INVOLUTORY REFLECTION GROUPS

FABRIZIO CASELLI

September 28, 2009



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM Università di Bologna

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲

If $\lambda \vdash n$ let $f^{\lambda} =$ dimension of the Specht module S_{λ} . Then

 $f^{\lambda} = \#SYT$ of shape λ

A B > A B >

If $\lambda \vdash n$ let $f^{\lambda} =$ dimension of the Specht module S_{λ} . Then

 $f^{\lambda} = \#SYT$ of shape λ

and by the Robinson-Schensted correspondence

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f^{\lambda} = \# \text{ of involutions in } S_n$$

 $B_n =$ signed permutations.

The Stanton-White correspondence implies

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(B_n)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of involutions in } B_n$$

 $B_n =$ signed permutations.

The Stanton-White correspondence implies

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(B_n)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of involutions in } B_n$$

This holds also for dihedral groups...

 $B_n =$ signed permutations.

The Stanton-White correspondence implies

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(B_n)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of involutions in } B_n$$

This holds also for dihedral groups...

...and for Weyl groups of type D, thanks to

 $B_n =$ signed permutations.

The Stanton-White correspondence implies

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(B_n)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of involutions in } B_n$$

This holds also for dihedral groups... ...and for Weyl groups of type D, thanks to

Theorem (Frobenius-Schur)

Let G be finite. Then

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of involutions in } G$$

if and only if all irreducible complex representations of G can be realized over \mathbb{R} .

< 🗇 🕨

< ∃ >

∃ >

• The groups considered so far are real reflection groups.

- The groups considered so far are real reflection groups.
- If the ground field is C one rather considers complex reflection groups: these are subgroups of GL(n, C) generated by reflections, i.e. elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

- The groups considered so far are real reflection groups.
- If the ground field is C one rather considers complex reflection groups: these are subgroups of GL(n, C) generated by reflections, i.e. elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

Example

G(r, n), the group of $n \times n$ monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are *r*-th roots of 1.

$$\left[egin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight] \in G(4,4)$$

- The groups considered so far are real reflection groups.
- If the ground field is C one rather considers complex reflection groups: these are subgroups of GL(n, C) generated by reflections, i.e. elements that fix a hyperplane pointwise.

Example

G(r, n), the group of $n \times n$ monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are *r*-th roots of 1.

$$\left[egin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight] \in G(4,4)$$

Example

G(r, p, n), the elements in G(r, n) whose permanent is a r/p-th root of unity. The matrix above is an element in G(4, 2, 4).

An absolute involution is a matrix $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A\overline{A} = 1$.

-

An absolute involution is a matrix $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A\overline{A} = 1$.

Definition

Let $G < GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be finite. We say G is involutory if

 $\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of absolute involutions in } G.$

An absolute involution is a matrix $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A\overline{A} = 1$.

Definition

Let $G < GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be finite. We say G is involutory if

 $\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi = \# \text{ of absolute involutions in } G.$

Question: which complex reflection groups are involutory?

Definition

If $C_q \subset G(r, p, n)$ we define the projective reflection group $G(r, p, q, n) = G(r, p, n)/C_q$.

Definition

If $C_q \subset G(r, p, n)$ we define the projective reflection group $G(r, p, q, n) = G(r, p, n)/C_q$.

Definition

If G = G(r, p, q, n) we say that the group $G^* = G(r, q, p, n)$ is the dual of G.

Definition

If $C_q \subset G(r, p, n)$ we define the projective reflection group $G(r, p, q, n) = G(r, p, n)/C_q$.

Definition

If G = G(r, p, q, n) we say that the group $G^* = G(r, q, p, n)$ is the dual of G.

We observe that if G is a complex reflection group then G^* is not in general.

Definition

If $C_q \subset G(r, p, n)$ we define the projective reflection group $G(r, p, q, n) = G(r, p, n)/C_q$.

Definition

If G = G(r, p, q, n) we say that the group $G^* = G(r, q, p, n)$ is the dual of G.

We observe that if G is a complex reflection group then G^* is not in general.

This duality plays a fundamental role in the study of the invariant theory of complex reflection groups (C. 2008).

• If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$,

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$, then $G^* = G(2, 1, 2, n) = B_n / \pm I$

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$, then $G^* = G(2, 1, 2, n) = B_n/\pm I$ and it turns out that the combinatorics of $B_n/\pm I$ describes the invariant theory of D_n , and viceversa.

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$, then $G^* = G(2, 1, 2, n) = B_n/\pm I$ and it turns out that the combinatorics of $B_n/\pm I$ describes the invariant theory of D_n , and viceversa.

A further application of the duality is in the study of involutory reflection groups.

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$, then $G^* = G(2, 1, 2, n) = B_n/\pm I$ and it turns out that the combinatorics of $B_n/\pm I$ describes the invariant theory of D_n , and viceversa.

A further application of the duality is in the study of involutory reflection groups.

Lemma

G and G^* have the same number of absolute involutions.

- If G = G(r, 1, 1, n) then $G^* = G$. This holds in particular for $S_n = G(1, 1, 1, n)$ and $B_n = G(2, 1, 1, n)$.
- If $G = D_n = G(2, 2, 1, n)$, then $G^* = G(2, 1, 2, n) = B_n/\pm I$ and it turns out that the combinatorics of $B_n/\pm I$ describes the invariant theory of D_n , and viceversa.

A further application of the duality is in the study of involutory reflection groups.

Lemma

G and G^* have the same number of absolute involutions.

Proof by enumeration. No natural bijection.

By the (projective) Robinson-Schensted correspondence

 $\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi \ge \# \{ \text{absolute involutions in } G^* \}$

< ∃ →

By the (projective) Robinson-Schensted correspondence

 $\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi \ge \# \{ \text{absolute involutions in } G^* \}$

Theorem (C, 2009)

The group G(r, p, q, n) is involutory if and only if either GCD(p, n) = 1, 2 or GCD(p, n) = 4 and $r \equiv p \equiv q \equiv n \equiv 4 \mod 8$.

By the (projective) Robinson-Schensted correspondence

 $\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi \ge \# \{ \text{absolute involutions in } G^* \}$

Theorem (C, 2009)

The group G(r, p, q, n) is involutory if and only if either GCD(p, n) = 1, 2 or GCD(p, n) = 4 and $r \equiv p \equiv q \equiv n \equiv 4 \mod 8$.

Corollary

G(r, p, n) is involutory if and only if GCD(p, n) = 1, 2.

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

Some references on the literature

• Inglis-Richardson-Saxl for symmetric groups;

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

- Inglis-Richardson-Saxl for symmetric groups;
- Kodiyalam-Verma for symmetric groups;

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

- Inglis-Richardson-Saxl for symmetric groups;
- Kodiyalam-Verma for symmetric groups;
- Aguado-Araujo-Bigeon for Weyl groups;

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

- Inglis-Richardson-Saxl for symmetric groups;
- Kodiyalam-Verma for symmetric groups;
- Aguado-Araujo-Bigeon for Weyl groups;
- Baddeley for wreath products;

A model of a finite group G is a representation which is the multiplicity free sum of all irreducible representations.

- Inglis-Richardson-Saxl for symmetric groups;
- Kodiyalam-Verma for symmetric groups;
- Aguado-Araujo-Bigeon for Weyl groups;
- Baddeley for wreath products;
- Adin-Postnikov-Roichman for the groups G(r, n).

The character of a model

æ

Theorem (Bump-Ginzburg)

Let G be finite, $z \in Z(G)$, $\tau \in Aut(G)$ such that $\tau^2 = 1$. Assume that

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi = \#\{v \in G : v\tau(v) = z\}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\phi\in Irr(G)}\chi^{\phi}(g)=\#\{v\in G: v\tau(v)=gz\}.$$

Theorem (Bump-Ginzburg)

Let G be finite, $z \in Z(G)$, $\tau \in Aut(G)$ such that $\tau^2 = 1$. Assume that

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \dim \phi = \#\{v \in G : v\tau(v) = z\}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \chi^{\phi}(g) = \#\{v \in G : v\tau(v) = gz\}.$$

Corollary

If $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is involutory then

$$\sum_{\phi \in Irr(G)} \chi^{\phi}(g) = \#\{v \in G : v\bar{v} = g\}.$$

э

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Symmetric vs antisymmetric

Let G = G(r, p, n) be involutory. Two types of absolute involutions in G^* .

Symmetric vs antisymmetric

Let G = G(r, p, n) be involutory. Two types of absolute involutions in G^* .

• Symmetric elements: $A \in G(r, n)$ then

$$A\bar{A} = I \iff A = A^t$$

Symmetric vs antisymmetric

Let G = G(r, p, n) be involutory. Two types of absolute involutions in G^* .

• Symmetric elements: $A \in G(r, n)$ then

$$A\bar{A} = I \iff A = A^t$$

• Antisymmetric elements: $A \in G(r, n)$ then

$$A\bar{A} = -I \iff A = -A^t$$

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

then $A\overline{A} = -I = I \in G^*$.

Colors of generalized permutations

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\zeta_r = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}}$.

Colors of generalized permutations

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\zeta_r = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}}$. We let z(A) = (3, 0, 1, 2).

Colors of generalized permutations

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\zeta_r = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{r}}$.
We let $z(A) = (3, 0, 1, 2)$.
If $A \in G^*$ then

$$z(A) \in \frac{(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n}{\Delta(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}$$

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

æ

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

• $\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g) z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$

御 と くきと くきと

3

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

•
$$\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g)z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$
.
In the example $\langle g, v \rangle = \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{3}$.

æ

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

•
$$\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g)z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$
.
In the example $\langle g, v \rangle = 0 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 = 3$.

•
$$s(g, v) = \#\{(i, j) : i < j, |v|(i) = j \text{ and } |g|(i) > |g|(j)\}.$$

æ

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

•
$$\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g)z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

In the example $\langle g, v \rangle = \mathbf{0} \cdot 3 + \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{3} \cdot 1 + \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{2} = 3.$

• $s(g, v) = \#\{(i, j) : i < j, |v|(i) = j \text{ and } |g|(i) > |g|(j)\}.$ In the example $s(g, v) = \#\{(1, 3)\} = 1$

< ∃ > <

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

•
$$\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g)z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

In the example $\langle g, v \rangle = \mathbf{0} \cdot 3 + \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{3} \cdot 1 + \mathbf{2} \cdot 2 = 3.$

• $s(g, v) = \#\{(i, j) : i < j, |v|(i) = j \text{ and } |g|(i) > |g|(j)\}.$ In the example $s(g, v) = \#\{(1, 3)\} = 1$

•
$$a(g, v) = z_1(v) - z_{|g|^{-1}(1)}(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}.$$

< ∃ > <

Let
$$g \in G$$
 and $v \in G^*$, for example

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 & 0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta_4^0 \\ \zeta_4^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_4^2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
and $G = G(4, 2, 4)$.

•
$$\langle g, v \rangle = \sum z_i(g) z_i(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$
.
In the example $\langle g, v \rangle = 0 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 = 3$.

• $s(g, v) = \#\{(i, j) : i < j, |v|(i) = j \text{ and } |g|(i) > |g|(j)\}.$ In the example $s(g, v) = \#\{(1, 3)\} = 1$

•
$$a(g, v) = z_1(v) - z_{|g|^{-1}(1)}(v) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$$
.
In the example $u(g, v) = z_1(v) - z_2(v) = 3 - 0 = 3$.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

The model

Let M^* be the \mathbb{C} -vector space with a basis indexed by the absolute involutions of G^*

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\{v \in G^* : v\bar{v}=1\}} \mathbb{C} T_v$$

- ₹ 🖬 🕨

э

The model

Let M^* be the \mathbb{C} -vector space with a basis indexed by the absolute involutions of G^*

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\{v \in G^* : v\bar{v}=1\}} \mathbb{C} T_v$$

We let, for all $g \in G$,

$$g \cdot T_{v} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{r}^{\langle g, v \rangle} \cdot (-1)^{s(g,v)} T_{|g|v|g|^{-1}} & \text{if } v \text{ is symmetric} \end{cases}$$

The model

Let M^* be the \mathbb{C} -vector space with a basis indexed by the absolute involutions of G^*

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\{v \in G^* : v\bar{v}=1\}} \mathbb{C} T_v$$

We let, for all $g \in G$,

$$g \cdot T_{v} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{r}^{\langle g, v \rangle} \cdot (-1)^{s(g,v)} T_{|g|v|g|^{-1}} & \text{if } v \text{ is symmetric} \\ \zeta_{r}^{\langle g, v \rangle} \cdot \zeta_{r}^{a(g,v)} T_{|g|v|g|^{-1}} & \text{if } v \text{ is antisymmetric} \end{cases}$$

Let M^* be the \mathbb{C} -vector space with a basis indexed by the absolute involutions of G^*

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\{v \in G^* : v\bar{v}=1\}} \mathbb{C} T_v$$

We let, for all $g \in G$,

$$g \cdot T_{v} = \begin{cases} \zeta_{r}^{\langle g, v \rangle} \cdot (-1)^{s(g,v)} T_{|g|v|g|^{-1}} & \text{if } v \text{ is symmetric} \\ \zeta_{r}^{\langle g, v \rangle} \cdot \zeta_{r}^{a(g,v)} T_{|g|v|g|^{-1}} & \text{if } v \text{ is antisymmetric} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (C. 2009)

Let G = G(r, p, n) be involutory. Then the vector space M^* endowed with the above action of G extended by linearity is a model for G. All groups of the form G(r, p, q, n) are still involutory if G(r, p, n) is, by their characterization.

.⊒ . ►

All groups of the form G(r, p, q, n) are still involutory if G(r, p, n) is, by their characterization. Can we construct a model?

All groups of the form G(r, p, q, n) are still involutory if G(r, p, n) is, by their characterization. Can we construct a model? The dual of G(r, p, q, n) is a subgroup of $G(r, p, n)^*$. All groups of the form G(r, p, q, n) are still involutory if G(r, p, n) is, by their characterization. Can we construct a model? The dual of G(r, p, q, n) is a subgroup of $G(r, p, n)^*$. Let

$$M(r,q,p,n) = Span\{T_v: v \in G(r,q,p,n) \text{ and } v\overline{v} = 1\} \subset M^*.$$

All groups of the form G(r, p, q, n) are still involutory if G(r, p, n) is, by their characterization. Can we construct a model? The dual of G(r, p, q, n) is a subgroup of $G(r, p, n)^*$. Let

$$M(r,q,p,n) = Span\{T_v: v \in G(r,q,p,n) \text{ and } v\bar{v} = 1\} \subset M^*.$$

Theorem

Using the same definition as before for the action, we have that M(r, q, p, n) is a model for the projective reflection group G(r, p, q, n).

Something finer 1

We know that $M^* = Sym \oplus ASym$ as *G*-modules.

- ₹ 🖬 🕨

We know that $M^* = Sym \oplus ASym$ as *G*-modules. Which irreducibles appear in *ASym*? We know that $M^* = Sym \oplus ASym$ as *G*-modules. Which irreducibles appear in *ASym*? We concentrate on the case of Weyl groups of type *D*.

•
$$(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n} = (\mu, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_n}$$

•
$$(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n} = (\mu, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_n}$$

• if $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n}$ is irreducible while

$$(\lambda,\lambda)\downarrow_{D_n}=(\lambda,\lambda)^+\oplus(\lambda,\lambda)^-.$$

•
$$(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n} = (\mu, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_n}$$

• if $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n}$ is irreducible while

$$(\lambda,\lambda)\downarrow_{D_n}=(\lambda,\lambda)^+\oplus(\lambda,\lambda)^-.$$

This happens only if *n* is even, ...

•
$$(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n} = (\mu, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_n}$$

• if $\lambda \neq \mu$ then $(\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_n}$ is irreducible while

$$(\lambda,\lambda)\downarrow_{D_n}=(\lambda,\lambda)^+\oplus(\lambda,\lambda)^-.$$

This happens only if n is even, ...and also antisymmetric elements exist only if n is even...

Theorem

We can label the split representations of D_n with + and - in such a way that

- ₹ 🖬 🕨

Theorem

۲

We can label the split representations of D_n with + and - in such a way that

 $ASym \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n/2} (\lambda, \lambda)^-$

Theorem

۲

۲

We can label the split representations of D_n with + and - in such a way that

$$ASym \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n/2} (\lambda, \lambda)^{-}$$

$$Sym \cong (\bigoplus_{\lambda \neq \mu} (\lambda, \mu)) \oplus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n/2} (\lambda, \lambda)^+)$$

- ∢ ≣ ▶

э

Consider the action of S_n on G(r, p, n) by conjugation.

Consider the action of S_n on G(r, p, n) by conjugation. Call the corresponding orbits symmetric conjugacy classes. Consider the action of S_n on G(r, p, n) by conjugation. Call the corresponding orbits symmetric conjugacy classes. It is clear that the absolute involutions in a symmetric conjugacy class span a *G*-submodule of M^* . Consider the action of S_n on G(r, p, n) by conjugation. Call the corresponding orbits symmetric conjugacy classes. It is clear that the absolute involutions in a symmetric conjugacy class span a *G*-submodule of M^* . Which irreducibles appear in each of these submodules? Consider the action of S_n on G(r, p, n) by conjugation. Call the corresponding orbits symmetric conjugacy classes. It is clear that the absolute involutions in a symmetric conjugacy class span a *G*-submodule of M^* .

Which irreducibles appear in each of these submodules?

Feeling

The irreducible constituents of the submodule spanned by the elements in any symmetric conjugacy class are exactly those corresponding to the shapes of the elements in the class by the (projective) Robinson-Schensted correspondence.