New Foundations of Combinatorial Theory

Part 2. What is a combinatorial interpretation?

Igor Pak, UCLA

Joint work with Panova, Garrabrant

SLC 73 (Strobl, Austria) September 9, 2014

1

What is a combinatorial interpretation?

You have: a combinatorial sequence $\{a_n\}$, such that $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

You want: a set of objects a_n enumerates described algorithmically (a *formula*, see Lecture 1).

Examples: Permutations, partitions, words, trees, tableaux, lattice walks, etc.

Note: No formal definition is usually used in Combinatorics context.

Open problems on combinatorial interpretations

Problem 1. Super Catalan numbers [Gessel, 1992] :

$$C(m,n) = \frac{(2m)!(2n)!}{2m!n!(m+n)!}$$

These are Catalan numbers for m = 1.

For m = 2, see Gessel-Xin, Fusy-Schaeffer-Poulalhon, etc.

Problem 2. Kronecker coefficients $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ [Murnaghan, 1938] :

(1)
$$\chi^{\lambda} \otimes \chi^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu \vdash n} g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \chi^{\nu}, \text{ where } \lambda, \mu \vdash n,$$

where χ^{α} denotes the irreducible character of S_n indexed by $\alpha \vdash n$.

Known for two-row partitions, hooks, some assorted examples (see Remmel, Rosas, Vallejo, Ballantine-Orellana, Briand-Orellana-Rosas, Blasiak, P.-Panova, etc.)

Unimodality problems

Theorem [P.-Panova, Vallejo] Let

$$a_k(\lambda,\mu) = \sum_{\alpha \vdash k, \, \beta \vdash n-k} c^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} c^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \,,$$

where $c^{\nu}_{\pi\theta}$ are the *Littlewood–Richardson coefficients*.

For any two partitions $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$, the sequence

$$a_0(\lambda,\mu),\ldots,a_n(\lambda,\mu)$$

is symmetric and unimodal.

 $\label{eq:problem 2'} {\bf Problem \ 2'} \ {\rm Find \ a \ combinatorial \ interpretation \ for}$

$$a_k(\lambda,\mu) - a_{k-1}(\lambda,\mu) = g(\lambda,\mu,(n-k,k)).$$

Restricted partitions: $\lambda = \mu = (m^{\ell})$. Then $a_k(\lambda, \mu) = p_k(\ell, m)$, where

$$\binom{m+\ell}{m}_{q} = \frac{(q^{m+1}-1)\cdots(q^{m+\ell}-1)}{(q-1)\cdots(q^{\ell}-1)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell m} p_{k}(\ell,m) q^{k}.$$

In this case we DO have a combinatorial interpretation via KOH [O'Hara, 1990]. Formalizing this is due to P.-Panova (2014+), see also [Zanello] and [Dhand].

Theorem (P.-Panova, 2014)

There is a universal constant A > 0, such that for all $m \ge \ell \ge 8$ and $2 \le k \le \ell m/2$, we have:

$$p_k(\ell, m) - p_{k-1}(\ell, m) > A \frac{2^{\sqrt{s}}}{s^{9/4}}, \quad \text{where} \quad s = \min\{2k, \ell^2\}.$$

A = 0.00449 works. The proof uses Almkvist's results on asymptotics of partitions

+ Manivel's extension of the semigroup property of Kronecker coefficients.

Back to combinatorial interpretations

Question: What does that mean if there is NO combinatorial interpretation? Can we *formally state* that? Prove in some cases? No such results are known.

Conjecture 1. (Mulmuley, 2007; modified by P.) Kronecker coefficients $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ count the number of integer points in a polytope $P(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ where $d = O(n^c)$ and the constraints are linear in (λ, μ, ν) .

Kronecker coefficients are quasi-polynomial, so no contradiction here so far.

Conjecture 2. (Mulmulley, 2007) Decision problem whether $g(\lambda, \mu, \nu) > 0$ is in P.

New question:

Can we perhaps expand the set of possible *combinatorial interpretations* to include objects from discrete geometry?

Back to tilings of of $[1 \times n]$ rectangles

Fix a finite set $T = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k\}$ of *rational* tiles of height 1. Let $a_n = a_n(T)$ the number of tilings of $[1 \times n]$ with T.

Transfer-matrix Method: $\mathcal{A}_T(t) = \sum_n a_n t^n = P(t)/Q(t)$, where $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.



Irrational Tilings of $[1 \times (n + \varepsilon)]$ rectangles

Fix $\varepsilon \ge 0$ and a finite set $T = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k\}$ of *irrational tiles* of height 1. Let $a_n = a_n(T, \varepsilon)$ the number of tilings of $[1 \times (n + \varepsilon)]$ with T.

Observe: we can get *algebraic* g.f.'s $\mathcal{A}_T(t)$.



Here $a_n = \binom{2n}{n}, \quad \mathcal{A}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4t}}.$

\mathbb{N} -Rational Functions \mathcal{R}_1

Definition: Let \mathcal{R}_1 be the smallest set of functions F(x) which satisfies

- (1) $0, x \in \mathcal{R}_1$,
- (2) $F, G \in \mathcal{R}_1 \implies F + G, F \cdot G \in \mathcal{R}_k$,
- (3) $F \in \mathcal{R}_1, F(0) = 0 \implies 1/(1-F) \in \mathcal{R}_1.$

Note that all $F \in \mathcal{R}_1$ satisfy: $F \in \mathbb{N}[[x]]$, and F = P/Q, for some $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. For example,

$$\frac{1}{1-x-x^2}$$
 and $\frac{x^3}{(1-x)^4} \in \mathcal{R}_1$.

Theorem [Schützenberger + folklore]

For every rational T, we have $\mathcal{A}_T(x) \in \mathcal{R}_1$.

Conversely, for every $F(x) \in \mathcal{R}_1$ there is a rational T s.t. $F(x) = \mathcal{A}_T(x)$.

$\mathbb N\text{-}rational$ functions of one variable:

Word of caution: \mathcal{R}_1 is already quite complicated. The following example is from [Gessel, 2003].

For example, take the following $F, G \in \mathbb{N}[[t]]$:

$$F(t) = \frac{t + 5t^2}{1 + t - 5t^2 - 125t^3}, \qquad G(t) = \frac{1 + t}{1 + t - 2t^2 - 3t^3}.$$

Then $F \notin \mathcal{R}_1$ and $G \in \mathcal{R}_1$; neither of these are obvious.

The proof follows from results in [Berstel, 1971] and [Soittola, 1976], see also [Katayama–Okamoto–Enomoto, 1978].

Diagonals of Rational Functions

Let
$$G \in \mathbb{Z}[[x_1, \ldots, x_k]]$$
. A diagonal is a g.f. $\mathcal{B}(t) = \sum_n b_n t^n$, where
 $b_n = [x_1^n, \ldots, x_k^n] G(x_1, \ldots, x_k).$

Theorem: Every $\mathcal{A}_T(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ is a diagonal of a rational function P/Q, for some polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$.

For example,

$$\binom{2n}{n} = [x^n y^n] \frac{1}{1 - x - y}.$$

Proof idea: Say, $\tau_i = [1 \times \alpha_i], \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $V = \mathbb{Q}\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle, d = \dim(V)$. We have natural maps $\varepsilon \mapsto (c_1, \dots, c_d), \alpha_i \mapsto v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \subset V$.

Interpret irrational tilings as walks $O \to (n + c_1, \dots, n + c_d)$ with steps $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$.

Properties of Diagonals of Rational Functions

- (1) must be D-finite, see [Stanley, 1980], [Gessel, 1981].
- (2) when k = 2, must be *algebraic*, and
- (2') every algebraic $\mathcal{B}(t)$ is a diagonal of P(x, y)/Q(x, y), see [Furstenberg, 1967].

No surprise now that Catalan g.f. C(t), $tC(t)^2 - C(t) + 1 = 0$, is a diagonal:

$$C_n = [x^n y^n] \frac{y(1 - 2xy - 2xy^2)}{1 - x - 2xy - xy^2}, \qquad C_n = [x^n y^n] \frac{1 - x/y}{1 - x - y}.$$

For the first formula, see [Rowland–Yassawi, 2014].

$\mathbb N\text{-}\mathbf{Rational}$ Functions in many variables

Definition: Let \mathcal{R}_k be the smallest set of functions $F(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ which satisfies

- (1) $0, x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathcal{R}_k$,
- (2) $F, G \in \mathcal{R}_k \implies F + G, F \cdot G \in \mathcal{R}_k$,
- (3) $F \in \mathcal{R}_k, F(0) = 0 \implies 1/(1-F) \in \mathcal{R}_k.$

Note that all $F \in \mathcal{R}_k$ satisfy: $F \in \mathbb{N}[[x_1, \dots, x_k]]$, and F = P/Q, for some $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]$.

Let \mathcal{D} be a class of diagonals of $F \in \mathcal{R}_k$, for some $k \geq 1$. For example,

$$\sum_{n} \binom{2n}{n} t^{n} \in \mathcal{D} \qquad \text{because} \qquad \frac{1}{1 - x - y} \in \mathcal{R}_{2}.$$

Main Theorem: $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$ [Garrabrant, P., 2014]

Here \mathcal{F} denote the class of g.f. $\mathcal{A}_T(t)$ enumerating irrational tilings. In other words, every tile counting function $\mathcal{A}_T \in \mathcal{F}$ is a diagonal of an \mathbb{N} -rational function $F \in \mathcal{R}_k$, $k \geq 1$, and vice versa.

Key Lemma: Both \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D} coincide with a class \mathcal{B} of g.f. $F(t) = \sum_n f(n)t^n$, where $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is given as finite sums $f = \sum g_j$, and each g_j is of the form

$$g_j(m) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_j}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_j} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{ij}(v,n) \\ \beta_{ij}(v,n) \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } m = p_j n + k_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

for some $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}v + a'_{ij}n + a''_{ij}$, $\beta_{ij} = b_{ij}v + b'_{ij}n + b''_{ij}$, and $p_j, k_j, r_j, d_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Asymptotic applications

Corollary: There exist $\sum_n f_n$, $\sum_n g_n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t.

$$f_n \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{8})\Gamma(\frac{7}{8})} 128^n, \qquad g_n \sim \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})^3}{\sqrt[3]{2}\pi^{5/2}} n^{-3/2} 384^n$$

 $Proof\ idea:$ Take

$$f_n := \sum_{k=0}^n 128^{n-k} \binom{4k}{k} \binom{3k}{k}.$$

Note: We have $b_n \sim B n^{\beta} \gamma^n$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, and $B, \gamma \in \mathbb{A}$, for all $\sum_n b_n t^n = P/Q$.

Conjecture: For every $\sum_n f_n \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $f_n \sim Bn^{\beta}\gamma^n$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/2, \gamma \in \mathbb{A}$, and B is spanned by values of ${}_p\Phi_q(\cdot)$ at rational points, cf. [Kontsevich–Zagier, 2001].

Curious Conjecture on Catalan numbers:

We have:

$$C(t) \notin \mathcal{F}$$
, where $C(t) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t}}{2t}$.

In other words, there is no set T of irrational tiles and $\varepsilon \geq 0,$ s.t.

$$a_n(T,\varepsilon) = C_n$$
 for all $n \ge 1$, where $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$.

More on Catalan numbers

Recall

$$C_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} n^{-3/2} 4^n.$$

Corollary: There exists $\sum_{n} f_n t^n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t. $f_n \sim \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\pi} C_n$. Furthermore, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $\sum_{n} f_n t^n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t. $f_n \sim \lambda C_n$ for some $\lambda \in [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$.

Moral: Curious Conjecture cannot be proved via rough asymptotics. However:

Conjecture: There is no $\sum_n f_n t^n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t. $f_n \sim C_n$.

Note: This conjecture probably involves deep number theory.

More applications

Proposition: For every $m \ge 2$, there is $\sum_n f_n t^n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t.

$$f_n = C_n \mod m$$
, for all $n \ge 1$.

Proposition For every prime $p \ge 2$, there is $\sum_n g_n t^n \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t.

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(g_n) = \operatorname{ord}_p(C_n), \text{ for all } n \ge 1,$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_p(N)$ is the largest power of p which divides N.

Moral: Elementary number theory does not help to prove the Curious Conjecture. Note: For $\operatorname{ord}_p(C_n)$, see [Kummer, 1852], [Deutsch–Sagan, 2006].

Proof idea: Take

$$f_n = \binom{2n}{n} + (m-1)\binom{2n}{n-1}.$$

Schützenberger's principle

There is a general metamathematical principle that goes back to M.-P. Schützenberger and that states the following: whenever a rational series in one variable counts a class of objects, then the series is \mathbb{N} -rational. This phenomenon has been observed on a large number of examples: generating series and zeta functions in combinatorics, Hilbert series of graded or filtered algebras, growth series of monoids or of groups.

[Berstel, Reutenauer; 2008]

Open Problem: Suppose $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is rational. Does this imply that $F \in \mathcal{R}_1$?

If NO, this implies that Schützenberger's principle is FALSE, i.e. there is a set of *irrational tiles* which gives a combinatorial interpretation to a non-negative rational functions, which nonetheless is not \mathbb{N} -rational.

Thank you!

