Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 54A (2006), Article B54Ae

SYMMETRY AND UNIMODALITY IN THE q, x, y-HIT NUMBERS

Fred Butler

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University P.O. Box 6310, Morgantown, WV 26506-6310

fbutler@math.wvu.edu

ABSTRACT. We prove symmetry, and in some cases symmetry and unimodality, of polynomials related to the q, x, y-hit numbers introduced by Haglund. These results generalize theorems proven by Haglund for the q-hit numbers. We also apply one of these results to obtain a corollary concerning a generalization of the Eulerian numbers.

RÉSUMÉ. Nous prouvons la symétrie et dans certains cas la symétrie et l'unimodalité des polynômes relatifs aux q, x, y nombres de contacts introduits par Haglund, généralisant ainsi certains théorèmes. Un de ces résultats nous permet d'obtenir un corollaire à propos d'une généralisation des nombres Eulériens.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Preliminaries.** We will use the notation SQ_n to denote the $n \times n$ square chess board. We will number the columns of SQ_n with 1 through n going from left to right across the bottom, and the rows of SQ_n with 1 through n going from bottom to top. We will label a square on SQ_n in column i row j with (i, j).

More generally, a *board* will be any subset of SQ_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A *Ferrers board* is a board with non-decreasing column heights from left to right, or more precisely a board of



FIGURE 1. The Ferrers board $B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$.

the form $\{(i, j) \in SQ_n | 1 \le j \le b_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ where $b_1 \le b_2 \le \cdots \le b_n$. We will denote the Ferrers board with column heights b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n by $B(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$. We will also specify a Ferrers board by its step heights and depths. The Ferrers board $B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$ is shown in Figure 1. We will call $B = B(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$ a regular Ferrers board if $b_i \ge i$ for $1 \le i \le n$, or equivalently if $h_1 + \cdots + h_i \ge d_1 + \cdots + d_i$ for $1 \le i \le t$ as was defined in [9]. In this paper we will focus on regular Ferrers boards.

A rook placement on a board $B \subseteq SQ_n$ is a subset of squares of B such that no two of these squares lie in the same row or the same column. As the name suggests, these squares represent positions on an $n \times n$ chess board where non-attacking rooks can be placed. Let $r_k(B)$ denote the number of k rook placements on B, and let $h_{n,k}(B)$ denote the number of n rook placements on SQ_n such that exactly k rooks lie on B. These are known as the *kth rook number* and the *kth hit number*, respectively, of the board B.

1.2. Cycle-counting q-rook theory. The cycle-counting q-rook numbers were first introduced in the unpublished work of Ehrenborg, Haglund, and Readdy [4], defined only for Ferrers boards. These rook numbers generalize both the q-rook numbers $R_k(q, B)$ of Garsia and Remmel [5], and the cycle-counting rook numbers $r_k(y, B)$ of Chung and Graham [2]. In order to describe them, we need to define the following three statistics.

The first statistic is denoted inv_B , a generalization of the number of inversions of a permutation. Given a placement P of rooks on a Ferrers board $B \subseteq SQ_n$, let each rook cancel all squares to the right in its row and below in its column. We can then define $\operatorname{inv}_B(P)$ to be the number of squares of B which neither contain a rook from P nor are cancelled.

The second statistic is denoted cyc, and is a generalization of the number of cycles of a permutation. Given a rook placement P on a board $B \subseteq SQ_n$, it is possible to associate to P a simple directed graph G_P on n vertices. This fact was first noted in [6] (see also [2] and [3]). There is an edge from i to j in G_P if and only if there is a rook from P on the square (i, j). We can then define cyc(P) to be the number of cycles in G_P .

The third statistic, denoted E, depends on the following fact. Given any placement P of j non-attacking rooks in columns 1 through i - 1 of a Ferrers board B (where $j \leq i - 1$), it is an easy exercise to see that if $b_i \geq i$ then there is exactly one square in column i where placement of a rook will complete a new cycle in the digraph G_P . If $b_i < i$ then there is no square where placing a rook will complete a new cycle. Note that a regular Ferrers board will have such a square in each of its columns (since $b_i \geq i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$). Now for i with $b_i \geq i$ we can define $s_i(P)$ to be the unique square which, considering only rooks from P in columns 1 through i - 1 of P, completes a new cycle. Then let E(P) be the number of i such that $b_i \geq i$ and there is no rook from P in column i on or above square $s_i(P)$. For the rook placement P pictured in Figure 2, we see that $inv_B(P) = 4$, cyc(P) = 2, and E(P) = 2 (corresponding to i = 4 and i = 5).

We will use the common notation $[x] = (1 - q^x)/(1 - q)$ to denote the *q*-analog of the real number x, and [n]! to denote the product $[n][n-1]\cdots[2][1]$, the *q*-analog of n!. For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ the *q*-analog of the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$, equal to

$$\frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!} = \frac{[n][n-1]\cdots[n-k+1]}{[k]!}$$



FIGURE 2. The placement P on B and the associated digraph G_P .

for $k \leq n$ and equal to 0 for k > n. It is a well known fact that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is a polynomial in q. More generally for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we will write $\begin{bmatrix} z \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ for

$$\frac{[z][z-1]\cdots[z-k+1]}{[k]!}.$$

As in [4], we now define the *kth cycle-counting q-rook number* of a Ferrers board B by the equation

$$R_k(y,q,B) = \sum_{\substack{P \ k \text{ rooks on } B}} [y]^{\operatorname{cyc}(P)} q^{\operatorname{inv}_B(P) + (y-1)E(P)},$$
(1)

where the sum is taken over all placements P of k non-attacking rooks on B. Letting y = 1 in (1) yields the q-rook numbers of [5], and letting $q \to 1$ gives the cycle-counting rook numbers of [2]. The $R_k(y, q, B)$ satisfy the useful equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} R_{n-k}(y,q,B)[z][z-1]\cdots[z-k+1] = \prod_{i \text{ with } b_i \ge i} [z+b_i-i+y] \prod_{i \text{ with } b_i < i} [z+b_i-i+1], \quad (2)$$

a version of the well-known factorization theorems proven for the $r_k(B)$ [7], $R_k(q, B)$ [5], and $r_k(y, B)$ [2].

Haglund [9, p. 449] further extended this model by defining the q, x, y-hit numbers algebraically by the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) z^{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} R_{n-k}(y, q, B)[x][x+1] \cdots [x+k-1] z^{k} \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (1-zq^{x+i-1}). \quad (3)$$

The $A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B)$ generalize the $a_{n,k}(x, y, B)$ also discussed in [9] (obtained by letting $q \to 1$ in (3)), along with the q-hit numbers of Garsia and Remmel [5] (letting x = y = 1) and the cycle-counting hit numbers in the model of Chung and Graham [2] (when x = 1 and $q \to 1$).

The case x = y is studied in [1], where for a regular Ferrers board B the combinatorial interpretation

$$A_{n,k}(y, y, q, B) = \sum_{\substack{P \ n \text{ rooks on } SQ_n, \\ n-k \text{ rooks on } B}} [y]^{\operatorname{cyc}(P)} q^{(n-\operatorname{cyc}(P))(y-1)+b_{n,B}(P)+E(P)}$$

is given. Here the sum is taken over all placements of n non-attacking rooks on SQ_n such that exactly n - k of the rooks lie on B. The statistic E is as defined above, and $b_{n,B}(P)$ is the number of squares on SQ_n which neither contain a rook from P nor are cancelled, after applying the following cancellation scheme:

- (1) each rook cancels all squares to the right in its row;
- (2) each rook on B cancels all squares above it in its column (squares both on B and strictly above B);
- (3) each rook on B which is also on a square which completes a cycle cancels all squares below it in its column as well;
- (4) each rook off B cancels all squares below it but above B.

While no combinatorial interpretation is known for the $A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B)$ when $x \neq y$, the author suspects that one exists similar to that given for the $a_{n,k}(x, y, B)$ in [9, p. 418]. Such an interpretation would enhance the results that follow.

In Section 2 we sketch an easy proof of the symmetry and unimodality of $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Our proof for regular Ferrers boards is a simplified version of that given in [10], for an analogous result concerning the *q*-hit numbers. We also deduce two corollaries in this section. In Section 3, we prove symmetry of the polynomial $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ for any regular Ferrers board $B = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$. Finally in Section 4, we prove unimodality of $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ for a certain class of regular Ferrers boards.

2. Symmetry and Unimodality of $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B)$

If $B = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_n$ is a Ferrers board, let us denote by $B - h_p - d_p$ the Ferrers board $B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_p - 1, d_p - 1; \ldots, h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_{n-1}$, obtained from B by decreasing the pth step by 1. We will write Area(B) for the number of squares in the board B.

Suppose

$$f(q) = \sum_{i=M}^{N} a_i q^i,$$

is a polynomial in q with $a_M, a_N \neq 0$. We call M + N the virtual degree of f. We will say the polynomial f(q) is zsu(d) if either

- (1) f(q) is identically zero, or
- (2) f(q) is in $\mathbb{N}[q]$, symmetric, and unimodal with virtual degree d.

Note that for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, q^s is zsu(2s) and [s] is zsu(s-1). It is also easy to see that if f and g are polynomials which are both zsu(d), then f + g is also zsu(d). We will use the

following lemmas to prove the main proposition of this section. A proof of Lemma 2.1 can be found in [11].

Lemma 2.1. If f is zsu(d) and g is zsu(e), then fg is zsu(d+e).

Lemma 2.2. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; ...; h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_n$ be a regular Ferrers board, $B - h_t - d_t \subseteq SQ_{n-1}$ as described earlier. Then

$$A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) = [k + y + d_t - 1]A_{n-1,k}(x, y, q, B - h_t - d_t) + q^{k+y+d_t-2}[n + x - y - d_t - k + 1]A_{n-1,k-1}(x, y, q, B - h_t - d_t)$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let p = t in Lemma 5.7 of [9].

The following is now a simple corollary of the above lemmas. We offer a brief sketch of the proof.

Proposition 2.3. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_n$ be a regular Ferrers board, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n + a + 1 \ge b + d_t + k$, then $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B)$ is $zsu(Area(B) + n(b + k - 1) + k(a - 1) - {n+1 \choose 2})$ for $0 \le k \le n$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on Area(B). When k = 0, we use Lemma 2.1 with (2) and (3) to prove that

$$A_{n,0}(a, b, q, B) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [b_i - i + b],$$

which is $zsu(Area(B) + n(b-1) - \binom{n+1}{2})$. We then use Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.1, and the fact that two polynomials which are zsu(d) sum to another polynomial which is zsu(d) for the case when k > 0. Note the assumption $n + a + 1 \ge b + d_t + k$ is necessary to ensure that the factor $[n + a - b - d_t - k + 1]$ in the recurrence is a polynomial in q.

An immediate corollary is the following.

Corollary 2.4. For any regular Ferrers board $B \subseteq SQ_n$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomial

$$\sum_{\substack{P \text{ n rooks on } SQ_n, \\ n-k \text{ rooks on } B}} [m]^{\operatorname{cyc}(P)} q^{(m-\operatorname{cyc}(P))(y-1)+b_{n,B}(P)+E(P)}$$

is $zsu(Area(B) + n(m+k-1) + k(m-1) - \binom{n+1}{2})$.

Proof. Let x = y = m in Proposition 2.3, and use the combinatorial interpretation for $A_{n,k}(y, y, q, B)$ given in Section 1.2. Note that assuming B is a regular Ferrers board, we always have $n + m + 1 \ge m + d_t + k$. This is because the last d_t columns of B have height n, so in a placement of n non-attacking rooks on SQ_n with k rooks off B, we must have $n - d_t \ge k$.

A cycle-counting version of the Eulerian numbers is given in [1], defined by the equation

$$\tilde{E}_{n,k}(y,q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n, \ \operatorname{des}(\sigma) = k-1} [y]^{\operatorname{rlmin}(\sigma)} q^{(n-\operatorname{rlmin}(\sigma))(y-1) + \operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}.$$
(4)

Here $des(\sigma)$ denotes the number of descents, and $rlmin(\sigma)$ the number of right-to-left minima, in the permutation σ . A right-to-left minimum of $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$ is an entry σ_i which is smaller than σ_j for all j > i (so for example rlmin(51243) = 3, corresponding to the right-to-left minima 3, 2, and 1). Note that right-to-left minima have the same overall distribution as cycles in S_n , justifying the term "cycle-counting." It was proven in [1] that

$$\hat{E}_{n,k}(y,q) = A_{n,k-1}(y,y,q,\mathbb{T}_n),$$
(5)

where $\mathbb{T}_n = B(1, 2, ..., n)$ denotes the triangular Ferrers board. In light of (5) and Proposition 2.3, the following can be easily proven.

Corollary 2.5. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomial

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n, \operatorname{des}(\sigma)=k-1} [m]^{\operatorname{rlmin}(\sigma)} q^{(n-\operatorname{rlmin}(\sigma))(m-1)+\operatorname{maj}(\sigma)}$$

is $\operatorname{zsu}(n(m+k-2)+(k-1)(m-1)).$

3. Symmetry of
$$A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$$

In this section we prove a more general symmetry result for all regular Ferrers boards, namely the symmetry of the polynomial

$$\frac{A_{n,k}(a,b,q,B)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!}$$

where $B = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$. Throughout the rest of the paper we will use the notation H_i for the partial sum $h_1 + \cdots + h_i$, and D_i for $d_1 + \cdots + d_i$. We have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $B = B(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t)$ be a regular Ferrers board, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [j+b_i-i+y]}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1\\ d_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We see that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} [j+b_i-i+y] = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left([j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1][(j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1)-1] \cdots [(j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1)-d_i+1] \right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [j+b_i-i+y]}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \frac{[j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1]\cdots[(j+H_i-D_{i-1}+y-1)-d_i+1]}{[d_i]!},$$
hich is

wh

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} j+H_i - D_{i-1} + y - 1 \\ d_i \end{bmatrix}$$

by definition.

Lemma 3.2. Let $B = B(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = B(h_1, d_1; \ldots; h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_n$ be a regular Ferrers board. Then

$$\frac{A_{n,k}(x,y,q,B)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t}[d_i]!} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {n+x \brack k-j} {x+j-1 \brack j} (-1)^{k-j} q^{\binom{k-j}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} {j+H_i - D_{i-1} + y - 1 \brack d_i}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 of [9], we have

$$A_{n,k}(x,y,q,B) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {n+x \choose k-j} {x+j-1 \choose j} (-1)^{k-j} q^{\binom{k-j}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} [j+b_i-i+y].$$

The lemma now follows trivially from Lemma 3.1.

We can now prove the following.

Theorem 3.3. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; ...; h_t, d_t)$ be a regular Ferrers board (so $H_i \ge D_i$ for $1 \le i \le t$). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \ge b \ge 1$, and set

$$L_k^{a,b}(B) = \operatorname{Area}(B) + n(b-1) + k(n+a-1) - \sum_{i=1}^t d_i D_i$$

Then $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ is either zero or symmetric with virtual degree $L_k^{a,b}(B)$. Proof. By Lemma 3.2, $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]! =$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k} {n+a \brack k-j} {a+j-1 \brack j} (-1)^{k-j} q^{\binom{k-j}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} {j+H_i-D_{i-1}+b-1 \atop d_i},$$

which is a polynomial in q (the first two q-binomial coefficients in each summand are clearly polynomials, and the third is since $H_i \ge D_i \ge D_{i-1}$ and $b \ge 1$). Using the fact that $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ is symmetric with virtual degree s(r-s) and Lemma 2.1, we see that each term on the right side above has virtual degree (k-j)(n+a-k+j)+j(a-1)+ $(k-j)(k-j-1)+\sum_{i=1}^{t} d_i(j+H_i-D_i+b-1)$ (which is exactly $L_k^{a,b}(B)$). We then conclude that if $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B)/\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ is non-zero, then it is symmetric with virtual degree $L_k^{a,b}(B)$.

4. Unimodality of $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$

In this section we give some sufficient conditions on the regular Ferrers board B for the polynomial of the previous section to also be unimodal. Let us first define some more notation.

Suppose we have integers $h_1, \ldots, h_t, d_1, \ldots, d_t$, and e_1, \ldots, e_t with $d_i \in \mathbb{P}, h_i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 \leq e_i \leq d_i$. We will denote the vector (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t) by \vec{e} . We will continue to denote the partial sum $h_1 + \cdots + h_i$ by $H_i, d_1 + \cdots + d_i$ by D_i , and we will also let $E_i = e_1 + \cdots + e_i$. We make the convention that $H_0 = D_0 = E_0 = 0$. For fixed h_1, \ldots, h_t and d_1, \ldots, d_t we can define

$$P(\vec{e}, x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} H_i - D_{i-1} + E_{i-1} + y - 1 \\ d_i - e_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_i + D_{i-1} - H_i - E_{i-1} + x - y \\ e_i \end{bmatrix}$$

and prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; \dots, h_{t-1}, d_{t-1}; h_t, d_t) \subseteq SQ_n$ be a regular Ferrers board, $B' = B(h_1, d_1; \dots; h_{t-1}, d_{t-1}) \subseteq SQ_{H_{t-1}}$. Then

$$A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) = [d_t]! \sum_{s=k-d_t}^k A_{H_{t-1},s}(x, y, q, B') \begin{bmatrix} y+d_t+s-1\\d_t-k+s \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} n-y-d_t+x-s\\k-s \end{bmatrix} q^{(k-s)(y+k-1)}.$$

Proof. Let p = t in Corollary 5.10 of [9] and note that because B is a regular Ferrers board, $H_t = D_t = n$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; ...; h_t, d_t)$ be a regular Ferrers board. Then

$$A_{n,k}(x,y,q,B) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]! \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_t = k, \ 0 \le e_i \le d_i} P(\vec{e}, x, y) \prod_{i=1}^{t} q^{e_i(H_i - D_i + E_i + y - 1)}.$$
 (6)

Proof. By induction on t. When t = 1 we have that $d_1 = n$, and Lemma 4.1 gives us

$$A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) = [d_1]! \sum_{s=k-n}^{k} A_{0,s}(x, y, q, \emptyset) \begin{bmatrix} y+n+s-1\\ d_1-k+s \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} n-y-n+x-s\\ k-s \end{bmatrix} \times q^{(k-s)(y+k-1)}.$$
 (7)

In this case we have that $H_1 = D_1 = d_1 = n$ and $D_0 = H_0 = 0$, so we get that the s = 0 term in (7) is equal to

$$[d_1]! \begin{bmatrix} H_1 - D_0 + y - 1 \\ d_1 - k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 + D_0 - H_1 + x - y \\ k \end{bmatrix} \times q^{k(H_1 - D_1 + k + y - 1)}.$$
 (8)

Note that by definition

$$A_{0,s}(x, y, q, \emptyset) = \delta_{s,0},$$

so the only nonzero summand in (7) occurs when s = 0 and hence (8) is actually equal to (7). Finally if we recall that $E_1 = e_1$ and $E_0 = 0$, we can rewrite (8) as

$$[d_1]! \sum_{e_1=k, \ 0 \le e_1 \le d_1} \begin{bmatrix} H_1 - D_0 + E_0 + y - 1 \\ d_1 - e_1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} D_1 + D_0 - H_1 - E_0 + x - y \\ e_1 \end{bmatrix} \times q^{e_1(H_1 - D_1 + E_1 + y - 1)},$$

which is exactly of the form of (6).

For t > 1, Lemma 4.1 gives that

$$A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) = [d_t]! \sum_{E_{t-1}=E_t-d_t}^{E_t} A_{H_{t-1}, E_{t-1}}(x, y, q, B') \begin{bmatrix} y+d_t+E_{t-1}-1\\ d_t-e_t \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} n-y-d_t+x-E_{t-1}\\ e_t \end{bmatrix} \times q^{e_t(y+E_t-1)}.$$
 (9)

Here we are letting $E_{t-1} = s$ and defining $e_t = k - s$ and $E_t = E_{t-1} + e_t = k$. Since B is regular $H_t = D_t = n$, so $H_t - D_{t-1} = D_t - D_{t-1} = d_t$ and (9) can be rewritten as

$$A_{n,k}(x, y, q, B) = [d_t]! \sum_{e_t=0}^{d_t} A_{H_{t-1}, E_{t-1}}(x, y, q, B') \begin{bmatrix} H_t - D_{t-1} + E_{t-1} + y - 1 \\ d_t - e_t \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} D_t + D_{t-1} - H_t - E_{t-1} + x - y \\ e_t \end{bmatrix} \times q^{e_t(H_t - D_t + E_t + y - 1)}$$

By the inductive hypothesis, the above is equal to

$$\begin{split} [d_t]! \sum_{e_t=0}^{d_t} \bigg\{ \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} [d_i]! \sum_{e_1+\dots+e_{t-1}=E_{t-1}, \ 0 \le e_i \le d_i} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \bigg[\begin{matrix} H_i - D_{i-1} + E_{i-1} + y - 1 \\ d_i - e_i \end{matrix} \bigg] \\ \times \bigg[\begin{matrix} D_i + D_{i-1} - H_i - E_{i-1} + x - y \\ e_i \end{matrix} \bigg] q^{e_i(H_i - D_i + E_i + y - 1)} \bigg\} \\ \times \bigg[\begin{matrix} H_t - D_{t-1} + E_{t-1} + y - 1 \\ d_t - e_t \end{matrix} \bigg] \bigg[\begin{matrix} D_t + D_{t-1} - H_t - E_{t-1} + x - y \\ e_t \end{matrix} \bigg] q^{e_t(H_t - D_t + E_t + y - 1)}, \end{split}$$

which is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]! \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_t = k, \ 0 \le e_i \le d_t} P(\vec{e}, x, y) \prod_{i+1}^{t} q^{e_i(H_i - D_i + E_i + y - 1)},$$

as desired.

Lemma 4.3. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; ...; h_t, d_t)$ be a regular Ferrers board, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \ge b \ge 1$. Let e_i , d_i , h_i , E_i , D_i , and H_i be as in the definition of $P(\vec{e}, x, y)$. Assume that B is such that $d_{i-1}+d_i \ge h_i$ for $1 \le i \le t$ (where $d_0 := 0$). If any of the numerators of the q-binomial coefficients in

$$P(\vec{e}, a, b) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \begin{bmatrix} H_i - D_{i-1} + E_{i-1} + b - 1 \\ d_i - e_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_i + D_{i-1} - H_i - E_{i-1} + a - b \\ e_i \end{bmatrix}$$

are negative, then $P(\vec{e}, a, b) = 0$.

Proof. First note that $H_i - D_{i-1} + E_{i-1} + b - 1 \ge 0$ for $1 \le i \le t$, since $H_i \ge D_i \ge D_{i-1}$ and $b \ge 1$, so none of the numerators in the first q-binomial coefficient of the product are ever negative.

Now suppose that $D_k + D_{k-1} - H_k - E_{k-1} + a - b < 0$ for some k with $0 \le k \le t$. Note $D_1 + D_0 - H_1 - E_0 + a - b = d_1 - h_1 + a - b$, and since we assumed $d_{i-1} + d_i \ge h_i$ (and in particular $d_1 \ge h_1$) and $a \ge b$, we have that $d_1 - h_1 + a - b \ge 0$. Thus we see that such a k must be greater than 2.

Now choose j such that $D_i + D_{i-1} - H_i - E_{i-1} + a - b \ge 0$ for $1 \le i < j$, but $D_j + D_{j-1} - H_j - E_{j-1} + a - b < 0$ (such a j exists because of the remarks in the previous paragraph). Then $D_j + D_{j-1} - H_j - E_{j-1} + a - b < 0$ implies $D_j + D_{j-1} - H_j - E_{j-2} + a - b < e_{j-1}$, which is equivalent to $d_j + d_{j-1} - h_j + D_{j-1} + D_{j-2} - H_{j-1} - E_{j-2} + a - b < e_{j-1}$, which implies $D_{j-1} + D_{j-2} - H_{j-1} - E_{j-2} + a - b < e_{j-1}$ (since $d_j + d_{j-1} \ge h_j$). Hence $[D_{j-1} + D_{j-2} - H_{j-1} - E_{j-2} + a - b]$

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{j-1} + D_{j-2} - H_{j-1} - E_{j-2} + a - b \\ e_{j-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

since the numerator is non-negative by definition of j but less than the denominator, so the product $P(\vec{e}, a, b)$ is 0 as well.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section, the unimodality of the $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $B = B(h_1, d_1; ...; h_t, d_t)$ be a regular Ferrers board such that $d_{i-1} + d_i \ge h_i$ for $1 \le i \le t$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \ge b \ge 1$, and set

$$L_k^{a,b}(B) = \text{Area}(B) + n(b-1) + k(n+a-1) - \sum_{i=1}^t d_i D_i$$

as before. Then $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ is $zsu(L_k^{a,b}(B))$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 4.2, which says that

$$\frac{A_{n,k}(a,b,q,B)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!} = \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_t = k, \ 0 \le e_i \le d_i} P(\vec{e},a,b) \prod_{i=1}^{t} q^{e_i(H_i - D_i + E_i + b - 1)},$$

and all of the terms on the right hand side above are in $\mathbb{N}[q]$ by Lemma 4.3. Using the fact that $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ is zsu(s(r-s)) (for a proof of the unimodality see [8]) along with Lemma 2.1, each term above is $zsu(\sum_{i=1}^{t} \{(d_i - e_i)(H_i - D_i + E_i + b - 1) + e_i(D_i + D_{i-1} - H_i - E_i + a - b) + 2e_i(H_i - D_i + E_i + b - 1)\})$. A simple calculation shows this is the same $zsu(L_k^{a,b}(B))$. Thus $A_{n,k}(a, b, q, B) / \prod_{i=1}^{t} [d_i]!$ is $zsu(L_k^{a,b}(B))$ as well. \Box

References

- F. Butler. Rook theory and cycle-counting permutations statistics. Adv. in Appl. Math., 33:655– 675, 2004.
- [2] F. Chung and R. Graham. On the cover polynomial of a digraph. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 65:273–290, 1995.
- [3] M. Dworkin. Factorization of the cover polynomial. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 71:17–53, 1997.
- [4] R. Ehrenborg, J. Haglund, and M. Readdy. Colored juggling patterns and weighted rook placements, 1996. Unpublished manuscript.
- [5] A. Garsia and J. Remmel. q-Counting rook configurations and a formula of Frobenius. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 41:246–275, 1986.
- [6] I. Gessel. Generalized rook polynomials and orthogonal polynomials. In Dennis Stanton, editor, q-Series and Partitions, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications, pages 159–167. Springer Verlag, 1989.
- [7] J. Goldman, J. Joichi, and D. White. Rook theory I: Rook equivalence of Ferrers boards. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 52:485–492, 1975.
- [8] F. Goodman and K. O'Hara. On the Gaussian polynomials. In Dennis Stanton, editor, q-Series and Partitions, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications, pages 57–66. Springer Verlag, 1989.
- [9] J. Haglund. Rook theory and hypergeometric series. Adv. in Appl. Math., 17:408–459, 1996.

- [10] J. Haglund. q-Rook polynomials and matrices over finite fields. Adv. in Appl. Math., 20:450–487, 1998.
- [11] R. Stanley. Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. Ann. NY Acad. Sci., 576:500–534, 1989.