# Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and the hive model

Ronald C King

Joint work with Christophe Tollu and Frédéric Toumazet

Presented at:

The 60th Séminaire Lotharingien De Combinatoire Strobl, Austria: 1 April 2008

#### **Schur functions**

- Let *n* be a fixed positive integer and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  a sequence of indeterminates.
- Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be a partition of weight  $|\lambda|$  and length  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ , so that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ .
- Then the Schur function  $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$  is defined by:

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left|x_{i}^{n+\lambda_{j}-j}\right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\left|x_{i}^{n-j}\right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}$$

#### **Schur functions**

- Let *n* be a fixed positive integer and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  a sequence of indeterminates.
- Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be a partition of weight  $|\lambda|$  and length  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ , so that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ .
- Then the Schur function  $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$  is defined by:  $s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left|x_{i}^{n+\lambda_{j}-j}\right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\left|x_{i}^{n-j}\right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}.$
- The Schur functions form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Lambda_n$ , the ring of polynomial symmetric functions of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

#### **LR-coefficients**

Any product of Schur functions can be expressed as a linear sum of Schur functions.

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \ s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\nu} \ c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} \ s_{\nu}(\mathbf{x})$$

#### **LR-coefficients**

Any product of Schur functions can be expressed as a linear sum of Schur functions.

$$s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \ s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\nu} \ c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \ s_{\nu}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Each Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is a non-negative integer.
- They may be evaluated by means of the Littlewood-Richardson rule.

#### **LR-rule**

- Fill the boxes of the Young diagram  $F^{\lambda}$  with 0's. Then fill the boxes of the skew Young diagram  $F^{\nu/\lambda}$  with  $\mu_i$  entries *i* for i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of such diagrams with entries weakly increasing across rows, strictly increasing down columns, and satisfying the lattice permutation rule.

#### **LR-rule**

- Fill the boxes of the Young diagram  $F^{\lambda}$  with 0's. Then fill the boxes of the skew Young diagram  $F^{\nu/\lambda}$  with  $\mu_i$  entries *i* for i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of such diagrams with entries weakly increasing across rows, strictly increasing down columns, and satisfying the lattice permutation rule.

**•** Ex. 
$$n = 3$$
,  $\lambda = (2, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mu = (3, 2, 0)$ ,  $\nu = (4, 3, 1)$ 



#### **LR-rule**

- Fill the boxes of the Young diagram  $F^{\lambda}$  with 0's. Then fill the boxes of the skew Young diagram  $F^{\nu/\lambda}$  with  $\mu_i$  entries *i* for i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of such diagrams with entries weakly increasing across rows, strictly increasing down columns, and satisfying the lattice permutation rule.

**•** Ex. 
$$n = 3$$
,  $\lambda = (2, 1, 0)$ ,  $\mu = (3, 2, 0)$ ,  $\nu = (4, 3, 1)$ 



0	0	1	1
0	2	2	
1			

• Hence  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = 2$ .

#### **Stretched LR coefficients**

- Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$
- **●** Partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$  stretching parameter *t* ∈ N
- Stretched partition  $t\lambda = (t\lambda_1, t\lambda_2, \dots, t\lambda_n)$
- Stretched Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu}$

#### **Stretched LR coefficients**

- Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$
- Partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n)$  stretching parameter t ∈ N
- Stretched partition  $t\lambda = (t\lambda_1, t\lambda_2, \dots, t\lambda_n)$
- Stretched Littlewood-Richardson coefficient  $c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu}$

• Ex: 
$$n = 3, \lambda = (2, 1, 0), \mu = (3, 2, 0), \nu = (4, 3, 1)$$
  
•  $t = 1$ :  $c_{21,32}^{431} = 2$   
•  $t = 2$ :  $c_{42,64}^{862} = 3$   
•  $t = 3$ :  $c_{63,94}^{1293} = 4$   
• ...  
• suggests  $c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu} = t + 1$ .

#### LR coefficients and polynomials

Ex: Let 
$$c_{421,532}^{\nu} = c$$
 and  $c_{t(421),t(532)}^{t\nu} = P(t)$ .  
 $c = 1$   $\nu = (953)$   $P(t) = 1$   
 $c = 2$   $\nu = (9431)$   $P(t) = (t+1)$   
 $c = 3$   $\nu = (8441)$   $P(t) = (t+1)(t+2)/2$   
 $c = 4$   $\nu = (8531)$   $P(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)/6$   
 $c = 4$   $\nu = (7442)$   $P(t) = (t+1)^2$   
 $c = 5$   $\nu = (7541)$   $P(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(2t+3)/6$   
 $c = 6$   $\nu = (7532)$   $P(t) = (t+1)^2(t+2)/2$   
 $c = 7$   $\nu = (74321)$   $P(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t^2+3t+6)/6$ 

#### **Generating function for LR-polynomials**

**Ex:** Let 
$$F(z) = G(z)/(1-z)^d = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} P(t) z^t$$
.

$$\begin{array}{lll} c = 1 & \nu = (953) & d = 1 & G(z) = 1 \\ c = 2 & \nu = (9431) & d = 2 & G(z) = 1 \\ c = 3 & \nu = (8441) & d = 3 & G(z) = 1 \\ c = 4 & \nu = (8531) & d = 4 & G(z) = 1 \\ c = 4 & \nu = (7442) & d = 3 & G(z) = 1 + z \\ c = 5 & \nu = (7541) & d = 4 & G(z) = 1 + z \\ c = 6 & \nu = (7532) & d = 4 & G(z) = 1 + 2z \\ c = 7 & \nu = (74321) & d = 5 & G(z) = 1 + 2z + z^2 \end{array}$$

#### **Further example**

**Ex:** n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

• LR coefficient  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = 13$ 

LR polynomial

$$c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu} = 1/10080$$

$$\times (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$$

$$\times (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)$$

 $\bullet$  where 10080 = 5! 84

● d = 8 and  $G(z) = 1 + 4z + 12z^2 + 3z^3$ 

#### **Polynomial behaviour**

**Theorem** For all  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  such that  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  there exists

- a polynomial  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  in t with  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(0) = 1$
- such that  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu}$  for all positive integers t.

#### **Polynomial behaviour**

**Theorem** For all  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  such that  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} > 0$  there exists

• a polynomial  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  in t with  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(0) = 1$ 

• such that  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu}$  for all positive integers t.

#### Conjectures

- coefficients in  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  are all rational and non-negative.
- coefficients in G(z) are all positive integers.

#### **Polynomial behaviour**

**Theorem** For all  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  such that  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} > 0$  there exists

• a polynomial  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  in t with  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(0) = 1$ 

• such that  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu}$  for all positive integers t.

#### Conjectures

- coefficients in  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  are all rational and non-negative.
- coefficients in G(z) are all positive integers.

#### Problems

- predict degree of polynomial
- explain origin of factors of form  $(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+m)$
- prove (if true) and account for positivity of coefficients

#### **Integer hives**

■ *n*-hive with vertex labels  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $0 \le i, j, i + j \le n$ . Ex: n = 4



· ·

#### **Integer hives**

■ *n*-hive with vertex labels  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $0 \le i, j, i + j \le n$ . **Ex**: n = 4



- Vertex labels increase from left to right
- Edge labels non-negative differences between neighbouring vertex labels

$$\alpha = a_{i,j+1} - a_{ij}, \quad \beta = a_{i+1,j-1} - a_{ij}, \quad \gamma = a_{i+1,j} - a_{ij}.$$

#### **Hive conditions**

Distinct types of rhombi, with vertex and edge labels:



• Note:  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma$ .

#### **Hive conditions**

Distinct types of rhombi, with vertex and edge labels:



• Note:  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma$ .

Hive conditions in terms of vertex labels:

$$b + c \ge a + d.$$

Hive conditions in terms of edge labels:

$$\alpha \geq \gamma$$
 and  $\beta \geq \delta$ .

#### **LR-hives vertex labels**

Definition An LR-hive is an integer n-hive for which

- all rhombi of type R1, R2 and R3 satisfy the hive conditions;
- boundaries determined by partitions  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  with  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu) \leq n \text{ and } |\lambda| + |\mu| = |\nu|;$

#### **LR-hives vertex labels**

Definition An LR-hive is an integer *n*-hive for which

- all rhombi of type R1, R2 and R3 satisfy the hive conditions;
- boundaries determined by partitions  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  with  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu) \leq n$  and  $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\nu|$ ;
- boundary vertex labels



#### **LR-hives edge labels**

Definition An LR-hive is an integer *n*-hive for which

- all rhombi of type R1, R2 and R3 satisfy the hive conditions;
- boundaries determined by partitions  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  with  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu), \ell(\nu) \leq n$  and  $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\nu|$ ;
- boundary edge labels



#### **Bijection between LR-diagrams and LR-hives**

**Example:** n = 3,  $\lambda = (320)$ ,  $\mu = (210)$  and  $\nu = (431)$ .

- $\square$  D = Littlewood-Richardson diagram;
- G =Generalised Gelfand-Zetlin pattern;
- $\blacksquare$  Z = Zeros and cumulative row sums of G;
- H = LR-hive = reorientation of lower triangular part of Z.



## **LR-hives showing that** $c_{753,742}^{9964} = 6$

0	0	0
9  7	9  7	9  7
18 <b>16</b> 12	18 <b>16</b> 12	18 <b>16</b> 12
24 <b>24 21 15</b>	$24 \ 23 \ 21 \ 15$	24 <b>24</b> 20 15
28 $28$ $26$ $22$ $15$	28 $28$ $26$ $22$ $15$	28 $28$ $26$ $22$ $15$
0	0	0
9  7	9  7	9  7
18 <b>16</b> 12	18  16  12	18 <b>16</b> 12
$24 \ 23 \ 20 \ 15$	$24 \ 22 \ 20 \ 15$	24 <b>23</b> 19 15
28 $28$ $26$ $22$ $15$	$28 \ 28 \ 26 \ 22 \ 15$	28 $28$ $26$ $22$ $15$

#### **Theorems**

#### Theorem

The LR-coefficient  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of LR-hives with boundary labels determined by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

#### Theorems

#### Theorem

The LR-coefficient  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$  is the number of LR-hives with boundary labels determined by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

#### Corollary

The LR-polynomial  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  can be identified as the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial  $i(\mathcal{P},t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^m\}$ , of a rational convex polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by the LR-hive boundary conditions and the set of LR-hive inequalities:  $a + d \leq b + c$  for each rhombus.

#### **Theorems**

#### Theorem

The LR-coefficient  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$  is the number of LR-hives with boundary labels determined by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

#### Corollary

The LR-polynomial  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  can be identified as the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial  $i(\mathcal{P},t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^m\}$ , of a rational convex polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  defined by the LR-hive boundary conditions and the set of LR-hive inequalities:  $a + d \leq b + c$  for each rhombus.

Note: Even though  $\mathcal{P}$  may be rational but not integer the Ehrhart quasi-polynomial  $i(\mathcal{P}, t)$  is polynomial.

#### **Construction of convex polytopes**

• Let 
$$m = (n-2)(n-1)/2 = \#$$
 interior points of an *n*-hive

- Let  $v = (a_{11}, a_{12}, ...) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be vector of interior labels
- Then polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is *d*-dimensional convex hull of these integer points in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

#### **Construction of convex polytopes**

• Let 
$$m = (n-2)(n-1)/2 = \#$$
 interior points of an *n*-hive

- Let  $v = (a_{11}, a_{12}, ...) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be vector of interior labels
- Then polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  is *d*-dimensional convex hull of these integer points in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

**Ex**: 
$$\lambda = (753), \mu = (742), \nu = (9964), n = 4, m = 3,$$

Interior vertex labels  $v = (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21})$ (16, 21, 24), (16, 21, 23), (16, 20, 24),
(16, 20, 23), (16, 20, 22), (16, 19, 23).

Integer points of  $\mathcal{P}$ :

•
•
dimension d=2.

### **Scaling convex polytope**

- Expand  $\mathcal{P}$  by scaling with t
- Identify and count all integer points to give  $\mathcal{P}(t)$



#### **Linear factors**

Origin of some linear factors in LR-polynomials.

- Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an LR hive polytope, and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  its interior.
- For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = i(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$
- Ehrhart reciprocity:  $i(\mathcal{P}, -t) = (-1)^d \# \{ t \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$

• For 
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
:  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = i(\mathcal{P}, -m) = (-1)^d \# \{ m \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$ 

Hence  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = 0$  and  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  contains a factor (t + m) if
 and only if m 𝒫 contains no interior integer points.

#### **Linear factors**

Origin of some linear factors in LR-polynomials.

- Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an LR hive polytope, and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  its interior.
- For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = i(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$
- Ehrhart reciprocity:  $i(\mathcal{P}, -t) = (-1)^d \# \{ t \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$
- For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = i(\mathcal{P}, -m) = (-1)^d \# \{ m \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$
- Hence  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = 0$  and  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  contains a factor (t + m) if
   and only if m 𝒫 contains no interior integer points.

Corollary  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  contains  $(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+m)$  as a factor if  $m\mathcal{P}$  contains no interior integer points.

Problem: predict maximum value of m.

#### **Construction of convex polytopes**

**Ex**: 
$$\lambda = (210)$$
,  $\mu = (320)$ ,  $\nu = (431)$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $d = 1$ 



- $\ \, {\cal P}\cap {\Bbb Z}= \ \ \, \bullet \ \ \, \bullet \ \ \, o \ \, interior \ \, points \ \ \,$
- implies P(t) contains a factor (t+1) but no factor (t+2). In fact P(t) = (t+1).

#### **Construction of convex polytopes**

Ex: 
$$\lambda = (753)$$
,  $\mu = (742)$ ,  $\nu = (9964)$ ,  $n = 4$ ,  $d = 2$ 



 $0 \quad 9 \quad 18 \quad 24 \quad 28$ 

•  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ : • • • • one interior point

• implies no factor (t+m). In fact  $P(t) = \frac{1}{2}(5t^2+5t+2)$ .

#### **Degrees of LR-polynomials**

- For  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  the LR-rule implies  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq \ell(\nu)$ .
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of LR *n*-hives with  $n = \ell(\nu)$ , boundary labels linear in the parts of  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ , interior vertex labels subject to linear inequalities (HCs).
## **Degrees of LR-polynomials**

- For  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  the LR-rule implies  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq \ell(\nu)$ .
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of LR *n*-hives with  $n = \ell(\nu)$ , boundary labels linear in the parts of  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ , interior vertex labels subject to linear inequalities (HCs).
- Solution For *t* ∈ N,  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  is the number of scaled LR *n*-hives with boundary labels scaled by *t* and interior vertex labels subject to the same scaled linear inequalities.
- The range of each vertex label is at most linear in t.
- ▶ An *n*-hive has (n-1)(n-2)/2 interior vertices.

# **Degrees of LR-polynomials**

- **●** For  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} > 0$  the LR-rule implies  $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq \ell(\nu)$ .
- $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$  is the number of LR *n*-hives with  $n = \ell(\nu)$ , boundary labels linear in the parts of  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ , interior vertex labels subject to linear inequalities (HCs).
- Solution For *t* ∈ N,  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  is the number of scaled LR *n*-hives with boundary labels scaled by *t* and interior vertex labels subject to the same scaled linear inequalities.
- The range of each vertex label is at most linear in t.
- ▶ An *n*-hive has (n-1)(n-2)/2 interior vertices.

**Degree bound** deg  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) \leq (n-1)(n-2)/2$  with  $n = \ell(\nu)$ .

#### **First example**

**Ex**: n = 5, degree bound (n - 1)(n - 2)/2 = 6.

 $\ \, \bullet \ \, \lambda = (9,7,6,2,0), \, \mu = (13,5,3,1,0), \, \nu = (14,12,11,5,4).$ 

•  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)$  so that  $\deg P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = 1$ .

#### **First example**

**Ex**: n = 5, degree bound (n - 1)(n - 2)/2 = 6.

 $\ \, {\bf I} = (9,7,6,2,0), \, \mu = (13,5,3,1,0), \, \nu = (14,12,11,5,4).$ 

•  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)$  so that  $\deg P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = 1$ .

Origin of mismatch - factorisation

$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = P^{\nu_K}_{\lambda_I \,\mu_J}(t) \ P^{\nu_{\overline{K}}}_{\lambda_{\overline{I}} \,\mu_{\overline{J}}}(t).$$

■ LR-hives for n = 5 are fixed by two smaller subhives of sizes r = 3 and n - r = 2.



## LR factorisation example

Ex: 
$$n = 5, r = 3, n - r = 2$$
:  
•  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0), \mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0), \nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4).$   
•  $I = \{1, 2, 4\}, J = \{2, 3, 4\}, K = \{2, 3, 5\}.$   
•  $\lambda_I = (9, 7, 2), \mu_J = (5, 3, 1), \nu_K = (12, 11, 4)$   
•  $\lambda_{\overline{I}} = (6, 0), \mu_{\overline{J}} = (13, 0), \nu_{\overline{K}} = (14, 5)$ 

#### LR-coefficient:

 $c_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)} = c_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)} c_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)} = 2 \cdot 1 = 2.$ 

#### LR-polynomial:

$$P_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)}(t) = P_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)}(t) P_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)}(t)$$
$$= (t+1) \cdot 1 = (t+1).$$

## LR factorisation example

Ex: 
$$n = 5, r = 3, n - r = 2$$
:  
•  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0), \mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0), \nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4).$   
•  $I = \{1, 2, 4\}, J = \{2, 3, 4\}, K = \{2, 3, 5\}.$   
•  $\lambda_I = (9, 7, 2), \mu_J = (5, 3, 1), \nu_K = (12, 11, 4)$   
•  $\lambda_{\overline{I}} = (6, 0), \mu_{\overline{J}} = (13, 0), \nu_{\overline{K}} = (14, 5)$ 

#### LR-coefficient:

 $c_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)} = c_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)} c_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)} = 2 \cdot 1 = 2.$ 

#### LR-polynomial:

$$P_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)}(t) = P_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)}(t) P_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)}(t)$$
$$= (t+1) \cdot 1 = (t+1).$$

#### **Puzzles**

Definition A puzzle is a diagram on a triangular lattice in which edges are distinguished so that it is composed of copies of the following pieces oriented in any way so as to fit:



#### **Puzzles**

Definition A puzzle is a diagram on a triangular lattice in which edges are distinguished so that it is composed of copies of the following pieces oriented in any way so as to fit:



#### **Puzzles**

Definition A puzzle is a diagram on a triangular lattice in which edges are distinguished so that it is composed of copies of the following pieces oriented in any way so as to fit:



# **Hive plan**

Definition A hive plan is made up of corridors, dark rooms and light rooms obtained by deleting interior edges of a puzzle:



# **Hive plan**

Definition A hive plan is made up of shaded corridors, dark rooms and light rooms obtained by deleting interior edges of a puzzle:



# **Hive plan**

Definition A hive plan is made up of corridors, blue rooms and red rooms obtained by deleting interior edges of a puzzle:



## Link between puzzles and Horn triples

(I, J, K) is Horn triple if it specifies the positions of the thick edges on the boundary of any puzzle. It is essential if the puzzle with these boundary thick edges is unique.

## Link between puzzles and Horn triples

If (I, J, K) is Horn triple if it specifies the positions of the thick edges on the boundary of any puzzle. It is essential if the puzzle with these boundary thick edges is unique.

**•** For 
$$I = (1, 2, 4)$$
,  $J = (2, 3, 4)$  and  $K = (2, 3, 5)$  we have:



## **Each Horn triple defines an inequality**



## **Each Horn triple defines an inequality**



$$\begin{split} \nu_{2} + \nu_{3} + \nu_{5} &\leq (\nu_{2} + \nu_{3}) + \gamma_{4} = (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) + \gamma_{4} \\ &\leq \lambda_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4} \leq \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4} \\ &\leq \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4} \leq \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + (\beta_{3} + \beta_{4} + \gamma_{4}) \\ &= \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + (\alpha_{4} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{4}) \leq \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{4} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{4} \\ \end{split}$$
That is  $|\nu_{K}| \leq |\lambda_{I}| + |\mu_{J}|.$ 

## Horn inequalities and non-zero conditions

**Theorem** The LR-coefficient  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} > 0$  if and only if

- and  $|\nu_K| \le |\lambda_I| + |\mu_J|$  for each Horn triple (I, J, K).

## Horn inequalities and non-zero conditions

Theorem The LR-coefficient  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu} > 0$  if and only if

$$|\nu| = |\lambda| + |\mu|$$

■ and  $|\nu_K| \le |\lambda_I| + |\mu_J|$  for each Horn triple (I, J, K).

**Corollary** 
$$c_{t\lambda,t\mu}^{t\nu} > 0$$
 if and only if  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$ 

#### Proof

• 
$$|t\nu| - |t\lambda| - |t\mu| = t(|\nu| - |\lambda| - |\mu|)$$

$$|t\nu_K| - |t\lambda_I| - |t\mu_J| = t(|\nu_K| - |\lambda_I| - |\mu_J|)$$

# **Consequences of any Horn equality**



All sequences of inequalities become equalities.

$$\nu_{2} + \nu_{3} + \nu_{5} = (\nu_{2} + \nu_{3}) + \gamma_{4} = (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) + \gamma_{4}$$
  
=  $\lambda_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4} = \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4}$   
=  $\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{2} + \gamma_{4} = \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + (\beta_{3} + \beta_{4} + \gamma_{4})$   
\_=  $\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + (\alpha_{4} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{4}) = \lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{4} + \mu_{2} + \mu_{3} + \mu_{4}.$ 

## **Edge label equalities**



• Equalities imply:  $\nu_5 = \gamma_4$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \lambda_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \lambda_2$ ,  $\beta_1 = \beta_3$ ,  $\beta_2 = \beta_4$ ,  $\alpha_4 = \lambda_4$ .

In addition:  $\beta_5 + \nu_5 = \gamma_4 + \mu_5,$   $\nu_1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_2 + \alpha_4 = \lambda_4 + \gamma_3.$ 

• These then imply:  $\beta_5 = \mu_5$ ,  $\nu_1 = \gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_3$ .

### **Factorisation of LR-hives**



## **Factorisation of LR-hives**



### **Illustration of** $H_n$ **and subhives** $H_r$ , $H_{n-r}$



• Lemma In the case of any Horn equality and a corresponding puzzle, the deletion of redundant corridors from any LR-hive  $H_n$  gives a pair of LR-subhives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$ .

- Lemma In the case of any Horn equality and a corresponding puzzle, the deletion of redundant corridors from any LR-hive  $H_n$  gives a pair of LR-subhives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$ .
- Lemma In the case of any essential Horn equality, this map from the LR-hives  $H_n$  to pairs of LR-hives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$  is a bijection.

- Lemma In the case of any Horn equality and a corresponding puzzle, the deletion of redundant corridors from any LR-hive  $H_n$  gives a pair of LR-subhives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$ .
- Lemma In the case of any essential Horn equality, this map from the LR-hives  $H_n$  to pairs of LR-hives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$  is a bijection.
- Theorem If an essential Horn inequality is saturated then both  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$  and  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  factorise.

- Lemma In the case of any Horn equality and a corresponding puzzle, the deletion of redundant corridors from any LR-hive  $H_n$  gives a pair of LR-subhives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$ .
- Lemma In the case of any essential Horn equality, this map from the LR-hives  $H_n$  to pairs of LR-hives  $H_r$  and  $H_{n-r}$  is a bijection.
- Theorem If an essential Horn inequality is saturated then both  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$  and  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  factorise.
- Definition If all essential Horn inequalities are strict then both  $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}$  and  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t)$  are said to be primitive.

## LR factorisation example

Ex: 
$$n = 5, r = 3, n - r = 2$$
:  
•  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0), \mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0), \nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4).$   
•  $I = \{1, 2, 4\}, J = \{2, 3, 4\}, K = \{2, 3, 5\}.$   
•  $\lambda_I = (9, 7, 2), \mu_J = (5, 3, 1), \nu_K = (12, 11, 4)$   
•  $\lambda_{\overline{I}} = (6, 0), \mu_{\overline{J}} = (13, 0), \nu_{\overline{K}} = (14, 5)$ 

#### LR-coefficient:

 $c_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)} = c_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)} c_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)} = 2 \cdot 1 = 2.$ 

#### LR-polynomial:

$$P_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)}(t) = P_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)}(t) P_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)}(t)$$
$$= (t+1) \cdot 1 = (t+1).$$

## LR factorisation example

Ex: 
$$n = 5, r = 3, n - r = 2$$
:  
•  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0), \mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0), \nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4).$   
•  $I = \{1, 2, 4\}, J = \{2, 3, 4\}, K = \{2, 3, 5\}.$   
•  $\lambda_I = (9, 7, 2), \mu_J = (5, 3, 1), \nu_K = (12, 11, 4)$   
•  $\lambda_{\overline{I}} = (6, 0), \mu_{\overline{J}} = (13, 0), \nu_{\overline{K}} = (14, 5)$ 

#### LR-coefficient:

 $c_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)} = c_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)} c_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)} = 2 \cdot 1 = 2.$ 

#### LR-polynomial:

$$P_{(9,7,6,2,0),(13,5,3,1,0)}^{(14,12,11,5,4)}(t) = P_{(9,7,2),(5,3,1)}^{(12,11,4)}(t) P_{(6,0),(13,0)}^{(14,5)}(t)$$
$$= (t+1) \cdot 1 = (t+1).$$

## **Degree bound for a primitive example**

**Ex**: n = 6, degree bound (n - 1)(n - 2)/2 = 10.

▲ 
$$\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1, 0, 0), \mu = (4, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0), \nu = (6, 5, 4, 2, 2, 1).$$

- $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24.$
- deg  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = 4$ .

## **Degree bound for a primitive example**

**Ex**: n = 6, degree bound (n - 1)(n - 2)/2 = 10.

- $\ \, {\bf I} = (4,3,3,1,0,0), \, \mu = (4,2,1,1,1,0), \, \nu = (6,5,4,2,2,1).$
- $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24.$
- All essential Horn inequalities are strict.
- The LR-polynomial does not factorise.
- The factorised degree bound is not saturated.

## **Degree bound for a primitive example**

**Ex**: n = 6, degree bound (n - 1)(n - 2)/2 = 10.

 $\ \, {\bf I} = (4,3,3,1,0,0), \, \mu = (4,2,1,1,1,0), \, \nu = (6,5,4,2,2,1).$ 

- $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24.$
- All essential Horn inequalities are strict.
- The LR-polynomial does not factorise.
- The factorised degree bound is not saturated.

Origin of mismatch - partitions have equal parts.

## **Five-vertex equal edge constraints**

- Equal edge constraints on 5-vertex subdiagrams
- In each case  $\alpha \ge \beta \ge \alpha$  so that  $\beta = \alpha$ .



### **Five-vertex equal edge constraints**

- Equal edge constraints on 5-vertex subdiagrams
- In each case  $\alpha \ge \beta \ge \alpha$  so that  $\beta = \alpha$ .



- Consecutive equal edges force neighbouring equal edge.
- Retain skeleton consisting of only equal edges.



## **Skeleton of an LR-hive and degree bounds**

- Apply 5-vertex equal edge procedure to LR n-hive.
- Work inwards from boundaries specified by  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ .
- Invoke triangular hive condition  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ :



- **P** Result is skeletal graph  $G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}$  of hive.
- Let  $d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$  be number of components of  $G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}$  not connected to the boundary.

## **Skeleton of an LR-hive and degree bounds**

- Apply 5-vertex equal edge procedure to LR n-hive.
- **•** Work inwards from boundaries specified by  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ .
- Invoke triangular hive condition  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ :



• Let  $d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$  be number of components of  $G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}$  not connected to the boundary.

Theorem deg  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) \leq d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$ .
# **Skeleton of an LR-hive and degree bounds**

- Apply 5-vertex equal edge procedure to LR n-hive.
- Work inwards from boundaries specified by  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$ .
- Invoke triangular hive condition  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ :



• Let  $d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$  be number of components of  $G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}$  not connected to the boundary.

Theorem deg  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) \leq d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$ .

**Conjecture** If  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  is primitive then  $\deg P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu})$ .

### **Theorem** deg $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) \leq d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}).$





•  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24.$ 

• 
$$\deg P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = 4 = d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}).$$



•  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24.$ 

• deg 
$$P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = 4 = d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}).$$

Skeleton graph degree bound is saturated.

# **Degree of LR-polynomial**

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (4332100)$ ,  $\mu = (4322100)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

•  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$  $\times (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

## **Degree of LR-polynomial**

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (4332100)$ ,  $\mu = (4322100)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

•  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$  $\times (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 



## **Degree of LR-polynomial**

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (4332100)$ ,  $\mu = (4322100)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

•  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$  $\times (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 



• deg  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = 8 = d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}).$ 

**Ex**: 
$$n = 6$$
,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t+2).$$

**Ex**: 
$$n = 6$$
,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t+2).$$



**Ex**: 
$$n = 6$$
,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t+2).$$



**Ex**: 
$$n = 6$$
,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t+2).$$



**Ex**: 
$$n = 6$$
,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t+1)(t+2).$$



# **Counterexample to skeleton degree bound**

**Ex**: 
$$n = 8$$
,  $\lambda = (76531000)$ ,  $\mu = (65553000)$ ,  $\nu = (88886422)$ .

- $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(2) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24$
- Now construct skeleton



$$d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}) = 5.$$

## **Counterexample to skeleton degree bound**

**Ex**: 
$$n = 8$$
,  $\lambda = (76531000)$ ,  $\mu = (65553000)$ ,  $\nu = (88886422)$ .

- $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(2) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)/24$
- Now construct skeleton



• deg  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = 4 < 5 = d(G_{n;\lambda\mu\nu}).$ 

### **Linear factors**

Origin of linear factors in LR-polynomials.

- Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an LR hive polytope, and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  its interior.
- For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = i(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$
- Ehrhart reciprocity:  $i(\mathcal{P}, -t) = (-1)^d \# \{ t \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$

• For 
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
:  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = i(\mathcal{P}, -m) = (-1)^d \# \{ m \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$ 

• Hence  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = 0$  and  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  must contain a linear factor (t+m) if  $m\mathcal{P}$  contains no interior integer points.

### **Linear factors**

Origin of linear factors in LR-polynomials.

- Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an LR hive polytope, and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  its interior.
- For  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = i(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#\{t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$
- Ehrhart reciprocity:  $i(\mathcal{P}, -t) = (-1)^d \# \{ t \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$

• For 
$$m \in \mathbb{N}$$
:  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = i(\mathcal{P}, -m) = (-1)^d \# \{ m \overline{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \}.$ 

• Hence  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = 0$  and  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  must contain a linear factor (t+m) if  $m\mathcal{P}$  contains no interior integer points.

Anticipate:  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t)$  may contain  $(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+M)$ . Problem: Determine M.

## **Possible continuation in** t

• For 
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
 let  $\overline{\mathbf{x}} = (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, \dots, \overline{x}_n)$   
with  $\overline{x}_i = x_i^{-1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  
• For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  let  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_n, \dots, \lambda_2, \lambda_1)$ .  
•  $s_{t\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left| x_i^{t\lambda_j + n - j} \right|}{\left| x_i^{n - j} \right|} \implies s_{-m\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left| x_i^{-m\lambda_j + n - j} \right|}{\left| x_i^{n - j} \right|}$ .  
• This gives  $s_{-m\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\left| \overline{x}_i^{m\lambda_{n-k+1} + n - k} \right|}{\left| \overline{x}_i^{n-k} \right|} = s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\overline{\mathbf{x}})$ .

### **Possible continuation in** t

Definition For  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  and any positive integer m, let

$$c^{-m\nu}_{-m\lambda,-m\mu} = c^{m\tilde{\nu}}_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}$$

# **LR polynomials for negative** *t*

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Conjecture: Let } c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0 \text{ be simple, all Horn inequalities strict,} \\ \text{then} \qquad P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}}, \\ \text{where} \quad s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(x) \; s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \sum_{\nu} c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} \; s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(x). \end{array}$ 

# **LR polynomials for negative** t

Conjecture: Let  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  be simple, all Horn inequalities strict,

then 
$$P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}}$$
,  
where  $s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(x) \ s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \sum_{\nu} c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} \ s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(x)$ .

#### Standardization:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$
 or  $\pm s_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\rho$ .

- $s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  or  $\pm s_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\sigma$ .
- $s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  or  $\pm s_{\tau}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\tau$ .

# **LR polynomials for negative** t

Conjecture: Let  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  be simple, all Horn inequalities strict,

then 
$$P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}}$$
,  
where  $s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(x) \ s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \sum_{\nu} c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} \ s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(x)$ .

#### Standardization:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$
 or  $\pm s_{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\rho$ .

- $s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  or  $\pm s_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\sigma$ .
- $s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  or  $\pm s_{\tau}(\mathbf{x})$  for some partition  $\tau$ .

Two types of zero:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
,  $s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$ ,  $s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$ .

 $c_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} = 0.$ 

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

•  $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$$
  
  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

Type one zeros for m = 1, 2, 3 since:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\overline{x}) = s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2$ .

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$$
  
  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

Type one zeros for m = 1, 2, 3 since:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\overline{x}) = s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2$ .

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ .

Type two zeros for m = 4, 5 since:

• 
$$c_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} = 0 \text{ for } m = 4, 5.$$

**Ex**: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$$
  
  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

Type one zeros for m = 1, 2, 3 since:

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\lambda}}(\overline{x}) = s_{m\tilde{\mu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2$ .

• 
$$s_{m\tilde{\nu}}(\overline{x}) = 0$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ .

Type two zeros for m = 4, 5 since:

• 
$$c_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} = 0$$
 for  $m = 4, 5$ .

No more zeros for m > 5 since for m = 6:  $c_{\rho\sigma}^{\tau} = 3$ .

# **Simple and non-simple examples**

Simple: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

- $P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$
- $c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 39, 247$  for m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.
- $\ \, {\cal P}^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m)=0,0,0,0,0,3,39,247 \ \, {\rm for} \ m=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8. \ \,$

# **Simple and non-simple examples**

Simple: n = 7,  $\lambda = (433210)$ ,  $\mu = (432210)$ ,  $\nu = (7444321)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)(t+3)(t+4)(t+5)$$
  
  $\cdot (5t+21)(t^2+2t+4)/10080.$ 

•  $c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 39, 247$  for m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 39, 247$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ .

Non-simple: n = 6,  $\lambda = (221100)$ ,  $\mu = (221100)$ ,  $\nu = (332211)$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t+1)(t+2)/2.$$

• 
$$c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, 3, 6$$
, for  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = 0, 0, 3, 6, 10$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

# **Non-primitive example**

Non-primitive: n = 5,  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0)$ ,  $\mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0)$ ,  $\nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4)$ ,  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t + 1)$ .

• 
$$c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

• 
$$P_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}(-m) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

# **Non-primitive example**

Non-primitive: n = 5,  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0)$ ,  $\mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0)$ ,  $\nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4)$ ,  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t + 1)$ .

• 
$$c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

#### **Primitive factors**

$$\ \, {\bf I}=2,\,\lambda_{\overline{I}}=(6,0),\,\mu_{\overline{J}}=(13,0),\,\nu_{\overline{K}}=(14,5).$$

# **Non-primitive example**

Non-primitive: n = 5,  $\lambda = (9, 7, 6, 2, 0)$ ,  $\mu = (13, 5, 3, 1, 0)$ ,  $\nu = (14, 12, 11, 5, 4)$ ,  $P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(t) = (t + 1)$ .

• 
$$c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} = 0, 0, 0, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

• 
$$P^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(-m) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

#### **Primitive factors**

• 
$$n = 3$$
,  $\lambda_I = (9, 7, 2)$ ,  $\mu_J = (5, 3, 1)$ ,  $\nu_K = (12, 11, 4)$ .

Conjecture:  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} > 0$  is primitive, all essential Horn inequalities strict, if and only if  $c_{m\tilde{\lambda},m\tilde{\mu}}^{m\tilde{\nu}} \neq 0$  for some positive integer m.