an Arbitrary Field, Proc. STOC ACM (1986), 338–339.