# Signed Mahonian Identities on Permutations with Subsequence Restrictions

Sen-Peng Eu<sup>\*1</sup>, Tung-Shan Fu<sup>2</sup>, Hsiang-Chun Hsu<sup>3</sup>, Hsin-Chieh Liao<sup>4</sup>, and Wei-Liang Sun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei 11677, Taiwan, ROC <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, National Pingtung University, Pingtung 90003, Taiwan, ROC <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tambana University, Nam Taipei City 25127, Taiwan, BOC

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Tamkang University, New Taipei City 25137, Taiwan, ROC <sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124, USA

**Abstract.** In this paper, we present a number of results surrounding Caselli's conjecture on the equidistribution of the major index with sign over the two subsets of permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  containing respectively the word  $12 \cdots k$  and the word  $(n - k + 1) \cdots n$  as a subsequence, under a parity condition of n and k. We derive broader bijective results on permutations containing varied subsequences. As a consequence, we obtain the signed mahonian identities on families of restricted permutations, in the spirit of a well-known formula of Gessel and Simion, covering a combinatorial proof of Caselli's conjecture. We also derive an extension of the insertion lemma of Haglund, Loehr, and Remmel which allows us to obtain a signed enumerator of the major-index increments resulting from the insertion of a pair of consecutive numbers in any place of a given permutation.

Keywords: Signed mahonian statistics, major index with sign, subsequence restrictions

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Signed mahonians

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the set of permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . The inversion number and the major index are two well-known mahonian statistics of permutations. Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$  be a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\sigma_i = \sigma(i)$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . An *inversion* of  $\sigma$  is a pair  $(\sigma_i, \sigma_j)$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le n$  such that  $\sigma_i > \sigma_j$ . The *inversion number*  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  is defined to be the number of inversions of  $\sigma$ . A *descent* of  $\sigma$  is an integer  $i, 1 \le i \le n - 1$  such that  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ . Let  $\operatorname{Des}(\sigma)$  denote the set of descents of  $\sigma$ . The *descent number* (des) and *major index* (maj) of  $\sigma$  are defined by  $\operatorname{des}(\sigma) = |\operatorname{Des}(\sigma)|$  and  $\operatorname{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in \operatorname{Des}(\sigma)} i$ .

<sup>\*</sup>speu@math.ntnu.edu.tw.

Percy MacMahon [6] proved that the major index statistic is equidistributed with the inversion number statistic over  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} = [2]_q [3]_q \cdots [n]_q, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $[j]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{j-1}$  for any positive integer *j*. This result was extended to the group  $B_n$  of signed permutations with respect to the *flag major index* statistic by Adin–Roichman [2].

Gessel and Simion obtained the following formula of the distribution of the major index with sign over  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  (see [8, Corollary 2] for an interesting bijective proof)

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = [2]_{-q} [3]_q \cdots [n]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}.$$
 (1.2)

A type-B analogue of (1.2) was obtained by Adin–Gessel–Roichman [1, Theorem 1.5].

A *word W* on a set *X* is a finite sequence of elements in *X*. Unless specified otherwise, we consider only the words without repeated elements. The word *W* is a permutation of *X* if *W* consists of all elements of *X*. Given a word  $W = w_1w_2\cdots w_k$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , we say that a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  contains the word *W* as a *subsequence* if there exists a sequence of indices  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le n$  such that  $\sigma_{i_j} = w_j$  for all *j*,  $1 \le j \le k$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W)$  denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  consisting of the permutations containing the word *W* as a subsequence, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{S}_n(W) := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \sigma^{-1}(w_1) < \sigma^{-1}(w_2) < \cdots \sigma^{-1}(w_k) \}.$$

In particular, for two integers  $a, b \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , a < b, let  $\mathfrak{S}_n(a:b)$  denote the subset of permutations containing the word  $a(a + 1) \cdots b$  as a subsequence. For example,  $\mathfrak{S}_4(2:4) = \{1234, 2134, 2314, 2341\}$ .

By a classical result of Stanley [7] and Foata–Schützenberger [4], the statistics maj and inv remain equidistributed on all permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  containing the word  $(n - k + 1) \cdots n$  as a subsequence, for  $1 \le k \le n - 1$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(n-k+1:n)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(n-k+1:n)} q^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} = [k+1]_q [k+2]_q \cdots [n]_q.$$
(1.3)

Arising from the study of signed mahonians in parabolic quotients of Coxeter groups, Caselli [3, Corollary 3.4] obtained the following product formula for the distribution of the major index with sign over  $\mathfrak{S}_n(n-k+1:n)$ , which includes the formula in (1.2) as a special case.

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(n-k+1:n)} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = [k+1]_{(-1)^{nk+n+k}q} [k+2]_{(-1)^{k+1}q} \cdots [n]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}.$$
(1.4)

Caselli remarked that the proof of (1.4) is quite involved, without algebraic or combinatorial insight. He also raised a question [3, Problem 5.8] about giving a bijective proof of the following observation.

**Conjecture 1.1.** If *n* is even or *k* is odd then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(1:k)} (-1)^{\mathit{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathit{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(n-k+1:n)} (-1)^{\mathit{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathit{maj}(\sigma)}$$

It is curious that the above equidistribution of signed major index depends on the parities of *n* and *k*. The motivation of this paper is to solve Caselli's problem. We prove much broader results on permutations with varied subsequence restrictions.

#### 1.2 Main results

Given a word  $W = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and an integer t, let W + t denote the word  $w'_1 w'_2 \cdots w'_k$  on the set  $\{t + 1, t + 2, \dots, t + n\}$  obtained from W by incrementing each element by t, i.e.,  $w'_j = w_j + t$ . Our first main result gives a sign-preserving and descent set-preserving bijection between the two subsets of permutations containing respectively the word W and the word W + 2 as a subsequence.

**Theorem 1.2.** For any word W on the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n-2\}$ , there is a bijection  $\phi : \sigma \to \sigma'$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W)$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)$  such that

$$Des(\sigma') = Des(\sigma)$$
 and  $inv(\sigma') \equiv inv(\sigma) \pmod{2}$ .

Hence we have the following identity

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} t^{des(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} t^{des(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)}.$$

An immediate consequence of this result is that it proves the case of Conjecture 1.1 when n and k have the same parity.

Our next result establishes a connection between the two parts of the symmetric difference of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W+1)$  when the word W is an increasing sequence of consecutive numbers.

**Theorem 1.3.** For  $2 \le k \le n - 1$  and  $1 \le b \le n - k$ , let U and V be the words of k consecutive numbers respectively given by

$$U = b(b+1)\cdots(b+k-1)$$
 and  $V = (b+1)(b+2)\cdots(b+k)$ .

Then there is a bijection  $\gamma: \sigma \to \sigma'$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(U) - \mathfrak{S}_n(V)$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}_n(V) - \mathfrak{S}_n(U)$  such that

$$Des(\sigma') = Des(\sigma)$$
 and  $inv(\sigma') - inv(\sigma) \equiv k - 1 \pmod{2}$ 

This result explains the case of Conjecture 1.1 when n and k have the opposite parities. Notice that Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 lead to the following analogous results of (1.4) for families of the permutations. This gives a complete picture of the Conjecture 1.1 for all parity cases of n and k. **Corollary 1.4.** For  $2 \le k \le n-1$  and  $1 \le b \le n-k+1$ , the following results hold.

1. If k is odd then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(b:b+k-1)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = [k+1]_{-q} [k+2]_q \cdots [n]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}$$

2. If k is even and n is even then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(b:b+k-1)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = \begin{cases} [k+1]_q [k+2]_{-q} [k+3]_q \cdots [n]_{-q} & \text{for b odd} \\ (2-[k+1]_q) [k+2]_{-q} [k+3]_q \cdots [n]_{-q} & \text{for b even.} \end{cases}$$

3. If k is even and n is odd then we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(b:b+k-1)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = \begin{cases} \left(2 - [k+1]_{-q}\right) [k+2]_{-q} [k+3]_q \cdots [n]_q & \text{for b odd} \\ [k+1]_{-q} [k+2]_{-q} [k+3]_q \cdots [n]_q & \text{for b even.} \end{cases}$$

For any element  $r \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and any permutation W of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} - \{r\}$ , Haglund–Loehr–Remmel [5] derived an insertion lemma which describes the increment of major index resulting from the insertion of the element r in W, and proved that no matter what the element r is with respect to other elements

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = q^{\mathsf{maj}(W)}[n]_q.$$
(1.5)

We derive an extension of the insertion lemma which allows us to obtain the following signed analogue.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For*  $1 \le r \le n - 1$  *and any permutation W of the set*  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} - \{r, r + 1\}$ *, we have* 

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = (-1)^{inv(W)} q^{maj(W)} [n-1]_{(-1)^n q} [n]_{(-1)^{n-1} q}.$$

We derive some extended results from our main results, over the permutations with subsequence restrictions defined by an injective labeling of a poset, and by an patternavoiding condition within a given underlying set.

# 2 A proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we shall establish a sign-preserving and descent set-preserving map  $\phi$  :  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W) \to \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)$  for any word W on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ .

# **2.1** The construction of the map $\phi : \mathfrak{S}_n(W) \to \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)$ .

Given a permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W)$ , we shall construct the corresponding permutation  $\phi(\sigma)$  by removing the elements n - 1, n from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2, and then insert the elements 1, 2 at appropriate positions so that  $\phi(\sigma)$  satisfies the requested conditions. Let  $y_1, y_2$  denote the entries for the elements 1, 2 in  $\phi(\sigma)$ , i.e.,  $\{y_1, y_2\} = \{1, 2\}$ , where  $y_2$  appears to the right of  $y_1$ . Described in algorithm A, the construction of  $\phi(\sigma)$  is given by case analysis, where the cases I, II, III, and IV describe the construction when the elements n - 1, n of  $\sigma$  are not adjacent, and the cases V and VI describe the construction when n - 1, n of  $\sigma$  are adjacent.

In the following algorithm, we assume  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{n+1} = 0$ , and let  $\sigma_j^+$  denote the entry  $\sigma_j$  incremented by 2.

#### Algorithm A.

Find the elements n - 1, n of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\{\sigma_a, \sigma_b\} = \{n - 1, n\}$  for some integers a, b with  $1 \le a < b \le n$ . We construct the permutation  $\phi(\sigma)$  according to the following cases. **I.**  $\sigma_{a-1} > \sigma_{a+1}$  and  $\sigma_{b-1} > \sigma_{b+1}$  for a > 1 and  $a + 1 < b \le n$ .

Starting with  $\sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_b$ , respectively), search to the left and find the maximal increasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_t < \sigma_{t+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_s < \sigma_{s+1} < \cdots < \sigma_b$ , respectively). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a$ ,  $\sigma_b$  from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2, and insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) on the immediate left of  $\sigma_t^+$  ( $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively). Note that if s = a + 1 then  $y_2$  is between  $\sigma_{a-1}^+$  and  $\sigma_{a+1}^+$  is on the right of  $y_2$ .

II.  $\sigma_{a-1} < \sigma_{a+1}$  and  $\sigma_{b-1} < \sigma_{b+1}$  for  $a \ge 1$  and a+1 < b < n.

Starting with  $\sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_b$ , respectively), search to the right and find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_a > \sigma_{a+1} > \cdots > \sigma_t$  ( $\sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_s$ , respectively). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$  from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2, and insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) on the immediate right of  $\sigma_t^+$  (after  $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively). Note that if t = b - 1 then  $y_1$  is between  $\sigma_{b-1}^+$  and  $\sigma_{b+1}^+$ .

**III.**  $\sigma_{a-1} > \sigma_{a+1}$  and  $\sigma_{b-1} < \sigma_{b+1}$  for a > 1 and a + 1 < b < n.

Starting with  $\sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_b$ , respectively), search to the left (right, respectively) and find the maximal increasing (decreasing, respectively) sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_t < \sigma_{t+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_s$ , respectively). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$ from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2, and insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately before  $\sigma_t^+$  (after  $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively).

Notice that in the above three cases the elements  $y_1, y_2$  are not adjacent. Choose either  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  or  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  such that  $inv(\phi(\sigma)) \equiv inv(\sigma) \pmod{2}$ . **IV.**  $\sigma_{a-1} < \sigma_{a+1}$  and  $\sigma_{b-1} > \sigma_{b+1}$  for  $1 \le a < b \le n$ .

Starting with  $\sigma_a$  ( $\sigma_b$ , respectively), search to the right (left, respectively) and find the maximal decreasing (increasing, respectively) sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_a > \sigma_{a+1} > \cdots > \sigma_t$  ( $\sigma_s < \sigma_{s+1} < \cdots < \sigma_b$ , respectively). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$ from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2. To preserve the descent set and the parity of the inversion number, the insertion of  $y_1, y_2$  is determined as follows.

If  $t \neq s$  then there exists at least one element between  $\sigma_t$  and  $\sigma_s$ . We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately after  $\sigma_t^+$  (before  $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively). Since  $y_1, y_2$  are not adjacent, choose either ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (1,2) or ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (2,1) such that  $inv(\phi(\sigma)) \equiv inv(\sigma) \pmod{2}$ .

Otherwise, t = s. The insertion and assignment of  $y_1, y_2$  are determined according the following possibilities.

- (i) a + 1 < t < b 1. If  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n 1, n)$  and a + b is odd, or  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n, n 1)$ and a + b is even then insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  adjacently on the immediate right of  $\sigma_t^+$ ; otherwise, insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  adjacently on the immediate left of  $\sigma_t^+$ .
- (ii) a + 1 = t < b 1. If  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n 1, n)$  and a + b is odd, or  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n, n 1)$ and a + b is even then insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  adjacently on the immediate right of  $\sigma_t^+$ . Otherwise, find the maximal increasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_r < \sigma_{r+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a$  (set r = 1 if a = 1). We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately before  $\sigma_r^+$  ( $\sigma_t^+$ , respectively), where  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  if a + r is even, and  $(y_1, y_2) =$ (1, 2) if a + r is odd.
- (iii) a + 1 < t = b 1. If  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n 1, n)$  and a + b is even, or  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n, n 1)$ and a + b is odd then insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  adjacently on the immediate left of  $\sigma_t^+$ . Otherwise, find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_r$  (set r = n if b = n). We insert  $y_1 (y_2$ , respectively) immediately after  $\sigma_t^+ (\sigma_r^+, \text{ respectively})$ , where  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  if b + r is even, and  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  if b + r is odd.
- (iv) a + 1 = t = b 1. If  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n, n 1)$  then to the right of  $\sigma_b$  find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_r$  (set r = n if b = n). We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately after  $\sigma_t^+$  ( $\sigma_r^+$ , respectively), where  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  if b + r is even, and  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  if b + r is odd. Otherwise,  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n 1, n)$ . Then to the left of  $\sigma_a$  find the maximal increasing

sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_r < \sigma_{r+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a$  (set t = 1 if a = 1). We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately before  $\sigma_r^+$  ( $\sigma_t^+$ , respectively), where ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (2, 1) if a + r is even, and ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (1, 2) if a + r is odd.

**V.** b = a + 1 and  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n - 1, n)$  for  $1 \le a < n$ .

Starting with  $\sigma_b$ , find the maximal increasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_t < \sigma_{t+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a < \sigma_b$  to the left (set t = 1 if a = 1), and find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_s$  to the right (set s = n if b = n). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$  from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2.

(i) If  $\sigma_{a-1} > \sigma_{b+1}$  then  $a \neq 1$  and we insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  adjacently on the immediate left of  $\sigma_t^+$ .

(ii) Otherwise,  $\sigma_{a-1} < \sigma_{b+1}$ . We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately before  $\sigma_t^+$  (after  $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively), where ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (1, 2) if t + s is odd, and ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (2, 1) otherwise.

**VI.** b = a + 1 and  $(\sigma_a, \sigma_b) = (n, n - 1)$  for  $1 \le a < n$ .

Starting with  $\sigma_a$ , find the maximal increasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_t < \sigma_{t+1} < \cdots < \sigma_a$  to the left (set t = 1 if a = 1), and find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries  $\sigma_a > \sigma_b > \sigma_{b+1} > \cdots > \sigma_s$  to the right (set s = n if b = n). Then remove the elements  $\sigma_a, \sigma_b$  from  $\sigma$ , increment each of the remaining elements by 2.

- (i) If  $\sigma_{a-1} < \sigma_{b+1}$  then  $b \neq n$  and we insert  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  adjacently on the immediate right of  $\sigma_s^+$ .
- (ii) Otherwise,  $\sigma_{a-1} > \sigma_{b+1}$ . We insert  $y_1$  ( $y_2$ , respectively) immediately before  $\sigma_t^+$  (after  $\sigma_s^+$ , respectively), where ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (1,2) if t + s is even, and ( $y_1, y_2$ ) = (2,1) otherwise.

**Proposition 2.1.** The map  $\phi : \mathfrak{S}_n(W) \to \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)$  constructed by algorithm A preserves the descent set and the parity of the inversion number of a permutation.

**Example 2.2.** In the following, we demonstrate the construction of the map  $\phi$  in case VI, using some permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_9$  containing the word W = 3175.

Let  $\sigma = 319864275 \in \mathfrak{S}_9(W)$ . We have  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma) = 18$  and  $(\sigma_3, \sigma_4) = (9, 8)$ . By case VI, to the left of  $\sigma_3$  find the maximal increasing sequence of consecutive entries (1, 9), and to the right of  $\sigma_3$  find the maximal decreasing sequence of consecutive entries (9, 8, 6, 4, 2). Remove the elements 8,9 from  $\sigma$  and increment the other elements by 2. Since  $\sigma_2 < \sigma_5$ , by V(i) with  $(y_1, y_2) = (2, 1)$  inserted, we obtain  $\phi(\sigma) = 538642197 \in \mathfrak{S}_9(W+2)$  with  $\operatorname{inv}(\phi(\sigma)) = 18$ .

Moreover, if  $\sigma' = 369842175 \in \mathfrak{S}_9(W)$ , we have  $\operatorname{inv}(\sigma') = 21$  and  $(\sigma'_3, \sigma'_4) = (9, 8)$ . Since  $\sigma_2 > \sigma_5$ , by VI(ii) with  $(y_1, y_2) = (1, 2)$  inserted, we obtain  $\phi(\sigma') = 158643297 \in \mathfrak{S}_9(W+2)$  with  $\operatorname{inv}(\phi(\sigma')) = 15$ .

# **2.2** The construction of the map $\phi^{-1}$ : $\mathfrak{S}_n(W+2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n(W)$ .

For a word  $V = v_1v_2 \cdots v_d$  on the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , let  $\tau_n(V)$  denote the *n*-complement of V defined by  $\tau_n(V) = (n + 1 - v_1)(n + 1 - v_2) \cdots (n + 1 - v_d)$ . For any word W on the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n - 2\}$ , we observe that the *n*-complement of the word W + 2, say  $W' = \tau_n(W + 2)$ , is also a word on the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n - 2\}$  and, moreover,  $W = \tau_n(W' + 2)$ .

To find  $\phi^{-1}$ , given a permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2)$ , we shall construct the corresponding permutation  $\phi^{-1}(\sigma)$  by removing the elements 1, 2 from  $\sigma$ , decrement each of the remaining elements by 2, and then insert the elements n - 1, n at appropriate positions so that  $\phi^{-1}(\sigma)$  satisfies the requested conditions. The construction of the map  $\phi^{-1}$  is exactly the reverse operation of  $\phi$ , which is essentially established from  $\phi$  by  $\phi^{-1} = \tau_n \circ \phi \circ \tau_n$ . We omit a detailed construction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}_n(W) & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{S}_n(W+2) \\ & & & & \downarrow^{\tau_n} \\ \mathfrak{S}_n(W'+2) & \stackrel{\phi}{\longleftarrow} & \mathfrak{S}_n(W') \end{array}$$

# 3 A proof of Theorem 1.3

In the following, we shall establish a bijection between the two parts of the symmetric difference of  $\mathfrak{S}_n(U)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_n(V)$ , where  $U = b(b+1)\cdots(b+k-1)$  and  $V = (b+1)(b+2)\cdots(b+k)$  for  $2 \le k \le n-1$  and  $1 \le b \le n-k$ .

Notice that the set  $\mathfrak{S}_n(U) \cap \mathfrak{S}_n(V)$  consists of all permutations containing the word  $b(b+1)\cdots(b+k)$  as a subsequence.

Given a  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(U) - \mathfrak{S}_n(V)$ , notice that the element b + k appears to the left of the element b + k - 1 in  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $\sigma^{-1}(b + k) < \sigma^{-1}(b + k - 1)$ . We shall rearrange the elements  $b, b + 1, \ldots, b + k$  of  $\sigma$  to construct a permutation  $\sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_n(V) - \mathfrak{S}_n(U)$  satisfying the requested conditions. The construction is given in algorithm C below. In the following algorithm, we compose permutations right to left.

#### Algorithm C.

(C1) If b + k appears to the left of b in  $\sigma$ , then set

$$\sigma' = \left(egin{array}{cccc} b+k & b & b+1 & \cdots & b+k-1 \ b+1 & b & b+2 & \cdots & b+k \end{array}
ight)\sigma.$$

Notice that  $inv(\sigma') - inv(\sigma) = 1 - k$ .

(C2) Otherwise, b + k appears between b + j - 1 and b + j for some j ( $1 \le j \le k - 1$ ). Then if in particular j = k - 1 then set

$$\sigma' = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} b & \cdots & b+k-2 & b+k & b+k-1 \\ b+1 & \cdots & b+k-1 & b+k & b \end{array}\right)\sigma,$$

otherwise,  $1 \le j \le k - 2$  and set

$$\sigma' = \begin{pmatrix} b & \cdots & b+j-1 & b+k & b+j & b+j+1 & \cdots & b+k-1 \\ b+1 & \cdots & b+j & b+j+1 & b & b+j+2 & \cdots & b+k \end{pmatrix} \sigma.$$

Notice that in the former case  $inv(\sigma') - inv(\sigma) = k - 1$ , while in the latter case  $inv(\sigma') - inv(\sigma) = 2j - k + 1$ .

We observe that  $Des(\sigma') = Des(\sigma)$  and  $inv(\sigma') - inv(\sigma) \equiv k - 1 \pmod{2}$ . On the other hand, it is straightforward to construct the inverse map by the reverse operation.

### 4 A proof of Theorem 1.5

For a fixed  $r \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ , let  $W = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-2}$  be a permutation of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., r-1, r+2, ..., n\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n^*(W) \subset \mathfrak{S}_n(W)$  denote the subset consisting of the permutations in which the elements r, r+1 are adjacent. Note that interchanging the elements r, r+1 is a sign-reversing involution on the difference set  $\mathfrak{S}_n(W) - \mathfrak{S}_n^*(W)$  which preserves descent sets. Hence

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(W)} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^*(W)} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)}.$$
 (4.1)

Any permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n^*(W)$  can be obtained from W by inserting the elements r, r + 1 adjacently to the left of W, between two elements of W, or to the right of W, i.e., one of the n - 1 spaces of W. These spaces are indexed by  $0, 1, \ldots, n - 2$  from left to right. We shall study the major-index increment of such an insertion by extending the insertion lemma of Haglund–Loehr–Remmel [5, Lemma 4.1].

Assume  $w_0 = 0$  and  $w_{n-1} = n + 1$ . For  $0 \le j \le n - 2$ , the *j*th space, which is between  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$ , is called an *RL-space of W relative to r* if it satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $w_i > w_{i+1} > r$ ,
- $r > w_j > w_{j+1}$ , or
- $w_i < r < w_{i+1}$ .

Notice that the space to the left (right, respectively) of *W* is an *RL*-space if  $r < w_1$  ( $w_{n-2} < r$ , respectively). Any space which is not an *RL*-space is called an *LR*-space (relative to *r*). In fact, an *RL*-space is a space where the insertion of *r* in *W* creates no 'new descent', while an *LR*-space is one where a new descent is created. Suppose there are *d RL*-spaces of *W* relative to *r*, we label the *RL*-spaces from right to left with  $0, 1, \ldots, d-1$  and label the *LR*-spaces from left to right with  $d, d+1, \ldots, n-2$ , called the *canonical labeling* of *W*. Let  $\alpha(W) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2})$  denote the vector of the labeling, where  $a_i$  is the label the *j*th space receives.

**Example 4.1.** Suppose r = 4 and W is the permutation W = 8361297 of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., 9\} - \{4, 5\}$ . As shown below, the *RL*-spaces of W relative to 4 are the spaces with labels  $a_0, a_2, a_5, a_6$  and the *LR*-spaces are the ones with labels  $a_1, a_3, a_4, a_7$ . The vector of the canonical labeling of W is  $\alpha(W) = (3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 1, 0, 7)$ .

$$a_0 8_{a_1} 3_{a_2} 6_{a_3} 1_{a_4} 2_{a_5} 9_{a_6} 7_{a_7} \longrightarrow \alpha(W) = (3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 1, 0, 7)$$

The result of Haglund–Loehr–Remmel [5, Lemma 4.1] can be expressed as follows.

**Lemma 4.2. (Insertion Lemma [5])** If  $\pi$  is the word obtained from W by inserting the element r at the *j*th space of W then we have

$$maj(\pi) = maj(W) + a_i.$$

We associate *W* with another vector  $\beta(W) = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-2})$ , where  $b_j$  is the number of *RL*-spaces of *W* relative to *r* appearing to the right of the *j*th space for  $0 \le j \le n-2$ . For example, given r = 4 and the word W = 8361297 in Example 4.1, the associated vector is  $\beta(W) = (3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$ .

$$b_0 \, 8 \, b_1 \, 3 \, b_2 \, 6 \, b_3 \, 1 \, b_4 \, 2 \, b_5 \, 9 \, b_6 \, 7 \, b_7 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \beta(W) = (3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$$

We derive the following extension of the Lemma 4.2.

**Lemma 4.3.** If  $\sigma$  is the word obtained from W by inserting the word  $z_1z_2$  at the *j*th space of W, where  $z_1z_2$  is either r(r+1) or (r+1)r, then we have

$$\textit{maj}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \textit{maj}(W) + a_j + b_j & \textit{if } z_1 z_2 = r \, (r+1) \\ \textit{maj}(W) + a_j + b_j + j + 1 & \textit{if } z_1 z_2 = (r+1) \, r. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\sigma$  has the same (opposite, respectively) sign of *W* if  $z_1z_2 = r(r+1)$  ( $z_1z_2 = (r+1)r$ , respectively). By Lemma 4.3 and (4.1), we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.4.** For any element  $r \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$  and any permutation W of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\} - \{r, r+1\}$  with the associated vectors  $\alpha(W) = (a_0, ..., a_{n-2})$  and  $\beta(W) = (b_0, ..., b_{n-2})$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}(W)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = (-1)^{inv(W)} q^{maj(W)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{a_{j}+b_{j}} (1-q^{j+1}) \right).$$

To prove Theorem 1.5, we prove the following result:

$$f(W;q) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} q^{a_j+b_j} (1-q^{j+1}) = [n-1]_{(-1)^n q} [n]_{(-1)^{n-1} q}.$$
(4.2)

# 5 Applications

#### 5.1 An extended result of Theorem 1.5

We derive a product formula of the signed enumerator of the major index over the permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  containing a permutation W of the set  $\{2k + 1, 2k + 2, ..., n\}$  as a subsequence, in the spirit of Theorem 1.5.

**Theorem 5.1.** For  $k \ge 1$  and any permutation W of the set  $\{2k + 1, 2k + 2, ..., n\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}(W)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = (-1)^{inv(W)} q^{maj(W)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}(2k+1:n)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)}$$
$$= (-1)^{inv(W)} q^{maj(W)} [n-2k+1]_{(-1)^{n-2k}q} \cdots [n]_{(-1)^{n-1}q}.$$

#### 5.2 Labelings of a poset

Given a poset (P, <) on a set  $P = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$  with  $k \le n - 2$ , by an *injective labeling* of (P, <) we mean an injection  $f : P \to \{1, 2, ..., n - 2\}$ . Let f + 2 be the labeling of (P, <) obtained from f by incrementing the label of each element by 2, which is an injection  $P \to \{3, 4, ..., n\}$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{S}_n(f) := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \sigma^{-1}(f(x_i)) < \sigma^{-1}(f(x_j)), \text{ for } x_i < x_j \text{ in } (P, <) \}.$$

We prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.2.** For any poset (P, <) with at most n - 2 elements and any injective labeling  $f: P \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n - 2\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(f)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(f+2)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)}.$$

#### 5.3 Pattern avoidance

Putting Theorem 1.2 in the realm of pattern-avoidance, one can consider the  $\pi$ -avoiding words of a given underlying set  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n-2\}$  for a certain pattern  $\pi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n(\pi; S)$  be the set consisting of the permutations  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  containing no  $\pi$ -pattern restricted to the elements of S. Let  $S + 2 := \{z + 2 | z \in S\} \subset \{3, 4, ..., n\}$ . For example, for n = 4, consider a pattern  $\pi = 21$  and a set  $S = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $S + 2 = \{3, 4\}$  and we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_4(\pi; S) = \{1234, 1243, 1324, 1342, 1423, 1432, 3124, 3142, 3412, 4123, 4132, 4312\},\\ \mathfrak{S}_4(\pi; S+2) = \{1234, 1324, 1342, 2134, 2314, 2341, 3124, 3142, 3214, 3241, 3412, 3421\}.$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4(\pi; S)} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_4(\pi; S+2)} (-1)^{\mathsf{inv}(\sigma)} q^{\mathsf{maj}(\sigma)} = 1 + q^2 - q^3 - q^5.$$

Making use of the map  $\phi$  in Theorem 1.2, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.3.** For a pattern  $\pi$  and an underlying set  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n-2\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\pi; S)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(\pi; S+2)} (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} q^{maj(\sigma)}.$$

# 6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we study the signed distributions of the major index on permutations with subsequence restrictions. Recall that a signed permutation in the group  $B_n$  is a bijection  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{-n, -n + 1, ..., -1, 1, 2, ..., n\}$  onto itself such that  $\sigma(-i) = -\sigma(i)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . The flag major index of  $\sigma$ , denoted by fmaj, is defined as fmaj $(\sigma) := 2$ maj $(\sigma) +$ neg $(\sigma)$ , where maj $(\sigma)$  is the major index of the sequence  $(\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(n))$  with respect to the order  $-1 < \cdots < -n < 1 < \cdots < n$ , and neg $(\sigma)$  is the number negative elements in the sequence. Adin–Gessel–Roichman obtained the following type-B analogue of (1.2)

$$\sum_{\sigma \in B_n} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) q^{\operatorname{fmaj}(\sigma)} = [2]_{-q} [4]_q \cdots [2n]_{(-1)^n q}.$$
(6.1)

In the realm of parabolic quotients of Coxeter groups, we are interested in whether our main results can be extended to the signed permutations in  $B_n$  with subsequence restrictions, on the basis of (6.1).

# References

- R. M. Adin, I. M. Gessel, and Y. Roichman. "Signed Mahonians". J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 109.1 (2005), pp. 25–43. Link.
- [2] R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman. "The flag major index and group actions on polynomial rings". *European J. Combin.* 22.4 (2001), pp. 431–446. Link.
- [3] F. Caselli. "Signed Mahonians on some trees and parabolic quotients". *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **119**.7 (2012), pp. 1447–1460. Link.
- [4] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger. "Major index and inversion number of permutations". *Math. Nachr.* 83 (1978), pp. 143–159. Link.
- [5] J. Haglund, N. Loehr, and J. B. Remmel. "Statistics on wreath products, perfect matchings, and signed words". *European J. Combin.* 26.6 (2005), pp. 835–868. Link.
- [6] P. MacMahon. *Combinatory Analysis*. Chelsea, New York, 1960. (Originally published in 2 volumes by Cambridge Univ. Press, 1915–1916.)
- [7] R. Stanley. "Ordered structures and partitions". *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1972). Link.
- [8] M. L. Wachs. "An involution for signed Eulerian numbers". Discrete Math. 99.1-3 (1992), pp. 59–62. Link.