Trees, maps and Hurwitz numbers

GILLES SCHAEFFER CNRS & École Polytechnique ERC Research Starting Grant 208471 "ExploreMaps"

Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, mars 2012

General summary of the 3 lectures:

Factorizations, maps and ramified coverings

Orientations and decompositions of maps into trees

Applications to Hurwitz numbers

First lecture

Factorizations, maps and ramified coverings

Permutations, factorizations and increasing maps Hurwitz original motivation, ramified coverings Ramified coverings provide bijections "for free" Permutations, factorizations, increasing maps

Permutations in cycle notation: $\sigma = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)(4)(7) = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)$ Cycle type = distribution of cycle lengths: $\lambda(\sigma) = 1^2 2 3$ Transpositions = permutations with type $\lambda = 2 1^{n-1}$: $\tau = (2, 5)$.

Permutations in cycle notation: $\sigma = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)(4)(7) = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)$ Cycle type = distribution of cycle lengths: $\lambda(\sigma) = 1^2 2 3$ Transpositions = permutations with type $\lambda = 2 1^{n-1}$: $\tau = (2, 5)$.

Factorisation in transpositions = decomposition as product of transpositions (1,2)(1,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)(3,4)(1,3)=(1,2,4)(3)

Permutations in cycle notation: $\sigma = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)(4)(7) = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)$ Cycle type = distribution of cycle lengths: $\lambda(\sigma) = 1^2 2 3$ Transpositions = permutations with type $\lambda = 2 1^{n-1}$: $\tau = (2, 5)$.

Factorisation in tranpositions = decomposition as product of transpositions (1,2)(1,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)(3,4)(1,3)=(1,2,4)(3)(ok, I multiply from left to right...)

Permutations in cycle notation: $\sigma = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)(4)(7) = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)$ Cycle type = distribution of cycle lengths: $\lambda(\sigma) = 1^2 2 3$ Transpositions = permutations with type $\lambda = 2 1^{n-1}$: $\tau = (2, 5)$.

Factorisation in tranpositions = decomposition as product of transpositions (1,2)(1,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)(3,4)(1,3)=(1,2,4)(3)(ok, I multiply from left to right...)

The graph of a factorization $\tau_1 \dots \tau_m = \sigma \in S_n$: - vertices represent the permuted elements: $\{1, \dots, n\}$ - edges represent transpositions: an edge (i, j) with index k if $\tau_k = (i, j)$ $1 \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^$

Permutations in cycle notation: $\sigma = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)(4)(7) = (1, 2, 5)(3, 6)$ Cycle type = distribution of cycle lengths: $\lambda(\sigma) = 1^2 2 3$ Transpositions = permutations with type $\lambda = 2 1^{n-1}$: $\tau = (2, 5)$.

Factorisation in tranpositions = decomposition as product of transpositions (1,2)(1,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)=(1,2,3) (1,3)(2,3)(3,4)(1,3)=(1,2,4)(3)(ok, I multiply from left to right...)

The graph of a factorization $\tau_1 \dots \tau_m = \sigma \in S_n$: - vertices represent the permuted elements: $\{1, \dots, n\}$ - edges represent transpositions: an edge (i, j) with index k if $\tau_k = (i, j)$ $1 \int_{0}^{2} 2$ $1 \int_{0}^{2} 2$ Transitive factorization = connected graph

Transitive factorization = connected graph

Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell+1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell + 1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Corollaries:

• At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n

Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell + 1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.



Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell + 1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \tau_k = (i, j) \end{array} \end{array}$

(5,9)(2,3)(6,9)(1,5)(7,9)(8,9)(2,4)(2,5) = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9)



Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell + 1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.

(5,9)(2,3)(6,9)(1,5)(7,9)(8,9)(2,4)(2,5) = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9)



Cayley trees n^{n-2} with n nodes n^{n-2} (non-embedded) edge indexing (n-1)!



Lemma. If σ has ℓ cycles then $\sigma' = \sigma \cdot (i, j)$ has

- $\ell 1$ cycles if i and j are in different cycles of σ
- $\ell + 1$ cycles if i and j are in the same cycle of σ

Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.

(5,9)(2,3)(6,9)(1,5)(7,9)(8,9)(2,4)(2,5) = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9)



 $\tau_k = (i, j)$

Minimal factorizations

Proposition: Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1} \dots n^{\ell_n}$ with $\sum_i \ell_i = \ell$. A minimal factorization of a permutation of cycle type λ has $m = n - \ell$ factors.

Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.

 $\textcircled{0} \begin{array}{c} k \\ \textcircled{0} \\ \uparrow \\ \tau_k = (i, j) \end{array}$

(5,9)(2,3)(6,9)(1,5)(7,9)(8,9)(2,4)(2,5) = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9)



Minimal factorizations

Proposition: Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1} \dots n^{\ell_n}$ with $\sum_i \ell_i = \ell$. A minimal factorization of a permutation of cycle type λ has $m = n - \ell$ factors.

Their number is $\frac{n!}{\prod_i \ell_i! i^{\ell_i}} \prod_i (i^{i-2})^{\ell_i} \frac{m!}{\prod_i (i-1)!^{\ell_i}} = m! n! \prod_i \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^{i-2}}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}.$ Corollaries:

- At least n-1 transpositions are needed to build a cycle of length n
- The product $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{n-1}$ is a *n*-cycle if and only if the associated graph is a tree.

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline & & \\ \tau_k = (i, j) \end{array} \end{array}$

(5,9)(2,3)(6,9)(1,5)(7,9)(8,9)(2,4)(2,5) = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9)



Hurwitz formula for the number of minimal transitive factorizations in transpositions

Theorem. Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1}, \ldots, n^{\ell_n}$ be a partition n, and $\ell = \sum_i \ell_i$. The number of *m*-uples of transpositions (τ_1, \ldots, τ_m) such that

- (product cycle type) $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m = \sigma$ has cycle type λ
- (transitivity) the associated graph is connected
- (minimality) the number of factors is $m = n + \ell 2$

$$n^{\ell-3} \cdot m! \cdot n! \cdot \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$$

Proofs:

is

(Hurwitz 1891, Strehl 1996) (Goulden–Jackson 1997) (Lando–Zvonkine 1999) (Bousquet-Mélou–Schaeffer 2000) (recurrences, Abel identities) (gfs and differential eqns) (geometry of LL mapping) (bijection + inclusion/exclusion)

 $\lambda = n$, factorizations of *n*-cycles: $n^{n-2} \cdot (n-1)!$ $\lambda = 1^n$, factorizations of the identity: $n^{n-3} \cdot (2n-2)!$

Hurwitz formula for the number of minimal transitive factorizations in transpositions

Theorem. Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1}, \ldots, n^{\ell_n}$ be a partition n, and $\ell = \sum_i \ell_i$. The number of *m*-uples of transpositions (τ_1, \ldots, τ_m) such that

- (product cycle type) $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m = \sigma$ has cycle type λ
- (transitivity) the associated graph is connected
- (minimality) the number of factors is $m = n + \ell 2$

$$n^{\ell-3} \cdot m! \cdot n! \cdot \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$$

Proofs:

is

(Hurwitz 1891, Strehl 1996) (Goulden–Jackson 1997) (Lando–Zvonkine 1999) (Bousquet-Mélou–Schaeffer 2000) (recurrences, Abel identities) (gfs and differential eqns) (geometry of LL mapping) (bijection + inclusion/exclusion)

 $\lambda = n$, factorizations of *n*-cycles: $n^{n-2} \cdot (n-1)!$ $\lambda = 1^n$, factorizations of the identity: $n^{n-3} \cdot (2n-2)!$

Combinatorial interpretation and proof?

How do we compute the product directly on the graph











The computation is performed along the boundary of the graph because I have consistently drawn edges increasingly in counterclockwise direction around each vertex $e_1 < e_2 < \ldots < e_k$





 $e_1 < e_2 < \ldots < e_k$



Any tree with indexed edges has a unique such increasing embedding





pointed indexed tree with n vertices (admit a unique embedding as increasing plane trees)









Proof: there is unique way to put labels so that the computation works!

Moszkowski's bijection extends to all types of transitive factorizations in transpositions (with type λ , non necessarily minimal)





Moszkowski's bijection extends to all types of transitive factorizations in transpositions (with type λ , non necessarily minimal)

(4,6)(1,6)(1,5)(2,8)(3,4)(1,7)(5,8)(2,3)(4,8)(2,7)(3,8) = (1,6,7)(2,5)(3)(4)(8)



 $-\ell$ faces (face with k crosses = cycle of length k in the product)

Moszkowski's bijection extends to all types of transitive factorizations in transpositions (with type λ , non necessarily minimal)



Moszkowski's bijection extends to all types of transitive factorizations in transpositions (with type λ , non necessarily minimal)



Moszkowski's bijection extends to all types of transitive factorizations in transpositions (with type λ , non necessarily minimal)



Origin of the problem...

and a common setup for all permutations/maps relations?

Ramified coverings of the sphere by itself

See book Lando-Zvonkin for more details.

Ramified coverings of the sphere by itself

Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:

Let A_r be the annulus $\{z \mid r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.



Ramified coverings of the sphere by itself

Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:

Let A_r be the annulus $\{z \mid r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.


Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:



Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:



Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:



Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:



Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:

Let A_r be the annulus $\{z \mid r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.



By continuity, the number $n = |\phi^{-1}(x)|$ of sheets of a covering ϕ does not depend on x: for instance n = k for ϕ_k .

Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:

Let A_r be the annulus $\{z \mid r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.



By continuity, the number $n = |\phi^{-1}(x)|$ of sheets of a covering ϕ does not depend on x: for instance n = k for ϕ_k . The number n of sheets is called the degree of the covering.

Let $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit open disc, and let \sim denote equivalence up to homeomorphisms (bijective, bicontinuous mappings).

A mapping $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{I}$ is a covering if, for all xin \mathcal{I} there exists $n \geq 1$ and a neighborhood Vof x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and the restriction of ϕ to each sheet D_i (connected component of the preimage) is an homeomorphism $\phi_{|D_i} : D_i \xrightarrow{\sim} D$.

Example:

Let A_r be the annulus $\{z \mid r < |z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Consider $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.



By continuity, the number $n = |\phi^{-1}(x)|$ of sheets of a covering ϕ does not depend on x: for instance n = k for ϕ_k . The number n of sheets is called the degree of the covering.

What is we try to extend from A_r to D?

Recall $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$. Extend from A_r to D? The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering. but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.



Recall $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$. Extend from A_r to D? The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering. but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.

What happens at x = 0?



Recall $\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$ with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$. Extend from A_r to D? The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering. but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.

What happens at x = 0?

The mapping $\phi_k : D \to D$ has a connected ramification at x = 0.



Recall
$$\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$$
 with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.
Extend from A_r to D ?
The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering.
but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.

What happens at x = 0?

The mapping $\phi_k : D \to D$ has a connected ramification at x = 0.

A mapping ϕ is ramified at x = 0 if

- there is a neighborhood V of the origin such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times [1, \dots, p]$ and,
- the restriction of ϕ to each component of $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is homeomorphic to ϕ_k for some k.



Recall
$$\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$$
 with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.
Extend from A_r to D ?
The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering.
but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.

What happens at x = 0?

The mapping $\phi_k : D \to D$ has a connected ramification at x = 0.

A mapping ϕ is ramified at x = 0 if

- there is a neighborhood V of the origin such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times [1, \dots, p]$ and,
- the restriction of ϕ to each component of $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is homeomorphic to ϕ_k for some k.



Recall
$$\phi_k : A_r \to A_{r^k}$$
 with $\phi_k(z) = z^k$.
Extend from A_r to D ?
The mapping $\phi_k : D^* \to D^*$ is a covering.
but not $\phi_k : D \to D$.

What happens at x = 0?

The mapping $\phi_k : D \to D$ has a connected ramification at x = 0.

A mapping ϕ is ramified at x = 0 if

- there is a neighborhood V of the origin such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times [1, \dots, p]$ and,
- the restriction of ϕ to each component of $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is homeomorphic to ϕ_k for some k.

Regular (aka unramified) value = ramified with ϕ_1 on each component.





A mapping ϕ is ramified at x = 0 if

• there is a neighborhood V of the origin such that $\phi^{-1}(V) \sim D \times [1, \ldots, p]$ and,



X

• the restriction of ϕ to each component of $\phi^{-1}(V)$ is homeomorphic to ϕ_k for some k.

Regular (aka unramified) value = ramified with ϕ_1 on each component.

A mapping ϕ is a ramified covering of S by S if there exists a finite subset $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ such that:

- $\phi_{\mathbb{S}\setminus\phi^{-1}(X)}$ is a covering, and
- ϕ is ramified over each x_i



A mapping ϕ is a ramified covering of \mathbb{S} by \mathbb{S} if there exists a finite subset $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ such that:



A mapping ϕ is a ramified covering of \mathbb{S} by \mathbb{S} if there exists a finite subset $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ such that:



 $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

A mapping ϕ is a ramified covering of \mathbb{S} by \mathbb{S} if there exists a finite subset $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ such that:



the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{ig(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{ig(p)})$ of a ramified covering



the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering





To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering



To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

 $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$ the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering



To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

• *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points

regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$ the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering



To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on \mathcal{I}

regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering



regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering

To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on \mathcal{I} yields n contractible loops on \mathcal{D}



To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- \bullet a contractible loop on $\mathcal I$ yields n contractible loops on $\mathcal D$

regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering









regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$ the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering

To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on \mathcal{I} yields n contractible loops on \mathcal{D} but if we wind around critical points







To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on \mathcal{I} yields n contractible loops on \mathcal{D} but if we wind around critical points some sheets may get permuted

regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering



regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$ the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering

To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on *I* yields *n* contractible loops on *D* but if we wind around critical points
 some sheets may get permuted
 - visiting critical points create multiple values or "vertices"



regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$ the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(p)})$ of a ramified covering

To understand the "shape" of the covering, draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- *n* independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on *I* yields *n* contractible loops on *D* but if we wind around critical points
 some sheets may get permuted
 - visiting critical points create multiple values or "vertices"



regular value critical value critical value $\lambda^{(1)} = 1^5 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 1, 2^2 \quad \lambda^{(2)} = 2, 3$

the passport $\Lambda = (\lambda^{\left(1
ight)}, \ldots, \lambda^{\left(p
ight)})$ of a ramified covering

To understand the "shape" of the covering, \mathcal{T}

draw paths on \mathcal{I} and study its preimages.

- n independant preimages as long as we stay away from critical points
- a contractible loop on *I* yields *n* contractible loops on *D* but if we wind around critical points
 some sheets may get permuted
 - visiting critical points create multiple values or "vertices"

 $\Rightarrow \mbox{The partitions } \lambda^{(i)} \\ \mbox{are partitions of } n, \\ \mbox{degree of the covering.}$

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



 $\mathsf{Loop} \Rightarrow \mathsf{permutation}$ of sheet labels

Example: (1, 2)(3, 4)(5) in cyclic notation

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



Loop \Rightarrow permutation of sheet labels

Example: (1,2)(3,4)(5) in cyclic notation

The permutation is invariant under continuous deformation of the loop provided it stays in $S \setminus \{X\}$

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



Loop \Rightarrow permutation of sheet labels

Example: (1, 2)(3, 4)(5) in cyclic notation

The permutation is invariant under continuous deformation of the loop provided it stays in $S \setminus \{X\}$

Contractible loop in $\mathbb{S} \setminus X$ \Rightarrow identity permutation

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



$\mathsf{Loop} \Rightarrow \mathsf{permutation}$ of sheet labels

Example: (1,2)(3,4)(5) in cyclic notation

The permutation is invariant under continuous deformation of the loop provided it stays in $S \setminus \{X\}$

Contractible loop in $\mathbb{S} \setminus X$ \Rightarrow identity permutation

Concatenation of two loops \Rightarrow product of the permutations Example: $(1)(2, 3, 4, 5) \cdot (1, 2)(3, 4)(5)$

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



$\mathsf{Loop} \Rightarrow \mathsf{permutation}$ of sheet labels

Example: (1,2)(3,4)(5) in cyclic notation

The permutation is invariant under continuous deformation of the loop provided it stays in $S \setminus \{X\}$

Contractible loop in $\mathbb{S} \setminus X$ \Rightarrow identity permutation

Concatenation of two loops \Rightarrow product of the permutations Example: $(1)(2, 3, 4, 5) \cdot (1, 2)(3, 4)(5)$
Monodromy, and permutations

Let us label $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ the preimages of a regular point.



$\mathsf{Loop} \Rightarrow \mathsf{permutation}$ of sheet labels

Example: (1,2)(3,4)(5) in cyclic notation

The permutation is invariant under continuous deformation of the loop provided it stays in $S \setminus \{X\}$

Contractible loop in $\mathbb{S} \setminus X$ \Rightarrow identity permutation

Concatenation of two loops \Rightarrow product of the permutations Example: $(1)(2, 3, 4, 5) \cdot (1, 2)(3, 4)(5)$



3 critical values $\lambda^{\bullet} = 2^3 1^2 \quad \lambda^{\circ} = 3^2 2 \quad \lambda^{\Box} = 62$







On \mathcal{I} , draw an edge between \bullet and \circ via the basepoint

We get a planar map:

that is, a graph embedded on the sphere with simply connected faces









1 regular value with labeled preimages

















1 regular value with labeled preimages

m+1 critical values, *m*-constellations, permutations



m+1 critical values, *m*-constellations, permutations



The preimage of the m-star is called a star-constellation.

Thm. Planar star-constellations with: - n labelled m-stars, - λ_j^{\Box} faces of degree j, - $\lambda_j^{(i)}$ color i vertices of degree jare in bijection with minimal transitive factorizations $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_{\Box}$

with σ_i of cyclic type $\lambda^{(i)}$.

Monodromy, permutations, constellations summary

Theorem. There is a bijection between

- Labelled ramified covering of \mathbb{S} of type $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$
- Factorizations $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_0)$ of type Λ
- labelled *m*-star-constellations of type Λ .
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{S} \iff \text{minimality} \Leftrightarrow \text{planarity}.$

Monodromy, permutations, constellations summary

Theorem. There is a bijection between

- Labelled ramified covering of \mathbb{S} of type $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$
- Factorizations $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_0)$ of type Λ
- labelled *m*-star-constellations of type Λ .
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{S} \iff \text{minimality} \Leftrightarrow \text{planarity}.$

Specializations.

- m = 2: bipartite maps with n edges
- m = 2 and $\lambda_{\bullet} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$: all \bullet have deg 2 \Leftrightarrow nonbipartite maps ($\frac{n}{2}$ edges)
- for all $i \ge 1$, $\lambda^{(i)} = 21^{n-2}$: factorizations in transpositions. coverings with almost only simple branch points; increasing maps

Ramified coverings and "trivial" bijections: combinatorial data structures

























Today

Factorizations, maps and ramified coverings Permutations, factorizations and increasing maps Hurwitz original motivation, ramified coverings Ramified coverings provide bijections "for free"

Later...

Orientations and decompositions of maps into trees Applications to Hurwitz numbers

A formula for general factorizations [BMS00]

Theorem. Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1}, \ldots, n^{\ell_n}$ be a partition of n, and $\ell = \sum_i \ell_i$. The number of *m*-uple of permutations $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m)$ such that

- (factorization) $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma$ with cycle type λ
- (transitivity) $\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- (minimality) the total rank of factors is $\sum_i r(\sigma_i) = n + \ell 2$

$$m \frac{((m-1)n-1)!}{(mn-(n+\ell-2))!} \cdot n! \cdot \prod_{i} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}!} {mi-1 \choose i}^{\ell_{i}}$$

Proofs:

is

(Bousquet-Mélou–Schaeffer 2000) (Goulden–?? 2009) (bijection + inclusion/exclusion)(gfs and differential eqns)

$$\lambda = n$$
, factorizations of *n*-cycles: $\frac{1}{(mn+1)} \binom{mn+1}{n} \cdot (n-1)!$

 $\lambda = 1^n$, identity factorizations: $\frac{m}{(m-2)n+2} \frac{(m-1)^{n-1}}{(m-2)n+1} \binom{(m-1)n}{n} \cdot (n-1)!$
Our aim in the rest of the lectures

Prove the following two results using two bijective methods:

Factorization in transpositions: $\lambda = 1^n$, factorizations of the identity: $n^{n-3} \cdot (2n-2)!$

Need to count fully increasing quadrangulations

Factorizations in arbitrary factors:

 $\lambda = 1^n$, factorizations of the identity: $m \frac{(mn-n-1)!}{(mn-2n+2)!} (m-1)^n$

Need to count (m + 1)-constellations.

The two methods extend to general λ .

The second method extends to non minimal factorizations (higher genus)

Second lecture

Orientation and the decomposition of maps into trees

- A quick reminder about trees
- General idea: decompose a map into two trees
- 2 strategies explain (almost) all known bijections
 - minimal orientations and direct opening
 - left accessible orientations and the master bijection

A quick reminder about trees

Dyck paths and plane trees

Dyck path of length 2n = contour of a plane tree with n edges



The Dyck code of a tree is obtained during the walk around it upon:

- writing u the first time a vertex is visited (up steps)
- writing d the last time a vertex is visited (down steps)

Encodings of trees by words

We shall need two other classical codes:

Encodings of trees by words

We shall need two other classical codes:

- the height code: write the height of each vertex during its first visit



Encodings of trees by words

We shall need two other classical codes:

- the height code: write the height of each vertex during its first visit



- degree code: write the degree of each vertex during its first visit



after 45^o rotation: let P(2n) denote paths from (0,0) to (n,n+1) ending by an horizontal step









take a fonction f of $[n] \rightarrow [n+1]$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2	6	8	8	2	8	6

































Put labels on edges:



From maps to trees (I): tree-rooted maps

first strategy: Mullin primal dual decomposition

Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms).

From now on, map means rooted planar map.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.

The dual map of a tree-rooted map is a tree-rooted map: it is naturally endowed with a dual spanning tree.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.

The dual map of a tree-rooted map is a tree-rooted map: it is naturally endowed with a dual spanning tree.



Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.

The dual map of a tree-rooted map is a tree-rooted map: it is naturally endowed with a dual spanning tree.


Planar maps, spanning trees and duality

Recall a planar map is a proper embedding of a connected graph on the sphere (considered up to homeomorphisms). From now on, map means rooted planar map. A spanning tree is a subgraph which is a tree and visits every vertices. A tree-rooted map is a map with a spanning tree.

The dual map of a map is the map of incidence between faces.

The dual map of a tree-rooted map is a tree-rooted map: it is naturally endowed with a dual spanning tree.



Starting at a root corner turn around the tree



Starting at a root corner turn around the tree





Starting at a root corner turn around the tree

non visited edges = balanced parenthesis word





Starting at a root corner turn around the tree

non visited edges = balanced parenthesis word





Starting at a root corner turn around the tree

non visited edges = balanced parenthesis word





Code of the tree-rooted map = tree decorated by a balanced parenthesis word



Code of the tree-rooted map = tree decorated by a balanced parenthesis word

Starting at a root corner turn around the tree non visited edges = balanced

parenthesis word





Using the code of the tree by its contour word:

uuuududududddddddddddd

Code of the tree-rooted map = tree decorated by a balanced parenthesis word

Starting at a root corner turn around the tree non visited edges = balanced

parenthesis word





Using the code of the tree by its contour word:

Code of the tree-rooted map = tree decorated by a balanced parenthesis word = shuffle of two Dyck words

Starting at a root corner turn around the tree non visited edges = balanced

parenthesis word





Using the code of the tree by its contour word:

Code of the tree-rooted map = tree decorated by a balanced parenthesis word = shuffle of two Dyck words

The number of tree rooted planar maps with n edges is $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {2n \choose i} C_i C_{n-i}$ where C_n denotes Catalan numbers.

From maps to trees (I): tree-rooted maps

first strategy: Mullin primal dual decomposition

intermede: minimal orientations

second strategy: unfolding

Bernardi's master bi-theorem

Orient the tree edges away from the root



Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree



Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree



Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree

The resulting orientation has no clockwise circuit.



Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree

The resulting orientation has no clockwise circuit.



It is called a minimal orientation (for the order induced by circuit reversal).

Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree

The resulting orientation has no clockwise circuit.



It is called a minimal orientation (for the order induced by circuit reversal). A oriented map is accessible if every vertex can be reach by an oriented path from the root.

Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree

The resulting orientation has no clockwise circuit.



It is called a minimal orientation (for the order induced by circuit reversal). A oriented map is accessible if every vertex can be reach by an oriented path from the root.

Theorem (Bernardi) This is a bijection between tree-rooted maps with n edges and minimum accessible maps with n edges

Orient the tree edges away from the root

Orient the other edges couterclockwise around the tree

The resulting orientation has no clockwise circuit.



It is called a minimal orientation (for the order induced by circuit reversal). A oriented map is accessible if every vertex can be reach by an oriented path from the root.

Theorem (Bernardi) This is a bijection between tree-rooted maps with n edges and minimum accessible maps with n edges

The tree is recovered by reconstructing its contour (or equivalently by leftmost depth first search).

From maps to trees (I): tree-rooted maps

first strategy: Mullin primal dual decomposition

intermede: minimal orientations

second strategy: unfolding

Bernardi's master bi-theorem

Consider a minimal accessible map



Consider a minimal accessible map



Consider a minimal accessible map

Define its vertex unfolding: In the unfolded map, the plain edges form a spanning tree. (clockwise cycles are ruled out by external edges) (a counterclockwise cycle would be non accessible from the outside)

Consider a minimal accessible map

Define its vertex unfolding: In the unfolded map, the plain edges form a spanning tree. (clockwise cycles are ruled out by external edges) (a counterclockwise cycle would be non accessible from the outside) The unfolded map is tree-rooted

Consider a minimal accessible map

Define its vertex unfolding: In the unfolded map, the plain edges form a spanning tree. (clockwise cycles are ruled out by external edges) (a counterclockwise cycle would be non accessible from the outside) The unfolded map is tree-rooted The dual tree is naturally bicolored

Consider a minimal accessible map

Define its vertex unfolding: In the unfolded map, the plain edges form a spanning tree. (clockwise cycles are ruled out by external edges) (a counterclockwise cycle would be non accessible from the outside) The unfolded map is tree-rooted The dual tree is naturally bicolored

Consider a minimal accessible map

Define its vertex unfolding: In the unfolded map, the plain edges form a spanning tree. (clockwise cycles are ruled out by external edges) (a counterclockwise cycle would be non accessible from the outside) The unfolded map is tree-rooted The dual tree is naturally bicolored

Bernardi's master bijection for tree-rooted maps

The primal and dual trees of the unfolded maps are glued canonically (no shuffling of the codes required)



Bernardi's master bijection for tree-rooted maps

The primal and dual trees of the unfolded maps are glued canonically (no shuffling of the codes required)



Conversely gluying an arbitrary tree with n edges with an arbitrary tree with s + f = n + 2 vertices yields a left-accessible map

Theorem(Bernardi) This is a bijection between such pairs of trees and minimal accessible maps with n edges, (and tree-rooted maps via previous Theorem).

Corollary: $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {\binom{2n}{i}} C_i C_{n-i} = C_{n+1} C_n$

Summary: two strategies for tree-rooted maps













From maps to trees (II): eulerian maps

first strategy: blossoming trees

Let us recycle the first idea used for tree-rooted maps

using a canonical spanning tree



Let us recycle the first idea used for tree-rooted maps

using a canonical spanning tree





Then write the code of the primal tree on the chosen canonical tree



Then write the code of the primal tree on the chosen canonical tree The map is recovered from the code by *closure*.



Then write the code of the primal tree on the chosen canonical tree The map is recovered from the code by *closure*. Our code of the map will be a canonical decorated tree Question is "How do we choose the canonical spanning tree ?" Minimal orientations and canonical spanning trees

Idea: Use Bernardi's first bijection the other way round: Choose a minimal accessible orientation to get a spanning tree

Our pb becomes:

How to choose a canonical accessible minimal orientation?
Idea: Use Bernardi's first bijection the other way round: Choose a minimal accessible orientation to get a spanning tree

Our pb becomes:

How to choose a canonical accessible minimal orientation?

A function $\alpha: V \to \mathbb{N}$ is feasible on a plane map M if there exists an orientation of M such that for each vertex v the outdegree of v is f(v).

Idea: Use Bernardi's first bijection the other way round: Choose a minimal accessible orientation to get a spanning tree

Our pb becomes:

How to choose a canonical accessible minimal orientation?

A function $\alpha: V \to \mathbb{N}$ is feasible on a plane map M if there exists an orientation of M such that for each vertex v the outdegree of v is f(v).

Theorem (Felsner 2004). Let α be a feasible function on a plane map M. Then α has a unique α -orientation without clockwise cycles.

Idea: Use Bernardi's first bijection the other way round: Choose a minimal accessible orientation to get a spanning tree

Our pb becomes: How to choose a canonical accessible minimal orientation?

A function $\alpha: V \to \mathbb{N}$ is feasible on a plane map M if there exists an orientation of M such that for each vertex v the outdegree of v is f(v).

Theorem (Felsner 2004). Let α be a feasible function on a plane map M. Then α has a unique α -orientation without clockwise cycles.

Our pb becomes: How to choose a canonical α ? (and check accessibility)

Idea: Use Bernardi's first bijection the other way round: Choose a minimal accessible orientation to get a spanning tree

Our pb becomes: How to choose a canonical accessible minimal orientation?

A function $\alpha: V \to \mathbb{N}$ is feasible on a plane map M if there exists an orientation of M such that for each vertex v the outdegree of v is f(v).

Theorem (Felsner 2004). Let α be a feasible function on a plane map M. Then α has a unique α -orientation without clockwise cycles.

Our pb becomes: How to choose a canonical α ? (and check accessibility)

Fact: For many subclasses \mathcal{F} of planar maps, there exists an $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ s.t.:

A planar map is in \mathcal{F} if and only if it admits an $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ -orientation.

A map is eulerian if it admits a cycle that visits every edge exactly once. Let $\frac{1}{2}$ deg denote the $\frac{1}{2}$ degree function.

Proposition. A map is eulerian if and only if its admits a $\frac{1}{2}$ deg-orientation.





a map is 2-connected \Leftrightarrow it admits a bipolar orientation $\Leftrightarrow \text{ its quadrangulation admits} \\ \text{ an orientation with } \alpha(v) = 2$

a map is a simple triangulation \Leftrightarrow it admits an orientation with $\alpha(v)=3$



endow with min orient











Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian map with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted^{*} plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian map with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted^{*} plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced (half-edges must form balanced parentheses)

Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4

Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree *i* and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree *i* and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4

- the tree has 1 incoming half edge per vertex



balanced?



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4



Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4

- the tree has 1 incoming half edge per vertex



However, 2 among n + 2 are balanced:

Corrolary. This is a bijection between eulerian maps with d_i vertices of degree i and rooted plane trees with d_i vertices of total degree 2i s.t.

- a vertex of total degree 2i has i-1 incoming half-edges
- the tree is balanced

Example. 4-regular maps: all vertices have degree 4



(Tutte 1964, S. 1997)

Summary of the blossoming tree strategy

To enumerate maps admitting $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ -orientations:

- endow them with their minimal $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ -orientation (hope it is accessible)
- construct the associated canonical spanning trees (Bernardi)
- open the resulting tree-rooted maps (Mullin)
- count the encoding balanced trees

Summary of the blossoming tree strategy

To enumerate maps admitting $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ -orientations:

- endow them with their minimal $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ -orientation (hope it is accessible)
- construct the associated canonical spanning trees (Bernardi)
- open the resulting tree-rooted maps (Mullin)
- count the encoding balanced trees

In Bernardi original bijection, the basepoint must be in the outer face. But in some cases the orientation is not outerface accessible. This approach was further extended in (Albenque, Poulalhon 2012) to

cover essentially all known blossoming bijections, including Bernardi-Fusy's fractional orientations. Third lecture

Applications to factorization problems Which factorisations, which maps? *m*-eulerian maps Hurwitz problem

Conclusion

What do we want to enumerate?

Recall. There is a bijection between

- Labelled ramified covering of \mathbb{S} of type $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$
- Factorizations $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_0)$ of type Λ
- labelled *m*-star-constellations of type Λ .
- $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{S} \iff \text{minimality} \Leftrightarrow \text{planarity}.$

What do we want to enumerate?

Recall. There is a bijection between

- Labelled ramified covering of \mathbb{S} of type $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$
- Factorizations $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_0)$ of type Λ
- labelled *m*-star-constellations of type Λ .

 $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{S} \iff \text{minimality} \Leftrightarrow \text{planarity}.$

Today. Minimal transitive factorizations of $\sigma_0 = id$.

m arbitray factors $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} (n - \ell_i) = 2n - 2$

m-constellations



transpositions $\Rightarrow m = 2\ell - 2$ increasing maps

What do we want to enumerate?

Recall. There is a bijection between

- Labelled ramified covering of \mathbb{S} of type $\Lambda = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m)$
- Factorizations $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma_0)$ of type Λ
- labelled *m*-star-constellations of type Λ .

 $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{S} \iff \text{minimality} \Leftrightarrow \text{planarity}.$

Today. Minimal transitive factorizations of $\sigma_0 = id$.

m arbitray factors $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} (n - \ell_i) = 2n - 2$ m-constellations m-eulerian maps m = 3

transpositions $\Rightarrow m = 2\ell - 2$

increasing maps



increasing quadrangulations



From maps to trees: constellations

$\alpha \text{-orientations}$ for m-eulerian maps

Bipartite map with black and white vertices of degree m such that:

- faces with labels in $\{1,\ldots,m\}$
- around black vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in cw order
- around white vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in ccw order



$\alpha \text{-orientations}$ for m-eulerian maps

Bipartite map with black and white vertices of degree m such that:

- faces with labels in $\{1,\ldots,m\}$
- around black vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in cw order
- around white vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in ccw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left

$\alpha \text{-orientations}$ for m-eulerian maps

Bipartite map with black and white vertices of degree m such that:

- faces with labels in $\{1,\ldots,m\}$
- around black vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in cw order
- around white vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in ccw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left Then each black vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(black) = m - 1$, each white vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(white) = k$ for some $k \ge 1$.

α -orientations for m-eulerian maps

Bipartite map with black and white vertices of degree m such that:

- faces with labels in $\{1,\ldots,m\}$
- around black vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in cw order
- around white vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in ccw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left Then each black vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(black) = m - 1$, each white vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(white) = k$ for some $k \ge 1$.

Proposition: A bipartite map is *m*-eulerian iff it admits an α_c -orientation.

α -orientations for m-eulerian maps

Bipartite map with black and white vertices of degree m such that:

- faces with labels in $\{1,\ldots,m\}$
- around black vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in cw order
- around white vertices, face labels read $1, \ldots, m$ in ccw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left Then each black vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(black) = m - 1$, each white vertex has indegree $\alpha_c(white) = k$ for some $k \ge 1$.

Proposition: A bipartite map is *m*-eulerian iff it admits an α_c -orientation. This orientation is accessible, in fact strongly connected. We can apply our strategy!





endow with min $\alpha_{\it C}\text{-orient}$

(return cycles)






Openning a *m*-eulerian map



Corrolary. This is a bijection between m-eulerian maps and rooted^{*} plane trees with black and white vertices of total degree m s.t.

- every non-root black vertex has indegree 1 and m-2 half-edges

Openning a *m*-eulerian map



Corrolary. This is a bijection between m-eulerian maps and rooted^{*} plane trees with black and white vertices of total degree m s.t.

- every non-root black vertex has indegree 1 and m-2 half-edges
- half-edges are incoming at black, outgoing at white, the tree is balanced

The enumeration of constellations

Theorem:[Bousquet-Mélou–S. 2000] *m*-eulerian maps are in bijection* with trees such that:

- white vertices carry m-1 sibblings (black vertices or half-edges)
- black vertices carry m-2 half-edges and a white child.



The enumeration of constellations

Theorem:[Bousquet-Mélou–S. 2000] *m*-eulerian maps are in bijection* with trees such that:

- white vertices carry m-1 sibblings (black vertices or half-edges)
- black vertices carry m-2 half-edges and a white child.



Counting the trees: this is a familly of simple tree

$$A_{-\Box}(t) = (1 + A_{-\bullet}(t))^{m-1}, \quad A_{-\bullet}(t) = (m-1) \cdot A_{-\Box}(t)$$

or observe directly that they are (m-1)-ary trees with (m-1) types of edges

$$\cdot \qquad \frac{1}{(m-2)n+1} \binom{(m-1)n}{n} \cdot (m-1)^{n-1}$$

The enumeration of constellations

Theorem:[Bousquet-Mélou–S. 2000] *m*-eulerian maps are in bijection* with trees such that:

- white vertices carry m-1 sibblings (black vertices or half-edges)
- black vertices carry m-2 half-edges and a white child.



- that are balanced

Counting the trees: this is a familly of simple tree

 $A_{-\Box}(t) = (1 + A_{-\bullet}(t))^{m-1}, \quad A_{-\bullet}(t) = (m-1) \cdot A_{-\Box}(t)$

or observe directly that they are (m-1)-ary trees with (m-1) types of edges

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m}{(m-2)n+2} \frac{1}{(m-2)n+1} \binom{(m-1)n}{n} \cdot (m-1)^{n-1}$$

A formula for general factorizations [BMS00]

Theorem. Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1}, \ldots, n^{\ell_n}$ be a partition of n, and $\ell = \sum_i \ell_i$. The number of *m*-uple of permutations $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m)$ such that

- (factorization) $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m = \sigma$ with cycle type λ
- (transitivity) $\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_m \rangle$ acts transitively on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- (minimality) the total rank of factors is $\sum_i r(\sigma_i) = n + \ell 2$

$$m \frac{((m-1)n-1)!}{(mn-(n+\ell-2))!} \cdot n! \cdot \prod_{i} \frac{1}{\ell_{i}!} {mi-1 \choose i}^{\ell_{i}}$$

Proofs:

is

(Bousquet-Mélou–Schaeffer 2000) (Goulden–?? 2009) (bijection + inclusion/exclusion)(gfs and differential eqns)

$$\lambda = n$$
, factorizations of *n*-cycles: $\frac{1}{(mn+1)} \binom{mn+1}{n} \cdot (n-1)!$

 $\lambda = 1^n$, identity factorizations: $\frac{m}{(m-2)n+2} \frac{(m-1)^{n-1}}{(m-2)n+1} \binom{(m-1)n}{n} \cdot (n-1)!$

From maps to trees: Hurwitz formula

$\alpha\text{-orientations}$ for increasing quadrangulations

Planar quadrangulations (faces are 4-gons) such that:

- faces have labels in $\{1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$
- around labeled vertices, face labels increase in ccw order
- around white vertices, face labels increase in cw order



$\alpha\text{-orientations}$ for increasing quadrangulations

Planar quadrangulations (faces are 4-gons) such that:

- faces have labels in $\{1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$
- around labeled vertices, face labels increase in ccw order
- around white vertices, face labels increase in cw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left Then each black vertex has indegree $\alpha_h(black) = m - 1$, outdegree 1 each white vertex has indegree $\alpha_h(white) = 1$.

$\alpha\text{-orientations}$ for increasing quadrangulations

Planar quadrangulations (faces are 4-gons) such that:

- faces have labels in $\{1, \ldots, 2n-2\}$
- around labeled vertices, face labels increase in ccw order
- around white vertices, face labels increase in cw order



Orient each edge so that the minimum incident label is on the left Then each black vertex has indegree $\alpha_h(black) = m - 1$, outdegree 1 each white vertex has indegree $\alpha_h(white) = 1$.

As before, this orientation is accessible, in fact strongly connected.



























A local rule to create increasing half edges



Two half-edges with same label \Rightarrow edge and face of degree 4



Iterate the local rules as long as possible...











adding buds







adding buds

Parings and adding buds again





adding buds



Parings and adding buds again





adding buds





Parings and adding buds again



Lemma. When it stops, there are only white half-edges left.



Lemma. When it stops, there are only white half-edges left. We connect them to a new black vertex and reload labels.



Lemma. When it stops, there are only white half-edges left. We connect them to a new black vertex and reload labels.



Lemma. When it stops, there are only white half-edges left.

We connect them to a new black vertex and reload labels.

Face number i defines transposition au_i . Lemma: the product is the identity permutation.

(6,7)(4,5)(3,4)(3,6)(2,5)(1,2)(5,6)(1,4)(2,7)(1,7)(3,7)(2,6)=(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)



Theorem[Duchi-Poulalhon-S. 2012] Closure is the reverse bijection between - simple Hurwitz trees of size n, and

– minimal transitive factorizations of the identity in S_n .



Theorem[Duchi-Poulalhon-S. 2012] Closure is the reverse bijection between - simple Hurwitz trees of size n, and

- minimal transitive factorizations of the identity in S_n . type λ

Hurwitz formula for the number of minimal transitive factorizations in transpositions

Theorem. Let $\lambda = 1^{\ell_1}, \ldots, n^{\ell_n}$ be a partition n, and $\ell = \sum_i \ell_i$. The number of *m*-uples of transpositions (τ_1, \ldots, τ_m) such that

- (product cycle type) $\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m = \sigma$ has cycle type λ
- (transitivity) the associated graph is connected
- (minimality) the number of factors is $m = n + \ell 2$

$$n^{\ell-3} \cdot m! \cdot n! \cdot \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$$

Proofs:

is

(Hurwitz 1891, Strehl 1996) (Goulden–Jackson 1997) (Lando–Zvonkine 1999) (Bousquet-Mélou–Schaeffer 2000) (recurrences, Abel identities) (gfs and differential eqns) (geometry of LL mapping) (bijection + inclusion/exclusion)

 $\lambda = n$, factorizations of *n*-cycles: $n^{n-2} \cdot (n-1)!$ $\lambda = 1^n$, factorizations of the identity: $n^{n-3} \cdot (2n-2)!$

Arbres de Hurwitz de type λ et formule d'Hurwitz

Pour traiter le cas général de la formule il faut définir des arbres de Hurwitz de type λ : ce sont des arbres plans avec

- n-1 sommets noirs de degré 2 ou 1, étiqueté avec $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$
- ℓ sommets blancs dont ℓ_i portent i séparateurs et i-1 feuilles noires
- $m = n + \ell 2$ arêtes avec étiquettes distintes dans $\{1, \ldots, m\}$
- les arêtes sont croissantes en sens direct entre 2 séparateurs



$$H_n = n^{n-2}(n-1)! \quad H_1 n = n^{n-3}(2n-2)! \quad H_{\lambda} = n^{\ell-3}m!n! \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$$

Arbres de Hurwitz de type λ et formule d'Hurwitz

Pour traiter le cas général de la formule il faut définir des arbres de Hurwitz de type λ : ce sont des arbres plans avec

- n-1 sommets noirs de degré 2 ou 1, étiqueté avec $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$
- ℓ sommets blancs dont ℓ_i portent i séparateurs et i-1 feuilles noires
- $m = n + \ell 2$ arêtes avec étiquettes distintes dans $\{1, \ldots, m\}$
- les arêtes sont croissantes en sens direct entre 2 séparateurs

Lemme. Le nb d'arbres d'Hurwitz de type λ est $n^{\ell-3}m!n!\prod_{i\geq 1}\frac{1}{\ell_i!}\left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$

Théorème La clôture s'étend en une bijection des arbres de Hurwitz de type λ avec les factorisations minimales transitives en transpositions de permutations de type cyclique λ .

Corollare: La formule d'Hurwitz.

$$H_n = n^{n-2}(n-1)! \quad H_1 n = n^{n-3}(2n-2)! \quad H_{\lambda} = n^{\ell-3}m!n! \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{\ell_i!} \left(\frac{i^i}{i!}\right)^{\ell_i}$$

Conclusion

- Cayley trees are plane trees and
 - Hurwitz formula counts variant of Cayley trees
- A second strategy (and proof) using Hurwitz mobiles also extends to higher genus
- Open problems:
 - double Hurwitz numbers
 - inequivalent factorisations in transpositions

Post Scriptum

Lately we realized that we should have found this much earlier...



Post Scriptum

Lately we realized that we should have found this much earlier...



Post Scriptum

Lately we realized that we should have found this much earlier...



That's all!