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A new view of the

## Hook formula

- inspired by recent work of Malle and Navarro on the characterization of nilpotent $p$-blocks of $p$-modular group algebras by the degrees of their ordinary characters.

Main aspect: for a given $d$, separation of hooks of a given partition into two multisets, according to its $d$-core and a suitable $d$-quotient.
B.-Gramain-Olsson: Generalized hook lengths in symbols and partitions, arXiv 1101.5067

For the symmetric groups and a prime $p$ :
p-blocks $\leftrightarrow p$-core partitions
Degree computation for irreducible characters:
hook formula
But: not adequate for the purpose ...
Malle-Navarro: new degree formula, deduced from a formula for character degrees for classical groups.

The complex irreducible characters of the symmetric group $S_{n}$ are labelled by partitions of $n$,

$$
\operatorname{lrr}\left(S_{n}\right)=\{[\lambda] \mid \lambda \vdash n\}
$$

The character degrees $[\lambda](1)$ are given by:

## Theorem (Hook formula)

Let $\prod \mathcal{H}(\lambda)$ be the product of all hook lengths in $\lambda \vdash n$. Then

$$
[\lambda](1)=\frac{n!}{\prod \mathcal{H}(\lambda)} .
$$

Let $\lambda=(5,4,4,2,2) \vdash 17$.


| 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\lambda](1) } & =\frac{17!}{9 \cdot 8 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1} \\
& =1361360
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$.
For a partition $\lambda$, denote by

$$
\lambda_{(d)} \text { its } d \text {-core, }
$$

obtained by removing as many $d$-hooks as possible.
The removal may be described by the $d$-quotient $\lambda^{(d)}$,
a $d$-tuple of partitions.
Useful tool: $\beta$-sets and the $d$-abacus

A $\beta$-set is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$.
For a $\beta$-set $X=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}_{>}$, the associated partition $p(X)$
has as its parts the positive numbers among

$$
a_{i}-(s-i), i=1, \ldots, s .
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
X^{+k}=\{a+k \mid a \in X\} \cup\{k-1, \ldots, 1,0\}
$$

is the $k$ th shift of $X$.

A $\beta$-set is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$.
For a $\beta$-set $X=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}_{>}$, the associated partition $p(X)$ has as its parts the positive numbers among

$$
a_{i}-(s-i), i=1, \ldots, s .
$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
X^{+k}=\{a+k \mid a \in X\} \cup\{k-1, \ldots, 1,0\}
$$

is the $k$ th shift of $X$.

- $p(X)=p\left(X^{+k}\right)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
- Any $\beta$-set $Y$ with $p(Y)=\lambda$ is called a $\beta$-set for $\lambda$.
- For any $\lambda$, the set of first column hook lengths is a $\beta$-set for $\lambda$.


## Hook removal and the $d$-abacus

Let $X$ be a $\beta$-set.
A $d$-hook of $X$ is a pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$ with

$$
a \in X, b<a, b \notin X \text { and } a-b=d
$$

Removal of this $d$-hook from $X$ means: replacing $a$ by $b$.
(This corresponds to the removal of a $d$-hook from $\lambda=p(X)$.)
Place the elements of $X$ as beads on an abacus with $d$ runners.
The removal of a $d$-hook corresponds to moving a bead to an empty space one level up. Easy computation of $d$-core!

## Example

$$
X=\{11,8,6,2,0\} \text { is a } \beta \text {-set of } p(X)=\lambda=(7,5,4,1) \vdash 17 .
$$

Fix $d=3$. The 3 -abacus representation for $X$ and the corresponding 3 -core:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 345 \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
3 & 4 & 5
\end{array} \\
& 6 \quad 7 \quad 8 \\
& 9 \quad 10 \quad 11 \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
9 & 10 & 11
\end{array} \\
& \text { 3-core } C_{3}(X)=\{8,5,3,2,0\} \\
& c_{3}(X)=p\left(C_{3}(X)\right)=p(\{8,5,3,2,0\})=(4,2,1,1)=\lambda_{(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Malle-Navarro)

Let $p$ be a prime, $\lambda \vdash n$. Let $\mu \vdash r$ be the $p$-core of $\lambda, S$ a symbol associated to the p-quotient $\lambda^{(p)}, b_{i}$ the number of beads on the $i^{t h}$ runner of the $p$-abacus for $\mu, c_{i}=p b_{i}+i-1$. Then

$$
[\lambda](1)=\frac{n!}{r!} \frac{1}{\prod_{h \text { hook of } S}\left|p \ell(h)+c_{i(h)}-c_{j(h)}\right|}[\mu](1)
$$

Proof: by specialization at $q=1$ of a formula for character degrees of unipotent characters of general linear groups due to Malle (1995).

## Theorem (Malle-Navarro)

Let $p$ be a prime, $\lambda \vdash n$. Let $\mu \vdash r$ be the $p$-core of $\lambda, S$ a symbol associated to the $p$-quotient $\lambda^{(p)}, b_{i}$ the number of beads on the $i^{t h}$ runner of the $p$-abacus for $\mu, c_{i}=p b_{i}+i-1$. Then

$$
[\lambda](1)=\frac{n!}{r!} \frac{1}{\prod_{h \text { hook of } S}\left|p \ell(h)+c_{i(h)}-c_{j(h)}\right|}[\mu](1)
$$

Proof: by specialization at $q=1$ of a formula for character degrees of unipotent characters of general linear groups due to Malle (1995).

Suspicion: This is the hook formula in disguise.

A $d$-symbol is a $d$-tuple of $\beta$-sets $S=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$.
Let $X$ be a $\beta$-set. For $j \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ set

$$
X_{j}^{(d)}=\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \mid k d+j \in X\right\}
$$

This gives a bijection

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
s_{d}:\{\beta \text {-sets }\} & \rightarrow & \{d \text {-symbols }\} \\
X & \mapsto\left(X_{0}^{(d)}, \ldots, X_{d-1}^{(d)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

A hook of $S: \quad(a, b, i, j) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{4}$ with $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$, $a \in X_{i}, b \notin X_{j}$, and either $a>b$, or $a=b$ and $i>j$. $H(S)$ denotes the set of all hooks of $S$.

Remark. There are canonical bijections between the hooks in $X, \lambda=p(X)$ and $S=s_{d}(X)$.

## Example

$\beta$-set $X=\{11,8,6,2,0\}$ for $p(X)=\lambda=(7,5,4,1) \vdash 17$.
Let $d=3$; 3-abacus representation for $X$ and $S=s_{3}(X)$ :

$$
s_{3}: \begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array} & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & 4 & 5 \\
6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11
\end{array} \mapsto \begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 3 & 3
\end{array} \quad \mapsto \begin{aligned}
& \\
& S=(\{2,0\}, \emptyset,\{3,2,0\})
\end{aligned}
$$

Example: hook $(11,4)$ in $X \leftrightarrow$ hook $(3,1,2,1)$ in $S$.

A $d$-symbol $S=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$ is called balanced, if

$$
\left|X_{0}\right|=\ldots=\left|X_{d-1}\right| \text { and } 0 \notin X_{i} \text { for some } i .
$$

For any $S=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$, its balanced quotient is the unique balanced $d$-symbol

$$
Q(S)=\left(X_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{d-1}^{\prime}\right) \text { with } p\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right)=p\left(X_{i}\right) \text { for all } i .
$$

The core of $S$ is the $d$-symbol $C(S)$ with $i$ th component

$$
\left\{\left|X_{i}\right|-1, \ldots, 1,0\right\}, i=0, \ldots, d-1
$$

If $X=s_{d}^{-1}(S)$, we define the balanced $d$-quotient of $X$

$$
Q_{d}(X)=s_{d}^{-1}(Q(S))
$$

and the $d$-quotient partition of $\lambda=p(X)$ :

$$
q_{d}(X)=p\left(Q_{d}(X)\right) .
$$

## Example

Balanced quotient of $S=s_{3}(X)=(\{2,0\}, \emptyset,\{3,2,0\})$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(S)=(\{2,0\},\{1,0\},\{2,1\}) . \\
Q(S): \begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 3 & 3
\end{array} \stackrel{\stackrel{s_{3}^{-1}}{\longleftrightarrow} Q_{3}(X): \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
3 & 4 & 5 \\
6 & 7 & 8 \\
9 & 10 & 11
\end{array}}{q_{3}(X)=p\left(Q_{3}(X)\right)=p(\{8,6,5,4,1,0\})=(3,2,2,2)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note. $\left|q_{3}(X)\right|+\left|c_{3}(X)\right|=9+8=17=|p(X)|$.

Let $S=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$ be a $d$-symbol.
We consider only the hooks between the runners $i$ and $j$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{i j}(S) & =\{(a, b, i, j) \mid(a, b, i, j) \in H(S)\}, \\
H_{i j\}}(S) & =H_{i j}(S) \cup H_{j i}(S) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\ell \geq 0$ we define the $\ell$-level section

$$
H_{i j}^{\ell}(S)=\left\{(a, b, i, j) \in H_{i j}(S) \mid a-b=\ell\right\} .
$$

## Theorem (Hook correspondence in symbols)

Let $S$ be a $d$-symbol with balanced quotient $Q(S)=Q$ and core $C(S)=C$.
For all i,j, we have bijective multiset correspondences

$$
H_{\{i j\}}(S) \rightarrow H_{\{i j\}}(Q) \cup H_{\{j\}}(C),
$$

with control on the level sections.
We glue these bijections together to a universal bijection

$$
\omega_{s}: H(S) \rightarrow H(Q) \cup H(C) .
$$

Remark. For $S=\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right), \Delta=\left|X_{i}\right|-\left|X_{j}\right|$ is crucial for controlling the correspondence of the level sections.

Theorem. Let $S, Q, C$ be as above, $i \neq j, \Delta=\left|X_{i}\right|-\left|X_{j}\right| \geq 0$.
When $\Delta>0$, we have the following equalities:

- For all $\ell>\Delta:\left|H_{i j}^{\ell}(S)\right|=\left|H_{i j}^{\ell-\Delta}(Q)\right|$.
- For all $\ell>\Delta:\left|H_{j i}^{\ell-\Delta}(S)\right|=\left|H_{j i}^{\ell}(Q)\right|$.
- For all $0<\ell<\Delta:\left|H_{i j}^{\ell}(S)\right|=\left|H_{j i}^{\Delta-\ell}(Q)\right|+\left|H_{i j}^{\ell}(C)\right|$.
- For $\ell=\Delta:\left|H_{i j}^{\wedge}(S)\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left|H_{i j}^{0}(Q)\right|=\left|H_{\{i j\}}^{0}(Q)\right| & \text { if } i>j \\ \left|H_{j i}^{0}(Q)\right|=\left|H_{\{i j\}}^{j}(Q)\right| & \text { if } i<j\end{array}\right.$.
- For $\ell=0$ :

$$
\left|H_{j i}^{\wedge}(Q)\right|+\left|H_{i j}^{0}(C)\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|H_{i j}^{0}(S)\right|=\left|H_{i j i\}}^{0}(S)\right| \text { if } i>j \\
\left|H_{j i}^{0}(S)\right|=\left|H_{\{i j\}}^{0}(S)\right| \text { if } i<j .
\end{array} .\right.
$$

- $\left|H_{i j}^{\Delta}(S)\right|+\left|H_{i j j}^{0}(S)\right|=\left|H_{j i}^{\Delta}(Q)\right|+\left|H_{\{i j\}}^{0}(Q)\right|+\left|H_{i j}^{0}(C)\right|$.

When $\Delta=0$, we have

- $\left|H_{i j}^{\ell}(S)\right|=\left|H_{i j}^{\ell}(Q)\right|, H_{i j}^{\ell}(C)=\emptyset$, for all $\ell \geq 0$.

- ••••• • • ○○ ○ ... -•••••• $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet .$.


# $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet ० ० ० ० . .$. - •••••• • • • 

# - ••••• • • ○○○ 

 - •••••• •• $\bullet$...
# $i>j$ <br>  <br>  

# $i>j$ <br>  <br>  

# $i>j$ <br>  <br>  

Let $\quad H=\{(a, b, i, j) \mid a \geq b$ and $i>j$ if $a=b\}$.
Consider (generalized) hook length functions $h: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. the value $h(a, b, i, j)$ depends only on $\ell=a-b, i$ and $j$.

Let $\quad H=\{(a, b, i, j) \mid a \geq b$ and $i>j$ if $a=b\}$.
Consider (generalized) hook length functions $h: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. the value $h(a, b, i, j)$ depends only on $\ell=a-b, i$ and $j$.
Important hook length functions for $\boldsymbol{d}$-symbols:
A $d$-hook data tuple is a $(d+1)$-tuple $\delta=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d-1} ; k\right)$ of real numbers, $k \geq 0$.
We define the $\delta$-length of $(a, b, i, j) \in H$ to be

$$
h^{\delta}(a, b, i, j)=k(a-b)+c_{i}-c_{j}
$$

For any $d$-symbol $S$, we denote the multiset of generalized hook lengths by

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\delta}(S)=\left\{h^{\delta}(a, b, i, j) \mid(a, b, i, j) \in H(S)\right\}
$$

Important special choices for applications:

- $\delta=(0,1, \ldots, d-1 ; d)$ the partition $d$-hook data tuple. Then the $\delta$-length of a hook of $S$ equals the usual hook length $a-b$ of the corresponding hook $(a, b)$ of $X$.
- $\delta=(0,0, \ldots, 0 ; 1)$ the minimal $d$-hook data tuple.

Then the $\delta$-length of long hooks $(a>b)$ in $S$ coincides with the hook length in symbols as defined by Malle, and the short hooks $(a=b)$ have $\delta$-length 0 .

## Theorem (The Meta-Theorem)

Let $S=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$ be a d-symbol, $x_{i}=\left|X_{i}\right|$. Let $Q=Q(S)$ be its balanced quotient, $C=C(S)$ its core.
Let $\delta=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{d-1} ; k\right)$ be a d-hook data tuple.
Then with $\delta_{S}=\left(c_{0}+x_{0} k, c_{1}+x_{1} k, \ldots, c_{d-1}+x_{d-1} k ; k\right)$, we have the multiset equality

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\delta}(S)=\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\delta_{S}}(Q) \cup \mathcal{H}^{\delta}(C)
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\delta_{S}}(Q)$ is the multiset of all modified hook lengths $\bar{h}^{\delta}(z), z \in H(Q)$.

## Modified hook lengths

We assume that $i, j$ are such that $\Delta=x_{i}-x_{j} \geq 0$.
Let $\quad H_{i j}^{\ell}=\{(a, b, i, j) \in H \mid a-b=\ell\}$.
Then for $z \in H_{\{i j\}}$ we define

$$
\bar{h}^{\delta_{s}}(z)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
h^{\delta_{s}}(z) & \text { if } z \in H_{i j} \cup H_{j i}^{>\Delta}, \text { or } z \in H_{j i}^{\Delta} \text { if } i<j \\
-h^{\delta_{s}}(z) & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Crucial property w.r.t. the universal bijection $\omega_{S}$ :

$$
h^{\delta}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
h^{\delta}\left(\omega_{S}(z)\right) & \text { if } & \omega_{S}(z) \in H(C) \\
\bar{h}^{\delta_{S}}\left(\omega_{S}(z)\right) & \text { if } & \omega_{S}(z) \in H(Q)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda$ a partition, $X$ a $\beta$-set for $\lambda, x_{i}=\left|X_{i}^{(d)}\right|$. Let $q_{d}(X)$ be the $d$-quotient partition of $X$.
For $z \in H\left(q_{d}(X)\right)$, let $\bar{h}(z)=h(z)+\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) d$,
if $z$ has hand and foot $d$-residue $i$ and $j+1$, respectively.
Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}\left(q_{d}(X)\right)$ be the multiset of all $\bar{h}(z), z \in H\left(q_{d}(X)\right)$.
Then we have the multiset equality

$$
\mathcal{H}(\lambda)=\mathcal{H}\left(\lambda_{(d)}\right) \cup \operatorname{abs}\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}\left(q_{d}(X)\right)\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{abs}\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}\left(q_{d}(X)\right)=\left\{|h| \mid h \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}\left(q_{d}(X)\right)\right\}\right.$.
Corollary Generalization of the Malle-Navarro formula.
In particular, the Malle-Navarro formula is the hook formula!

## Example

(cont.)
$\lambda=(7,5,4,1), X=\{11,8,6,2,0\}, d=3$.
$S=(\{2,0\}, \emptyset,\{3,2,0\}), q_{3}(X)=(3,2,2,2), \lambda_{(3)}=(4,2,1,1)$.
We take $\delta=(0,1,2 ; 3)$, the partition data tuple.
As $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=(2,0,3), \delta^{S}=(6,1,11 ; 3)$.

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Consider the 3-residue diagram; we need to modify the hook lengths of $q_{3}(X)$ by $d\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ according to residues $i$ and $j+1$ at the end of row and column. Finally, take absolute values!

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Consider the 3-residue diagram; we need to modify the hook lengths of $q_{3}(X)$ by $d\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ according to residues $i$ and $j+1$ at the end of row and column. Finally, take absolute values!

| 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 |  |
| 1 | 2 |  |
| 0 | 1 |  |

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Consider the 3-residue diagram; we need to modify the hook lengths of $q_{3}(X)$ by $d\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ according to residues $i$ and $j+1$ at the end of row and column. Finally, take absolute values!

|  |  |  |  | -9 | -6 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 |  | 6 | 4 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 2 |  | 9 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |

Consider the 3-residue diagram; we need to modify the hook lengths of $q_{3}(X)$ by $d\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ according to residues $i$ and $j+1$ at the end of row and column. Finally, take absolute values!

|  |  |  |  | -9 | -6 | 0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | 6 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 |  | 6 | 4 | 3 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 |  | 9 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 5 |  |
| 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | -7 | -5 |  |

Hook diagrams for $\lambda, \lambda_{(3)}, q_{3}(X)$ :

| 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |

Consider the 3-residue diagram; we need to modify the hook lengths of $q_{3}(X)$ by $d\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ according to residues $i$ and $j+1$ at the end of row and column. Finally, take absolute values!

|  |  |  | -9 | -6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  | 6 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 8 |
| 2 | 0 |  | 6 | 4 | 3 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 3 |  | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |
| 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 |  | 9 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 5 |  | 3 | 5 |  |
| 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  | -7 | -5 |  | 7 | 5 |

More general: combinatorics towards a relative hook formula for unipotent characters of classical groups ...
Theorem
Let $S=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$ be a $d$-symbol, $\delta=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1)$ the minimal $d$-hook data tuple, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $C$ be the $\ell$-core and $Q$ the balanced $\ell$-quotient of $S$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\delta}(S)=\mathcal{H}^{\delta}(C) \cup \operatorname{abs}\left(\mathcal{H}^{\delta_{R, S}}(Q)\right)
$$

where abs $\left(\mathcal{H}^{\delta_{\ell, S}}(Q)\right)$ is the multiset of all $\left|h^{\delta_{\ell, S}}(z)\right|, z \in H(Q)$, $\delta_{\ell, S}$ a modified $d \ell$-hook data tuple.

## Theorem

Let $S=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d-1}\right)$ be a $d$-symbol, $\delta=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1)$ the minimal $d$-hook data tuple, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}, e \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$. Let $C$ be the $(\ell, e)$-core of $S, Q$ the balanced $(\ell, e)$-quotient of $S$. With $\delta^{\prime}=\delta_{\ell, \sigma(S)}$ we have

$$
\mathcal{H}_{>0}^{\delta}(S)=\mathcal{H}_{>0}^{\delta}(C) \cup \operatorname{abs}\left(\mathcal{H}_{>0}^{\delta^{\prime}}(Q)\right),
$$

where abs $\left(\mathcal{H}_{>0}^{\delta^{\prime}}(Q)\right)$ is the multiset of all non-zero $\left|h^{\delta^{\prime}}(z)\right|$, $z \in H(Q)$.

