Combinatorial interpretations of Jacobi-Stirling numbers

Yoann Gelineau Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France

September 29th, 2009 - 63th SLC

The Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ satisfy the Jacobi equation : $(1-t^2)y''(t)+(\beta-\alpha-(\alpha+\beta+2)t)y'(t)+n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)y(t)=0.$

3

The Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ satisfy the Jacobi equation : $(1-t^2)y''(t)+(\beta-\alpha-(\alpha+\beta+2)t)y'(t)+n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)y(t)=0.$

Let $\ell_{\alpha,\beta}[y](t)$ be the Jacobi differential operator :

$$\ell_{lpha,eta}[y](t) = rac{1}{(1-t)^lpha(1+t)^eta} \left(-(1-t)^{lpha+1}(1+t)^{eta+1}y'(t)
ight)'$$

So, $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ is a solution of

$$\ell_{lpha,eta}[y](t) = n(n+lpha+eta+1)y(t)$$

Everitt and al. gave the expansion of the *n*-th composite power of $\ell_{\alpha,\beta}$, involving a sequence of positive integers $P^{(\alpha,\beta)}S(n,k)$:

$$(1-t)^{\alpha}(1+t)^{\beta}\ell_{\alpha,\beta}^{n}[y](t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \left(P^{(\alpha,\beta)}S(n,k)(1-t)^{\alpha+k}(1+t)^{\beta+k}y^{(k)}(t) \right)^{(k)}$$

Everitt and al. gave the expansion of the *n*-th composite power of $\ell_{\alpha,\beta}$, involving a sequence of positive integers $P^{(\alpha,\beta)}S(n,k)$:

$$(1-t)^{\alpha}(1+t)^{\beta}\ell_{\alpha,\beta}^{n}[y](t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} \left(P^{(\alpha,\beta)}S(n,k)(1-t)^{\alpha+k}(1+t)^{\beta+k}y^{(k)}(t) \right)^{(k)}$$

Actually, $P^{(\alpha,\beta)}S(n,k)$ depends only on $z = \alpha + \beta + 1$. We denote it by $JS_n^k(z)$, the **Jacobi-Stirling number of the second kind**.

The $JS_n^k(z)$ numbers are the relation coefficients in the following formula :

$$X^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{JS}_{n}^{k}(z) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (X - i(z+i))$$

白 マイト・ キャー

æ

The $JS_n^k(z)$ numbers are the relation coefficients in the following formula :

$$X^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} JS_{n}^{k}(z) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (X - i(z + i))$$

Equivalently, these numbers can be defined by the recurrence relation :

$$JS_{n}^{k}(z) = JS_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z)JS_{n-1}^{k}(z), \quad n, k \ge 1$$
$$JS_{0}^{0}(z) = 1, \quad JS_{n}^{k}(z) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \notin \{1, \dots, n\}$$

The **Stirling numbers (of the second kind)** S_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$S_n^k = S_{n-1}^{k-1} + k S_{n-1}^k$$

The **Stirling numbers (of the second kind)** S_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$S_n^k = S_{n-1}^{k-1} + k S_{n-1}^k$$

They count the number of :

- partitions of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in k non-empty blocks.
- supdiagonal quasi-permutations of [n] := {1, 2, ..., n} with k empty lines.

For example,

$$\pi = \big\{\{1,3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4\}\big\}$$

is a partition of [6] in 3 blocks.

æ

For example,

$$\pi = \big\{\{1,3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4\}\big\}$$

is a partition of [6] in 3 blocks.

It corresponds to the following quasi-permutation :



The central factorial numbers (of the second kind) U_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$U_n^k = U_{n-1}^{k-1} + k^2 U_{n-1}^k$$

The central factorial numbers (of the second kind) U_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$U_n^k = U_{n-1}^{k-1} + k^2 U_{n-1}^k$$

They count the number of :

- ordered pairs (π_1, π_2) of partitions of [n] in k blocks, with $\min(\pi_1) = \min(\pi_2)$.
- ordered pairs (Q₁, Q₂) of supdiagonal quasi-permutations of [n], with Q₁ and Q₂ which have k same empty lines.

For example, if we note

$$\pi_1 = \{\{1,3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4\}\}, \quad \pi_2 = \{\{1\},\{2,3,5\},\{4,6\}\},$$

 π_1 and π_2 are partitions of [6] in 3 blocks, with min $(\pi_1) = min(\pi_2)$

For example, if we note

$$\pi_1 = \big\{ \{1,3,6\}, \{2,5\}, \{4\} \big\}, \quad \pi_2 = \big\{ \{1\}, \{2,3,5\}, \{4,6\} \big\},$$

 π_1 and π_2 are partitions of [6] in 3 blocks, with min $(\pi_1) = min(\pi_2)$ The ordered pair (π_1, π_2) corresponds to the folloqing ordered pair of supdiagonal quasi-permutations :





 \Rightarrow Let's come back to the Jacobi-Stirling numbers :

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z)\mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^k(z), \qquad n, k \ge 1$$

э

 \Rightarrow Let's come back to the Jacobi-Stirling numbers :

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z)\mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^k(z), \qquad n, k \ge 1$$

 $JS_n^k(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree n - k:

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = a_{n,k}^{(0)} + a_{n,k}^{(1)}z + \dots + a_{n,k}^{(n-k)}z^{n-k}$$

< ∃ >

 \Rightarrow Let's come back to the Jacobi-Stirling numbers :

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z)\mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^k(z), \qquad n, k \ge 1$$

 $JS_n^k(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree n - k:

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = a_{n,k}^{(0)} + a_{n,k}^{(1)}z + \dots + a_{n,k}^{(n-k)}z^{n-k}$$

Moreover,

$$a_{n,k}^{(n-k)} = S_n^k$$
$$a_{n,k}^{(0)} = U_n^k$$

A k-signed partition of $[\pm n]_0 = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$ is a partition of $[\pm n]_0$ in k + 1 non-empty blocks B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k , such that • $0 \in B_0$ et $\forall i \in [n], \{i, -i\} \not\subset B_0$

• $\forall j \in [k], \forall i \in [n], \{i, -i\} \subset B_j \Leftrightarrow i = \min B_j \cap [n]$

For example,

$$\pi = \big\{\{0, 2, -5\}, \{\pm 1, -2\}, \{\pm 3\}, \{\pm 4, 5\}\big\}$$

is a 3-signed partition of $[\pm 5]_0$.

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)}$ is equal to the number of k-signed partitions of $[\pm n]_0$ with i negative values in the block that contains 0.

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)}$ is equal to the number of k-signed partitions of $[\pm n]_0$ with i negative values in the block that contains 0.

Proof : Since $JS_n^k(z)$ verify the relation :

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z) \, \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^k(z),$$

it suffices to check that the wanted numbers verify the recurrence :

$$a_{n,k}^{(i)} = a_{n-1,k-1}^{(i)} + ka_{n-1,k}^{(i-1)} + k^2 a_{n-1,k}^{(i)}$$

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)}$ is equal to the number of k-signed partitions of $[\pm n]_0$ with i negative values in the block that contains 0.

Proof : Since $JS_n^k(z)$ verify the relation :

$$\mathsf{JS}_n^k(z) = \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + k(k+z) \, \mathsf{JS}_{n-1}^k(z),$$

it suffices to check that the wanted numbers verify the recurrence :

$$a_{n,k}^{(i)} = \underbrace{a_{n-1,k-1}^{(i)}}_{B_k = \{\pm n\}} + \underbrace{ka_{n-1,k}^{(i-1)}}_{-n \in B_0} + \underbrace{k^2 a_{n-1,k}^{(i)}}_{\text{other cases}}$$

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)} = \sharp\{k - \text{signed partitions of } [\pm n]_0 \text{ with } i \text{ values } < 0 \text{ in } B_0\}$

・聞き ・ ほき・ ・ ほき

3

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)} = \sharp\{k - \text{signed partitions of } [\pm n]_0 \text{ with } i \text{ values } < 0 \text{ in } B_0\}$ $\Rightarrow For i = n - k$, we recover the interpretation of S_n^k .

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)} = \sharp\{k - \text{signed partitions of } [\pm n]_0 \text{ with } i \text{ values } < 0 \text{ in } B_0\}$ $\Rightarrow For i = n - k$, we recover the interpretation of S_n^k .

$$\pi = \{\{0, -3, -5, -6\}, \{\pm 1, 3, 6\}, \{\pm 2, 5\}, \{\pm 4\}\}$$
$$\Downarrow$$
$$\pi' = \{\{1, 3, 6\}, \{2, 5\}, \{4\}\}$$

 \Rightarrow For i = 0, we recover the interpretation of U_n^k .

- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



A simply hooked k-quasi-permutation of [n] (k-SHQP of [n]) is a part Q of a tableau $[n] \times [n]$ such that :

- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



For example,

A simply hooked *k*-quasi-permutation of [n] (*k*-SHQP of [n]) is a part Q of a tableau $[n] \times [n]$ such that :

- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.





is a 2-SHQP of [8].

For example,

A simply hooked k-quasi-permutation of [n] (k-SHQP of [n]) is a part Q of a tableau $[n] \times [n]$ such that :

- Q is contained in the graph of a permutation σ without fix points,
- each diagonal hook contains at most one elemnt,
- there are k empty diagonal hooks.



is a 2-SHQP of [8].

 $a_{n,k}^{(i)}$ counts also the number of ordered pairs (Q_1, Q_2) of k-SHQP of [n] such that

- Q_1 and Q_2 have k empty lines (the same),
- Q₁ and Q₂ have identical subdiagonal parts,
- Q_1 and Q_2 have i filled boxes in their subdiagonal parts.





\Rightarrow <u>Pour</u> i = n - k, we recover the interpretation of S_n^k .



æ

∃ >

白とくヨとく

\Rightarrow <u>Pour</u> i = n - k, we recover the interpretation of S_n^k .



 \Rightarrow For i = 0, we recover the interpretation of U_n^k .





▶ < ∃ ▶ <</p>

э

The Jacobi-Stirling numbers (of the first kind) $js_n^k(z)$ are defined by inversing the relation on the $JS_n^k(z)$ numbers :

$$X^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{JS}_{n}^{k}(z) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (X - i(z+i))$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (X - i(z+i)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} j s_n^k(z) X^k$$

The Jacobi-Stirling numbers (of the first kind) $js_n^k(z)$ are defined by inversing the relation on the $JS_n^k(z)$ numbers :

$$X^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{JS}_{n}^{k}(z) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (X - i(z+i))$$

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (X - i(z+i)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} j s_n^k(z) X^k$$

It follows that they verify the following relation :

$$js_n^k(z) = js_{n-1}^{k-1}(z) + (n-1)(n-1+z)js_{n-1}^k(z)$$

The **Stirling numbers (of the first kind)** s_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$s_n^k = s_{n-1}^{k-1} + (n-1)s_{n-1}^k$$

The **central factorial numbers (of the first kind)** u_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$u_n^k = u_{n-1}^{k-1} + (n-1)^2 u_{n-1}^k$$

The **Stirling numbers (of the first kind)** s_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$s_n^k = s_{n-1}^{k-1} + (n-1)s_{n-1}^k$$

The central factorial numbers (of the first kind) u_n^k are defined by the relation :

$$u_n^k = u_{n-1}^{k-1} + (n-1)^2 u_{n-1}^k$$

 s_n^k is the number of permutations of [n] with k cycles. u_n^k had still no interpretation until present. $js_n^k(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree n-k:

$$js_n^k(z) = b_{n,k}^{(0)} + b_{n,k}^{(1)}z + \dots + b_{n,k}^{(n-k)}z^{n-k}$$

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

э

 $js_n^k(z)$ is a polynomial in z of degree n-k:

$$js_n^k(z) = b_{n,k}^{(0)} + b_{n,k}^{(1)}z + \dots + b_{n,k}^{(n-k)}z^{n-k}$$

Moreover,

$$b_{n,k}^{(n-k)} = s_n^k$$
$$b_{n,k}^{(0)} = u_n^k$$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

Let $w = w(1)w(2) \dots w(\ell)$ be a word on the alphabet [n]. A letter w(j) is a record of w if

$$w(j) < w(k), \qquad \forall k = 1 \dots j - 1$$

We denote by rec(w) the number of records of w and $rec_0(w) = rec(w) - 1$.

For example, for

$$w = 574862319,$$

the records are

5, 4, 2, 1.

Thus, rec(w) = 4 and $rec_0(w) = 3$.

- $b_{n,k}^{(i)}$ is the number of ordered pairs (σ, au) with :
 - σ is a permutation of $[n] \cup \{0\}$ with k cycles,
 - τ is a permutation of [n] with k cycles,
 - σ and τ have the same cyclic minimas,

•
$$1 \in Orb_{\sigma}(0)$$
 and $rec_0(w) = i$
where $w = \sigma(0) \dots \sigma^{\ell}(0)$ with $\sigma^{\ell+1}(0) = 0$.

- $b_{n.k}^{(i)}$ is the number of ordered pairs (σ, au) with :
 - σ is a permutation of $[n] \cup \{0\}$ with k cycles,
 - τ is a permutation of [n] with k cycles,
 - σ and τ have the same cyclic minimas,

•
$$1 \in Orb_{\sigma}(0)$$
 and $rec_0(w) = i$
where $w = \sigma(0) \dots \sigma^{\ell}(0)$ with $\sigma^{\ell+1}(0) = 0$.

Idea : interpret the formula

$$b_{n,k}^{(i)} = b_{n-1,k-1}^{(i)} + (n-1)b_{n-1,k}^{(i-1)} + (n-1)^2 b_{n-1,k}^{(i)}$$

 \Rightarrow For i = n - k, we recover the interpretation of s_n^k .

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

3

 \Rightarrow For i = n - k, we recover the interpretation of s_n^k .

 \Rightarrow For i = 0, we recover the interpretation of u_n^k .

 u_n^k is the number of ordered pairs of permutations (σ_1, σ_2) of [n] with k cycles, with same cyclic minimas.

Thanks for your attention.

æ

Э

A ►