r-Stirling numbers, Whitney numbers and their common generalization

István Mező

22th September, 2008.

Signed Stirling numbers of the first kind

$$x^{\underline{n}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k} x^{k}$$

Meaning of $(-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$: the number of permutations of n elements with k cycles.

Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \\ k} x^{\underline{k}}$$

Meaning of $\binom{n}{k}$: the number of partitions of n elements into k subsets.

Unimodality. A sequence (a_n) of positive real numbers is unimodal if

 $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_k = a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_{k+l} \geq a_{k+l+1} \geq a_{k+1+2} \geq \cdots$ for some indices k and l.

Log-concavity. (a_n) is log-concave if

$$a_k^2 \ge a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \quad (k \ge 1)$$

Log-concavity \Rightarrow unimodality.

Hammersley, Erdős:

$$\binom{n}{1} < \binom{n}{2} < \dots < \binom{n}{K_n - 1} < \binom{n}{K_n} > \binom{n}{K_n + 1} > \dots > \binom{n}{n}$$
with
$$\left[\log n - \frac{1}{2} \right] < K_n < [\log n].$$

Canfield, Dobson, Günter, Harborth, Kanold, Lieb, Menon, Pomerance, Rennie, Wegner:

$${n \\ 1} < {n \\ 2} < \dots < {n \\ K_n - 1} \le {n \\ K_n} > {n \\ K_n + 1} > \dots > {n \\ n}$$

with

$$\frac{n}{\log n} < K_n < \frac{n}{\log n - \log \log n}$$

Broder-Carlitz:

Signed *r*-Stirling numbers of the first kind

$$x^{\underline{n}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \brack k}_{r} (x+r)^{k}$$

Meaning of $(-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_r$: the number of permutations of *n* elements with *k* cycles such that the first *r* elements are in distinct cycles.

$\ensuremath{\textit{r}}\xspace$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind

$$(x+r)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \atop k}_r x^{\underline{k}}$$

Meaning of ${n \\ k}_r$: the number of partitions of *n* elements into *k* subsets such that the first *r* elements are in distinct subsets.

Necessary tools to prove unimodality of *r*-Stirling numbers

Newton: If $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k x^k$ has only real roots then $a_k^2 \ge a_{k+1} a_{k-1} \frac{k}{k-1} \frac{n-k+1}{n-k}.$

Darroch: If $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k x^k$ has only real roots and p(1) > 0 then for the maximizing index K_n

$$|K_n-\mu|<1,$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{p'(1)}{p(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_j + 1}.$$

Here $-r_j$'s are the roots of p(x).

The case of the first kind – Mező 2007

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} {n \brack k}_{r} x^{k} = (x+r)(x+r+1) \cdots (x+r+n-1).$$

Newton: $(-1)^{n-k} {n \brack k}_{r}$ is log-concave,

Darroch:

$$\left| K_{n,r} - \left(\frac{1}{r+1} + \frac{1}{r+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{r+n} \right) \right| < 1,$$

that is,

$$\left|K_{n,r}-\left(r+\log\left(\frac{n-1}{r-1}\right)\right)\right|<1.$$

The case of the second kind – Mező 2007

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} {n \\ k}_{r} x^{k} = B_{n,r}(x)$$

For r = 0, we get the usual Bell polynomials.

The problem: we do not know the root-structure of these polynomials so the above theorems cannot be applied.

Theorem.

$$B_{n,r}(x) = x \left(B'_{n-1,r}(x) + B_{n-1,r}(x) \right) + r B_{n-1,r}(x)$$

Rolle theorem $\Rightarrow B_{n,r}(x)$ has only real and negative roots $\Rightarrow {n \\ k}_r$ is log-concave.

We shall call these polynomials as r-Bell polynomials.

Estimations for the maximizing index

Bonferroni inequality:

$$\frac{(m+r)^n}{m!} - \frac{(m-1+r)^n}{(m-1)!} < {n+r \atop m+r}_r < \frac{(m+r)^n}{m!},$$

and a corollary:

$${n+r \choose m+r}_r \sim \frac{(m+r)^n}{m!} \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Theorem – Mező 2007

$$\frac{n-r}{\log(n-r)} < K_{n,r} < \frac{n-r}{\log(n-r) - \log\log(n-r)},$$

Some words again on the r-Bell numbers and polynomials.

$$B_{n,r} := B_{n,r}(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} {n \\ k }_{r}.$$

Meaning: $B_{n,r}$ gives the number of partitions of an *n*-set with the restriction that the first *r* elements are in distinct subsets.

A surprising occurrence: in a paper of Whitehead he made a table of the coefficients of the polynomial $x^r(x)_{n-r}$ according to the "complete graph base". These are exactly the *r*-Bell numbers.

Exponential generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,r}(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = e^{x(e^z - 1) + rz}.$$

Ordinary generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,r}(x) z^n = \frac{-1}{rz-1} \frac{1}{e^x} {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{rz-1}{z} \\ \frac{rz+z-1}{z} \end{array} \middle| x \right).$$

Summation formula:

$$B_{n,r}(x) = \frac{1}{e^x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+r)^n}{k!} x^k.$$

Integral formula (Cesàro: r = 0 in 1885):

$$B_{n,r} = \frac{2n!}{\pi e} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^{\pi} e^{e^{i\theta}} e^{re^{i\theta}} \sin(n\theta) d\theta.$$

The ordered Bell numbers (1968)

Ordered Bell numbers (R. D. James, M. Tanny):

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n k! {n \\ k}.$$

Meaning: F_n gives the number of *ordered* partitions of an *n*-set.

Some identities:

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^n}{2^{k+1}}$$

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n {\binom{n}{k}} 2^k.$$

The ordered *r*-Bell numbers – Mező 2007

$$F_{n,r} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (k+r)! {n+r \choose k+r}_{r}.$$

Meaning: $F_{n,r}$ gives the number of *ordered* partitions of an *n*-set such that the first *r* elements are in distinct subsets.

Some identities:

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+r)^n}{2^{k+r+1}} \frac{(k+r)!}{k!}.$$

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left< {n \atop k} \right>_r 2^k.$$

The r-Eulerian numbers – Joint work with G. Nyul

Meaning: the permutation
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n \\ i_1 & \cdots & i_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 has an *r*-ascent (i_j, i_{j+1}) if $i_j < i_{j+1}$ and $\{i_j, i_{j+1}\} \not\subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$.

 ${\binom{n}{k}}_r$ gives the number of permutations of an *n*-set having *k r*-ascents.

Recursion:

$${\binom{n}{m}}_r = (m+1){\binom{n-1}{m}}_r + (n-m+r){\binom{n-1}{m-1}}_r.$$

The *r*-Eulerian triangles are no longer symmetric (if r > 1) but logconcavity is preserved.

Generalized Worpitzky-identity – G. Nyul

Original identity (Worpitzky – 1883):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}} {\binom{x+k}{n}} = x^{n}.$$

The generalized identity involving r-Eulerian numbers is:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{n}{k}}_{r} {\binom{x+k}{n+r}} = x^{n}(x)_{r}.$$

Whitney numbers – Dowling 1973

Whitney numbers of the first kind:

$$m^n x^{\underline{n}} = \sum_{k=0}^n w_m(n,k)(mx+1)^k.$$

Whitney numbers of the second kind:

$$(mx+1)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n m^k W_m(n,k) x^{\underline{k}}.$$

They connected to the so-called Dowling lattices.

$$w_1(n,k) = {n+1 \brack k+1}, \quad W_1(n,k) = {n+1 \atop k+1}.$$

Dowling numbers – M. Benoumhani (1996)

$$D_m(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n W_m(n,k)$$

They satisfy the same identities as the Bell numbers.

But the integral representation formula were not presented before.

Mező 2008:

$$D_m(n) = \frac{2n!}{\pi \sqrt[m]{e}} \operatorname{Im} \int_0^{\pi} e^{e^{it} \sqrt[m]{e} e^{me^{it}}} \sin(nt) dt.$$

A question of Benoumhani

Ordered Dowling numbers:

$$F_m(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n k! W_m(n,k)$$

Benoumhani: it is known that for the ordered Bell numbers $F_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \langle {n \atop k} \rangle_r 2^k$. Can we construct "Eulerian-like" numbers to get a similar identity? I gave the answer. If we define these new "Eulerian-like numbers" as

$$A_m(n,k) = \sum_{i=0}^n m^i i! W_m(n,i) \binom{n-i}{k} (-1)^{n-i-k},$$

then

$$F_m(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n A_m(n,k) 2^k$$
 and $A_1(n,k) = {\binom{n+1}{k+1}}.$

The crucial point

It seems that the two way of generalizations (r-Stirling and Whitney) can be unified.



Thank you for your attention