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## Starting point: Gelfand models

A Gelfand model of a group $G$ is a $G$-module containing each irreducible complex representation of $G$ exactly once:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(M, \rho) \cong \bigoplus_{\phi \in \operatorname{lrr}(G)}\left(V_{\phi}, \phi\right) \\
\operatorname{lrr}(G)=\{\text { irreducible representations of } G\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Gelfand models in recent literature

- Inglis-Richardson-Saxl, for symmetric groups;
- Kodiyalam-Verma, for symmetric groups;
- Aguado-Araujo-Bigeon, for Weyl groups;
- Baddeley, for wreath products;
- Adin-Postnikov-Roichman, for the groups $G(r, n) \ldots$
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## Plan of the talk

- Caselli, for involutory reflection groups, a family of complex reflection groups which is bigger than $\{G(r, n)\}$ and contains all infinite families of irreducible finite Coxeter groups.

We will:

- Introduce the Gelfand model due to F.Caselli, for the particular cases of $B_{n}$ and $D_{n}$;
- provide a refinement for such model in these two cases.
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## The group $B_{n}$

$B_{n}:=\{$ signed permutations on $n$ elements $\}$.
Example: $g=(2,-4,3,1) \in B_{4}$.
$g$ is the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We denote by $|g|$ the permutation associated to $g$ :

$$
|g|:=(2,4,3,1)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## RS corresponence for $B_{n}$

$$
g=(2,-4,3,1) \in B_{4} .
$$

- split $g$ into two double-rowed vectors according to the sign:

$$
g_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad g_{1}=\binom{2}{4}
$$

## RS corresponence for $B_{n}$

$$
g=(2,-4,3,1) \in B_{4}
$$

- split $g$ into two double-rowed vectors according to the sign:

$$
g_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad g_{1}=\binom{2}{4}
$$

- perform RS to the two double-rowed vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{0} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, Q_{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & \left., \begin{array}{|l|l}
1 & 3 \\
\hline 4 &
\end{array}\right) \\
g_{1} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)=\binom{4}{\hline}
\end{array},=\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## RS corresponence for $B_{n}$

- glue the images of $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ together:

$$
g \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline 1 & 3 \\
\hline 2 &
\end{array}, \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 \\
\hline 4 & , \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)
$$

## A crucial remark

Let $M$ be a model for $B_{n}$. It turns out that

$$
\operatorname{dim}(M)=\#\left\{g \in B_{n}: g^{2}=1\right\}
$$

We observe that:
$g$ is an involution if and only if $g \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; P_{0}, P_{1}\right)$.
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## Basis of the model

Thus, when constructing a model for $B_{n}$, it is natural to look for a model structure on a vector space spanned by the elements
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- $M$ is the vector space spanned by the involutions of $B_{n}$ :
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M=\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(B_{n}\right)} \mathbb{C} C_{v}
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Caselli's model $(M, \rho)$ for $B_{n}$ looks like this:

- $M$ is the vector space spanned by the involutions of $B_{n}$ :

$$
M=\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}\left(B_{n}\right)} \mathbb{C} C_{v}
$$

- the morphism $\rho: B_{n} \rightarrow G L(M)$ has the form

$$
\rho(g) v=\phi_{v}(g) C_{|g| v|g|^{-1}},
$$

$\phi_{v}(g)$ being a scalar.
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There is a nice parametrization for $B_{n}$ 's representations:

$$
\left\{\text { irreducible representations of } B_{n}\right\}
$$


\{ordered pairs of Ferrers diagrams $(\lambda, \mu)$ such that $|\lambda|+|\mu|=n\}$

## Example: $B_{3}$

The irreducible representations of $B_{3}$ are:
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$$
\rho(g) v=\phi_{v}(g) C_{|g| v|g|^{-1}}
$$

## Definition

Two elements of $B_{n}$ are $S_{n}$-conjugate if they are conjugate via an element of $S_{n}$.

Thus $M$ naturally splits into submodules $M(c)$, where each $c$ is a $S_{n}$-conjugacy class of involutions of $B_{n}$.
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It is quite natural to expect the decomposition to be well behaved with respect to the $R S$ correspondence.

And so it is!
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## Theorem (C., F., 2010)

Let c be a $S_{n}$-conjugacy class of involutions in $B_{n}$. The following decomposition holds:

$$
M(c) \cong \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in S h(c)} \rho_{\lambda, \mu}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{Sh}(c)=\bigcup_{v \in c} \operatorname{Sh}(v)
$$

## Decomposition of $M$

In words:
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## Decomposition of $M$

In words:
if a submodule $M(c)$ of $M$ is spanned by involutions whose images via $R S$ have certain shapes...
... $M(c)$ affords the irreducible representations of $B_{n}$ parametrized by those shapes.
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## $S_{n}$-conjugacy classes for $B_{n}$

Two involutions $v$ and $w$ of $B_{n}$ are $S_{n}$-conjugate if and only if

$$
v \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; P_{0}, P_{1}\right) \quad w \xrightarrow{R S}\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1} ; Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)
$$

with:

- $P_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ have the same number of boxes;
- $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ have the same number of boxes;
- $P_{0}$ and $Q_{0}$ have the same number of columns of odd length;
- $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}$ have the same number of columns of odd length.

$$
\operatorname{Sh}(v)=\operatorname{Sh}(w) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\neq & v \text { and } w \text { are } S_{n}-\text { conjugate }
\end{array}
$$

## Example

Example in $B_{3}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Sh}(v)=(\square, \emptyset)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Sh}(w)=(\square, \emptyset)
$$

$v$ and $w$ are $S_{3}$ conjugate.
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## The proof

Key point: the submodule spanned by the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right): & =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { symmetric elements of } B_{n} \text { which are } \\
\text { products of signed cycles of length } 2 \text { only }
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { symmetric elements of } B_{n} \text { whose } \\
\text { diagonal has zero entries only }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is actually made up of the irreducible representations parametrized by the elements of $\operatorname{Sh}\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)\right)$.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right): & =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { symmetric elements of } B_{n} \text { which are } \\
\text { products of signed cycles of length } 2 \text { only }
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { symmetric elements of } B_{n} \text { whose } \\
\text { diagonal has zero entries only }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is actually made up of the irreducible representations parametrized by the elements of $\operatorname{Sh}\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)\right)$.
$\operatorname{Sh}\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)\right)=\{(\lambda, \mu): \lambda, \mu$ have no columns of odd length $\}$.
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## Strategy: a hint from $S_{n}$

## Theorem (Inglis, Richardson, Saxl, 1990)

$\pi_{k}$ representation of $S_{2 k}$. Suppose that, for every $k$,

- $\pi_{k} \downarrow{ }_{S_{2 k-1}}=\pi_{k-1} \uparrow^{S_{2 k-1}}$;
- $\pi_{k}$ contains the trivial representation.

Then

$$
\pi_{k} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda \vdash 2 k}}^{\bigoplus_{\lambda} \text { with even parts only }}
$$
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## Back to $B_{n}$

## Lemma (C., F., 2010)

$\Pi_{m}$ representation of $B_{2 m}$. Suppose that, for every $m$,

- $\Pi_{m} \downarrow_{B_{2 m-1}}=\Pi_{m-1} \uparrow^{B_{2 m-1}}$;
- $\Pi_{m}$ contains the irreducible representations of $B_{2 m}$ indexed by the pairs of single-columned diagrams of even size.
Then

$$
\Pi_{m} \simeq \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \mu) \in \operatorname{Sh}\left(\operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{n}\right)\right)} V_{\lambda, \mu}
$$

Apply this to

$$
\Pi_{m}=\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}_{0}\left(B_{2 m}\right)} \mathbb{C} C_{v}!
$$
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## The group $D_{n}$

$$
D_{n}<B_{n}
$$

$g \in B_{n}$. Then $g \in D_{n}$ if -1 appears in the matrix of $g$ an even number of times.
$g=(2,-4,3,1) \in B_{4}$.

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \notin D_{4}
$$

$h=(2,-4,-3,1) \in B_{4}$.

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \in D_{4}
$$

Another generalization for RS correspondence

$$
g \in B_{n} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)
$$

Another generalization for RS correspondence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g \in B_{n} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right) \\
& -g \in B_{n} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{1}, P_{0} ; Q_{1}, Q_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Another generalization for RS correspondence

$$
\begin{gathered}
g \in B_{n} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right) \\
-g \in B_{n} \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{1}, P_{0} ; Q_{1}, Q_{0}\right) \\
\bar{g} \in \frac{B_{n}}{ \pm I d} \xrightarrow{R S_{2}}\left(\left\{P_{0}, P_{1}\right\} ;\left\{Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right\}\right) \\
\uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
\text { UNORDERED PAIRS!!!!! }
\end{gathered}
$$
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Model for $D_{n}$ : instead of looking at $D_{n} \ldots$
... we look at the quotient $\frac{B_{n}}{ \pm I d}$
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the same unordered pair
$\operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{B_{n}}{ \pm I d}\right):=\left\{\bar{g} \in \frac{B_{n}}{ \pm I d}: g \xrightarrow{R S}\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; P_{0}, P_{1}\right)\right.$ for (any) $g$ lift of $\left.\bar{g}\right\} ;$
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## Example: antisymmetric elements

$$
v \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 \\
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\end{array}, \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 2 & 4 \\
\hline
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\begin{gathered}
v \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{|l|l}
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\hline
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## Example: antisymmetric elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
v \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 \\
,
\end{array}, \begin{array}{|l|l}
2 & 4 \\
\end{array} ; \begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline 2 & 4 \\
, ~
\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c}
1 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) \\
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- Notice that a pair $\left(P_{0}, P_{1} ; P_{1}, P_{0}\right)$ can be the $R S$ image of a $g \in B_{n}$ only if $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ have the same shape $\lambda$.
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## A model for $D_{n}$ : the module (Caselli, 2009)

Caselli's model $(M, \rho)$ for $D_{n}$ looks like this:
$M$ is the vector space spanned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{B_{n}}{ \pm l d}\right) \cup \operatorname{Asym}\left(\frac{B_{n}}{ \pm l d}\right): \\
& M=\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v} \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Asym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

The morphism $\rho: D_{n} \rightarrow G L(M)$ has the form

$$
\rho(g) v=\psi_{v}(g) C_{|g| v|g|^{-1}}
$$

$\psi_{v}(g)$ being a scalar.
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$\downarrow$
\{ordered pairs of Ferrers diagrams $(\lambda, \mu)$ such that $|\lambda|+|\mu|=n\}$. Restrict them to $D_{n}$ :
$\begin{cases}\lambda \neq \mu: & (\lambda, \mu) \downarrow_{D_{n}}=(\mu, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_{n}} \text { doesn't split } \\ \lambda=\mu: & (\lambda, \lambda) \downarrow_{D_{n}} \text { splits into two irreducible representations }\end{cases}$
Where can we find these representations in the model $M$ ?
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Irreducible representations of $D_{n}$ :

- $\{\lambda, \mu\}$, with $\lambda \neq \mu,|\lambda|+|\mu|=n$ (UNSPLIT REP);
- $\{\lambda, \lambda\}^{+}$, with $\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}$ (SPLIT REP);
- $\{\lambda, \lambda\}^{-}$, with $\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}$ (SPLIT REP)

Possible shapes via $R S_{2}$ of the generators of $M$ :

- $\{\lambda, \mu\}$, with $\lambda \neq \mu,|\lambda|+|\mu|=n$ (SYMMETRIC GEN);
- $\{\lambda, \lambda\}$, with $\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}$ (SYMMETRIC GEN);
- $\{\lambda, \lambda\}$, with $\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}$ (ANTISYMMETRIC GEN).


## HOW NICE!

## A natural decomposition for $M$

$M$ naturally splits first of all into the two fat submodules

$$
\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v}
$$

$\oplus \mathbb{C} c_{v}$
$v \in$ Asym
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## Refinement for $M$

Again, this decomposition is well-behaved w.r.t. the $R S_{2}$ correspondence!

## Theorem (C., F., 2010)

The split representations of $D_{n}$ can be labelled in such a way that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Asym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}}\{\lambda, \lambda\}^{-}, \\
\Downarrow \\
\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \neq \mu}\{\lambda, \mu\} \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash \frac{n}{2}}\{\lambda, \lambda\}^{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

$$
v=(-6,4,3,2,-5,-1) \in B_{6} .
$$

Let $\bar{c}$ be the $S_{6}$-conjugacy class of $\bar{v}$. Then

## Example

$$
v=(-6,4,3,2,-5,-1) \in B_{6} .
$$

Let $\bar{c}$ be the $S_{6}$-conjugacy class of $\bar{v}$. Then

$$
M(\bar{c}) \cong(\boxminus, \forall) \oplus(\boxminus, \boxplus)^{+} \oplus(\theta, \forall)^{+} .
$$

## A further refinement

The submodule

## $\bigoplus_{v \in \operatorname{Sym}} \mathbb{C} C_{v}$

admits a finer refinement which is analogous to the case of $B_{n}$ and is also well-behaved with respect to $R S_{2}$.
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where $\bar{g}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $g$.

## Further generalizations

The whole argument can be generalized to a much wider class of groups.

## Definition

Let $G<G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ and let $M$ be a Gelfand model for $G . G$ is involutory if

$$
\operatorname{dim}(M)=\#\{g \in G: g \bar{g}=1\}
$$

where $\bar{g}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $g$.

```
Theorem (Caselli, 2009)
A group \(G(r, p, n)\) is involutory if and only if \(\operatorname{GCD}(p, n)=1,2\).
```


## Thank you!

