## Complements of Coxeter Group Quotients

Paolo Sentinelli

Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata"

73nd Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, Strobl

The first motivation of such research it's algebraic: in fact these complements appear naturally defining the Hecke modules which lead to parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory.

The first motivation of such research it's algebraic: in fact these complements appear naturally defining the Hecke modules which lead to parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory.

Sections:

The first motivation of such research it's algebraic: in fact these complements appear naturally defining the Hecke modules which lead to parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory.

Sections:

• Combinatorial properties.

The first motivation of such research it's algebraic: in fact these complements appear naturally defining the Hecke modules which lead to parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory.

Sections:

- Combinatorial properties.
- Algebraic properties.

The first motivation of such research it's algebraic: in fact these complements appear naturally defining the Hecke modules which lead to parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory.

Sections:

- Combinatorial properties.
- Algebraic properties.
- Topological properties.

Let S be a finite set and  $m:S\times S\to\{\,1,2,...,\infty\,\}$  be a symmetric matrix such that

$$m(s,t) = 1$$
, if and only if  $s = t$ ,

for every  $s, t \in S$ .

Paolo Sentinelli

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let S be a finite set and  $m:S\times S\to\{\,1,2,...,\infty\,\}$  be a symmetric matrix such that

$$m(s, t) = 1$$
, if and only if  $s = t$ ,

for every  $s, t \in S$ .

The Coxeter group W relative to the Coxeter matrix m is defined by the presentation

Generators: 
$$S$$
;  
Relations:  $(st)^{m(s,t)} = e$ 

for every  $s, t \in S$ , where *e* is the identity of the group.

A B M A B M

Let S be a finite set and  $m:S\times S\to\{\,1,2,...,\infty\,\}$  be a symmetric matrix such that

$$m(s, t) = 1$$
, if and only if  $s = t$ ,

for every  $s, t \in S$ .

The Coxeter group W relative to the Coxeter matrix m is defined by the presentation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Generators:} & S;\\ \text{Relations:} & (st)^{m(s,t)} = e \end{array}$$

for every  $s, t \in S$ , where *e* is the identity of the group.

We call (W, S) a Coxeter system.

## Coxeter groups - Bruhat order

Given a Coxeter system (W, S), an element  $w \in W$  is a word in the alphabet S:

$$w = s_1 s_2 \dots s_k, \quad s_i \in S.$$

If k is minimal among all such expressions for w then  $\ell(w) = k$  is called the length of w and the word  $s_1s_2...s_k$  is called a reduced word for w. Define

$$\ell(v,w) := \ell(w) - \ell(v).$$

## Coxeter groups - Bruhat order

Given a Coxeter system (W, S), an element  $w \in W$  is a word in the alphabet S:

$$w=s_1s_2...s_k, \quad s_i\in S.$$

If k is minimal among all such expressions for w then  $\ell(w) = k$  is called the length of w and the word  $s_1s_2...s_k$  is called a reduced word for w. Define

$$\ell(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}):=\ell(\mathbf{w})-\ell(\mathbf{v}).$$

The Bruhat order of W is defined in the following way: given  $u, v \in W$  and  $v = s_1 s_2 \dots s_a$  a reduced expression for v,

 $u \leq v \Leftrightarrow$  there exists a reduced expression

$$u = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}, \ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq q.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

A right descent of  $w \in W$  is an element  $s \in S$  such that  $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ .

$$D_R(w) := \{ s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \}.$$

Analogously is defined the left descent set of w,  $D_L(w)$ .

5 / 22

A right descent of  $w \in W$  is an element  $s \in S$  such that  $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ .  $D_R(w) := \{ s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \}.$ 

Analogously is defined the left descent set of w,  $D_L(w)$ .

For any  $J \subseteq S$  consider the set

$$W^J := \{ w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \forall s \in J \}.$$

A right descent of  $w \in W$  is an element  $s \in S$  such that  $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ .  $D_R(w) := \{ s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \}.$ 

Analogously is defined the left descent set of w,  $D_L(w)$ .

For any  $J \subseteq S$  consider the set

$$W^J := \{ w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \forall s \in J \}.$$

One can show that for  $J \subseteq S$ , each element  $w \in W$  has a unique expression

$$w = w_J w^J$$
,

where  $w^J \in W^J$  and  $w_J \in W_J$ , being  $W_J \subseteq W$  the subgroup generated by the element of J.

(本間) (本語) (本語) (語)

A right descent of  $w \in W$  is an element  $s \in S$  such that  $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ .  $D_R(w) := \{ s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \}.$ 

Analogously is defined the left descent set of w,  $D_L(w)$ .

For any  $J \subseteq S$  consider the set

$$W^J := \{ w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \forall s \in J \}.$$

One can show that for  $J \subseteq S$ , each element  $w \in W$  has a unique expression

$$w = w_J w^J$$
,

where  $w^J \in W^J$  and  $w_J \in W_J$ , being  $W_J \subseteq W$  the subgroup generated by the element of J. In particular  $W_S = W$  and  $W_{\varnothing} = \{e\}$ .

A right descent of  $w \in W$  is an element  $s \in S$  such that  $\ell(ws) < \ell(w)$ .  $D_R(w) := \{ s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w) \}.$ 

Analogously is defined the left descent set of w,  $D_L(w)$ .

For any  $J \subseteq S$  consider the set

$$W^J := \{ w \in W \mid \ell(sw) > \ell(w) \forall s \in J \}.$$

One can show that for  $J \subseteq S$ , each element  $w \in W$  has a unique expression

$$w = w_J w^J$$
,

where  $w^J \in W^J$  and  $w_J \in W_J$ , being  $W_J \subseteq W$  the subgroup generated by the element of J. In particular  $W_S = W$  and  $W_{\varnothing} = \{e\}$ . So  $W^J$  is a set isomorphic to the quotient

$$W^J \simeq W/W_J.$$

6 / 22

The set  $S = \{ (i, i+1), 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \}$  of adjacent transpositions generates  $S_n$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The set  $S = \{ (i, i+1), 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \}$  of adjacent transpositions generates  $S_n$ .

 $(S_n, S)$  is a Coxeter system;

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The set  $S = \{ (i, i + 1), 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \}$  of adjacent transpositions generates  $S_n$ .

 $(S_n, S)$  is a Coxeter system; in fact, it is straightforward to verify that the generators  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_{n-1}$ , where  $s_i := (i, i+1)$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ , satisfy the Coxeter relations

$$\begin{cases} s_i^2 = e, \\ s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j & \text{if } |i - j| = 1 \\ s_i s_j = s_j s_i & \text{if } |i - j| > 1. \end{cases}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

$$\ell(w) = |\{ 1 \leq i < j \leq n \mid w(i) > w(j) \}|$$

7 / 22

$$\ell(w) = |\{ 1 \leq i < j \leq n \mid w(i) > w(j) \}|$$

 $D_L(w) = \{ 1 \leq i < n \mid w(i) > w(i+1) \}$ 

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\ell(w) = | \{ 1 \leq i < j \leq n \mid w(i) > w(j) \} |$$
  
 $D_L(w) = \{ 1 \leq i < n \mid w(i) > w(i+1) \}$   
 $D_R(w) = \{ 1 \leq i < n \mid w^{-1}(i) > w^{-1}(i+1) \}$ 

æ

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

$$\ell(w) = |\{ 1 \le i < j \le n \mid w(i) > w(j) \}|$$
$$D_L(w) = \{ 1 \le i < n \mid w(i) > w(i+1) \}$$
$$D_R(w) = \{ 1 \le i < n \mid w^{-1}(i) > w^{-1}(i+1) \}$$
$$W^J = \{ w \in S_n \mid s_i \in J \Rightarrow w(i) < w(i+1) \}$$

æ

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

The quotient  $W^J$  can be ordered by the induced Bruhat order. With  $[v, w]^J$  it's denoted an interval in  $W^J$ , i.e., if  $v, w \in W^J$  and  $v \leq w$ ,

$$[v,w]^J := \left\{ z \in W^J \mid v \leqslant z \leqslant w \right\}.$$

The quotient  $W^J$  can be ordered by the induced Bruhat order. With  $[v, w]^J$  it's denoted an interval in  $W^J$ , i.e., if  $v, w \in W^J$  and  $v \leq w$ ,

$$[v,w]^J := \left\{ z \in W^J \mid v \leqslant z \leqslant w \right\}.$$

Define the canonical projection  $P^J: W \to W^J$  by

$$P^J(w)=w^J.$$

The quotient  $W^J$  can be ordered by the induced Bruhat order. With  $[v, w]^J$  it's denoted an interval in  $W^J$ , i.e., if  $v, w \in W^J$  and  $v \leq w$ ,

$$[v,w]^J := \left\{ z \in W^J \mid v \leqslant z \leqslant w \right\}.$$

Define the canonical projection  $P^J: W \to W^J$  by

$$P^J(w)=w^J.$$

It's known that  $P^J$  is a morphism of posets, i.e.

$$u \leqslant v \Rightarrow P^J(u) \leqslant P^J(v).$$

## Coxeter groups - Quotients and projections

The poset  $W^J$  is graded with rank function the length  $\ell$ . Moreover

The poset  $W^J$  is graded with rank function the length  $\ell$ . Moreover

#### Theorem (Deodhar, 1977)

The Möbius function of the poset  $W^J$  is

$$\mu^{J}(v,w) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(v,w)}, & \text{if } [v,w]^{J} = [v,w], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The poset  $W^J$  is graded with rank function the length  $\ell$ . Moreover

#### Theorem (Deodhar, 1977)

The Möbius function of the poset  $W^J$  is

$$\mu^{J}(v,w) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(v,w)}, & \text{if } [v,w]^{J} = [v,w], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### Theorem (Björner and Wachs, 1982)

The order complex of  $[v, w]^J$  is shellable.

We denote by  $[u, v]^{\setminus J}$  the Bruhat intervals in  $W \setminus W^J$ , i.e., if  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$  and  $u \leq v$ ,

$$[u,v]^{\setminus J} := \left\{ z \in W \setminus W^J \mid u \leqslant z \leqslant v \right\}.$$

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

We denote by  $[u, v]^{\setminus J}$  the Bruhat intervals in  $W \setminus W^J$ , i.e., if  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$  and  $u \leq v$ ,

$$[u,v]^{\setminus J} := \left\{ z \in W \setminus W^J \mid u \leqslant z \leqslant v \right\}.$$

#### Theorem (S., 2014)

The set  $W \setminus W^J$ , with the induced Bruhat order, is a graded poset with the length minus one as rank function.

### Theorem (S., 2014)

The Möbius function of the poset  $W \setminus W^J$  is

$$\mu^{\setminus J}(u,v) = egin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(u,v)} & ext{if } u 
eq v^J, \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\mu^{\setminus J}(u,v) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\ell(u,v)} & \text{if } [u,v]^{\setminus J} = [u,v], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 4 B > 4 B >

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - Hecke algebras

Let  $A := \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}, q^{1/2}]$  be the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate  $q^{1/2}$ . Recall that the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  is the free *A*-module generated by the set {  $T_w \mid w \in W$  } with product defined by

$$T_w T_s = \begin{cases} T_{ws}, & \text{if } s \notin D_R(w), \\ q T_{ws} + (q-1)T_w, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $s \in S$ .

Let  $A := \mathbb{Z}[q^{-1/2}, q^{1/2}]$  be the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate  $q^{1/2}$ . Recall that the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  is the free *A*-module generated by the set {  $T_w \mid w \in W$  } with product defined by

$$T_w T_s = \begin{cases} T_{ws}, & \text{if } s \notin D_R(w), \\ q T_{ws} + (q-1)T_w, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $s \in S$ .

For  $s \in S$  one can easily see that

$$T_s^{-1} = (q^{-1} - 1)T_e + q^{-1}T_s$$

and then use this to invert all the elements  $T_w$ , where  $w \in W$ .

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## Algebraic properties of $W\setminus W^J$ - Hecke algebras

On  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  there is an involution  $\iota$  defined by

$$\iota(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ \iota(T_w) = T_{w^{-1}}^{-1},$$

for all  $w \in W$ .

### Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - Hecke algebras

On  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  there is an involution  $\iota$  defined by

$$\iota(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ \iota(T_w) = T_{w^{-1}}^{-1},$$

for all  $w \in W$ . Furthermore this map is a ring automorphism, i.e.

$$\iota(T_{v}T_{w}) = \iota(T_{v})\iota(T_{w}) \quad \forall \ v, w \in W.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - Hecke algebras

On  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  there is an involution  $\iota$  defined by

$$\iota(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ \iota(T_w) = T_{w^{-1}}^{-1},$$

for all  $w \in W$ . Furthermore this map is a ring automorphism, i.e.

$$\iota(T_{v}T_{w}) = \iota(T_{v})\iota(T_{w}) \quad \forall \ v, w \in W.$$

#### Theorem (Kazhdan and Lusztig, 1979)

There is an  $\iota$ -invariant basis  $\{C_w\}_{w \in W}$  of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(W)$ , where

$$C_w = q^{rac{\ell(w)}{2}} \sum_{y \leqslant w} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} P_{y,w}(q^{-1}) T_y.$$

## Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - Hecke algebras

On  $\mathcal{H}(W)$  there is an involution  $\iota$  defined by

$$\iota(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \ \iota(T_w) = T_{w^{-1}}^{-1},$$

for all  $w \in W$ . Furthermore this map is a ring automorphism, i.e.

$$\iota(T_{v}T_{w}) = \iota(T_{v})\iota(T_{w}) \quad \forall \ v, w \in W.$$

#### Theorem (Kazhdan and Lusztig, 1979)

There is an  $\iota$ -invariant basis  $\{C_w\}_{w \in W}$  of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(W)$ , where

$$C_w = q^{rac{\ell(w)}{2}} \sum_{y \leqslant w} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} \mathcal{P}_{y,w}(q^{-1}) \mathcal{T}_y.$$

The polynomials  $\{P_{v,w}\}_{v,w\in W} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  are called the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of W.

Paolo Sentinelli

Algebraic properties of  $W \setminus W^J$  - The Hecke modules  $M^J$ (Deodhar, 1987)

For  $J \subseteq S$  let

・ロト ・聞 ・ ・ 思 ・ ・ 思 ・ うへの

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar, 1987)

For  $J \subseteq S$  let

$$M^J := \operatorname{span}_{\mathcal{A}} \left\{ \left. m_v^J \right| v \in W^J \right\}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar, 1987)

For  $J \subseteq S$  let

$$M^J := \operatorname{span}_A \left\{ \left. m_v^J \right| \, v \in W^J 
ight\}.$$

There is an A-module morphism  $\phi^{J,x}:\mathcal{H}\to M^J$  defined by

$$\phi^{J,x}(T_w) = x^{\ell(w_J)} m_{w^J}^J,$$

where  $x \in \{ -1, q \}$ .

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar 1987)

This A-module morphism defines a right action of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $M^J$  by

15 / 22

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar 1987)

This A-module morphism defines a right action of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $M^J$  by

$$m_v^J T_w := \phi^{J,x} (T_v T_w),$$

for all  $v \in W^J$ ,  $w \in W$ .

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar 1987)

This A-module morphism defines a right action of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $M^J$  by

$$m_v^J T_w := \phi^{J,x} (T_v T_w),$$

for all  $v \in W^J$ ,  $w \in W$ .

We call  $M^{J,-1}$  and  $M^{J,q}$  these two right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules and  $\left\{ m_v^{J,x} \right\}_{v \in W^J}$  the elements of their basis, for  $x \in \{-1, q\}$ .

# Algebraic properties of $W \setminus W^J$ - The Hecke modules $M^J$ (Deodhar 1987)

This A-module morphism defines a right action of the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  on  $M^J$  by

$$m_v^J T_w := \phi^{J,x} (T_v T_w),$$

for all  $v \in W^J$ ,  $w \in W$ .

We call  $M^{J,-1}$  and  $M^{J,q}$  these two right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules and  $\left\{ m_v^{J,x} \right\}_{v \in W^J}$  the elements of their basis, for  $x \in \{-1, q\}$ .

There is an involution  $\iota^{x}: M^{J,x} \to M^{J,x}$  defined by

$$\iota^{\mathsf{x}}(m_{\mathsf{v}}^{J,\mathsf{x}}) := \phi^{J,\mathsf{x}}(\iota(T_{\mathsf{v}})),$$

for all  $v \in W^J$ 

・ 伊 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

#### Theorem (Deodhar, 1987)

There is an  $\iota^{x}$ -invariant basis  $\left\{ C_{w}^{J,x} \right\}_{w \in W^{J}}$  of the Hecke module  $M^{J,x}$ , where  $C_{w}^{J,x} = \sum_{w \in W^{J}} \left( -1 \right) \ell(y,w) e^{-\ell(y)} D_{v}^{J,x} (e^{-1}) e^{-J_{v}^{J,x}}$ 

$$C^{J,x}_w = q^{rac{\ell(w)}{2}} \sum_{y \in [e,w]^J} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} P^{J,x}_{y,w}(q^{-1}) m^{J,x}_y.$$

#### Theorem (Deodhar, 1987)

There is an  $\iota^{\times}$ -invariant basis  $\left\{ C_{w}^{J,\times} \right\}_{w \in W^{J}}$  of the Hecke module  $M^{J,\times}$ , where  $C_{w}^{J,\times} = \sigma^{\ell(w)} \sum_{w \in W^{J}} \sum_{w \in W^{J}} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} \sigma^{-\ell(y)} P^{J,\times}(\sigma^{-1}) m^{J,\times}$ 

$$C_w^{J,x} = q^{\frac{\langle w \rangle}{2}} \sum_{y \in [\mathsf{e},w]^J} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} P^{J,x}_{y,w}(q^{-1}) m^{J,x}_y$$

The polynomials  $\left\{ P_{v,w}^{J,x} \right\}_{v,w \in W^J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  are called the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of  $W^J$  of type x.

# Algebraic properties of $W\setminus W^J$ - The annihilator of $m_e^{J, imes}$

Note that the modules  $M^{J,\times}$  are cyclic; in fact

$$m_e^{J,x}\mathcal{H}=M^{J,x}.$$

17 / 22

Note that the modules  $M^{J,\times}$  are cyclic; in fact

$$m_e^{J,x}\mathcal{H}=M^{J,x}.$$

Let  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} := \left\{ a \in \mathcal{H} \mid m_{e}^{J,\times} a = 0 \right\}$  be the annihilator of  $m_{e}^{J,\times}$ . In particular  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} = \operatorname{ker}(\phi^{J,\times})$ .

Note that the modules  $M^{J,x}$  are cyclic; in fact

$$m_e^{J,x}\mathcal{H}=M^{J,x}.$$

Let  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} := \left\{ a \in \mathcal{H} \mid m_{e}^{J,\times} a = 0 \right\}$  be the annihilator of  $m_{e}^{J,\times}$ . In particular  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} = \operatorname{ker}(\phi^{J,\times})$ .

Since the modules  $M^{J,\times}$  are cyclic we have the isomorphism of right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules

$$M^{J,x} \simeq \mathcal{H}/\operatorname{ann}_e^{J,x}$$
.

Note that the modules  $M^{J,x}$  are cyclic; in fact

$$m_e^{J,x}\mathcal{H}=M^{J,x}.$$

Let  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} := \left\{ a \in \mathcal{H} \mid m_{e}^{J,\times} a = 0 \right\}$  be the annihilator of  $m_{e}^{J,\times}$ . In particular  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times} = \operatorname{ker}(\phi^{J,\times})$ .

Since the modules  $M^{J,\times}$  are cyclic we have the isomorphism of right  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules

$$M^{J,x}\simeq \mathcal{H}/\operatorname{ann}_e^{J,x}$$
 .

It's easy to see that the right ideal  $\operatorname{ann}_{e}^{J,\times}$  is  $\iota$ -invariant.

▲圖▶ ▲屋▶ ▲屋▶

Let 
$$\left\{ b_{w}^{J,x} \right\}_{w \in W} \subset \mathcal{H}(W)$$
 be elements defined by  
 $b_{w}^{J,x} := x^{\ell(w_J)} T_{w^J} - T_w \in \mathcal{H}(W).$ 

Note that  $b_w^{J,\times} = 0$  if and only if  $w \in W^J$ . Then

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let 
$$\left\{ b_{w}^{J,x} \right\}_{w \in W} \subset \mathcal{H}(W)$$
 be elements defined by  
 $b_{w}^{J,x} := x^{\ell(w_J)} T_{w^J} - T_w \in \mathcal{H}(W).$ 

Note that  $b_w^{J,x} = 0$  if and only if  $w \in W^J$ . Then

#### Proposition (S., 2014)

The set 
$$\mathcal{B}^{J,x} := \left\{ b_w^{J,x} \mid w \in W \setminus W^J \right\}$$
 is an A-basis of  $\operatorname{ann}_e^{J,x}$ , for every  $J \subseteq S$ ,  $x \in \{-1, q\}$ .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - 釣A@

#### Theorem (S., 2014)

There is an *i*-invariant basis  $\left\{ c_w^{J,x} \right\}_{w \in W \setminus W^J}$  of the annihilator  $\operatorname{ann}_e^{J,x}$ , where

$$c_w^{J,x} = q^{\frac{\ell(w)}{2}} \sum_{y \in (W \setminus W^J) \cap [e,w]} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} \tilde{P}_{y,w}^{J,x}(q^{-1}) b_y^{J,x}.$$

#### Theorem (S., 2014)

There is an  $\iota$ -invariant basis  $\left\{ c_w^{J,x} \right\}_{w \in W \setminus W^J}$  of the annihilator  $\operatorname{ann}_e^{J,x}$ , where

$$c_w^{J,x} = q^{\frac{\ell(w)}{2}} \sum_{y \in (W \setminus W^J) \cap [e,w]} (-1)^{\ell(y,w)} q^{-\ell(y)} \tilde{P}_{y,w}^{J,x}(q^{-1}) b_y^{J,x}.$$

The polynomials  $\left\{ \tilde{P}_{v,w}^{J,x} \right\}_{v,w \in W \setminus W^J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  are the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of  $W \setminus W^J$  of type x.

We have that

$$\tilde{P}_{u,v}^{J,q}=P_{u,v},$$

for every  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$ .

20 / 22

We have that

$$\tilde{P}_{u,v}^{J,q}=P_{u,v},$$

for every  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$ .

We don't know any expression of  $\tilde{P}^{J,-1}$  in terms of known polynomials.

• • = • • = •

We have that

$$\tilde{P}_{u,v}^{J,q}=P_{u,v},$$

for every  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$ .

We don't know any expression of  $\tilde{P}^{J,-1}$  in terms of known polynomials.

#### Example

Take v = 324156 and w = 546132 in  $W \setminus W^{S \setminus \{s_3\}}$ .

We have that

$$\tilde{P}_{u,v}^{J,q}=P_{u,v},$$

for every  $u, v \in W \setminus W^J$ .

We don't know any expression of  $\tilde{P}^{J,-1}$  in terms of known polynomials.

#### Example

*Take* v = 324156 *and* w = 546132 *in*  $W \setminus W^{S \setminus \{s_3\}}$ *. Then* 

$$\tilde{P}_{v,w}^{S\setminus\{s_3\},-1} = -5q^2 + 2q.$$

The polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{v,w}^{S\backslash\{\,s_3\,\},-1}$  in the previous example was computed thanks to the recursion

$$q^{\ell(v,w)} ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, imes}_{v,w}(q^{-1}) = \sum_{z\in [v,w]^{\setminus J}} Z^{J, imes}_{v,z}(q) ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, imes}_{z,w}(q),$$

 $\text{ if } v,w \in W \setminus W^J \text{ and } v \leqslant w$ 

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{v,w}^{S\backslash\{\,s_3\,\},-1}$  in the previous example was computed thanks to the recursion

$$q^{\ell(v,w)} ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, ilde{}}_{v,w}(q^{-1}) = \sum_{z\in [v,w]^{\setminus J}} Z^{J, ilde{}}_{v,z}(q) ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, ilde{}}_{z,w}(q),$$

if 
$$v, w \in W \setminus W^J$$
 and  $v \leqslant w$ 

since we have the bound

$$\deg( ilde{P}^{J, \chi}_{v, w}) \leqslant rac{\ell(v, w) - 1}{2}, \ \ ext{if} \ v < w.$$

(本語)と (本語)と (本語)という

The polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{v,w}^{S\backslash\{\,s_3\,\},-1}$  in the previous example was computed thanks to the recursion

$$q^{\ell(v,w)} ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, imes}_{v,w}(q^{-1}) = \sum_{z\in [v,w]^{\setminus J}} Z^{J, imes}_{v,z}(q) ilde{\mathcal{P}}^{J, imes}_{z,w}(q),$$

$$\text{if } v, w \in W \setminus W^J \text{ and } v \leqslant w \\ \\$$

since we have the bound

$$\deg( ilde{P}^{J, \chi}_{v, w}) \leqslant rac{\ell(v, w) - 1}{2}, \ \ ext{if} \ v < w.$$

The polynomials  $Z^{J,x}$  are related to the *R*-polynomials of *W* by the following simple formula:

$$Z_{v,w}^{J,x} = R_{v,w} - (q-1-x)^{\ell(w_J)} R_{v,w^J},$$
 for all  $v, w \in W \setminus W^J$  and  $x \in \{-1, q\}.$ 

#### Theorem (S., 2014)

#### The order complex of $[u, v]^{\setminus J}$ is shellable. In particular, it is Cohen-Macaulay.

22 / 22

#### Theorem (S., 2014)

The order complex of  $[u, v]^{\setminus J}$  is shellable. In particular, it is Cohen-Macaulay.

#### Corollary

The order complex of  $(u, v)^{\setminus J}$  is PL homeomorphic to

- the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{\ell(u,v)-2}$ , if  $u \not< v^J$ ;
- 2 the ball  $\mathbb{B}^{\ell(u,v)-2}$ , otherwise.