Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire **81** (2020), Article B81g

DETERMINANTAL ELLIPTIC SELBERG INTEGRALS

HJALMAR ROSENGREN

Dedicated to Christian Krattenthaler on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. The classical Selberg integral contains a power of the Vandermonde determinant. When that power is chosen to be a square, it is easy to prove Selberg's identity by interpreting it as a determinant of one-variable integrals. We give similar proofs of summation and transformation formulas for continuous and discrete elliptic Selberg integrals. In the continuous case, the same proof was given previously by Noumi. Special cases of the resulting identities have found applications in combinatorics.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1944, Selberg proved the integral evaluation [S2]

$$\int_{x_1,\dots,x_n=0}^{1} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} |x_j-x_k|^{2c} \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{a-1}(1-x_j)^{b-1}\,dx_j$$
$$=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Gamma(a+(j-1)c)\,\Gamma(b+(j-1)c)\,\Gamma(1+jc)}{\Gamma(a+b+(n+j-2)c)\,\Gamma(1+c)},\quad (1.1)$$

which had appeared in slightly different form in his earlier paper [S1]. Here, Γ is the classical gamma function, not the elliptic gamma function that will appear below. The integral is subject to the convergence conditions

$$\mathrm{Re}(a)>0,\quad \mathrm{Re}(b)>0,\quad \mathrm{Re}(c)>-\min\left(\frac{1}{n},\frac{\mathrm{Re}(a)}{n-1},\frac{\mathrm{Re}(b)}{n-1}\right). \quad (1.2)$$

The Selberg integral plays a fundamental role in random matrix theory and analysis on classical groups, and has been generalized in many directions [FW].

The general case of (1.1) is quite deep. It is instructive to note that in 1963 Mehta and Dyson [MD] conjectured that

$$\int_{x_1,\dots,x_n=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} |x_j-x_k|^{2c} \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-x_j^2/2}\,dx_j=(2\pi)^{n/2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Gamma(1+jc)}{\Gamma(1+c)}. \quad (1.3)$$

Although this was republished as a conjecture several times, no proof was found until it was recognized as a degenerate case of (1.1) in the late 1970s, see [FW].

Mehta and Dyson could prove (1.3) for $c=1/2$, 1 and 2, which are the most important cases in random matrix theory. As was pointed out by the anonymous referee, the case $c=1/2$ was proved much earlier by Hsu in a journal of "highly dubious repute" [H]. The discussion of the case $c=1$ in (1.3) is just one sentence (in their notation, $\beta=2c$): "The case $\beta=2$ is the easiest; one needs only to introduce Hermite polynomials and

Supported by the Swedish Science Research Council.

exploit their orthogonality properties". For our purpose, it is useful to explain this starting from the algebraic identity [A] (see [F] for the history of this result)

$$\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\left(\int f_j(x)g_k(x)\,d\mu(x)\right) = \frac{1}{n!}\int \det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(f_j(x_k))\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(g_j(x_k))\,d\mu(x_1)\cdots d\mu(x_n), \quad (1.4)$$

which holds for any linear functional $h\mapsto\int h(x)\,d\mu(x)$. (In the examples of interest to us, this functional is given by integrating h against a measure.) If f_j and g_j are monic polynomials of degree $j-1$, $j=1,2,\dots,n$, then the determinants on the right are column-equivalent to Vandermonde determinants, and we obtain

$$\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\left(\int f_j(x)g_k(x)\,d\mu(x)\right)=\frac{1}{n!}\int\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}(x_j-x_k)^2\,d\mu(x_1)\cdots d\mu(x_n). \quad (1.5)$$

If we now choose $f_j=g_j$ as orthogonal with respect to $d\mu$, then the left-hand side of (1.5) reduces to the product of the squared norms of the first n monic orthogonal polynomials. The identity (1.5) is then a classical result known to Heine [I, Cor. 2.1.3]. The case of Jacobi and Hermite polynomials give the case $c=1$ of (1.1) and (1.3), respectively.

There is a less well-known but even more elementary proof of the case $c=1$ of (1.1), based on varying the parameters a and b. This proof is more relevant to the present work, so we will explain it in detail. Let I_{jk} denote the one-variable case of (1.1), after replacing (a,b) by $(a+j-1,b+n-k)$. By Euler's beta integral evaluation,

$$I_{jk}=\int_0^1 x^{a+j-2}(1-x)^{b+n-k-1}\,dx=\frac{\Gamma(a+j-1)\,\Gamma(b+n-k)}{\Gamma(a+b+n+j-k-1)}.$$

Consider the determinant $D=\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(I_{jk})$, where we need to assume the convergence conditions

$$\mathrm{Re}(a)>0,\quad \mathrm{Re}(b)>0. \quad (1.6)$$

It can be identified with the left-hand side of (1.5), where $f_j(x)=x^{j-1}$, $g_j(x)=(1-x)^{n-j}$ and

$$\int f(x)\,d\mu(x)=\int_0^1 f(x)\,x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}\,dx.$$

Although g_j is not monic of degree $j-1$, the sign changes resulting from replacing $(x-1)^{j-1}$ by $(1-x)^{n-j}$ cancel, so (1.5) still holds. Thus, the case $c=1$ of (1.1) can be expressed as $n!D$. This is another instance of the Vandermonde determinant. The gamma functions in the numerator can be pulled out, and the denominator can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(a+b+n+j-k-1)}=\frac{p_{k-1}(j)}{\Gamma(a+b+n+j-2)},$$

where

$$p_k(x)=\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}(x+a+b+n-k-2+j)$$

is a monic polynomial of degree k, so that

$$\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(p_{k-1}(j))=\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}(k-j)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(j-1)!\,.$$

We conclude that

$$n!D=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\Gamma(a+j-1)\,\Gamma(b+j-1)\,j!}{\Gamma(a+b+n+j-2)}.$$

Since (1.2) reduces to (1.6) when $c=1$, this proves the case $c=1$ of (1.1) for general admissible values of the other parameters.

The same method works for many variations of the $c=1$ Selberg integral; the measure of integration may be continuous or discrete, and the integrands may live at the rational, trigonometric or elliptic level. (In the most common notation, $c=1$ corresponds to $t=q$ at the trigonometric and elliptic level.) One can also prove transformation formulas, stating that two Selberg-type integrals are equal. The purpose of the present note is to illustrate this method with two examples: an elliptic Selberg integral conjectured by van Diejen and Spiridonov [DS1] and a transformation formula for discrete Selberg integrals conjectured by Warnaar [W]. Both these identities were first proved by Rains [R1, R3]; the second one was proved independently by Coskun and Gustafson [CG].

We are not claiming that our proofs are new, and the present paper should be viewed as expository. It is clear from our correspondence with Rains that he is aware of similar proofs. Moreover, Noumi [N] gave a determinantal proof of the transformation formula stated as (2.9) below. This generalizes the proof of the continuous integration formula given below and is completely parallel to our proof of the discrete transformation formula. The main motivation for writing the present note is that we have seen several recent papers where the case $t=q$ of Warnaar's identities for discrete Selberg integrals are applied [BK, BKW, FKX, KS], but the reader is referred to work on the general case [CG, R1, Ro1] for the proof. Even though it is known to some experts in the field, it seems useful to point out to the wider community that much easier proofs exist. We also hope that the same method can be used to find new results. In particular, we think of quadratic and cubic transformation formulas for $c=1$ Selberg-type integrals, which may possibly admit extensions to general c. In this direction, we mention that several quadratic transformations of elliptic Selberg integrals are given in [R4, R5]. Quadratic summations for $c=1$ discrete Selberg integrals appear in connection with tiling problems [CEKZ, Ro2].

Acknowledgement. It is a pleasure to dedicate this piece to Christian Krattenthaler, a *virtuoso* of determinants, hypergeometry and much more. I am very grateful for his patience and support over the years. I thank Masatoshi Noumi, Eric Rains and Ole Warnaar for useful correspondence. Finally, I thank the anonymous referee for an unusually careful reading, leading to many improvements.

2. Continuous Selberg integrals

We recall some standard notation of elliptic hypergeometric functions. We fix two parameters p and q with $|p|$, $|q|<1$, which we suppress from the notation. Ruijsenaars' elliptic gamma function [Ru] is given by

$$\Gamma(z)=\prod_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{1-p^{j+1}q^{k+1}/z}{1-p^jq^kz}.$$

It satisfies the functional equation

$$\Gamma(qz)=\theta(z)\Gamma(z) \tag{2.1}$$

and, more generally,

$$\Gamma(q^kz)=(z)_k\,\Gamma(z), \tag{2.2}$$

where the theta function and elliptic shifted factorials are given by

$$\theta(z)=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}(1-p^jz)\left(1-\frac{p^{j+1}}{z}\right),\qquad (z)_k=\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\theta(zq^j).$$

Repeated variables in each of these functions is a short-hand for products. For instance,

$$\Gamma(z_1,\dots,z_m)=\Gamma(z_1)\cdots\Gamma(z_m),$$
$$\Gamma(z^{\pm}w^{\pm})=\Gamma(zw)\,\Gamma(z/w)\,\Gamma(w/z)\,\Gamma(1/wz).$$

For introductions to elliptic hypergeometric series, we refer to [GR, Ro3]. We will make heavy use of elementary identities that can be found in these sources.

The elliptic Selberg integral refers to the evaluation

$$\frac{C^n}{2^nn!}\int\prod_{1\le j<k\le n}\frac{\Gamma(tz_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{6}\Gamma(t_kz_j^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm2})}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,z_j}$$
$$=\prod_{m=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\Gamma(t^m)}{\Gamma(t)}\prod_{1\le j<k\le 6}\Gamma(t^{m-1}t_jt_k)\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where the parameters satisfy the balancing condition

$$t^{2n-2}t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5t_6=pq \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$C=\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-p^j)(1-q^j).$$

If $|t|<1$ and $|t_j|<1$ for all j, the integration is over $|z_1|=\cdots=|z_n|=1$; this condition may be relaxed if the contour is deformed appropriately. The evaluation (2.3) contains the classical Selberg integral (1.1) as a limit, see [R2].

The case $p=0$ of (2.3) is due to Gustafson [G] and the case $n=1$ to Spiridonov [Sp1]. The general case was conjectured by van Diejen and Spiridonov [DS1] and first proved by Rains [R3]. Another proof follows by combining the results of [DS2, Sp2], and a third proof is given in [IN2]. For a quantum field theory interpretation of (2.3), see [SV, §12.3.2].

The parameter c in (1.1) corresponds to $\log_q t$ in (2.3). In particular, $c=1$ corresponds to $t=q$. We proceed to give a simple proof of this special case of (2.3). Let I_{jk} denote the case $n=1$ of (2.3), after the substitutions

$$(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)\mapsto(t_1q^{j-1},t_2q^{n-j},t_3q^{k-1},t_4q^{n-k}).$$

The balancing condition for all these integrals is

$$q^{2n-2}t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5t_6 = pq, \tag{2.5}$$

which agrees with the case $t = q$ of (2.4). By (2.2),

$$I_{jk} = \frac{C}{2}\int (t_1z^{\pm})_{j-1}\,(t_2z^{\pm})_{n-j}(t_3z^{\pm})_{k-1}\,(t_4z^{\pm})_{n-k}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{6}\Gamma(t_jz^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z^{\pm 2})}\frac{dz}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,z}.$$

Let $D = \det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(I_{jk})$. Then (1.4) gives

$$D = \frac{C^n}{2^n n!}\int \Delta(t_1,t_2)\Delta(t_3,t_4)\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{6}\Gamma(t_jz_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_k^{\pm 2})}\frac{dz_k}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,z_k},$$

where

$$\Delta(a,b) = \det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\big((az_k^{\pm})_{j-1}\,(bz_k^{\pm})_{n-j}\big). \tag{2.6}$$

By Warnaar's determinant evaluation [W, Lemma 5.3],

$$\Delta(a,b) = b^{\binom{n}{2}}q^{\binom{n}{3}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}(q^{j-n}a/b, q^{n-j}ab)_{j-1}\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n} z_k^{-1}\theta(z_kz_j^{\pm}). \tag{2.7}$$

Note also that

$$\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}\big(z_k^{-1}\theta(z_kz_j^{\pm})\big)^2 = \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}\frac{\Gamma(qz_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} D = (t_2t_4)^{\binom{n}{2}}q^{2\binom{n}{3}}&\prod_{j=1}^{n}(q^{j-n}t_1/t_2, q^{n-j}t_1t_2, q^{j-n}t_3/t_4, q^{n-j}t_3t_4)_{j-1}\\ &\times\frac{C^n}{2^n n!}\int\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}\frac{\Gamma(qz_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{6}\Gamma(t_kz_j^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2})}\frac{dz_j}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\,z_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where we recognize the integral as the case $t = q$ of (2.3).

On the other hand, the case $n = 1$ of (2.3) (that is, Spiridonov's elliptic beta integral) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{jk} = \Gamma(&t_1t_2q^{n-1}, t_1t_3q^{j+k-2}, t_1t_4q^{n+j-k-1}, t_1t_5q^{j-1}, t_1t_6q^{j-1})\\ &\times\Gamma(t_2t_3q^{n-j+k-1}, t_2t_4q^{2n-j-k}, t_2t_5q^{n-j}, t_2t_6q^{n-j}, t_3t_4q^{n-1})\\ &\times\Gamma(t_3t_5q^{k-1}, t_3t_6q^{k-1}, t_4t_5q^{n-k}, t_4t_6q^{n-k}, t_5t_6). \end{aligned}$$

Most of the factors are independent of either j or k and can thus be pulled out of the determinant. Again using (2.2), we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} D = \Gamma(t_1t_2q^{n-1}, t_3t_4q^{n-1}, t_5t_6)^n&\prod_{m=1}^{n}\prod_{\substack{1\leq j<k\leq 6\\(j,k)\neq(1,2),\,(3,4),\,(5,6)}}\Gamma(t_jt_kq^{m-1})\\ &\times\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\left((t_1t_3q^{k-1}, t_1t_4q^{n-k})_{j-1}\,(t_2t_3q^{k-1}, t_2t_4q^{n-k})_{n-j}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The final determinant is of the form (2.6), with $a = t_1\sqrt{t_3t_4q^{n-1}}$, $b = t_2\sqrt{t_3t_4q^{n-1}}$ and $z_k = q^{k-1}\sqrt{q^{1-n}t_3/t_4}$. Using (2.7) and also (2.5) to write $(q^{n-j}ab)_{j-1} = (t_5t_6)_{j-1}$, we obtain after simplification

$$D = (t_2t_4)^{\binom{n}{2}} q^{2\binom{n}{3}} \Gamma(t_1t_2q^{n-1}, t_3t_4q^{n-1})^n \prod_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{1\leq j<k\leq 6\\ (j,k)\neq(1,2),\,(3,4)}} \Gamma(t_jt_kq^{m-1}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{n} (q, q^{j-n}t_1/t_2, q^{j-n}t_3/t_4)_{j-1}.$$

Comparing this with (2.8) yields the case $t = q$ of (2.3).

Essentially the same proof works for the case $t = q$ of Rains' integral transformation [R3]

$$\begin{aligned}\int \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n} \frac{\Gamma(tz_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{4}\Gamma(t_kz_j^{\pm}, u_kz_j^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2})} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\, z_j} &= \prod_{m=1}^{n} \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq 4} \Gamma(t^{m-1}t_jt_k, t^{m-1}u_ju_k)\\ &\quad\times \int \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n} \frac{\Gamma(tz_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm}z_k^{\pm})} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{4}\Gamma(t_kvz_j^{\pm}, u_kv^{-1}z_j^{\pm})}{\Gamma(z_j^{\pm 2})} \frac{dz_j}{2\pi\mathrm{i}\, z_j},\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $v^2 = pq/t^{n-1}t_1t_2t_3t_4 = t^{n-1}u_1u_2u_3u_4/pq$. The details can be found in [N], where the integrals are interpreted as tau functions for the elliptic Painlevé equation.

3. Discrete Selberg integrals

The integral evaluation (2.3) and transformation (2.9) have analogues for finite sums, which were conjectured by Warnaar [W] prior to the discovery of the continuous versions. Warnaar's summation can be obtained from the integral evaluation (2.3) through residue calculus [DS1] (presumably, a similar argument applies to the transformations). The conjectured summation was proved in [Ro1], see also [IN1], and the more general transformation in [CG, R1].

As one would expect, the case $t = q$ of Warnaar's identities also admits a simple determinantal proof. We will focus on the transformation, which can be written as [S]

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0\leq x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_n\leq N} \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n} &\left(q^{x_j}\theta(q^{x_k-x_j})\theta(aq^{x_j+x_k})\right)^2\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\theta(aq^{2x_j})}{\theta(a)}\frac{(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,q^{-N})_{x_j}}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,aq/e,aq/f,aq/g,aq^{N+1})_{x_j}}\,q^{x_j}\right)\\ =\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{(N+1-n)n}&\frac{(aq)_N^n}{(\lambda q)_N^n}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{(b,c,d)_{j-1}\,(\lambda q/e,\lambda q/f,\lambda q/g)_{N+1-j}}{(\lambda b/a,\lambda c/a,\lambda d/a)_{j-1}\,(aq/e,aq/f,aq/g)_{N+1-j}}\\ &\times\sum_{0\leq x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_n\leq N} \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}\left(q^{x_j}\theta(q^{x_k-x_j})\,\theta(\lambda q^{x_j+x_k})\right)^2\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\theta(\lambda q^{2x_j})}{\theta(\lambda)}\frac{(\lambda,\lambda b/a,\lambda c/a,\lambda d/a,e,f,g,q^{-N})_{x_j}}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,\lambda q/e,\lambda q/f,\lambda q/g,\lambda q^{N+1})_{x_j}}\,q^{x_j}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

where $bcdefg = q^{4+N-2n}a^3$ and $\lambda = a^2q^{2-n}/bcd$. When $aq = cd$, the factor $(\lambda b/a)_{x_n} = (q^{1-n})_{x_n}$ on the right-hand side vanishes unless $x_n \leq n-1$, so the sum reduces to the term with $(x_1,\dots,x_n) = (0,1,\dots,n-1)$. After a change of variables, this gives the case $t = q$ of Warnaar's discrete elliptic Selberg integral, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0\leq x_1<x_2<\cdots<x_n\leq N} \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n} &\left(q^{x_j}\theta(q^{x_k-x_j})\theta(aq^{x_j+x_k})\right)^2\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\theta(aq^{2x_j})}{\theta(a)}\frac{(a,b,c,d,e,q^{-N})_{x_j}}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,aq/e,aq^{N+1})_{x_j}}\,q^{x_j}\right)\\ =b^{n(N+1-n)}&q^{\frac13 n(n-1)(3N+1-2n)}(aq)_N^n\\ &\times\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{(q,b,c,d,e,q^{-N})_{j-1}\,(aq^{2-j}/bc,aq^{2-j}/bd,aq^{2-j}/be)_{N+1-n}}{(aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,aq/e)_{N+1-j}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

which holds for $bcde = q^{N+3-2n}a^2$.

We will give a simple proof of (3.1), which is parallel to the continuous case. We need the case $n = 1$, which is the one-variable elliptic Bailey transformation. It first appeared (rather implicitly and with some restrictions on the parameters) in the work of Date et al. on Baxter's elliptic solid-on-solid model [D] and was proved in general by Frenkel and Turaev [FT], see [GR, Ro3] for more elementary proofs.

Let S_{jk} denote the case $n = 1$ of (3.1), after the substitutions

$$(b,c,e,f)\mapsto(bq^{j-1},cq^{n-j},eq^{k-1},fq^{n-k}).$$

Some elementary manipulation gives

$$\begin{aligned}S_{jk}=\sum_{x=0}^N&\frac{\theta(aq^{2x})}{\theta(a)}\frac{(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,q^{-N})_x}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,aq/e,aq/f,aq/g,aq^{N+1})_x}\,q^{(2n-1)x}\\ &\times\frac{(bq^x,bq^{-x}/a)_{j-1}\,(cq^x,cq^{-x}/a)_{n-j}\,(eq^x,eq^{-x}/a)_{k-1}\,(fq^x,fq^{-x}/a)_{n-k}}{(b,b/a)_{j-1}\,(c,c/a)_{n-j}\,(e,e/a)_{k-1}\,(f,f/a)_{n-k}}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $D=\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(S_{jk})$. We expand D using the Cauchy–Binet identity

$$\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\left(\sum_{x=0}^{N}a_{jx}b_{kx}\right)=\sum_{0\leq x_1<x_2<\dots<x_n\leq N}\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(a_{j,x_k})\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}(b_{j,x_k}).$$

This is a special case of (1.4), where symmetry is used to restrict the range of summation. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}D&=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(b,b/a,c,c/a,e,e/a,f,f/a)_{j-1}}\\&\quad\times\sum_{0\leq x_1<x_2<\dots<x_n\leq N}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\theta(aq^{2x_k})}{\theta(a)}\frac{(a,b,c,d,e,f,g,q^{-N})_{x_k}\,q^{x_k(2n-1)}}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,aq/e,aq/f,aq/g,aq^{N+1})_{x_k}}\right)\\&\qquad\times\tilde{\Delta}(b,c)\tilde{\Delta}(e,f),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Delta}(b,c)=\det_{1\leq j,k\leq n}\left((bq^{x_k},bq^{-x_k}/a)_{j-1}\,(cq^{x_k},cq^{-x_k}/a)_{n-j}\right).$$

This determinant is of the form (2.6), with (a,b,z_k) replaced by $(b/\sqrt{a},c/\sqrt{a},\sqrt{a}q^{x_k})$. Using (2.7) and simplifying, we find that D equals the left-hand side of (3.1) times

$$\left(\frac{cf}{a^2}\right)^{\binom{n}{2}}q^{2\binom{n}{3}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(q^{j-n}b/c,q^{n-j}bc/a,q^{j-n}e/f,q^{n-j}ef/a)_{j-1}}{(b,b/a,c,c/a,e,e/a,f,f/a)_{j-1}}.\tag{3.3}$$

Repeating the same computation but starting from the alternative expression

$$\begin{aligned}S_{jk}&=\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^{N}\frac{(aq,\lambda q^{2-k}/e,\lambda q^{1-n+k}/f,\lambda q/g)_N}{(\lambda q,aq^{2-k}/e,aq^{1-n+k}/f,aq/g)_N}\\&\quad\times\sum_{x=0}^{N}\frac{\theta(\lambda q^{2x})}{\theta(\lambda)}\frac{(\lambda,\lambda b/a,\lambda c/a,\lambda d/a,e,f,g,q^{-N})_x}{(q,aq/b,aq/c,aq/d,\lambda q/e,\lambda q/f,\lambda q/g,\lambda q^{N+1})_x}\,q^{x(2n-1)}\\&\quad\times\frac{(\lambda bq^x/a,bq^{-x}/a)_{j-1}\,(\lambda cq^x/a,cq^{-x}/a)_{n-j}\,(eq^x,eq^{-x}/\lambda)_{k-1}\,(fq^x,fq^{-x}/\lambda)_{n-k}}{(\lambda b/a,b/a)_{j-1}\,(\lambda c/a,c/a)_{n-j}\,(e,e/\lambda)_{k-1}\,(f,f/\lambda)_{n-k}},\end{aligned}$$

we obtain after simplification the same prefactor (3.3) times the right-hand side of (3.1). This completes the proof of (3.1).

References

[A] C. Andréief, *Note sur une relation entre les intégrales définies des produits des fonctions*, Mém. Soc. Sci. Phys. Nat. Bordeaux 2 (1886), 1–14.

[BK] G. Bhatnagar and C. Krattenthaler, *The determinant of an elliptic Sylvesteresque matrix*, SIGMA 14 (2018), 052.

[BKW] R. P. Brent, C. Krattenthaler and S. O. Warnaar, *Discrete analogues of Macdonald–Mehta integrals*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 144 (2016), 80–138.

[CEKZ] M. Ciucu, T. Eisenkölbl, C. Krattenthaler and D. Zare, *Enumeration of lozenge tilings of hexagons with a central triangular hole*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 95 (2001), 251–334.

[CG] H. Coskun and R. A. Gustafson, *Well-poised Macdonald functions W_λ and Jackson coefficients ω_λ on BC_n*, in Jack, Hall–Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc., 2006, pp. 127–155.

[D] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa, and M. Okado, *Exactly solvable SOS models. II. Proof of the star-triangle relation and combinatorial identities*, in Conformal Field Theory and Solvable Lattice Models, Academic Press, 1988, pp. 17–122.

[DS1] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, *An elliptic Macdonald–Morris conjecture and multiple modular hypergeometric sums*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), 729–746.

[DS2] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov, *Elliptic Selberg integrals*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, 1083–1110.

[FKX] H. Feng, C. Krattenthaler and Y. Xu, *Best polynomial approximation on the triangle*, J. Approx. Theory 241 (2019), 63–78.

[F] P. J. Forrester, *Meet Andréief, Bordeaux 1886, and Andreev, Kharkov 1882–83*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. 8 (2019), 1930001, 9 pp.

[FW] P. J. Forrester and S. O. Warnaar, *The importance of the Selberg integral*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (2008), 489–534.

[FT] I. B. Frenkel and V. G. Turaev, *Elliptic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions*, in The Arnold–Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, Birkhäuser, 1997, pp. 171–204.

[GR] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[G] R. A. Gustafson, *Some q-beta integrals on* $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ *and* $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ *that generalize the Askey–Wilson and Nasrallah–Rahman integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994), 441–449.

[H] P. L. Hsu, *On the distribution of roots of certain determinantal equations*, Ann. Eugenics 9 (1939), 250–258.

[I] M. E. H. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[IN1] M. Ito and M. Noumi, *Derivation of a BC_n elliptic summation formula via the fundamental invariants*, Constr. Approx. 45 (2017), 33–46.

[IN2] M. Ito and M. Noumi, *Evaluation of the BC_n elliptic Selberg integrals via the fundamental invariants*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), 689–703.

[KS] C. Krattenthaler and M. Schlosser, *The major index generating function of standard Young tableaux of shapes of the form "staircase minus triangle"*, in Ramanujan 125, Contemp. Math., vol. 627, Amer. Math. Soc., 2014, pp. 111–122.

[MD] M. L. Mehta and F. J. Dyson, *Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. V.* J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963), 713–719.

[N] M. Noumi, *Remarks on τ-functions for the difference Painlevé equations of type E_8*, in Representation Theory, Special Functions and Painlevé Equations — RIMS 2015, Math. Soc. Japan, 2018, pp. 1–65.

[R1] E. M. Rains, *BC_n-symmetric abelian functions*, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), 99–180.

[R2] E. M. Rains, *Limits of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ramanujan J. 18 (2009), 257–306.

[R3] E. M. Rains, *Transformations of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ann. Math. 171 (2010), 169–243.

[R4] E. M. Rains, *Elliptic Littlewood identities*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 119 (2012), 1558–1609.

[R5] E. M. Rains, *Multivariate quadratic transformations and the interpolation kernel*, SIGMA 14 (2018), 019.

[Ro1] H. Rosengren, *A proof of a multivariable elliptic summation formula conjectured by Warnaar*, in q-Series with Applications to Combinatorics, Number Theory, and Physics, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001, pp. 193–202.

[Ro2] H. Rosengren, *Selberg integrals, Askey–Wilson polynomials and lozenge tilings of a hexagon with a triangular hole*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 138 (2016), 29–59.

[Ro3] H. Rosengren, *Elliptic hypergeometric functions*, manuscript, `ar`χ`iv:1608.06161`.

[Ru] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *First order analytic difference equations and integrable quantum systems*, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997), 1069–1146.

[S] M. Schlosser, *Elliptic enumeration of nonintersecting lattice paths*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007), 505–521.

[S1] A. Selberg, *Über einen Satz von A. Gelfond*, Arch. Math. Naturvid. 44 (1941), 159–170.

[S2] A. Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, Norsk Mat. Tidsskr. 26 (1944), 71–78.

[Sp1] V. P. Spiridonov, *On the elliptic beta function*, Russian Math. Surveys 56 (2001), 185–186.

[Sp2] V. P. Spiridonov, *Short proofs of the elliptic beta integrals*, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007), 265–283.

[SV] V. P. Spiridonov and G. S. Vartanov, *Elliptic hypergeometry of supersymmetric dualities*, Comm. Math. Phys. 304 (2011), 797–874.

[W] S. O. Warnaar, *Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series*, Constr. Approx. 18 (2002), 479–502.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

Email address: hjalmar@chalmers.se

URL: http://www.math.chalmers.se/~hjalmar