

# Boolean product polynomials and Schur-positivity

Louis J. Billera<sup>\*1</sup>, Sara C. Billey<sup>†2</sup> and Vasu Tewari<sup>‡3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA 19104

**Abstract.** We study a family of symmetric polynomials that we refer to as the Boolean product polynomials. The motivation for studying these polynomials stems from the computation of the characteristic polynomial of the real matroid spanned by the nonzero vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  all of whose coordinates are either 0 or 1. To this end, one approach is to compute the zeros of the Boolean product polynomials over finite fields. The zero loci of these polynomials cut out hyperplane arrangements known as resonance arrangements, which show up in the context of double Hurwitz polynomials. By relating the Boolean product polynomials to certain total Chern classes of vector bundles, we establish their Schur-positivity by appealing to a result of Pragacz relying on earlier work on numerical positivity by Fulton–Lazarsfeld. Subsequently, we study a two-alphabet version of these polynomials from the viewpoint of Schur-positivity. As a special case of these polynomials, we recover symmetric functions first studied by Désarménien and Wachs in the context of descents in derangements.

**Keywords:** Resonance hyperplane arrangement, minimal balanced collections, vector bundle methods, Schur-positivity, symmetric functions

## 1 Introduction

Consider the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$  commuting indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For a nonempty subset  $S$  of  $[n]$ , define the linear form  $X_S$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by the sum

$$X_S := \sum_{i \in S} x_i.$$

For integers  $k, n$  satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , define homogeneous polynomials  $B_{n,k}(X)$  of degree  $\binom{n}{k}$  and  $B_n(X)$  of degree  $2^n - 1$  by the products

$$B_{n,k}(X) := \prod_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} X_S \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(X) := \prod_{k=1}^n B_{n,k}(X). \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>[billera@math.cornell.edu](mailto:billera@math.cornell.edu). Supported in part by the Simons Foundation.

<sup>†</sup>[billey@math.washington.edu](mailto:billey@math.washington.edu). Partially supported by grant DMS-1101017 from the NSF.

<sup>‡</sup>[vtewari@math.upenn.edu](mailto:vtewari@math.upenn.edu)

For brevity, let  $B_{n,k} := B_{n,k}(X)$  and  $B_n := B_n(X)$  when the alphabet is understood. We refer to  $B_{n,k}$  as the  $(n,k)$ -th Boolean product polynomial and to  $B_n$  as the  $n$ -th total Boolean product polynomial.

Observe that the polynomials  $B_n(X)$  and  $B_{n,k}(X)$  are symmetric under permutations of the variables. In fact, one might consider the Boolean product polynomials to be among the most “natural symmetric polynomials” along with elementary, homogeneous, and monomial symmetric polynomials, and Stanley’s chromatic symmetric polynomials. The main focus of this article is the Schur-positivity of all Boolean product polynomials.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any positive integers  $k \leq n$ , the  $(n,k)$ -th Boolean product polynomial  $B_{n,k}(X)$  is Schur-positive. That is, there exist nonnegative integers  $\kappa_\lambda^{(n,k)}$  such that*

$$B_{n,k}(X) = \sum_{\lambda} \kappa_{\lambda}^{(n,k)} s_{\lambda}(X).$$

Furthermore, the  $n$ -th total Boolean product polynomial is Schur-positive.

Our motivation for studying the Boolean product polynomials stems from three long-standing open problems. One comes from matroid theory/hyperplane arrangements, one comes from economics/game theory/physics, and one comes from Hadamard’s maximal determinant problem (see Section 4).

The first interesting open problem is to find the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_n(t)$  for the real matroid  $M_n$  spanned by 0-1 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The same polynomial  $\chi_n(t)$  is the characteristic polynomial of the hyperplane arrangement corresponding to hyperplanes given by the vanishing of  $X_S$  for all nonempty  $S \subseteq [n]$ . This arrangement has also appeared in the work of [5], where it is called the *resonance arrangement*. One of the main results in [5] is that the regions of the resonance arrangement are in fact the chambers of polynomiality of the genus  $g$  double Hurwitz numbers. One approach to computing  $\chi_n(t)$  is the *finite field method* due to Athanasiadis [2], which asserts that, for large enough primes  $p$ ,  $\chi_n(p)$  is the number of points in  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  in the complement of the resonance arrangement. The finite field method is also described nicely in [22] and [23, Section 3.11.4]. This approach was used in [13, Lemma 5.3] to compute  $\chi_n$  for small  $n$ .

As a variety, the resonance arrangement is the zero locus of the total Boolean product polynomial  $B_n(X)$  as defined in (1.1). In addition, the zero locus of  $B_{n,k}(X)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a central hyperplane arrangement in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Klivans and Reiner [14] study the zonotope dual to this subarrangement of the resonance arrangement in the context of degree sequences of hypergraphs. This zonotope, called the polytope of degree sequences in [14] is the Minkowski sum of the line segments  $[0, \mathbf{e}_S]$  where  $S$  ranges over all  $k$ -subsets of  $[n]$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_S := \sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{e}_i$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our second motivating problem has roots in the work of Shapley [21] in his study of *economic equilibria*, i.e. the core of an  $n$ -person cooperative game. We start by considering collections of subsets of the finite set  $[n]$  as sets of vertices of the  $n$ -cube  $[0, 1]^n$ . Let  $2^{[n]}$

denote the set of all subsets of  $[n]$ , and let  $2^{2^{[n]}}$  denote the Boolean algebra on  $2^{[n]}$ . A collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$  is *balanced* if the convex hull of the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_S : S \in \mathcal{C}\}$  meets the main diagonal in  $[0, 1]^n$ , *i.e.*, the line between  $\mathbf{e}_\emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{[n]}$ . A collection is *unbalanced* otherwise. The set of unbalanced collections is an order ideal in  $2^{2^{[n]}}$ , while the complementary set of balanced collections is an order filter. Thus, it makes sense to consider *minimal balanced* collections and *maximal unbalanced* collections. The former were first considered by Shapley, while the latter have arisen more recently in two independent studies by Billera–Moore–Moraites–Wang–Williams [3] and Björner [4].

We are interested in the enumerative problem of counting the maximal unbalanced collections for a given  $n$ . These collections of subsets of  $[n + 1]$  are in bijection with the regions in the resonance arrangement [3]. In addition, the regions of the resonance arrangement are said to count so-called “generalized retarded functions” of quantum field theory [7], while in [13], where the arrangement is called the *all-subsets arrangement*, its regions are shown to correspond to certain preference rankings of interest in psychology and economics. From work of Zuev [26], it is known that the number of maximal unbalanced collections for a given  $n$  is asymptotically on the order of  $2^{n^2}$ , while specific upper and lower bounds were derived in [3]. One way of obtaining the number exactly is to compute the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_n(t)$  of the resonance arrangement as described above and to apply the theorem of Zaslavsky relating regions of hyperplane arrangements to the characteristic polynomial [25], see also [23, Theorem 3.11.7]. See [17, A034997] for additional known results on this integer sequence.

The outline of this extended abstract is as follows. In Section 2, we review our notation and key theorems by Lascoux and Pragacz. In Section 3, we prove our main results. As a consequence, we consider the special case of  $B_{n,n-1}(X)$  where we can give the explicit Schur expansion using work of Désarménien and Wachs on descent sets of derangements. We also generalize the Boolean product polynomials to multiple alphabets, and give additional positivity results. In Section 4, we state some additional open problems related to Boolean product polynomials.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Patricia Hersh, Steve Mitchell, and Jair Taylor for helpful discussions. We thank BIRS for the opportunity to begin this collaboration at the Algebraic Combinatorics Workshop in August 2015.

## 2 Notation and Background

Throughout this extended abstract, we fix a positive integer  $n$  and an alphabet  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Denote the symmetric group on  $n$  letters by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . We refer the reader to

Fulton–Harris [9], Macdonald [16] or Stanley [24, Chapter 7] for a detailed treatment of the combinatorics of symmetric polynomials and its relation to the representation theory of both the symmetric group and the general linear group.

## 2.1 Partitions, tableaux and symmetric polynomials

A *partition*  $\lambda$  of a positive integer  $m$  is a finite ordered list of positive integers  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = m$ . We call the  $\lambda_i$  the *parts* of  $\lambda$  and denote the number of parts by  $\ell(\lambda)$ . We denote the sum of the parts of  $\lambda$  by  $|\lambda|$ . If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $m$ , we denote this by  $\lambda \vdash m$ . Pictorially we depict  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \vdash m$  via its Young diagram drawn in French notation, which is a left-justified array of  $m$  boxes with  $\lambda_i$  boxes in row  $i$  from the bottom. Finally, we denote the unique partition of 0 by  $\emptyset$ .

A *semistandard Young tableau*  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  is a filling of the boxes of its Young diagram with positive integers such that the entries in each row increase weakly when read from left to right, whereas the entries in each column increase strictly when read from bottom to top. For any positive integer  $m$  and partition  $\lambda$ , we denote by  $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, m)$  the set of semistandard Young tableaux  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  satisfying the condition that their entries do not exceed  $m$ . A semistandard Young tableau  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  with distinct entries drawn from the set  $[\lambda]$  is said to be *standard*. An entry  $i$  in a standard Young tableau (abbreviated SYT)  $T$  is a *descent* if  $i + 1$  belongs to a row strictly above that occupied by  $i$ . Otherwise, it is an *ascent*.

The symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  by permuting variables. The resulting ring of invariants, denoted by  $\Lambda_n$ , is the well-known ring of *symmetric polynomials* in  $n$  variables. It is a polynomial algebra generated by the  $e_p(X)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n$  defined by

$$e_p(X) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_p}. \quad (2.1)$$

We refer to  $e_p(X)$  as the *p-th elementary symmetric polynomial*. Given a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , define  $e_\lambda(X)$  multiplicatively by setting  $e_\lambda(X) = e_{\lambda_1}(X) \cdots e_{\lambda_k}(X)$ . Furthermore, set  $e_\emptyset(X) = 1$ . The ring of symmetric polynomials is a graded ring with the grading given by setting  $\deg(e_p(X)) = p$ . The  $d$ -th degree graded piece, denote by  $\Lambda_n^d$ , is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear span of the  $e_\lambda(X)$  where  $\lambda \vdash d$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ . The ring of symmetric polynomials is endowed with a distinguished involution  $\omega$  that maps  $e_\lambda(X)$  to the *complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial*  $h_\lambda(X)$ .

From (2.1), it is clear how to define  $e_p(Y)$  for any finite alphabet  $Y$ . Note further that  $e_p(Y)$  is 0 if  $p > |Y|$ . Given a positive integer  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , define the following new alphabet

$$X^{(k)} := \left\{ X_S = \sum_{i \in S} x_i : S \subseteq [n], |S| = k \right\}.$$

The  $(n, k)$ -th Boolean product polynomial can alternatively be written as  $e_{\binom{n}{k}}(X^{(k)})$ .

The most important linear basis of  $\Lambda_n$  is given by the Schur functions  $s_\lambda(X)$  for all partitions  $\lambda$ . Consider  $T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, n)$  and let  $\text{cont}(T) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be the ordered sequence of nonnegative integers where  $\alpha_i$  is the number of instances of  $i$  in  $T$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $X^{\text{cont}(T)} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha_i}$ . The Schur function  $s_\lambda(X)$  is defined as follows.

$$s_\lambda(X) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, n)} X^{\text{cont}(T)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since we also work with alphabets other than  $X$ , we remark here that to define  $s_\lambda(Y)$  for any finite alphabet  $Y$ , the sole change required, other than changing  $X$  to  $Y$ , is to replace  $n$  by the cardinality of  $Y$  throughout.

**Example 2.1.** If  $n = 3$  and  $k = 2$ , the alphabet  $X^{(2)} = \{x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_3, x_2 + x_3\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(X^{(2)}) &= (x_1 + x_2) + (x_1 + x_3) + (x_2 + x_3) = 2s_{(1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ e_2(X^{(2)}) &= (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) + (x_1 + x_2)(x_2 + x_3) + (x_1 + x_3)(x_2 + x_3) \\ &= 2s_{(11)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) + s_{(2)}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \\ e_3(X^{(2)}) &= (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3)(x_2 + x_3) = s_{(21)}(x_1, x_2, x_3). \end{aligned}$$

As a more involved example, consider  $n = 5$ ,  $k = 3$  and  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ . The reader may verify that  $e_{10}(X^{(3)})$  equals the expression below, where the commas and parenthesis in our notation for partitions and the alphabet  $X$  have all been omitted:

$$\begin{aligned} &6s_{32221} + 9s_{33211} + 3s_{3322} + 3s_{3331} + 9s_{42211} + 3s_{4222} + 6s_{43111} + 9s_{4321} + 3s_{433} + 3s_{4411} \\ &+ 3s_{442} + 4s_{52111} + 4s_{5221} + 4s_{5311} + 4s_{532} + 2s_{541} + s_{61111} + s_{6211} + s_{622} + s_{631}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.1 suggests that the  $e_p(X^{(k)})$  expand positively in terms of Schur functions. This is indeed true and to establish this fact, we need a geometric perspective on obtaining the alphabet  $X^{(k)}$  starting from  $X$ .

## 2.2 Schur functors and Chern classes of vector bundles

We briefly discuss some representation theory of the general linear group  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . The reader is referred to [9, Lecture 6] for more details. Consider a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote the irreducible polynomial representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  corresponding to  $\lambda \vdash m$  by  $S^\lambda(V)$ , obtained by acting with the Young symmetrizer  $c_\lambda$  on  $V^{\otimes m}$ . We assume here that  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ . The association  $V \mapsto S^\lambda(V)$  is a functor in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces and is called the *Schur functor*. In particular,  $S^{(1^k)}(V)$  corresponds to the exterior power  $\wedge^k V$ , whereas

$S^{(k)}(V)$  corresponds to the symmetric power  $\text{Sym}^k V$ . The connection to the ring of symmetric polynomials is made explicit by the *character* map  $\text{Ch}$  defined by

$$\text{Ch}(S^\lambda(V)) = s_\lambda(X).$$

Just as partitions index the irreducible polynomial representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , they index the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . The link to Schur polynomials is made manifest by the map  $\text{Frob}$ , referred to as the *Frobenius characteristic*, that sends the irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  indexed by  $\lambda \vdash n$  to  $s_\lambda(X)$ .

We turn our attention to Chern classes of vector bundles over a smooth projective variety  $V$ . The reader is referred to [8] for further details. We merely collect facts that allow us to cast the question of the Schur-positivity of the Boolean product polynomials as one involving Chern roots. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  over  $V$ . The *total Chern class*  $c(\mathcal{E})$  is the sum of the individual Chern classes

$$c(\mathcal{E}) = 1 + c_1(\mathcal{E}) + \cdots + c_r(\mathcal{E}).$$

Note that  $c_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for all  $i > r$  [8, Theorem 3.2a]. If one assumes temporarily that  $\mathcal{E}$  is the direct sum of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ , then the Whitney-sum property [8, Theorem 3.2e] implies that

$$c(\mathcal{E}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)).$$

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is not a direct sum, the splitting principle [8, Remark 3.2.3] says that by constructing an appropriate filtration of  $\mathcal{E}$  where the successive quotients are line bundles, one may still factor the total Chern class of  $\mathcal{E}$  formally as  $c(\mathcal{E}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \alpha_i)$ . The  $\alpha_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  are said to be the *Chern roots* of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We treat Chern roots as formal variables. The observation [8, Remark 3.2.3c] that is key for us is that the Chern roots of  $S^{(k)}(\mathcal{E}) = \wedge^k \mathcal{E}$  for any positive integer  $1 \leq k \leq r$  are given by

$$\left\{ \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i : S \subseteq [r], |S| = k \right\}.$$

This should remind the reader of the construction of the alphabet  $X^{(k)}$  from  $X$ .

From this point onwards, fix a complex vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $n$ . Given a positive integer  $k$ , let  $\delta_k$  be the partition of staircase shape  $(k, k-1, \dots, 1)$ . We have the following influential theorem due to Lascoux.

**Theorem 2.2 ([15]).** *The total Chern class of  $\wedge^2 \mathcal{E}$  and  $\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}$  is Schur-positive in terms of the Chern roots  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Specifically, there exist integers  $d_{\lambda, \mu} \geq 0$  for  $\mu \subseteq \lambda$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} c(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 + x_i + x_j) = 2^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{\mu \subseteq \delta_{n-1}} d_{\delta_{n-1}, \mu} 2^{|\mu|} s_\mu(X), \\ c(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} (1 + x_i + x_j) = 2^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{\mu \subseteq \delta_n} d_{\delta_n, \mu} 2^{|\mu|} s_\mu(X). \end{aligned}$$

The  $d_{\lambda,\mu}$  appearing in Theorem 2.2 are defined as follows: pad  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with 0s so that the resulting sequences have length  $n$  each. Say we obtain  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  from  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively. Then, assuming  $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ ,

$$d_{\lambda,\mu} = \det \left( \binom{\lambda_i + n - i}{\mu_j + n - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Determinants such as the one above are called *binomial determinants*. It is not immediate that these determinants are positive. Lascoux [15] appeals to geometric considerations to establish positivity. Establishing the positivity combinatorially is the primary motivation of the seminal work of Gessel–Viennot [12] who identify  $d_{\lambda,\mu}$  as counting certain non-intersecting lattice paths in the plane. This combinatorial interpretation implies that the coefficients in the expansion in Theorem 2.2 are positive rational numbers. To prove integrality, observe that the products yielding  $c(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E})$  and  $c(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$  expand integrally in the basis of monomial symmetric polynomials. The inverse of the Kostka matrix, whose entries are integral, allows us to obtain an integral expansion in terms of Schur polynomials. To the best of our knowledge, there is no known combinatorial proof establishing that  $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$  divides  $d_{\delta_{n-1}, \mu} 2^{|\mu|}$ .

Given partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  not necessarily comparable by containment, denote by  $s_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^\mu(\mathcal{E}))$  the Schur polynomial  $s_\lambda$  evaluated at the alphabet comprising the Chern roots of  $\mathbb{S}^\mu(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $n = 3$  and  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  consist of the Chern roots of some vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank 3. Then we have

$$s_{(21)}(\mathbb{S}^{(1^2)}(\mathcal{E})) = s_{(21)}(x_1 + x_2, x_1 + x_3, x_2 + x_3) = 2s_{(3)}(X) + 5s_{(21)}(X) + 4s_{(111)}(X).$$

**Remark 2.4.** The reader should not confuse the earlier operation of substituting the alphabet corresponding to the Chern roots of  $\mathbb{S}^\mu(\mathcal{E})$  into  $s_\lambda$  for plethysm, which corresponds to taking the character of  $\mathbb{S}^\lambda(\mathbb{S}^\mu(\mathcal{E}))$ .

We recall a theorem due to Pragacz which generalizes Lascoux’s result above. The gist of the statement is also present in [18, Page 34].

**Theorem 2.5** ([19, Corollary 7.2]). Let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_k$  be vector bundles, and let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  be the alphabets consisting of their Chern roots respectively. For partitions  $\lambda, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}$ , there exists nonnegative integers  $c_{\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(k)}}^{\lambda, (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)})}$  such that

$$s_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^{\mu^{(1)}}(\mathcal{E}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{S}^{\mu^{(k)}}(\mathcal{E}_k)) = \sum_{\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(k)}} c_{\nu^{(1)}, \dots, \nu^{(k)}}^{\lambda, (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)})} s_{\nu^{(1)}}(Y_1) \cdots s_{\nu^{(k)}}(Y_k).$$

Pragacz’s proof of Theorem 2.5 relies on deep work of Fulton–Lazarsfeld [10] in the context of numerical positivity. The Hard Lefschetz theorem is a key component in the aforementioned work.

### 3 Schur-positivity using Chern roots

In this section, we establish the Schur-positivity of  $B_{n,k}(X)$  and  $B_n(X)$ . Additional consequences are then described below.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a complex vector bundle of rank  $n$ . Observe that  $c(\wedge^2 \mathcal{E}) = \sum_p e_p(X^{(2)})$  provided  $X$  is the alphabet of Chern roots of  $\mathcal{E}$ . On comparing homogeneous summands on the right hand side of the preceding equality with those in Theorem 2.2, we see that Lascoux's result yields the Schur-positivity of  $e_p(X^{(2)})$  for each  $p \geq 0$ . In particular,  $B_{n,2}(X)$  is Schur-positive. To establish positivity in the general case, we follow the route laid out by Lascoux.

For a positive integer  $k$ , recall from Section 2 that the Chern roots of  $\mathcal{S}^{(1^k)}(\mathcal{E}) = \wedge^k \mathcal{E}$  are given by the elements in the alphabet  $X^{(k)}$ . We have

$$c(\wedge^k \mathcal{E}) = \prod_{S \subseteq [n], |S|=k} \left( 1 + \sum_{i \in S} x_i \right) = \sum_{p \geq 0} e_p(X^{(k)}).$$

We will show that each  $e_p(X^{(k)})$  is Schur-positive in terms of the Chern roots of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

From Theorem 2.5, we infer that the structure coefficients  $c_v^{\lambda, \mu}$  in the following expansion are all nonnegative,

$$s_\lambda(\mathcal{S}^\mu(\mathcal{E})) = \sum_v c_v^{\lambda, \mu} s_v(X). \quad (3.1)$$

In the case where  $\mu = (1^k)$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $\lambda = (1^p)$  for some nonnegative integer  $0 \leq p \leq \binom{n}{k}$ , the left hand side of (3.1) equals  $e_p(X^{(k)})$ . This establishes the Schur-positivity of  $e_p(X^{(k)})$ . The Schur-positivity of  $B_{n,k}(X)$  is the special case  $p = \binom{n}{k}$ . Finally, the Schur-positivity of  $B_n(X) = \prod_{k=1}^n B_{n,k}(X)$  follows from the Littlewood–Richardson rule, which is an explicit positive combinatorial rule to multiply Schur polynomials.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** One can show  $B_{n,2}(X) = e_{\binom{n}{2}}(X^{(2)}) = s_{\delta_{n-1}}(X)$  as in [16, Section 3, Example 7]. However, we do not know a combinatorial proof for the positivity of  $e_p(X^{(2)})$  for  $1 < p < \binom{n}{2}$ , or of  $e_p(X^{(k)})$  for higher  $k$  in general.

#### 3.1 The $(n, n-1)$ -Boolean product polynomial: A special case

Let  $q$  be an indeterminate and consider the  $q$ -deformation of  $B_{n,n-1}(X)$  defined as

$$B_{n,n-1}(X; q) := \prod_{i=1}^n (h_1(X) + qx_i). \quad (3.2)$$



To motivate the above deformation, we consider the special cases  $q = 0$  and  $q = -1$ . Observe that  $B_{n,n-1}(X;0) = h_{(1^n)}(X)$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\rho$  is the polynomial representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  obtained by its action on  $V^{\otimes n}$ , then its character  $\mathrm{Ch}(\rho)$  is equal to  $h_{(1^n)}(X)$ . Furthermore, recall that  $h_{(1^n)}(X)$  is also the Frobenius characteristic of the regular representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Thus, in the  $q = 0$  case, we recover well-known representations.

The case  $q = -1$  is more interesting. Clearly,  $B_{n,n-1}(X;-1)$  equals  $B_{n,n-1}(X)$ . On expanding the product in (3.2), we obtain

$$B_{n,n-1}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j e_j(X) h_{(1^{n-j})}(X). \quad (3.3)$$

Comparing the expression on the right hand side with the equality in [11, Theorem 8.1], we conclude that  $B_{n,n-1}(X)$  equals the symmetric function denoted by  $D_n$  therein. The symmetric function  $D_n$  was introduced by Désarménien and Wachs [6] in the context of descents sets of derangements. Given the expansion of  $D_n$  in the basis of fundamental quasisymmetric functions, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , we have the Schur-positive expansion  $B_{n,n-1}(X) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} a_\lambda s_\lambda(X)$  where  $a_\lambda$  is the number of  $T \in \mathrm{SYT}(\lambda)$  with smallest ascent given by an even number.*

Now consider the case where  $q$  is a positive integer. We have

$$B_{n,n-1}(X;q) = \sum_{j=0}^n q^j e_j(X) h_{(1^{n-j})}(X). \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.4) it is clear, for instance by the Pieri rule, that  $B_{n,n-1}(X;q)$  is Schur-positive. We briefly remark on how to construct (ungraded)  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -modules whose Frobenius characteristic is  $B_{n,n-1}(X;q)$ . Let  $\mathbf{1}$  denote the trivial character of the Young subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_1^j \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-j}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Then the Frobenius characteristic of the induced character  $\mathbf{1} \uparrow_{\mathfrak{S}_1^j \times \mathfrak{S}_{n-j}}^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  is equal to  $h_{n-j}(X) h_{(1^j)}(X)$ . On taking a direct sum of  $q^j$  copies of this induced character for  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and subsequently tensoring with the sign representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , we obtain a character with Frobenius characteristic  $B_{n,n-1}(X;q)$ . This construction is mildly unsatisfactory and one would ideally want a more ‘natural’ graded representation where  $q$  records the grading.

We conclude with a curious observation when  $q = 1$ . In this case, the dimension of a  $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ -module with Frobenius characteristic  $B_{n,n-1}(X;1)$  is equal to  $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!}$ . By [1, Theorem 10.4], this is also the number of positroids on  $[n]$ . In ongoing work, we are investigating a natural  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -action on the distinguished indexing set for positroids given by decorated permutations. See [17, A000522] for many further interpretations of this sequence of dimensions.

### 3.2 The case of two alphabets

We investigate the case where we have two different alphabets. The result that follows allows for further generalization to the case of multiple alphabets.

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  be two distinct alphabets. Note that the cardinalities of  $X$  and  $Y$  can be distinct. Assume further that  $X$  and  $Y$  consist of Chern roots of vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of ranks  $n$  and  $m$  respectively. Given nonempty subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  and  $T \subseteq [m]$ , define the subset sums

$$X_S := \sum_{i \in S} x_i \text{ and } Y_T := \sum_{i \in T} y_i.$$

Fix positive integers  $j$  and  $k$ . Consider the following product that naturally generalizes the  $(n, k)$ -th Boolean product polynomial.

$$\mathcal{P}_{j,k}(X, Y) := \prod_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ |S|=j}} \prod_{\substack{T \subseteq [m] \\ |T|=k}} (X_S + Y_T).$$

This expression is clearly symmetric in the  $X$  variables and  $Y$  variables. Note further that  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}(X, Y)$  is equal to  $e_p(\wedge^j \mathcal{E} \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{F})$  where  $p = \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{k}$ . Therefore, by invoking Theorem 2.5 again, we obtain the following extension.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The bivariate polynomial  $\mathcal{P}_{j,k}(X, Y)$  is Schur-positive. That is, there exist non-negative integers  $a_{\lambda\mu}$  such that*

$$\mathcal{P}_{j,k}(X, Y) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} a_{\lambda\mu} s_{\lambda}(X) s_{\mu}(Y).$$

Observe that the Schur-positivity in Theorem 3.3 subsumes that in the statement of Theorem 1.1 if we pick exactly one of  $j$  or  $k$  to equal 0.

## 4 Further remarks

1. Recall that part of motivation for studying Boolean product polynomials was to understand the matroid  $M_n$  spanned by nonzero  $n$ -vectors with components 0 or 1. We should note here that understanding the real linear algebra of these vectors can go much deeper than knowledge of the matroid  $M_n$ , which effectively only needs to know for each  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  of 0's and 1's whether  $\det A$  is zero or not. For example, to “know” the *arithmetic matroid* of all 0-1 vectors, one needs to know, in addition, the absolute value  $|\det A|$  of each such matrix. Now to really know the possible determinants of all 0-1 matrices would include the solution of the problem of Hadamard, *i.e.*, whether there is an  $n \times n$  Hadamard matrix whenever  $n = 4k$ . The reason for this is that for each 0-1  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $\det A \leq (n+1)^{(n+1)/2} / 2^n$  with equality if and only if there is a Hadamard matrix of order  $n+1$ .

2. From the work of Gessel–Reutenauer [11, Theorem 3.6], it follows that  $B_{n,n-1}(X)$  is also related to representations of the free Lie algebra. Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then we have

$$B_{n,n-1}(X) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash n \\ \lambda \text{ does not have parts equaling } 1}} \text{Ch}(\text{Lie}_\lambda(V)),$$

where  $\text{Lie}_\lambda(V)$  are certain  $\text{GL}(V)$ -modules known as *higher Lie modules* [20]. This suggests that there might be a link between Boolean product polynomials and representations of the free Lie algebras, and we intend to explore this in the future.

3. Observe that  $\mathcal{P}_{1,1}(X, Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (x_i + y_j)$  and by the dual Cauchy identity, this product is well-known to have a nice Schur function expansion. Thus, a natural question is to find an appropriate analogue to the Robinson–Schensted insertion algorithm that allows us to establish the Schur-positivity in Corollary 3.3 combinatorially.

## References

- [1] F. Ardila, F. Rincón, and L.K. Williams. “Positroids and non-crossing partitions”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368.1** (2016), pp. 337–363. DOI: [10.1090/tran/6331](https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/6331).
- [2] C.A. Athanasiadis. “Characteristic polynomials of subspace arrangements and finite fields”. *Adv. Math.* **122.2** (1996), pp. 193–233. DOI: [10.1006/aima.1996.0059](https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1996.0059).
- [3] L. Billera, J. Moore, C. Moraites, Y. Wang, and C. Williams. “Maximal unbalanced families”. 2012. arXiv: [1209.2309](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.2309).
- [4] A. Björner. “Positive sum systems”. *Combinatorial methods in topology and algebra*. Vol. 12. Springer INdAM Ser. Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 157–171.
- [5] R. Cavalieri, P. Johnson, and H. Markwig. “Wall crossings for double Hurwitz numbers”. *Adv. Math.* **228.4** (2011), pp. 1894–1937. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2011.06.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.06.021).
- [6] J. Désarménien and M. Wachs. “Descentes des dérangements et mots circulaires”. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* (1988), pp. 13–21. [URL](#).
- [7] T.S. Evans. “What is being calculated with thermal field theory?” *Particle Physics and Cosmology - Proc. Ninth Lake Louise Winter Inst.* World Scientific, 1995, pp. 343–352.
- [8] W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Vol. 2. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1700-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1700-8).
- [9] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation theory*. Vol. 129. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. xvi+551. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9).
- [10] W. Fulton and R. Lazarsfeld. “Positive polynomials for ample vector bundles”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **118.1** (1983), pp. 35–60. DOI: [10.2307/2006953](https://doi.org/10.2307/2006953).

- [11] I.M. Gessel and C. Reutenauer. “Counting permutations with given cycle structure and descent set”. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **64.2** (1993), pp. 189–215. DOI: [10.1016/0097-3165\(93\)90095-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(93)90095-P).
- [12] I.M. Gessel and G. Viennot. “Binomial determinants, paths, and hook length formulae”. *Adv. Math.* **58.3** (1985), pp. 300–321. DOI: [10.1016/0001-8708\(85\)90121-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(85)90121-5).
- [13] H. Kamiya, A. Takemura, and H. Terao. “Ranking patterns of unfolding models of codimension one”. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **47.2** (2011), pp. 379–400. DOI: [10.1016/j.aam.2010.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2010.11.002).
- [14] C. Klivans and V. Reiner. “Shifted set families, degree sequences, and plethysm”. *Electron. J. Combin.* **15** (2008), Research Paper 14, 35 pp. [URL](#).
- [15] A. Lascoux. “Classes de Chern d’un produit tensoriel”. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B* **286** (1978), pp. 385–387.
- [16] I.G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Second. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995, p. 475.
- [17] OEIS Foundation Inc. “The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences”. Online. <http://oeis.org>. 2017.
- [18] P. Pragacz. “Architectonique des formules préférées d’Alain Lascoux”. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **52** (2004), Art. B52d, 39 pp. [URL](#).
- [19] P. Pragacz. “Symmetric polynomials and divided differences in formulas of intersection theory”. *Parameter spaces (Warsaw, 1994)*. Vol. 36. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 1996, pp. 125–177.
- [20] C. Reutenauer. *Free Lie algebras*. Vol. 7. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [21] L.S. Shapley. “On balanced sets and cores”. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **14** (1967), pp. 453–460.
- [22] R.P. Stanley. “An introduction to hyperplane arrangements”. *Geometric combinatorics*. Vol. 13. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 389–496.
- [23] R.P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*. Vol. 49. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. xii+325. DOI: [10.1017/CBO9780511805967](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805967).
- [24] R.P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*. Vol. 62. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. xii+581. DOI: [10.1017/CBO9780511609589](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511609589).
- [25] T. Zaslavsky. “Facing up to arrangements: face-count formulas for partitions of space by hyperplanes”. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1975). Issue 1, 154, vii+102 pp.
- [26] Y.A. Zuev. “Asymptotics of the logarithm of the number of threshold functions of the algebra of logic”. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **39** (1989), pp. 512–513.