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Preliminary results
Derivative and product by a polynomial of a form
$\left\langle u^{\prime}, f\right\rangle:=-\left\langle u, f^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad, \quad\langle g u, f\rangle:=\langle u, g f\rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}$,
The dual sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ of a monic polynomial sequence (MPS) $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ is defined by $\left\langle u_{n}, P_{k}\right\rangle=\delta_{n, k}, \quad n, k \geqslant 0$.

## Orthogonal polynomial sequences (OPS)

Definition A MPS $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ is said to be a MOPS with respect to $u \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle u, P_{n} P_{m}\right\rangle=K_{n} \delta_{n, m}, \quad n, m \geqslant 0 \\
& K_{n} \neq 0, \quad n \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, $u$ is called a regular form and it is proportional to $u_{0}$

Some characterisation properties:
Consider $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ to be a MPS. The statements are equivalent:
(a) $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a MOPS with respect to $u_{0}$
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In this case, $u$ is called a regular form and it is proportional to $u_{0}$
Some characterisation properties:
Consider $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ to be a MPS. The statements are equivalent:
(a) $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a MOPS with respect to $u_{0}$
(b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}P_{1}(x)=x-\beta_{0} ; \quad P_{1}(x)=1 \\ P_{n+2}(x)=\left(x-\beta_{n+1}\right) P_{n+1}(x)-\gamma_{n+1} P_{n}(x)\end{array}\right.$
with $\beta_{n}=\frac{\left\langle u_{0}, x P_{n}^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n}^{2}\right\rangle}$ and $\gamma_{n+1}=\frac{\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n+1}^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n}^{2}\right\rangle} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(c) $u_{n}=\left(\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n}^{2}\right\rangle\right)^{-1} P_{n} u_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$,

## Classical polynomial sequence

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a MPS. The sequence $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $P_{n}^{[k]}(x):=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(P_{n+1}^{[k-1]}(x)\right)^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, (and $P_{n}^{[0]}:=P_{n}, n \geqslant 0$ ), is also a MPS.


Classical polynomial sequence

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a MPS. The sequence $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $P_{n}^{[k]}(x):=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(P_{n+1}^{[k-1]}(x)\right)^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, (and $\left.P_{n}^{[0]}:=P_{n}, n \geqslant 0\right)$, is also a MPS.

## Definition

A MOPS $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be classical when $\left\{P_{n}^{[1]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also orthogonal (Hahn's property, [Hahn(1935)]) The associated regular form $u_{0}$ is called classical form (Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel and Jacobi ).

## Classical polynomial sequences - characterisation

For any MOPS $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ associated to $u_{0}$, the statements are equivalent:
(a) $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a classical sequence.
(b) $\exists k \geqslant 1$ such that $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthogonal (Hahn's theorem).
(c) $\exists \Phi \Psi \in \mathcal{P}$ such that the associated regular form $\omega_{0}$ satisfies

$$
D\left(\Phi u_{0}\right)+\Psi u_{0}=0
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 2$ ( $\Phi$ monic) and $\operatorname{deg}(\Psi)=1$
(d) There exist two polynomials $\Phi$ (monic with $\operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 2$ ) and $\psi$ (with deg $\psi=1$ ) and a sequence $\left\{\chi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\chi_{0}=0$ and $\chi_{n+1} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

where
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D\left(\Phi u_{0}\right)+\Psi u_{0}=0
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where $\operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 2$ ( $\Phi$ monic) and $\operatorname{deg}(\Psi)=1$
(d) There exist two polynomials $\Phi$ (monic with $\operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 2$ ) and $\Psi$ (with $\operatorname{deg} \Psi=1$ ) and a sequence $\left\{\chi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\chi_{0}=0$ and $\chi_{n+1} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)=\chi_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}, \quad n \geqslant 0,[\text { Bochner (1929) }]
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}=\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D}^{2}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D}
$$

Construction of a generalisation on the Bochner differential equation fulfilled by classical polynomials
Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a MPS.
If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{u_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ represent the dual sequences of $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}$ and $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp.), then it holds

$$
D^{k}\left(u_{n}^{[k]}\right)=(-1)^{k} \prod_{\mu=1}^{k}(n+\mu) u_{n+k}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Suppose $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are two MOPS.
Therefore, the elements of the corresponding dual sequences are related by
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Suppose $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are two MOPS.
Therefore, the elements of the corresponding dual sequences are related by

$$
\left(P_{n}^{[k]} u_{0}^{[k]}\right)^{(k)}=\lambda_{n}^{k} P_{n+k} u_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

with

$$
\lambda_{n}^{k}=(-1)^{k} \frac{\left\langle u_{0}^{[k]},\left(P_{n}^{[k]}\right)^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n+k}^{2}\right\rangle} \prod_{\mu=1}^{k}(n+\mu), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

construction of a generalisation on the Bochner (cont. )
Using Leibniz relation for derivation, it follows
$\sum_{\nu=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\nu}\left(P_{n}^{[k]}\right)^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}^{[k]}\right)^{(k-\nu)}=\lambda_{n}^{k} P_{n+k} \mathbf{u}_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$,
Inasmuch as $\left\{P_{n}^{[j]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, is also classical
we derive

with

thereby.


By virtue of the regularity of $u_{0}$, this last equality permits to deduce
construction of a generalisation on the Bochner (cont. )
Using Leibniz relation for derivation, it follows
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$$

with $\quad \omega_{k, \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}\left(-\Psi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{-\nu} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant \operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 1, \\ \frac{1}{\left(k-1-\Psi^{\prime}(0)\right)_{\nu}} & \text { if } & \operatorname{deg} \Phi=2,\end{array}\right.$
thereby.

construction of a generalisation on the Bochner (cont. )
Using Leibniz relation for derivation, it follows
$\sum_{\nu=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\nu}\left(P_{n}^{[k]}\right)^{(\nu)}\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}^{[k]}\right)^{(k-\nu)}=\lambda_{n}^{k} P_{n+k} \mathbf{u}_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$,
Inasmuch as $\left\{P_{n}^{[j]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, is also classical we derive

$$
\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}^{[k]}\right)^{(k-\nu)}=\omega_{k, \nu} \lambda_{0}^{k} \Phi^{\nu} P_{k-\nu}^{[\nu]} \mathbf{u}_{0}, \quad 0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k
$$

with $\quad \omega_{k, \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\left(-\Psi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{-\nu} & \text { if } \quad 0 \leqslant \operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 1, \\ \frac{1}{\left(k-1-\Psi^{\prime}(0)\right)_{\nu}} & \text { if } \quad \operatorname{deg} \Phi=2,\end{array}\right.$
thereby...

$$
\sum_{\nu=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\nu} \omega_{k, \nu} \lambda_{0}^{k} \Phi^{\nu} P_{k-\nu}^{[\nu]}\left(P_{n}^{[k]}\right)^{(\nu)} \mathbf{u}_{0}=\lambda_{n}^{k} P_{n+k} \mathbf{u}_{0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

By virtue of the regularity of $u_{0}$, this last equality permits to deduce ...

## Generalisation of Bochner's differential equation

Theorem
Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a MOPS. Suppose there is an integer $k \geqslant 1$ such that $\left\{P_{n}^{[k]}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a MOPS. Then any polynomial $P_{n+k}$ fulfils the following differential equation of order $2 k$ :

$$
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mathbf{k}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k} ; \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{k}+\nu} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{\Xi}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}), n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x)=\frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \omega_{k, \nu}}{\nu!} \Phi^{\nu}(x)\left(P_{k}(x)\right)^{(\nu)}, \quad 0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k, \\
& \Xi_{n}(k)=\lambda_{n}^{k}\{n+k\}_{(\mathbf{k})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} ; \\
& \lambda_{n}^{k}=(-1)^{k} \frac{\left\langle v_{0}, Q_{n}^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle u_{0}, P_{n+k}^{2}\right\rangle}(n+1)_{k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

with $D$ representing the differential operator and $\{x\}_{(\mathbf{k})}:=x(x-1) \ldots(x-k+1), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Extension of Bochner's differential equation

## Corollary

Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a classical MOPS and $k$ a positive integer. Consider the differential operator $\mathcal{F}=\Phi(x) D^{2}-\Psi(x) D$ where $\Phi$ is a monic polynomial with $\operatorname{deg} \Phi \leqslant 2$, and $\Psi$ a polynomial such that $\operatorname{deg} \Psi=1$.
Then, for any set $\left\{c_{k, \mu}: 0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant k\right\}$ of complex numbers not depending on $n$, each element of $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ fulfils the differential equation given by

$$
\sum_{\mu=0}^{k} c_{k, \mu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu} P_{n}(x)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{k} c_{k, \mu}\left(\chi_{n}\right)^{\mu} P_{n}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $\left\{\chi_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ represents a sequence of nonzero complex numbers and $\mathcal{F}^{k}$ is recursively defined through $\mathcal{F}^{k}[y](x)=\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}^{k-1}[y](x)\right)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ with $\mathcal{F}^{0}$ denote the identity operator.

## Relation between the two generalisations

## Corollary

Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a classical sequence and $k$ a positive integer. If there exist coefficients $d_{k, \mu}$ and $\widetilde{d}_{k, \mu} 0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant k$, not depending on $n$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\Xi}_{n-k}(k)=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} d_{k, \tau}\left(\chi_{n}\right)^{\tau}, \quad n \geqslant 0, \\
& \left(\chi_{n}\right)^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} \widetilde{d}_{k, \tau} \Xi_{n-\tau}(\tau), \quad n \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then the two following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \Lambda_{k}(k ; x) D^{k+\nu}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} d_{k, \tau} \mathcal{F}^{\tau} \\
& \mathcal{F}^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} \tilde{d}_{k, \tau}\left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau} \Lambda_{\nu}(\tau ; x) D^{\tau+\nu}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## canonical elements for each one of the classical families

| $n \in \mathbb{N}$ | Hermite | Laguerre <br> $\alpha \neq-(n+1)$ | Bessel <br> $\alpha \neq-\frac{n}{2}$ | Jacobi <br> $\alpha, \beta \neq-(n+1)$ <br> $\alpha+\beta \neq-(n+2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Phi(x)$ | 1 | $x$ | $x^{2}$ | $x^{2}-1$ |
| $\Psi(x)$ | $2 x$ | $x-\alpha-1$ | $n^{k}(n+2 \alpha-1)^{k}$ | $n^{k}(n+\alpha+\beta+1)$ |
| $\left(\chi_{n}\right)^{k}$ | $(-2)^{k} n^{k}$ | $(-1)^{k} n^{k}$ | $\frac{(-1)^{k}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}}\{n\}_{(\mathbf{k})}$ | $C_{\alpha}^{k}(2 \alpha-1+n)_{k}\{n\}_{(\mathbf{k})}$ |
| $\equiv_{n-k}(k)$ | $(-2)^{k}\{n\}_{(\mathbf{k})}$ | $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}(\alpha+\beta+1+n)_{k}\{n\}_{(\mathbf{k})}$ |  |  |
| where |  |  | $C_{\alpha}^{k}=4^{-k}(2 \alpha)_{2 k}$ | $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}=\frac{(-4)^{-k}(\alpha+\beta+2)_{2 k}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}(\beta+1)_{k}}$ |

## Stirling numbers

Representing by $s(k, \nu)$ and $S(k, \nu)$, with $k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, the Stirling numbers of first and second kind, respectively, the following equalities hold:

$$
\{x\}_{(\mathbf{k})}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} s(k, \nu) x^{\nu}
$$

and

$$
x^{k}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} S(k, \nu)\{x\}_{(\nu)}
$$

where $\{x\}_{(\mathbf{k})}=x(x-1) \ldots(x-k+1)$ represent the falling factorial of $x$ Such numbers fulfil a "triangular" recurrence relation, more precisely...

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s(k+1, \nu+1)=s(k, \nu)-k s(k, \nu+1) \\
s(k, 0)=s(0, k)=\delta_{k, 0} \\
s(k, \nu)=0, \quad \nu \geqslant k+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S(k+1, \nu+1)=S(k, \nu)+(\nu+1) S(k, \nu+1) \\
S(k, 0)=S(0, k)=\delta_{k, 0} \\
S(k, \nu)=0, \quad \nu \geqslant k+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

## A-modified factorial of a number

Definition
Let $A$ be a number (possibly complex) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any number $x$ we define
to be the A-modified falling factorial (of order $k$ ).
As a result, there exist two unique sequences of numbers $\left\{\widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu)\right\}_{k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)\right\}_{k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that


## A-modified factorial of a number

## Definition

Let $A$ be a number (possibly complex) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any number $x$ we define

$$
\{x\}_{(\mathrm{k} ; \mathbf{A})}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \text { if } & k=0,  \tag{1}\\
\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1}(x-\nu(\nu+A)) & \text { if } & k \in \mathbb{N}^{*},
\end{array}\right.
$$

to be the A-modified falling factorial (of order $k$ ).
As a result, there exist two unique sequences of numbers $\left\{\widehat{\widehat{s}}_{\mathcal{A}}(k, \nu)\right\}_{k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)\right\}_{k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{x\}_{(\mathrm{k}: \mathbf{A})}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu) x^{\nu}, k \in \mathbb{N} \\
& x^{k}=\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)\{x\}_{(\nu ; \mathbf{A})}, k \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

## A-modified Stirling numbers

## Proposition

The set of numbers $\left\{\widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu)\right\}_{\nu, k \geqslant 0}$ satisfy the following "triangular" recurrence relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{s}_{A}(k+1, \nu+1)=\widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu)-k(k+A) \widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu+1), \\
& \widehat{s}_{A}(k, 0)=\widehat{s}_{A}(0, k)=\delta_{k, 0} \\
& \widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu)=0, \nu \geqslant k+1
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas the set of numbers $\left\{\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)\right\}_{\nu, k \geqslant 0}$ satisfy the "triangular" relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{S}_{A}(k+1, \nu+1)=\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)+(\nu+1)(\nu+1+A) \widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu+1) \\
& \widehat{S}_{A}(k, 0)=\widehat{S}_{A}(0, k)=\delta_{k, 0} \\
& \widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)=0, \nu \geqslant k+1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

## A-modified Stirling numbers: some properties

- $\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)=\frac{1}{\nu!} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu}\binom{\nu}{\sigma}(-1)^{\nu+\sigma} \frac{(A+2 \sigma) \Gamma(A+\sigma)}{\Gamma(A+\sigma+\nu+1)}(\sigma(\sigma+A))^{k}$,
for $k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k$.
- When $x=n(n+A)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}$, its $A$-modified factorial (of order $k$ ) is given by:

which, in accordance with the definition of falling or rising factorial, may be expressed like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{n(n+A)\}_{(\mathrm{k} ; \mathbf{A})}=\{n\}_{(\mathrm{k})}(n+A)_{k} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A-modified Stirling numbers: some properties

- $\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)=\frac{1}{\nu!} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu}\binom{\nu}{\sigma}(-1)^{\nu+\sigma} \frac{(A+2 \sigma) \Gamma(A+\sigma)}{\Gamma(A+\sigma+\nu+1)}(\sigma(\sigma+A))^{k}$,
for $k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k$.
- When $x=n(n+A)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}$, its $A$-modified factorial (of order $k$ ) is given by:
$\{n(n+A)\}_{(\mathbf{k} ; \mathbf{A})}=\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1}(n(n+A)-\nu(\nu+A))=\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1}((n-\nu)(n+A+\nu))$
which, in accordance with the definition of falling or rising factorial, may be expressed like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{n(n+A)\}_{(\mathbf{k} ; \mathbf{A})}=\{n\}_{(\mathbf{k})}(n+A)_{k} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

list of the first $A$-modified Stirling numbers of first kind: $\widehat{s}_{A}(k, \nu)$

| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\nu$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $-(1+A)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $2(1+A)_{2}$ | $-5-3 A$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $-6(1+A)_{3}$ | $49+A(48+11 A)$ | $-2(7+3 A)$ | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $24(1+A)_{4}$ | $-2(410+515 A)$ | $273+5 A(40+7 A)$ | $-10(3+A)$ | 1 |

second kind: $\widehat{S}_{A}(k, \nu)$

| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\nu$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $1+A$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $(1+A)^{2}$ | $5+3 A$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $(1+A)^{3}$ | $21+A(24+7 A)$ | $14+6 A$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $(1+A)^{4}$ | $(5+3 A)(17+A(18+5 A))$ | $147+5 A(24+5 A)$ | $10(3+A)$ | 1 |  |

## Hermite Case

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=D^{2}-2 x D \\
& \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x)=\binom{k}{\nu}(-2)^{k-\nu} P_{k-\nu}(x), 0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore ...

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x) D^{k+\nu}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k}(-2)^{k-\tau} s(k, \tau) \mathcal{F}^{\tau} \\
\mathcal{F}^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k}(-2)^{k-\tau} S(k, \tau) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau} \Lambda_{\nu}(\tau ; x) D^{\tau+\nu}
\end{array},\right.
$$

## Laguerre Case

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=x D^{2}-(x-\alpha-1) D \\
& \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x)=\binom{k}{\nu} \frac{(-1)^{k-\nu}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}} x^{\nu} P_{k-\nu}(x ; \alpha+\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore ...

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x) D^{k+\nu}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-\tau}}{(\alpha+1)_{k}} s(k, \tau) \mathcal{F}^{\tau} \\
\mathcal{F}^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-\tau}(\alpha+1)_{\tau} S(k, \tau) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau} \Lambda_{\nu}(\tau ; x) D^{\tau+\nu}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Bessel Case

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=x^{2} D^{2}+2(\alpha x+1) D \\
& \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x)=\binom{k}{\nu} C_{\alpha}^{k}(2 \alpha-1+k+\nu)_{k-\nu} x^{2 \nu} P_{k-\nu}(x ; \alpha+\nu), 0 \leqslant \nu \leqslant k
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore ...

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x) D^{k+\nu}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} C_{\alpha}^{k} \widehat{S}_{2 \alpha-1}(k, \nu) \mathcal{F}^{\tau} \\
\mathcal{F}^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k}\left(C_{\alpha}^{\tau}\right)^{-1} \widehat{S}_{2 \alpha-1}(k, \tau) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau} \Lambda_{\nu}(\tau ; x) D^{\tau+\nu}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Jacobi Case

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=\left(x^{2}-1\right) D+((\alpha+\beta+2) x-(\alpha-\beta)) D \\
& \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x)=\binom{k}{\nu} C_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}(\alpha+\beta+1+k+\nu)_{k-\nu}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{\nu} P_{k-\nu}(x ; \alpha+\nu, \beta+\nu)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore ...

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{k} \Lambda_{\nu}(k ; x) D^{k+\nu}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k} C_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} \widehat{S}_{\alpha+\beta+1}(k, \tau) \mathcal{F}^{\tau} \\
\mathcal{F}^{k}=\sum_{\tau=0}^{k}\left(C_{\alpha, \beta}^{\tau}\right)^{-1} \widehat{S}_{\alpha+\beta+1}(k, \tau) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\tau} \Lambda_{\nu}(\tau ; x) D^{\tau+\nu}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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