Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **80B** (2018)
Article #10, 12 pp.

Proceedings of the 30th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Hanover)

The kernel of chromatic quasisymmetric functions on graphs and nestohedra

Raul Penaguiao*[1]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, University of Zürich, Zürich*

Abstract. We study the chromatic symmetric function on graphs, and show that its kernel is spanned by the modular relations. We generalise this result to the chromatic quasisymmetric function on nestohedra, a family of generalised permutahedra. We use this description of the kernel of the chromatic symmetric function to find other graph invariants that may help us tackle the tree conjecture.

Keywords: chromatic symmetric function, combinatorial Hopf algebras, generalized permutahedra

This is an extended abstract, of which the full version [10] is yet to be published.

1 Introduction

Chromatic function on graphs

For a graph G with vertex set $V(G)$, a colouring f of the graph G is a map $f : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$. A colouring is *proper* if no edge is monochromatic. Stanley defines in [14] the *chromatic symmetric function* of G in commuting variables $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ as

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G) = \sum\nolimits_f x_f \, ,$$

where we write $x_f = \prod_{v\in V(G)} x_{f(v)}$, and the sum runs over proper colourings of the graph G. Note that $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G)$ is in the ring *Sym* of symmetric functions, which is a Hopf subalgebra of *QSym*, the ring of quasisymmetric functions. A long standing conjecture in this subject, commonly referred to as the *tree conjecture*, is that if two trees T_1, T_2 are not isomorphic, then $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(T_1) \neq \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(T_2)$.

When $V(G) = [n]$, the natural ordering on the vertices allows us to consider a non-commutative analogue of $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$, as done by Gebhard and Sagan in [5]. They define the chromatic symmetric function on non-commutative variables $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{G}}(G) = \sum\nolimits_f \mathbf{a}_f \, ,$$

*raul.penaguiao@math.uzh.ch; the author appreciates the support of the SNF grant number 172515.

where we write $\mathbf{a}_f = \prod_{v=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{f(v)}$, and we sum over the proper colourings f of G.

Note that $\mathbf{\Psi_G}(G)$ is also symmetric in the variables $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i\geq 1}$. Such functions are called *word symmetric functions*. The ring of word symmetric functions, **WSym** for short, was introduced in [12], and is sometimes called the ring of symmetric functions in non-commutative variables.

We consider graphs whose vertex sets are of the form $[n]$ for some $n \geq 0$, where we convention that $[0] = \emptyset$, and write $\mathbf{G}$ for the free linear space generated by such graphs. This can be endowed with a Hopf algebra structure, as described by Schmitt in [13].

In this paper we describe generators for $\ker \mathbf{\Psi_G}$ and $\ker \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$. A similar problem was already considered for posets. In [4], Féray studies $\Psi_{\mathbf{Pos}}$, the Gessel quasisymmetric function defined on the poset Hopf algebra, and describes a set of generators of its kernel.

Some elements of the kernel of $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ have previously been constructed independently in [7] by Guay-Paquet and in [9] by Orellana and Scott. These relations, called *modular relations*, extend naturally to the non-commutative case. We introduce them now.

Given a graph G and an edge set E that is disjoint from $E(G)$, let $G \cup E$ denote the graph G with the edges in E added to it. In [7] and [9], it was observed that for a graph G, if we have edges $e_3 \in G$ and $e_1, e_2 \notin G$ such that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ forms a triangle, then

$$\mathbf{\Psi_G}(G) - \mathbf{\Psi_G}(G \cup \{e_1\}) - \mathbf{\Psi_G}(G \cup \{e_2\}) + \mathbf{\Psi_G}(G \cup \{e_1, e_2\}) = 0\,. \tag{1.1}$$

For such a graph G, we call the formal sum $G - G \cup \{e_1\} - G \cup \{e_2\} + G \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ a *modular relation on graphs*. An example is given in Figure 1. Our first goal is to show that these modular relations span the kernel of the chromatic symmetric function.



Figure 1: Example of a modular relation.

Theorem 1.1 (Kernel and image of $\mathbf{\Psi_G}$)**.** *The modular relations span* $\ker \mathbf{\Psi_G}$*. The image of* $\mathbf{\Psi_G}$ *is* ***WSym****.*

Two graphs G_1, G_2 are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijection between the vertices that preserves edges. For the commutative version of the symmetric function, if two isomorphic graphs G_1, G_2 are given, we know that $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G_1)$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G_2)$ are the same. The formal sum in $\mathbf{G}$ given by $G_1 - G_2$ is called an *isomorphism relation on graphs*.

Theorem 1.2 (Kernel and image of $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$)**.** *The modular relations and the isomorphism relations generate the kernel of the commutative chromatic symmetric function* $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$*. The image of* $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ *is* ***Sym****.*

The second part of this theorem follows from previous work. For instance, in [3], several bases of *Sym* are constructed that are of the form $\{\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G_\lambda) | \lambda \vdash n\}$.

In the last section of this paper we introduce a new graph invariant $\tilde{\Psi}(G)$. That modular relations on graphs are in the kernel of $\tilde{\Psi}$ is easy to see. It will follow from Theorem 1.2 that $\ker \Psi_{\mathbf{G}} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Psi}$. This reduces the tree conjecture in $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ to this new invariant $\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{G}}$.

The maps $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ arise as a more general construction in Hopf algebras. For a Hopf algebra $\mathbf{H}$, a *character* η of $\mathbf{H}$ is a linear map $\eta : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{K}$ that preserves the multiplicative structure and the unit of $\mathbf{H}$. In [2], Aguiar, Bergeron, and Sottile define a *combinatorial Hopf algebra* as a pair $(\mathbf{H}, \eta)$ where $\mathbf{H}$ is a Hopf algebra and $\eta : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbb{K}$ a character of $\mathbf{H}$. For any combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathbf{H}, \eta)$, a canonical Hopf algebra morphism to *QSym* is constructed in [2]. The maps $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathit{Sym}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbf{G} \to$ **WSym** are Hopf algebra morphisms that can be obtained in such a manner: If we take the character $\eta(G) = \mathbb{1}[G \text{ has no edges}]$, the canonical Hopf algebra morphism for $(\mathbf{G}, \eta)$ is exactly the map $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$. The map $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{G}}$ arises from a parallel result in Hopf monoids, as presented in [10]. The Gessel quasisymmetric function $\Psi_{\mathbf{Pos}}$ on posets arises similarly.

We present analogues to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in the combinatorial Hopf algebra of nestohedra, which is a combinatorial Hopf subalgebra of generalised permutahedra.

Generalised Permutahedra

Generalised permutahedra are particular polytopes that include permutahedra, associahedra and graph zonotopes. The reader can see some results in the topic in [11].

The Minkowski sum of two polytopes $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ is set as $\mathfrak{a} +_M \mathfrak{b} = \{a + b | a \in \mathfrak{a}, b \in \mathfrak{b}\}$. The Minkowski difference $\mathfrak{a} -_M \mathfrak{b}$ is defined as the unique polytope $\mathfrak{c}$ that satisfies $\mathfrak{b} +_M \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a}$, if it exists. We denote the Minkowski sum of several polytopes as ${}^M\sum_i \mathfrak{a}_i$.

If we let $\{e_i | i \in I\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^I$, a *simplex* is a polytope of the form $\mathfrak{s}_J = conv\{e_j | j \in J\}$ for non-empty $J \subseteq I$, and a generalised permutahedron in $\mathbb{R}^I$ is a polytope of the form

$$\mathfrak{q} = \left({}^M\sum_{\substack{J \neq \emptyset \\ a_J > 0}} a_J \mathfrak{s}_J \right) -_M \left({}^M\sum_{\substack{J \neq \emptyset \\ a_J < 0}} |a_J| \mathfrak{s}_J \right) , \tag{1.2}$$

for reals $\{a_J\}_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I}$ that can be either positive, negative or zero. We identify a generalised permutahedron $\mathfrak{q}$ with the list $\{a_J\}_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I}$. Note that not every list of real numbers will give us a generalised permutahedron, since the Minkowski difference is not always defined.

A *nestohedron* is a generalised permutahedron where the coefficients a_J are non-negative. For a nestohedron $\mathfrak{q}$, we denote $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}) \subseteq 2^I \setminus \emptyset$ as the family of sets $J \subseteq I$ such that $a_J > 0$. Finally, for a set $A \subseteq 2^I \setminus \emptyset$, we write $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(A)$ for the nestohedra

$\mathfrak{q} = {}^{M}\sum_{J\in A}\mathfrak{s}_J$. Note that the nestohedra $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}))$ are, in general, distinct, so some care is needed with this notation. However, the face structure is the same, and we will have an explicit combinatorial equivalence in Proposition 4.1.

In [1], Aguiar and Ardila define **GP**, a Hopf algebra structure on the linear space generated by generalised permutahedra in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 0$. The Hopf subalgebra **Nesto** is the linear space generated by nestohedra. In [6], Grujić introduced a quasisymmetric map in generalised permutahedra $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}} : \mathbf{GP} \to QSym$ that we will recall now.

For a polytope $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^I$, Grujić defines a function $f : I \to \mathbb{N}$ as $\mathfrak{q}$-generic if the face of $\mathfrak{q}$ given by $\arg\min_{x\in\mathfrak{q}} \sum_{i\in I} f(i)x_i =: \mathfrak{q}_f \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, is a point. Equivalently, f is $\mathfrak{q}$-generic if it lies in the interior of the normal cone of some vertex.

Then Grujić defines for $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ commutative variables, the quasisymmetric function:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{f \text{ is } \mathfrak{q}\text{-generic}} x_f \,. \tag{1.3}$$

If we consider the character $\eta(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathbb{1}[\mathfrak{q} \text{ is a point}]$, then $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$ is the canonical Hopf algebra morphism associated with the combinatorial Hopf algebra $(\mathbf{GP}, \eta)$.

In [1], Aguiar and Ardila define the graph zonotope $Z : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{GP}$, a Hopf algebra morphism that is injective and maps $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ to $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$. They also define other maps from other combinatorial Hopf algebras, like matroids, to **GP**, that preserve the canonical Hopf algebra morphisms. If we are able to describe $\ker\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$, then such maps $Z : \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{GP}$ give us some information on $\ker\Psi_{\mathbf{H}}$ using that $Z(\ker\Psi_{\mathbf{H}}) = \ker\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}} \cap Z(\mathbf{H})$.

We discuss now a non-commutative version of $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$, for which we will establish an analogue of Theorem 1.1 to nestohedra. Consider the Hopf algebra of word quasisymmetric functions **WQSym**, a version of $QSym$ in non-commutative variables introduced in [8].

For a generalised permutahedron $\mathfrak{q}$ and non-commutative variables $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}_{i\geq 1}$, we set

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{f \text{ is } \mathfrak{q}\text{-generic}} \mathbf{a}_f \,.$$

It is easily seen (and shown in [10]) that $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a word quasisymmetric function. This defines a Hopf algebra morphism between **GP** and **WQSym**. Let us call $\Psi_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ to the restrictions of $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}$ to **Nesto**, respectively.

Our next theorems describe the kernel of the maps $\Psi_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$, using two types of relations. The simple relations presented in Proposition 4.1 convey that when the coefficients a_I that are positive in $\mathfrak{q}_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2$ are the same, then $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_1) = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$. The modular relations are exhibited in Theorem 4.2. These generalise the ones for graphs, in the sense that the graph zonotope embedding $Z : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{GP}$, presented in [1], maps modular relations on graphs to modular relations on nestohedra.

Theorem 1.3 (Kernel of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$)**.** *The space* $\ker\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ *is generated by the simple relations and modular relations on nestohedra.*

In Definition 2.4 we define a proper subspace **SC** of **WQSym**. It is shown in the bottom of Page 10 that $\mathbf{SC} = \operatorname{im} \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ is a Hopf algebra. The dimension of $\mathbf{SC}_n$ is computed in [10], where in particular it is shown that it is exponentially smaller than the dimension of $\mathbf{WQSym}_n$.

Two generalised permutahedra $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2$ are isomorphic if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates. If $\mathfrak{q}_1, \mathfrak{q}_2$ are isomorphic, the commutative chromatic quasisymmetric functions $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ and $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$ are the same. We call to $\mathfrak{q}_1 - \mathfrak{q}_2$ an **isomorphism relation on nestohedra**.

Theorem 1.4 (Kernel and image of $\Psi_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$)**.** *The space* $\ker \Psi_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ *is generated by the modular relations and the isomorphism relations. The image of* $\Psi_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ *is* ***QSym****.*

A description of $\ker \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$ is less general than a description of $\ker \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}$. Nevertheless, most of the combinatorial objects embedded in **GP** are also in **Nesto**, such as graphs and matroids, so the result in the **Nesto** Hopf subalgebra can already be used to help us on other kernel problems.

Notation: We will use boldface for Hopf algebras in non-commutative variables, their elements, like word symmetric functions, and the associated combinatorial objects, for sake of clarity.

2 Preliminaries

For an equivalence relation $\sim$ on a set A, we call $[x]_\sim$ to the equivalence class of x in $\sim$, and we write $[x]$ when $\sim$ is clear from context. We write both $\mathcal{E}(\sim)$ and $A/\sim$ for the set of equivalence classes of $\sim$.

2.1 Linear algebra preliminaries

The following easy linear algebra lemmas will be useful to compute generators of the kernels and the images of Ψ and $\mathbf{\Psi}$. These lemmas describe a sufficient condition for a set $\mathcal{B}$ to span the kernel of a linear map $\phi : V \to W$. The proofs of these lemmas are basic linear algebra and can be found in [10].

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with a basis $\{a_i | i \in [m]\}$, $\phi : V \to W$ be a linear map, and $\mathcal{B} = \{b_j | j \in J\} \subseteq \ker \phi$ be a family of relations.*

Assume that there exists $I \subseteq [m]$ such that:

- *the elements $(\phi(a_i))_{i \in I}$ form a linearly independent family in W,*
- *for $i \in [m] \setminus I$ we have $a_i = b + \sum_{k=i+1}^{m} \lambda_k a_k$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and some scalars λ_k;*

Then $\mathcal{B}$ spans $\ker\phi$. Additionally, we have that $(\phi(a_i))_{i\in I}$ is a basis of the image of ϕ.

The following lemma will help us deal with the composition $\Psi = \text{comu}\circ\mathbf{\Psi}$, where comu is the commutator projection, that sends $\mathbf{a}_i$ to x_i. In the lemma we give a sufficient condition for a natural enlargement of the set $\mathcal{B}$ to generate $\ker\Psi$.

Lemma 2.2. *We will use the same notation as in Lemma 2.1. Let $\phi_1 : W \to W'$ be a linear map and call $\phi' = \phi_1 \circ \phi$. Take an equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\{a_i\}_{i\in[m]}$ that satisfies $\phi'(a_i) = \phi'(a_j)$ whenever $a_i \sim a_j$. Define $\mathcal{C} = \{a_i - a_j |\, a_i \sim a_j\}$ and write $\phi'([a_i]) = \phi'(a_i)$ with no ambiguity.*

Assume the hypothesis in Lemma 2.1 and, additionally, suppose that $(\phi'([a_i]))_{[a_i]\in\mathcal{E}(\sim)}$ is linearly independent. Then $\ker\phi'$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{C}$ and $(\phi'([a_i]))_{[a_i]\in\mathcal{E}(\sim)}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{im}\phi'$.

2.2 Hopf algebras and associated combinatorial objects

In the following, all the Hopf algebras $\mathbf{H}$ have a grading, denoted as $\mathbf{H} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbf{H}_n$.

An *integer composition*, or simply a composition, of n, is a list $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k)$ of positive integers which sum is n. We write $\alpha \models n$. We denote $l(\alpha)$ for the length of the list and we denote as $\mathcal{C}_n$ the set of compositions of size n.

An *integer partition*, or simply a partition, of n is a non-increasing list $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k)$ of positive integers which sum is n. We denote $\lambda \vdash n$. We write $l(\lambda)$ for the length of the list and we denote as $\mathcal{P}_n$ the set of partitions of size n. By disregarding the order of the parts on a composition α we obtain a partition denoted $\lambda(\alpha)$.

A *set partition* $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \{\boldsymbol{\pi}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_k\}$ of a set I is a collection of non-empty disjoint subsets of I, called *blocks*, that cover I. We write $\boldsymbol{\pi} \vdash I$. We denote $l(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ for the length of the set partition. We write $\mathbf{P}_I$ for the family of set partitions of I, or simply $\mathbf{P}_n$ if $I = [n]$. By counting the elements on each block we obtain an integer partition denoted $\lambda(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \vdash \#I$. We identify a set partition $\boldsymbol{\pi} \in \mathbf{P}_I$ with an equivalence relation $\sim_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ on I, where $x \sim_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} y$ if $x, y \in I$ are on the same block of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

A *set composition* $\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = S_1|\cdots|S_l$ of I is a list of non-empty disjoint subsets of I that cover I. We write $\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \models I$. We denote $l(\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$ for the length of the set composition. We call $\mathbf{C}_I$ to the family of set compositions of I, or simply $\mathbf{C}_n$ if $I = [n]$. By disregarding the order of a set composition $\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$, we obtain a set partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) \vdash I$. By counting the elements on each block we obtain a composition $\alpha(\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}) \models \#I$. A set composition $\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ is naturally identified with a total preorder $P_{\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}}$ on I, where $x\, P_{\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}}\, y$ if $x \in S_i, y \in S_j$ for $i \leq j$.

A *colouring* of the set I is a function $f : I \to \mathbb{N}$. The set composition type $\vec{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(f)$ of a colouring $f : I \to \mathbb{N}$ is the set composition obtained after deleting the empty sets of $f^{-1}(1)|f^{-1}(2)|\cdots$.

We recall that in partitions and in set partitions, it is defined a classical *coarsening order* $\leq$, where we say that $\lambda \leq \tau$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\pi} \leq \boldsymbol{\tau}$) if τ is obtained from π by adding some parts (resp. if $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ by merging some blocks).

Recall that the homogeneous component $QSym_n$ (resp. Sym_n, $\mathbf{WSym}_n$, $\mathbf{WQSym}_n$) of the Hopf algebra $QSym$ (resp. Sym, $\mathbf{WSym}$, $\mathbf{WQSym}$) has a monomial basis indexed by compositions (resp. partitions, set partitions, set compositions). We will denote this basis by $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_n}$ (resp. $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n}$, $\{\mathbf{m}_\pi\}_{\pi\in\mathbf{P}_n}$, $\{\mathbf{M}_{\vec{\pi}}\}_{\vec{\pi}\in\mathbf{C}_n}$).

2.3 Monomial basis and nestohedra Hopf algebra

For a non-empty set $A \subseteq [n]$ and a set composition $\vec{\pi} \in \mathbf{C}_n$, we construct the set $A_{\vec{\pi}} = \{\text{minima of A in } P_{\vec{\pi}}\}$. We say that $A_{\vec{\pi}} = pt$ if $A_{\vec{\pi}}$ is a singleton. The following lemma is part of the folklore of generalised permutahedra and is shown in [10].

Lemma 2.3 (Vertex normal cone characterization)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a nestohedron. A colouring f is $\mathfrak{q}$-generic if and only if $A_{\vec{\pi}(f)} = pt$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})$. Furthermore, the face $\mathfrak{q}_f$ that minimizes $\sum_i f(i)x_i$ only depends on the set composition $\vec{\pi}(f)$.*

We write $\mathfrak{q}_{\vec{\pi}}$ for the face $\mathfrak{q}_f$ for any f of set composition type $\vec{\pi}$, without ambiguity. For $\vec{\pi} \in \mathbf{C}_n$, we define the *fundamental nestohedron* as $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{A \subseteq [n] \,|\, A_{\vec{\pi}} = pt\}$.

On set compositions, we write that $\vec{\pi}_1 \preceq \vec{\pi}_2$ whenever for any non-empty $A \subseteq [n]$ we have $A_{\vec{\pi}_1} = pt \Rightarrow A_{\vec{\pi}_2} = pt$. Equivalently, $\vec{\pi}_1 \preceq \vec{\pi}_2$ if $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_1}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_2})$. Note that this makes $\preceq$ into a preorder, which we call the *singleton commuting preorder* or *SC preorder*.

Additionally, we define the equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\mathbf{C}_n$ as $\vec{\pi} \sim \vec{\tau}$ if $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}} = \mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\tau}}$. A combinatorial interpretation of this equivalence relation can be found below in Proposition 2.5, which also motivates the name of the preorder defined above.

Define $\mathbf{N}_{[\vec{\pi}]} = \sum_{\vec{\tau}\sim\vec{\pi}} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{\tau}} \in \mathbf{WQSym}$, which forms a linear independent family. The following is a corollary of the proof of Theorem 1.3:

Definition 2.4. *The singleton commuting space* $\mathbf{SC}$ *is the span of* $\{\mathbf{N}_{[\vec{\pi}]} \,:\, [\vec{\pi}] \in \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{C}_n/\sim\}$.

The following proposition gives us a way to describe the equivalence classes of $\sim$. In particular, in [10], it allows us to compute the dimensions of $\mathbf{SC}_n$. The proof can be found in [10].

Proposition 2.5. *For $\vec{\pi}, \vec{\tau} \in \mathbf{C}_I$, we have $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}} = \mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\tau}}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\vec{\pi}) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}(\vec{\tau})$ and each $a, b \in I$ that satisfies both $a\, P_{\vec{\pi}}\, b$ and $b\, P_{\vec{\tau}}\, a$ are either singletons or in the same block in $\boldsymbol{\lambda}(\vec{\pi})$.*

From the definition of $\preceq$, we have the following consequence of Lemma 2.3.

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}}) = \sum_{\vec{\pi}\preceq\vec{\tau}} \mathbf{M}_{\vec{\tau}}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

As presented, (2.1) seems to shows that $(\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}}))_{\vec{\pi}\in\mathbf{C}_n}$ writes triangularly with respect to the monomial basis. Since $\preceq$ is not an order, that is not the case, but we obtain a related result with this reasoning:

Lemma 2.6. *The family* $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathfrak{p}^{[\vec{\pi}]}))_{[\vec{\pi}]\in \mathbf{C}_n/\sim}$ *forms a basis of* $\mathbf{SC}$.

The following lemma is helpful to show Theorem 1.4 and is shown in [10].

Lemma 2.7. *There is an order* $\leq'$ *on* $\mathcal{C}_n$ *that satisfies* $\vec{\pi} \preceq \vec{\tau} \Rightarrow \alpha(\vec{\pi}) \leq' \alpha(\vec{\tau})$.

3 Main theorems on graphs

With Lemma 2.1, we will show that the kernel of $\mathbf{\Psi_G}$ is spanned by the modular relations.



Figure 2: Example for proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $\mathbf{G}_n$ is spanned by graphs with vertex set $[n]$. We choose an order $\tilde{\geq}$ in this family of graphs in a way that the number of edges is non-decreasing.

For a set partition π of the vertex set $[n]$, we define K_π as the graph where $\{i,j\} \in E(K_\pi)$ if $i \sim_\pi j$. Then, it can be noted that $\mathbf{\Psi_G}(K^c_\pi) = \sum_{\tau \leq \pi} \mathbf{m}_\tau$ (see [5, Proposition 3.2]), so we know that the transition matrix of $\{\mathbf{\Psi_G}(K^c_\pi) | \pi \in \mathbf{P}_n\}$ over the monomial basis of **WSym** is upper triangular, hence forms a basis set of $\mathbf{WSym} = \operatorname{im} \mathbf{\Psi_G}$.

In order to apply Lemma 2.1 to the set of modular relations on graphs, it suffices to show the following: if a graph G is not of the form K^c_π, then we can find a formal sum $G - G \cup \{e_1\} - G \cup \{e_2\} + G \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$ that is a modular relation. Indeed, G is the graph with least edges in that expression, so it is the smallest in the order $\tilde{\geq}$. If the above holds, Lemma 2.1 implies that the modular relations generate the space $\ker \mathbf{\Psi_G}$.

To find the desired modular relation, it is enough to find a triangle $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $e_1, e_2 \notin E(G)$ and $e_3 \in E(G)$. Consider τ, the set partition given by the connected components of G^c. By hypothesis, $G \neq K^c_\tau$, so there are vertices v, w in the same block of τ that are not neighbours in G^c. Without loss of generality we can take such u, w that are at distance 2 in G^c, so they have a common neighbour v in G^c. The edges $e_1 = \{v, u\}$, $e_2 = \{v, w\}$ and $e_3 = \{u, w\}$ form the desired triangle, concluding the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Our goal is to apply Lemma 2.2 to the map $\Psi_\mathbf{G} = \text{comu} \circ \mathbf{\Psi_G}$ for the equivalence relation corresponding to graph isomorphism. First, if $\lambda(\pi) = \lambda(\tau)$ then K^c_π and K^c_τ are isomorphic graphs. Define without ambiguity $r_{\lambda(\pi)} = \Psi_\mathbf{G}(K^c_\pi)$.

From the proof of Theorem 1.1, to apply Lemma 2.2 it is enough to establish that the family $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n}$ is linearly independent. Indeed, it would follow that $\ker \Psi_\mathbf{G}$ is generated by the modular relations and the isomorphism relations, and $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{im} \Psi_\mathbf{G}$, which spans Sym_n via a dimension argument, concluding the proof.

The linear independence of $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n}$ follows from the fact that its transition matrix over the monomial basis, under the coarsening order in integer partitions, is upper triangular. Indeed, since $\mathbf{\Psi_G}(K^c_{\pi}) = \sum_{\tau\leq\pi}\mathbf{m}_{\tau}$, if we let τ run over set partitions and σ run over integer partitions, we have

$$r_{\lambda(\pi)} = \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(K^c_{\pi}) = \sum_{\tau\leq\pi} m_{\lambda(\tau)} = \sum_{\sigma\leq\lambda(\pi)} a_{\pi,\sigma}\, m_\sigma = m_{\lambda(\pi)} + \sum_{\sigma<\lambda(\pi)} a_{\pi,\sigma}\, m_\sigma\,,$$

where $a_{\pi,\sigma} = \#\{\tau\leq\pi|\lambda(\tau)=\sigma\}$, so $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}_n}$ is linearly independent. □

Remark 3.1. *We have obtained in the proof of Theorem 1.2 that $(r_\lambda)_{\lambda\vdash n}$ is a basis for Sym_n, different from other "chromatic bases" proposed in [3]. The proof gives us a recursive way to compute the coefficients ζ_λ on the span $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G) = \sum_\lambda \zeta_\lambda r_\lambda$.*

Similarly in the non-commutative case, we see that $\mathbf{WSym}_n$ is spanned by $(\mathbf{\Psi_G}(K^c_{\pi}))_{\pi\vdash[n]}$, and so other coefficients arise. We can ask for combinatorial properties of these coefficients.

4 Main theorems on nestohedra

The following proposition is trivial when we consider (1.2).

Proposition 4.1 (Simple relations for $\mathbf{\Psi_{Nesto}}$). *Take two nestohedra $\mathfrak{q}_1 = {}^M\sum_{I\in 2^{[n]}\setminus\emptyset} a_I\mathfrak{s}_I$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2 = {}^M\sum_{I\in 2^{[n]}\setminus\emptyset} b_I\mathfrak{s}_I$ such that we have $a_I = 0 \Leftrightarrow b_I = 0$. Then $\mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$.*

This proposition allows us to reduce the kernel problem on nestohedra to those nestohedra that satisfy $a_J\in\{0,1\}$. We call these *primitive nestohedra*.

For non-empty sets $A\subseteq[n]$, we define $\text{Orth}\, A = \{\vec{\pi}\in\mathbf{C}_n | A_{\vec{\pi}} = pt\}$. We have:

Theorem 4.2 (Modular relations for $\mathbf{\Psi_{Nesto}}$). *Let $\{A_k|k\in K\}$ and $\{B_j|j\in J\}$ be two disjoint families of non-empty subsets of $[n]$. Let us write $\mathcal{K} = \cup_{k\in K}(\text{Orth}\, A_k)^c$, and $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{j\in J}\text{Orth}\, B_j$. Consider the nestohedron $\mathfrak{q} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{A_k|k\in K\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}\cup\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{C}_n$. Then,*

$$\textstyle\sum_{T\subseteq J}(-1)^{\#T}\,\mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}\left[\mathfrak{q} +_M \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{B_j|j\in T\}\right] = 0\,.$$

The proof of this result is done combinatorially, and is presented in [10].

Call $\sum_{T\subseteq J}(-1)^{\#T}\left[\mathfrak{q} +_M \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{B_j|j\in T\}\right]$ a *modular relation on nestohedra*. In Figure 3 we see such a modular relation for $n=4$ and $\mathfrak{q} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\{1,4\},\{1,2,4\}\}$.

If $l = G - G\cup\{e_1\} - G\cup\{e_2\} + G\cup\{e_1,e_2\}$ is a modular relation on graphs, the graph zonotope $Z(l)$ is the modular relation on nestohedra corresponding to $\mathfrak{q} = Z(G)$, i.e. $\{A_k|k\in K\} = E(G)$, $B_1 = e_1$ and $B_2 = e_2$. In this case, the condition $\mathcal{K}\cup\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{C}_n$ follows from the fact that no proper colouring of G is monochromatic in both e_1 and e_2.

Recall the *fundamental nestohedra*, set as $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{A\subseteq[n]| A_{\vec{\pi}} = pt\}$, which depends only on the SC-equivalence class of $\vec{\pi}$ and we can write without ambiguity $\mathfrak{p}^{[\vec{\pi}]} = \mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}}$.



Figure 3: A modular relation on nestohedra for $\mathfrak{q} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\{1,4\},\{1,2,4\}\}$.

We follow here roughly the same idea as in the graph case: We use the family of nestohedra $(\mathfrak{p}^{[\vec{\pi}]})_{[\vec{\pi}]\in \mathbf{C}_n/\sim}$ to apply Lemma 2.1, whose image by $\mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}$ is linearly independent and is rich enough to span the image.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will apply Lemma 2.1 with Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

First recall that $\mathbf{Nesto}_n$ is a linear space generated by the nestohedra in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We choose a total order $\geq$ on the nestohedra so that $\#\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})$ is non decreasing.

Lemma 2.6 guarantees that $(\mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{[\vec{\pi}]}))_{[\vec{\pi}]\in \mathbf{C}_n/\sim}$ is linearly independent. Therefore, it suffices to show that for any primitive nestohedra $\mathfrak{q}$ that is not a fundamental nestohedron, we can write some modular relation b as $b = \mathfrak{q} + \sum_i \lambda_i \mathfrak{q}_i$, where $\#\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}) < \#\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q}_i)\ \forall i$.

Indeed, it would follow from Lemma 2.1 that the modular relations on nestohedra span $\ker \mathbf{\Psi_{Nesto}}$. As a consequence, $\operatorname{im} \mathbf{\Psi_{Nesto}}$ is spanned by the sets $\{\mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{[\vec{\pi}]}) | [\vec{\pi}] \in \mathbf{C}_n/\sim\}$ for each $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 2.6, this image is $\mathbf{SC}_n$.

To obtain the desired modular relation, we invoke Theorem 4.2 on $\{A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})\}$ and $\{B \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})\}$. Let us write $\mathcal{K} = \cup_{A\in\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})} (\operatorname{Orth} A)^c$ and $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{B\notin\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})} \operatorname{Orth} B$. We will first show that we have $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{J} = \mathbf{C}_n$.

Take, for sake of contradiction, some $\vec{\pi} \notin \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{J}$. Note that $\vec{\pi} \notin \mathcal{K}$ is equivalent to $A_{\vec{\pi}} = pt$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})$. Note as well that $\vec{\pi} \notin \mathcal{J}$ is equivalent to $B_{\vec{\pi}} \neq pt$ for every $B \notin \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})$. Therefore, if $\vec{\pi} \notin \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}}$, contradicting the assumption that $\mathfrak{q}$ is not a fundamental nestohedron. We obtain that $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{J} = \mathbf{C}_n$. Finally, note that

$$\mathfrak{q} + \sum_{\substack{T\subseteq\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{q})^c \\ T\neq\emptyset}} (-1)^{\#T} \left[\mathfrak{q} +_M \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T)\right] ,$$

is a modular relation of the desired form, concluding the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1. □

It also follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\operatorname{im} \mathbf{\Psi_{GP}}$ is spanned by $\{\mathbf{N}_{[\vec{\pi}]} : [\vec{\pi}] \in \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathbf{C}_n/\sim\}$, and $\mathbf{SC}$ is a connected graded bialgebra, hence it is a Hopf algebra.

For the commutative case we will apply Lemma 2.2. Note that we already have a generator set of $\ker \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{Nesto}}$, so similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we just need to establish some linear independence.

Recall that two nestohedra $\mathfrak{q}_1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_2$ are isomorphic if there is a permutation matrix P such that $x \in \mathfrak{q}_2 \Leftrightarrow Px \in \mathfrak{q}_1$. Since we are in the commutative case now, if $\vec{\pi}_1$ and $\vec{\pi}_2$ share the same composition type, then $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_2}$ are isomorphic, and so we have $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_1}) = \Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}_2})$. Set $R_{\alpha(\vec{\pi})} := \Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}})$ without ambiguity.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We will apply Lemma 2.2 to the map $\Psi_{\mathbf{GP}} = \mathrm{comu} \circ \mathbf{\Psi}_{\mathbf{GP}}$ on the equivalence relation corresponding to the isomorphism of nestohedra.

From the proof of Theorem 1.3, to apply Lemma 2.2 it is enough to establish that the family $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n}$ is linearly independent. It would follow that $\ker \Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}$ is generated by the modular relations and the isomorphism relations, and $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{im} \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$, concluding the proof.

To show the linear independence of $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n}$, we write R_α on the monomial basis of $QSym$, and use the order $\leq'$ mentioned in Lemma 2.7.

As a consequence of (2.1), if we write $A_{\vec{\pi},\beta} = \#\{\vec{\tau} \in \mathbf{C}_n | \vec{\pi} \preceq \vec{\tau},\ \alpha(\vec{\tau}) = \beta\}$, we have:

$$R_{\alpha(\vec{\pi})} = \Psi_{\mathbf{GP}}(\mathfrak{p}^{\vec{\pi}}) = \sum_{\vec{\pi} \preceq \vec{\tau}} M_{\alpha(\vec{\tau})} = A_{\vec{\pi},\alpha(\vec{\pi})} M_{\alpha(\vec{\pi})} + \sum_{\alpha(\vec{\pi}) <' \beta} A_{\vec{\pi},\beta} M_\beta\,, \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear that $A_{\vec{\pi},\alpha(\vec{\pi})} > 0$, so independence follows, which completes the proof. □

5 A new graph invariant

Consider the ring $\mathbb{K}[[q_1, q_2, \cdots ; x_1, x_2, \cdots]]$ on two countable families of commuting variables, and let R be such a ring modulo the relations $q_i(q_i - 1)^2 = 0$.

Consider the graph invariant $\tilde{\Psi}(G) = \sum_f x_f \prod_i q_i^{c_G(f,i)}$ in R, where the sum runs over **all** colourings f, and $c_G(f,i)$ stands for the number of monochromatic edges of colour i in the colouring f (i.e. edges $\{v_1, v_2\}$ such that $f(v_1) = f(v_2) = i$).

It is easy to see that if l is a modular relation on graphs, then $\tilde{\Psi}(l) = 0$. It follows that any modular relation is in $\ker \tilde{\Psi}$. From Theorem 1.2 we have that $\ker \Psi_{\mathbf{G}} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\Psi}$, so we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *For any graphs G_1, G_2, we have $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G_1) = \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}(G_2) \Rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}(G_1) = \tilde{\Psi}(G_2)$.*

If we find a graph invariant satisfying Proposition 5.1 that takes different values for any pair of non-isomorphic trees, we obtain a proof of the tree conjecture. We wish to use Theorem 1.2 to prove Proposition 5.1 for other invariants.

We have $\tilde{\Psi}(G)|_{q_i=0} = \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$, so $\ker \tilde{\Psi} = \ker \Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$. Hence, the tree conjectures in $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$ and in $\tilde{\Psi}$ are equivalent. The specialisations $\tilde{\Psi}(G)|_{q_i=1}$ and $\frac{d}{dq_i}\tilde{\Psi}(G)|_{q_i=1}$ are also allowed.

Note that a priori, $\tilde{\Psi}$ contains more information than $\Psi_{\mathbf{G}}$, making a possible proof of the tree conjecture easier.

References

[1] M. Aguiar and F. Ardila. “Hopf monoids and generalized permutahedra”. 2017. arXiv: 1709.07504.

[2] M. Aguiar, N. Bergeron, and F. Sottile. “Combinatorial Hopf algebras and generalized Dehn–Sommerville relations”. *Compos. Math.* **142**.1 (2006), pp. 1–30. URL.

[3] S. Cho and S. van Willigenburg. “Chromatic bases for symmetric functions”. *Electron. J. Combin.* **23**.1 (2016), Paper 1.15, 7. URL.

[4] V. Féray. “Cyclic inclusion-exclusion”. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **29**.4 (2015), pp. 2284–2311. DOI: 10.1137/140991364.

[5] D.D. Gebhard and B.E. Sagan. “A chromatic symmetric function in noncommuting variables”. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **13**.3 (2001), pp. 227–255. DOI: 10.1023/A:1011258714032.

[6] V. Grujić. “Faces of graphical zonotopes”. *Ars Math. Contemp.* **13**.1 (2017), pp. 227–234. URL.

[7] M. Guay-Paquet. “A modular law for the chromatic symmetric functions of (3+ 1)-free posets”. 2013. arXiv: 1306.2400.

[8] J.-C. Novelli and J.-Y. Thibon. “Polynomial realizations of some trialgebras”. *FPSAC 2006 proceedings*. 2006.

[9] R. Orellana and G. Scott. “Graphs with equal chromatic symmetric functions”. *Discrete Math.* **320** (2014), pp. 1–14. DOI: 10.1016/j.disc.2013.12.006.

[10] R. Penaguiao. “The kernel of chromatic quasisymmetric functions on graphs and nestohedra”. In preparation. 2017.

[11] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, and L. Williams. “Faces of generalized permutohedra”. *Doc. Math* **13** (2008), pp. 207–273.

[12] M.H. Rosas and B.E. Sagan. “Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358**.1 (2006), pp. 215–232. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-04-03623-2.

[13] W.R. Schmitt. “Incidence Hopf algebras”. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **96**.3 (1994), pp. 299–330. DOI: 10.1016/0022-4049(94)90105-8.

[14] R.P. Stanley. “A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph”. *Adv. Math.* **111**.1 (1995), pp. 166–194. DOI: 10.1006/aima.1995.1020.