

# The $\gamma$ -coefficients of Brändén's $(p, q)$ -Eulerian polynomials and André permutations

Qiong Qiong Pan<sup>\*1</sup> and Jiang Zeng<sup>†1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Institut Camille Jordan, Villeurbanne, France

**Abstract.** In 2008 Brändén proved a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of the  $\gamma$ -expansion formula for Eulerian polynomials and conjectured the divisibility of the  $\gamma$ -coefficient  $\gamma_{n,k}(p, q)$  by  $(p + q)^k$ . As a follow-up, in 2012 Shin and Zeng showed that the fraction  $\gamma_{n,k}(p, q)/(p + q)^k$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{N}[p, q]$ . The aim of this paper is to give a combinatorial interpretation of the latter polynomial in terms of André permutations, a class of objects first defined and studied by Foata, Schützenberger and Strehl in the 1970s. It turns out that our result provides an answer to a recent open problem of Han, which was the impetus of this paper.

**Keywords:** Eulerian polynomials,  $\gamma$ -coefficients, André permutations.

## 1 Introduction

The Euler number  $E_n$ , namely the coefficient of  $x^n/n!$  in the expansion of  $\sec(x) + \tan(x)$ , is well studied and has many combinatorial interpretations and different refinements; see [4, 18, 7, 16, 9]. It was André who first proved that  $E_n$  is the number of alternating permutations  $a_1 \dots a_n$  of  $1 \dots n$ , i.e.,  $a_1 > a_2 < \dots$ . Among the many remarkable identities for the Euler numbers there is the less known J-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n+1}x^n = \frac{1}{1 - x - \frac{x^2}{1 - 2x - \frac{3x^2}{1 - 3x - \frac{6x^2}{1 - 4x - \frac{10x^2}{1 - \dots}}}}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Recently, Han [8] considered a  $q$ -version of (1.1) and asked for a combinatorial interpretation for the corresponding  $q$ -Euler numbers  $E_n(q)$  (see (1.3) below). Motivated by

---

\*qpan@math.univ-lyon1.fr.

†zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr.

Han's question, we shall study the more general polynomials  $D_n(p, q, t)$  defined by the following continued fraction, which is a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of (1.1):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{n+1}(p, q, t)x^n = \frac{1}{1 - x - \frac{(2)_{p,q} t x^2}{1 - [2]_{p,q} x - \frac{(3)_{p,q} t x^2}{1 - [3]_{p,q} x - \frac{(4)_{p,q} t x^2}{1 - [4]_{p,q} x - \frac{(5)_{p,q} t x^2}{1 - \dots}}}}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the  $(p, q)$ -analogue of  $n$  is defined by

$$[n]_{p,q} = \frac{p^n - q^n}{p - q} = \sum_{i+j=n-1} p^i q^j \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and the  $(p, q)$ -analogue of the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{k}$  is defined by

$$\binom{n}{k}_{p,q} = \frac{[n]_{p,q} \cdots [n - k + 1]_{p,q}}{[1]_{p,q} \cdots [k]_{p,q}} \quad (0 \leq k \leq n).$$

Comparing (1.1) and (1.2) yields that

$$D_n(1, 1, 1) = E_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The  $q$ -Euler number  $E_n(q)$  of Han [8] can be expressed as

$$E_n(q) := D_n(1, q, 1) = D_n(q, 1, 1) \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (1.3)$$

The first few values of  $D_n(p, q, t)$  are  $D_1(p, q, t) = D_2(p, q, t) = 1$ . It turns out that the polynomials  $D_n(p, q, t)$  are related to the  $\gamma$ -coefficients of Brändén's  $(p, q)$ -analogue of Eulerian polynomials [2]. In this paper we shall interpret  $D_n(p, q, t)$  in terms of *André permutations*, which were introduced and studied by Foata, Schützenberger and Strehl [4, 6, 5] in the 1970s. There are three ingredients in our proof: the connection of these polynomials with the  $\gamma$ -coefficients of Brändén's  $(p, q)$ -analogue of Eulerian polynomials [2], Shin-Zeng's continued fraction expansion of the  $\gamma$ -coefficients of generalized Eulerian polynomials [16] and a new action on the permutations without double descents.

For a permutation  $\sigma := \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$  of  $[n]$ , the *descent number*  $\text{des } \sigma$  is the number of descent positions, i.e.  $i < n$  such that  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ , and the *excedance number*  $\text{exc } \sigma$  is the number of excedance positions, i.e.  $i \in [n]$  such that  $\sigma_i > i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  be the set of permutations of  $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thanks to the work of MacMahon [10] and Riordan [14] we can define the Eulerian polynomials  $A_n(t)$  by

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des } \sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{exc } \sigma}.$$

The following  $\gamma$ -decompositions for  $A_n(t)$  are well-known [4, Section 4].

**Theorem 1.1** (Foata and Schützenberger).

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k} t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k} \quad (1.4)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} 2^k d_{n,k} t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\gamma_{n,k} = 2^k d_{n,k}$  and  $d_{n,k}$  are positive integers satisfying the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } d_{1,0} &= 1 \quad \text{and for } n \geq 2, k \geq 0, \\ d_{n,k} &= (k+1)d_{n-1,k} + (n-2k)d_{n-1,k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, the sum  $\sum_k d_{n,k}$  is precisely the Euler number  $E_n$ .

In the last two decades even though many refinements of (1.4) have been found in combinatorics and geometry (see [13, 12, 1, 15]), similar extension of (1.5) does not seem to be known. In this paper we will provide two refinements of (1.5) (see (1.11) and (1.15)).

**Definition 1.2.** For a permutation  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n$  of  $[n]$  with  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_{n+1} = 0$ , the entry  $\sigma_i$  is

- a *peak* if  $\sigma_{i-1} < \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ ;
- a *valley* if  $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$ ;
- a *double ascent* if  $\sigma_{i-1} < \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i < \sigma_{i+1}$ ;
- a *double descent* if  $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i > \sigma_{i+1}$ .

Let  $\text{pk } \sigma$  (resp.  $\text{val } \sigma$ ,  $\text{da } \sigma$ ,  $\text{dd } \sigma$ ) denote the number of peaks (resp. valleys, double ascents, double descents) in  $\sigma$ . Note that  $\text{des } \sigma = \text{val } \sigma + \text{dd } \sigma$  and  $\text{pk } \sigma = \text{val } \sigma + 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{val } \sigma = k, \text{dd } \sigma = 0\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** For a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $[n]$ , let  $\sigma_{[k]}$  be the *subword* of  $\sigma$  consisting of  $1, \dots, k$  in the order they appear in  $\sigma$ . Then, the permutation  $\sigma$  is an André permutation if  $\sigma_{[k]}$  has no double descents (and ends with an ascent) for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be the set of André permutations of  $[n]$  and let  $\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}$  be the set of André permutations of  $[n]$  with  $k$  descents.

**Proposition 1.4** ([4, 5]). *The coefficients  $\gamma_{n,k}$  and  $d_{n,k}$  equal the cardinalities of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{n,k}$ , respectively.*

For  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , the statistic (31-2)  $\sigma$  is the number of pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $2 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_j > \sigma_i$ . Similarly, the statistic (2-13)  $\sigma$  is the number of pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$  and  $\sigma_{j+1} > \sigma_i > \sigma_j$ . In 2008 Brändén [2] defined a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of Eulerian polynomials and proved a  $(p, q)$ -analogue of (1.4). In this paper we shall use the following variant of Brändén's  $(p, q)$ -Eulerian polynomials in [16]

$$A_n(p, q, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} t^{\text{des } \sigma}. \quad (1.7)$$

For  $0 \leq k \leq (n-1)/2$  define the  $(p, q)$ -analogue of  $\gamma_{n,k}$  and  $d_{n,k}$  in (1.4) and (1.5) by

$$\gamma_{n,k}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{n,k}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$d_{n,k}(p, q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_{n,k}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma - k}. \quad (1.9)$$

Our main results are the following two theorems.

**Theorem 1.5.** *We have*

$$A_n(p, q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}(p, q) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k} \quad (1.10)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (p+q)^k d_{n,k}(p, q) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}. \quad (1.11)$$

**Remark 1.6.** An equivalent  $\gamma$ -expansion of (1.10) was proved by Brändén [2] using the *modified Foata-Stehl* action. The divisibility of  $\gamma_{n,k}(p, q)$  by  $(p+q)^k$  was conjectured by Brändén (*op.cit.*) and proved by Shin and Zeng [16] using the combinatorial theory of continued fractions.

**Theorem 1.7.** *We have*

$$D_n(p, q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} d_{n,k}(p, q) t^k \quad (1.12)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma - \text{des } \sigma} t^{\text{des } \sigma}. \quad (1.13)$$

**Remark 1.8.** It is not difficult to see that  $(31-2)\sigma \geq \text{des } \sigma$  for any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n$ , see (iii) of [Proposition 2.2](#).

Combining [Theorem 1.5](#) with Theorem 1 in [17], which is (1.14) below, we derive a  $q$ -analogue of (1.4) and (1.5).

**Corollary 1.9.** *We have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{(\text{inv} - \text{exc})\sigma} t^{\text{exc}\sigma} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}(q^2, q) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k} \quad (1.14)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (1+q)^k \mathbf{d}_{n,k}(q) t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \quad (1.15)$$

where

$$\mathbf{d}_{n,k}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n,k}} q^{2(2-13)\sigma + (31-2)\sigma}.$$

By (1.3) and [Theorem 1.7](#) we derive two interpretations for Han's  $q$ -Euler numbers[8].

**Corollary 1.10.** *We have*

$$E_n(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} q^{(2-13)\sigma} \quad (1.16)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_n} q^{(31-2)\sigma - \text{des}\sigma}. \quad (1.17)$$

In Section 3 we shall give a simple sum formula for  $D_n(1, -1, t)$  (cf. [Theorem 3.6](#)).

## 2 Proof outlines of main Theorems

### 2.1 Some basic definitions and results

The following definition was given as a lemma in [6, Lemma 1].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $w = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$  ( $n > 0$ ) be a permutation and  $x$  be one of the letters  $x_i$  ( $1 < i < n$ ). Then  $w$  has a unique factorization  $(w_1, w_2, x, w_4, w_5)$  of length 5, called its  $x$ -factorization, which is characterized by the three properties

- (i)  $w_1$  is empty or its last letter is less than  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $w_2$  (resp.  $w_4$ ) is empty or all its letters are greater than  $x$ ;
- (iii)  $w_5$  is empty or its first letter is less than  $x$ .

We can characterize André permutations in terms of  $x$ -factorization [4].

**Proposition 2.2.** *A permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is an André permutation if it is empty or satisfies the following:*

- (i)  $\sigma$  has no double descents,
- (ii)  $n - 1$  is not a descent position, i.e.  $\sigma_{n-1} < \sigma_n$ ,
- (iii) If  $\sigma_i$  is a valley of  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma_i$ -factorization  $(w_1, w_2, \sigma_i, w_4, w_5)$ , then  $\min(w_2) > \min(w_4)$ , i.e., the minimum letter of  $w_2$  is larger than the minimum letter of  $w_4$ .

The next theorem follows from the work of [2, 5].

**Theorem 2.3.** For any  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  without double decent, we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Orb}(\tilde{\sigma})} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} t^{\text{des}\sigma} = p^{(2-13)\tilde{\sigma}} q^{(31-2)\tilde{\sigma}} t^{\text{des}\tilde{\sigma}} (1+t)^{n-1-2\text{des}\tilde{\sigma}}.$$

Let  $A_n(p, q, t, u, v, w)$  be the generalized Eulerian polynomials defined by

$$A_n(p, q, t, u, v, w) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma} t^{\text{des}\sigma} u^{\text{da}\sigma} v^{\text{dd}\sigma} w^{\text{val}\sigma}. \quad (2.1)$$

As  $\text{des} = \text{val} + \text{dd}$  we derive the following generalization of (1.10) from Theorem 2.3. This was first proved in [16] by using combinatorial theory of continued fractions.

**Corollary 2.4.** For the  $\gamma$ -coefficients  $\gamma_{n,k}(p, q)$  in (1.8) we have

$$A_n(p, q, t, u, v, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}(p, q) (tw)^k (u+vt)^{n-1-2k}. \quad (2.2)$$

## 2.2 New action on permutations without double descent

Let  $\text{DD}_n$  be the set of permutations of  $[n]$  without double descent. For any permutation  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_n$  and  $x \in [n]$  we shall identify  $\sigma$  with its  $x$ -factorization, i.e.,  $\sigma = (w_1, w_2, x, w_4, w_5) = w_1 w_2 x w_4 w_5$ , and let  $y_1 := \min(w_2)$ ,  $y_2 := \min(w_4)$ . A valley  $x$  of  $\sigma$  is said to be

- *good* (resp. *bad*) if  $y_1 > y_2$  (resp.  $y_1 < y_2$ );
- of *type I* if  $\min(y_1, y_2)$  is a peak or double ascent,
- of *type II* if  $\min(y_1, y_2)$  is a valley.

We denote by  $\text{Val}\sigma$  the set of valleys of  $\sigma$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_n$  and  $x \in \text{Val}\sigma$  with  $y = \min(y_1, y_2)$ .

- (i) If  $y$  is a peak, then  $w_4 = y$  (resp.  $w_2 = y$ ) if  $y_1 > y_2$  (resp.  $y_1 < y_2$ ).

(ii) If  $y$  is a double ascent, then  $w_4 = yw_4''$  (resp.  $w_2 = yw_2''$ ) with  $w_2'', w_4'' \neq \epsilon$  if  $y_1 > y_2$  (resp.  $y_1 < y_2$ ).

(iii) If  $y$  is a valley, then  $w_4 = w_4' y w_4''$  (resp.  $w_2 = w_2' y w_2''$ ) with  $w_2', w_2'', w_4', w_4'' \neq \epsilon$  if  $y_1 > y_2$  (resp.  $y_1 < y_2$ ).

**Definition 2.6.** For  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_n$  and each  $x \in \text{Val}\sigma$  with  $y = \min(y_1, y_2)$ , we define its transform  $\varphi(\sigma, x)$  as follows:

(i) If  $y$  is a peak, then

$$\varphi(\sigma, x) = \begin{cases} (w_1, y, x, w_2, w_5) & \text{if } y = y_2, \\ (w_1, w_4, x, y, w_5) & \text{if } y = y_1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) If  $y$  is a double ascent, then

$$\varphi(\sigma, x) = \begin{cases} (w_1, y w_2, x, w'', w_5) & \text{if } y = y_2 \text{ and } w_4 = y w'', \\ (w_1, w'', x, y w_4, w_5) & \text{if } y = y_1 \text{ and } w_2 = y w''. \end{cases}$$

(iii) If  $y$  is a valley, then

$$\varphi(\sigma, x) = \begin{cases} (w_1, w_2 y w', x, w'', w_5) & \text{if } y = y_2 \text{ and } w_4 = w' y w'', \\ (w_1, w', x, w'' y w_4, w_5) & \text{if } y = y_1 \text{ and } w_2 = w' y w''. \end{cases}$$

with  $w', w'' \neq \epsilon$ .

Obviously this transformation switches  $y$  from left to right or right to left of  $x$  and  $\varphi(\varphi(\sigma, x), x) = \sigma$ . We record the basic properties of this transformation in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.7.** If  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_{n,k}$  and  $x \in \text{Val}\sigma$ , then  $\varphi(\sigma, x) \in \text{DD}_{n,k}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (2-13) \varphi(\sigma, x) &= \begin{cases} (2-13) \sigma + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is good} \\ (2-13) \sigma - 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is bad;} \end{cases} \\ (31-2) \varphi(\sigma, x) &= \begin{cases} (31-2) \sigma - 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is good} \\ (31-2) \sigma + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is bad.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Next we define the transform  $\varphi(\sigma, S)$  for any subset  $S$  of  $\text{Val}(\sigma)$  with  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_n$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_n$ . For any  $S \subseteq \text{Val}\sigma$ , let  $\{S_1, S_2\}$  be the partition of  $S$  such that

- (1)  $S_1$  is the subset of  $S$  consisting of valleys of type I, say  $i_1, \dots, i_l$ ;
- (2)  $S_2$  is the subset of  $S$  consisting of valleys of type II, say  $j_k < \dots < j_2 < j_1$ .

Define the transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\sigma, S_1) &= \varphi(i_1, \dots, \varphi(i_2, \varphi(i_1, \sigma))), \\ \varphi(\sigma, S_2) &= \varphi(j_k, \dots, \varphi(j_2, \varphi(j_1, \sigma))), \\ \varphi(\sigma, S) &= \varphi(\varphi(\sigma, S_1), S_2).\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.9.** The image  $\varphi(\sigma, S_1)$  is independent of the order of  $i_1, \dots, i_l$  while  $\varphi(\sigma, S_2)$  is defined for the elements of  $S_2$  in the decreasing order  $j_1 > j_2 > \dots > j_k$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *If  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n,k}$  and  $S \subseteq \text{Val}(\sigma)$ , then  $\tau := \varphi(\sigma, S) \in \text{DD}_{n,k}$  is well defined and*

$$S = \{x \in \text{Val}(\tau) \mid x \text{ is a bad guy}\}. \quad (2.4)$$

For any set  $S$  we denote by  $2^S$  the set of all subsets of  $S$ . In what follows, for  $\sigma \in \text{DD}_{n,k}$  we will identify  $\text{Val}(\sigma)$  with  $[k]$  under the map  $a_i \mapsto i$  for  $i \in [k]$  if  $\text{Val}(\sigma)$  consists of  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ , and identify any subset  $S \in \text{Val}(\sigma)$  with its image  $S' \in 2^{[k]}$ . Thus we will use  $2^{[k]}$  instead of  $2^{\text{Val}(\sigma)}$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *The map  $\varphi : \mathfrak{D}_{n,k} \times 2^{[k]} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  is a bijection such that for  $(\sigma, S) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n,k} \times 2^{[k]}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(2-13) \sigma + |S| &= (2-13) \varphi(\sigma, S), \\ (31-2) \sigma - |S| &= (31-2) \varphi(\sigma, S).\end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Clearly (1.10) is a special case of Corollary 2.4, and (1.11) is equivalent to

$$(p+q)^k \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}_{n,k}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma-k} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{DD}_{n,k}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}. \quad (2.6)$$

As  $(p+q)^k = \sum_{S \in 2^{[k]}} p^{|S|} q^{k-|S|}$  we can rewrite the above identity as

$$\sum_{(\sigma, S) \in \mathfrak{D}_{n,k} \times 2^{[k]}} p^{(2-13)\sigma + |S|} q^{(31-2)\sigma - |S|} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{DD}_{n,k}} p^{(2-13)\sigma} q^{(31-2)\sigma}.$$

The result follows from Proposition 2.11. □



## 2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.7

We shall use the J-type continued fraction as a formal power series defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{\lambda_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{\lambda_2 t^2}{1 - \dots}}},$$

where  $(b_n)$  and  $(\lambda_{n+1})$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) are two sequences in a commutative ring. When  $b_n = 0$  we obtain the S-type continued fraction:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda_1 t}{1 - \frac{\lambda_2 t}{1 - \dots}}}.$$

Recall the following continued fraction expansion formula from [16, (28)]:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(p, q, t, u, v, w) x^{n-1} = \frac{1}{1 - (u + tv)[1]_{p,q}x - \frac{[1]_{p,q}[2]_{p,q}twx^2}{1 - (u + tv)[2]_{p,q}x - \frac{[2]_{p,q}[3]_{p,q}twx^2}{\dots}}} \quad (2.7)$$

with  $b_n = (u + tv)[n + 1]_{p,q}$  and  $\lambda_n = [n]_{p,q}[n + 1]_{p,q}tw$ .

By Theorem 1.5 and substituting  $(t, u, v, w)$  with  $(p + q, 0, 1, t)$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$A_n(p, q, p + q, 0, 1, t) = (p + q)^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} d_{n,k}(p, q) t^k.$$

Thus, substituting  $(t, u, v, w)$  with  $(p + q, 0, 1, t)$  in (2.7) and replacing  $x$  by  $x/(p + q)$  we obtain the same continued fraction in (1.2). This proves (1.12).  $\square$

## 3 An explicit formula for $D_n(1, -1, t)$

A *Motzkin path* of length  $n$  is a sequence of points  $\eta := (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_n)$  in the integer plane  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that

- $\eta_0 = (0, 0)$  and  $\eta_n = (n, 0)$ ,

- $\eta_i - \eta_{i-1} \in \{(1,0), (1,1), (1,-1)\}$ ,
- $\eta_i := (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ .

In other words, a Motzkin path of length  $n$  is a lattice path starting at  $(0,0)$ , ending at  $(n,0)$ , and never going below the  $x$ -axis, consisting of up-steps  $U = (1,1)$ , level-steps  $L = (1,0)$ , and down-steps  $D = (1,-1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{MP}_n$  be the set of Motzkin paths of length  $n$ . Clearly we can identify Motzkin paths of length  $n$  with words  $w$  on  $\{U, L, D\}$  of length  $n$  such that all prefixes of  $w$  contain no more  $D$ 's than  $U$ 's and the number of  $D$ 's equals the number of  $U$ 's. The height of a step  $(\eta_i, \eta_{i+1})$  is the coordinate of the starting point  $\eta_i$ . Given a Motzkin path  $p \in \mathcal{MP}_n$  and two sequences  $(b_i)$  and  $(\lambda_i)$  of a commutative ring  $R$ , we weight each up-step by 1, and each level-step (resp. down-step) at height  $i$  by  $b_i$  (resp.  $\lambda_i$ ) and define the weight  $w(p)$  of  $p$  by the product of the weights of all its steps. The following result of Flajolet [3] is our starting point.

**Lemma 3.1** (Flajolet). *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{p \in \mathcal{MP}_n} w(p) \right) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{\lambda_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{\lambda_2 t^2}{1 - b_2 t - \dots}}}.$$

A Motzkin path without level-steps is called a *Dyck path*, and a Motzkin path without level-steps at odd height is called an *André path*. We denote by  $\mathcal{AP}_{n,k}$  the set of André paths of half-length  $n$  with  $k$  level-steps, and  $\mathcal{DP}_n$  the set of Dyck paths of half length  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $b_i = 0$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) and  $\lambda_i = \lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor$  ( $i \geq 1$ ). Then*

$$n! = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{MP}_n} w(p).$$

*In other words, the factorial  $n!$  is the generating polynomial of  $\mathcal{DP}_n$ .*

**Remark 3.3.** A bijective proof of Euler's formula (3.2) is known, see [11, (4.9)].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $b_{2i} = 1, b_{2i+1} = 0$  ( $i \geq 0$ ) and  $\lambda_k = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor t$  ( $i \geq 1$ ). Then*

$$D_{n+1}(1, -1, t) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{AP}_n} w(p).$$

*In other words, the polynomial  $D_{n+1}(1, -1, t)$  is the generating polynomial of André paths of length  $n$ .*

Let

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,k} := \{(y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} : y_1 + \dots + y_{k+1} = n - 2k\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , there is an explicit bijection  $\psi : \mathcal{AP}_{n, n-2k} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{DP}_k$  such that if  $\psi(u) = (y, p)$  with for  $u \in \mathcal{AP}_{n, n-2k}$  and  $(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{DP}_k$  then  $w(u) = w(p)$ , where the weight is associated to the sequences  $(b_i)$  and  $(\lambda_i)$  with  $b_{2i} = 1$ ,  $b_{2i+1} = 0$  ( $i \geq 0$ ), and  $\lambda_k = \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor t$  ( $i \geq 1$ ).

*Proof.* Since an André path (word) on  $\{U, D, L\}$  has only level-steps at even height and starts from height 0, so the subword between two consecutive level-steps L's must be of even length and is a word on the alphabet  $\{UU, DD, UD, DU\}$ . Thus, any André word  $u \in \mathcal{AP}_{n, n-2k}$  can be written in a unique way as follows:

$$u = L^{y_1} w_1 L^{y_2} w_2 \dots w_k L^{y_{k+1}} \quad \text{with} \quad w_i \in \{UU, DD, UD, DU\}.$$

Let  $y := (y_1, \dots, y_{k+1})$  and  $p := w_1 \dots w_k$ . As the path  $p$  is obtained by removing out all the level-steps L's from the André path  $u$ , each step in  $p$  keeps the same height in  $u$ , and  $(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{DP}_k$ . Let  $\psi(u) = (y, p)$ . It is clear that this is the desired bijection.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** For  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$D_n(1, -1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1-k}{k} k! t^k. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.6.* By [Lemmas 3.4](#) and [3.5](#) we have

$$D_{n+1}(1, -1, t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{(y, p) \in \mathcal{Y}_{n, k} \times \mathcal{DP}_k} w(p).$$

Since the cardinality of  $\mathcal{Y}_{n, k}$  is  $\binom{n-k}{k}$ , and the generating polynomial of  $\mathcal{DP}_k$  is equal to  $k! t^k$  by [Lemma 3.2](#), summing over all  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  we obtain [Equation \(3.1\)](#).  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** The full-length paper for this extended abstract is available at [\[11\]](#).

## References

- [1] C. A. Athanasiadis. "Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry". *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **77** (2016-2018), Art. B77i, 64.
- [2] P. Brändén. "Actions on permutations and unimodality of descent polynomials". *European J. Combin.* **29.2** (2008), pp. 514–531. [Link](#).
- [3] P. Flajolet. "Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions". *Discrete Math.* **32.2** (1980), pp. 125–161. [Link](#).
- [4] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger. "Nombres d'Euler et permutations alternantes". *A survey of Combinatorial Theory* **1** (1973), pp. 173–188.

- [5] D. Foata and V. Strehl. “Euler numbers and variations of permutations”. *Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie* **1** (1973), pp. 119–131.
- [6] D. Foata and V. Strehl. “Rearrangements of the symmetric group and enumerative properties of the tangent and secant numbers”. *Math. Z.* **137** (1974), pp. 257–264. [Link](#).
- [7] Y. Gelineau, H. Shin, and J. Zeng. “Bijections for Entringer families”. *European J. Combin.* **32.1** (2011), pp. 100–115.
- [8] G.-N. Han. “Hankel continued fractions and Hankel determinants of the Euler numbers”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2020). [Link](#).
- [9] M. Josuat-Vergès. “Enumeration of snakes and cycle-alternating permutations”. *Australas. J. Combin.* **60** (2014), pp. 279–305.
- [10] P. A. MacMahon. *Combinatory Analysis, Volumes I and II*. Vol. 137. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [11] Q. Q. Pan and J. Zeng. “The  $\gamma$ -coefficients of Branden’s  $(p, q)$ -Eulerian polynomials and André permutations”. 2019. [arXiv:1910.01747](#).
- [12] T. K. Petersen. *Eulerian numbers*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks. With a foreword by Richard Stanley. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015, pp. xviii+456. [Link](#).
- [13] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, and L. Williams. “Faces of generalized permutohedra”. *Doc. Math* **13.207-273** (2008), p. 51.
- [14] J. Riordan. “Triangular permutation numbers”. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951), pp. 429–432. [Link](#).
- [15] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs. “Gamma-positivity of variations of Eulerian polynomials”. *J. Comb.* **11.1** (2020). [Link](#).
- [16] H. Shin and J. Zeng. “The symmetric and unimodal expansion of Eulerian polynomials via continued fractions”. *European J. Combin.* **33.2** (2012), pp. 111–127. [Link](#).
- [17] H. Shin and J. Zeng. “Symmetric unimodal expansions of excedances in colored permutations”. *European J. Combin.* **52**.part A (2016), pp. 174–196. [Link](#).
- [18] G. Viennot. “Interprétations combinatoires des nombres d’Euler et de Genocchi”. *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* (1981), pp. 1–94.