

STUDY ABOUT INCLUSION RELATIONSHIPS AND INTEGRAL PRESERVING PROPERTIES

Imran Faisal and Maslina Darus

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to investigate a family of integral operators defined on the space of meromorphic functions. By making use of these novel integral operators, we introduce and investigate several new subclasses of starlike, convex, close-to-convex, and quasi-convex meromorphic functions. In particular, we establish some inclusion relationships associated with the aforementioned integral operators. Several interesting integral-preserving properties are also considered.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ where $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ is the open unit disk.

Next we define some well known subclasses of meromorphic functions.

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to belong to the class of meromorphic starlike functions of order ξ in \mathbb{U} , and is denoted by $S^*(\xi)$, if it satisfies

$$\Re\left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \xi, \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to belong to the class of meromorphic convex functions of order ξ in \mathbb{U} , and is denoted by $C(\xi)$, if it satisfies

$$\Re\left(-1 - \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \xi, \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (1.3)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions; Meromorphic starlike functions; Meromorphic convex functions; Integral operators; Integral-preserving properties.

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

It is easy to observe from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$f \in C(\xi) \Leftrightarrow -zf'(z) \in S^*(\xi).$$

Let $f \in \Sigma$ and $g(z) \in S^*(\xi)$. Then the function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ is said to belong to the class of close-to-convex of order ρ and type ξ in \mathbb{U} , and is denoted by $K(\rho, \xi)$, if and only if

$$\Re\left(\frac{-zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > \rho, \quad (0 \leq \xi, \rho < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.4)$$

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to belong to the class of quasi-convex of order ρ and type ξ in \mathbb{U} , and is denoted by $K^*(\rho, \xi)$, if there exists a function $g(z) \in C(\xi)$ such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{-(zf'(z))'}{g(z)}\right) > \rho, \quad (0 \leq \xi, \rho < 1; z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.5)$$

We have the following result followed by (1.4) and (1.5) such that

$$f(z) \in K^*(\rho, \xi) \text{ if and only if } -zf'(z) \in K(\rho, \xi).$$

For more details about above-defined classes of functions as well as their multivalent generalizations, we refer to study to [5]-[1].

Next we introduce a new differential operator for the meromorphic functions.

For a function $f \in \Sigma$, we define the following differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ (\alpha + \beta)\Theta_\lambda^1(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= (\mu + \alpha + \lambda + \beta)f(z) + (\mu + \lambda)(zf'(z)), \\ \Theta_\lambda^2(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= D(\Theta_\lambda^1(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)), \\ &\vdots \\ \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= D(\Theta_\lambda^{n-1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

If f is given by (1.1) then from (1.6) we have

$$\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + (\mu + \lambda)(k + 1) + \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^n a_k z^k \quad (1.7)$$

$$(f \in \Sigma, -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1, -1 \leq \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq \mu \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

Also by specializing the parameters α , β , μ and λ , It generalizes the differential operators of Cho et al. [3, 4], Aouf and Hossen [2], Liu and Owa [9], Liu and

Srivastava [10], Srivastava and Patel [13], Uralegaddi and Somanatha [17] and Ashwah and Aouf [6] respectively.

We now define the following subclasses of the meromorphic functions by means of the operator $\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)$ given by (1.7).

Definition 1. Using (1.2) and (1.7)

$$S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in S^*(\xi) \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Definition 2. Using (1.3) and (1.7)

$$C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in C(\xi) \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Definition 3. Using (1.4) and (1.7)

$$K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in K(\rho, \xi) \right\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Definition 4. Using (1.5) and (1.7)

$$K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma : \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in K^*(\rho, \xi) \right\}. \quad (1.11)$$

Next we investigate various inclusion relationships and integral preserving properties for the meromorphic function classes newly introduced above.

2 The main inclusion relationships

First we state the following lemma which we need for our main results.

Lemma 5. [11, 12] Let $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ be a complex function, $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $D \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_1$, $\nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_1$. Suppose that $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ is continuous in D ;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\{\varphi(1, 0)\} > 0$;
- (iii) $\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} \leq 0$ for all $(i\mu_2, \nu_1) \in D$ such that $\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_2^2)$.

Let $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} , such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $\Re\{\varphi(h(z), zh'(z))\} > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem 6. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1, -1 \leq \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq \mu \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$S_{n+1}^* \left(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \right) \subseteq S_n^* \left(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \right) \subseteq S_{n-1}^* \left(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in S_{n+1}^* \left(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \right)$, to prove that $f(z) \in S_n^* \left(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta \right)$, it is enough to show that

$$\Re \left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)} \right) > -\xi, \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We assume that

$$\frac{z(D_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)} = -\xi - (1 - \xi)h(z), \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (2.2)$$

Where $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$. Applying simultaneously (1.7) and (2.2) we conclude that

$$\frac{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)} = L(-\xi - (1 - \xi)h(z)) + L\left(\frac{1}{L} + 1\right), \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (2.3)$$

Differentiating (2.3) with respect to z logarithmically, we obtain

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)} + \xi = -(1 - \xi)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \xi)zh'(z)}{\xi - \left(\frac{1}{L} + 1\right) + (1 - \xi)h(z)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$L = \frac{\mu + \nu}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad 0 \leq \xi < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = \nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$, we define the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ by:

$$\varphi(\mu, \nu) = (1 - \xi)\mu - \frac{(1 - \xi)\nu}{\xi - \left(\frac{1}{L} + 1\right) + (1 - \xi)\mu}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then from (2.5) we have

- (i) $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ is continuous in $D = \left(\mathbb{C} - \frac{\xi - \left(\frac{1}{L} + 1\right)}{\xi - 1}\right) \times \mathbb{C}$;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\{\varphi(1, 0)\} > 1 - \xi > 0$;
- (iii) For all $(i\mu_2, \nu_1) \in D$ such that $\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_2^2)$,

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = \Re\left\{\frac{-(1-\xi)\nu_1}{\xi - (\frac{1}{L} + 1) + (1-\xi)i\mu_2}\right\} = \frac{[(\frac{1}{L} + 1 - \xi)](1-\xi)\nu_1}{(\xi - (\frac{1}{L} + 1))^2 + (1-\xi)^2\mu_2^2},$$

implies

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = \frac{[(\frac{1}{L} + 1 - \xi)](1-\xi)\nu_1}{(\xi - (\frac{1}{L} + 1))^2 + (1-\xi)^2\mu_2^2} \leq -\frac{[(\frac{1}{L} + 1 - \xi)](1-\xi)(1+\mu_2^2)}{2(\xi - (\frac{1}{L} + 1))^2 + 2(1-\xi)^2\mu_2^2} < 0.$$

Hence, the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5, implies $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, that is, $f(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 6. \square

Theorem 7. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1, -1 \leq \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq \mu \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$C_{n+1}(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq C_{n-1}(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f \in C_{n+1}(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) &\Rightarrow \Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in C(\xi), \\ &\Leftrightarrow -z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f)' \in S^*(\xi) \Leftrightarrow \Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(-zf') \in S^*(\xi), \\ &\Leftrightarrow -zf' \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subset S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta), \\ &\Rightarrow -zf' \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \Leftrightarrow \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)(-zf') \in S^*(\xi), \\ &\Leftrightarrow -z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f)' \in S^*(\xi) \Rightarrow \Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in C(\xi), \\ &\Rightarrow f \in C_n(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu) \Rightarrow C_{n+1}(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu) \subset C_n(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 8. If $f \in \Sigma$ and $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1, -1 \leq \beta \leq 1, -1 \leq \mu \leq 1, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$K_{n+1}(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subseteq K_{n-1}(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in K_{n+1}(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$, so by definition there exist $k(z) \in S^*(\xi)$ such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{k(z)}\right) > -\rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Taking the function $g(z)$ which satisfies $\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z) = k(z)$, implies $g(z) \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi)$ and

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)}\right) > -\rho, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

We assume that

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)}\right) = -\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z), \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Where $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$. Using (1.7), we have

$$L\left(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)\right) = z\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)\right)' + (1 + L)\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)\right) \quad (2.8)$$

And

$$L\left(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)\right) = z\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)\right)' + (1 + L)\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)\right). \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)} = \frac{z\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)\right)' + (1 + L)\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)\right)}{z\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)\right)' + (1 + L)\left(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)\right)} \quad (2.10)$$

Since $g(z) \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi) \subset S_n^*(\xi)$, so let

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)} = -\xi - (1 - \xi)H(z).$$

So from (2.10) we have

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)} = \frac{\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)} + (1 + L)(-\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z))}{-\xi - (1 - \xi)H(z) + (1 + L)}. \quad (2.11)$$

As

$$(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z)) = (\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z))[-\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z)], \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

After differentiating with respect to z and some calculation we get

$$\frac{z((\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)zf'(z))')}{(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z))} = [-\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z)][-\xi - (1 - \xi)H(z)] - (1 - \rho)zh'(z) \quad (2.12)$$

After substituting the result of (2.12) in (2.11) we have

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^{n+1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)} + \rho = -(1 - \rho)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \rho)zh'(z)}{\xi - 1 - L + (1 - \xi)H(z)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = \nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$, we define the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ by:

$$\varphi(\mu, \nu) = (1 - \rho)\mu - \frac{(1 - \rho)\nu}{\xi - 1 - L + (1 - \xi)H(z)}. \quad (2.14)$$

It is easy to see that the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1 in $D = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. To verify the condition (iii), we proceed as follows;

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = \frac{\nu_1(1 - \rho)[(L + 1 - \xi) - (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[(\xi + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1) - L - 1)^2 + [(1 - \xi)h_2(x_2, y_2)]^2}.$$

Where $H(z) = h_1(x_1, y_1) + ih_2(x_2, y_2)$, $h_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $h_2(x_2, y_2)$ being functions of x and y and $\Re(h_1(x_1, y_1)) > 0$. By putting $\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_2^2)$, we obtain

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \mu_2^2)(1 - \rho)[(L + 1 - \xi) - (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[(\xi + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1) - L - 1)^2 + [(1 - \xi)h_2(x_2, y_2)]^2} < 0.$$

Hence, the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5, Implies $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, that is, $f(z) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 8. Similarly we can prove the following theorem.. \square

Theorem 9. Let $f \in \Sigma$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_o$, $L = (\alpha + \beta)/(\mu + \lambda)$ then

$$K_{n+1}^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subset K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subset K_{n-1}^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta).$$

3 A set of integral-preserving properties

In this section, we present several integral-preserving properties of the meromorphic function classes introduced here. We first recall a familiar integral operator $L_c(f)$ defined by

$$L_c(f) = \frac{c}{z^{c+1}} \int_0^z t^c f(t) dt, \quad (c > 0; f \in \Sigma), \quad (3.1)$$

which satisfies the following relationship:

$$z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z))' = (c)(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)) - (c+1)(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z)). \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 10. *Let $c > 0$, $f \in \Sigma$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, then $L_c(f) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$.*

Proof. Let $f(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$. To prove that $L_c(f) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$. It is enough to show

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z)} > -\xi.$$

We suppose that

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z)} = -\xi - (1 - \xi)h(z). \quad (3.3)$$

Where $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$

Using (3.2) and (3.3) we conclude that

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)} + \xi = -(1 - \xi)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \xi)zh'(z)}{\xi - c - 1 + (1 - \xi)h(z)}.$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = \nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$, we define the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ by:

$$\varphi(\mu, \nu) = (1 - \xi)\mu - \frac{(1 - \xi)\nu}{\xi - c - 1 + (1 - \xi)\mu}. \quad (3.4)$$

It is easy to see that the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 5 in $D = \left(\mathbb{C} - \left\{ \frac{\xi - c - 1}{\xi - 1} \right\} \right) \times \mathbb{C}$. To verify the condition (iii), we proceed as follows;

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = \frac{(c + 1 - \xi)(1 - \xi)\nu_1}{[\xi - c - 1]^2 + [(1 - \xi)\mu_2]^2} \leq \frac{-(c + 1 - \xi)(1 - \xi)(1 + \mu_2^2)}{2[\xi - c - 1]^2 + 2[(1 - \xi)\mu_2]^2} < 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5, implies $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), that is, $L_c(f) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 10. \square

Theorem 11. Let $c > 0$, $f \in \Sigma$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f(z) \in C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, then $L_c(f) \in C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \Leftrightarrow -zf'(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu) \Rightarrow L_c(-zf') \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu) \Leftrightarrow -z(L_c(f))' \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu) \Leftrightarrow L_c(f) \in C_n(\xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$. \square

Theorem 12. Let $c > 0$, $f \in \Sigma$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f(z) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, then $L_c(f) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ implies $\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f \in K(\rho, \xi)$ or

$$\Re\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)}\right) > -\rho.$$

We suppose that

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)}\right) = -\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}. \quad (3.6)$$

Where $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$

Since we have

$$z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z))' = (c)(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))' - (c+1)(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c f(z)).$$

Therefore

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)}\right) = \frac{\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c(zf'(z))')}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)} + (c+1)\frac{(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c(zf'(z)))}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)}}{\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c(g(z))')}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)} + c+1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Since $g(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$ implies $L_c(g(z)) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$. Let

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c(g(z))')}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)} = -\xi - (1 - \xi)H(z), \quad \Re(H(z)) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Using (3.2) and (3.6) we conclude that

$$\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c(zf'(z))')}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)L_c g(z)} = [-\rho - (1 - \rho)h(z)][-\xi - (1 - \xi)H(z)] + [-(1 - \rho)zh'(z)] \quad (3.8)$$

Simultaneously solving (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{\Theta_\lambda^n(\alpha, \beta, \mu)g(z)}\right) - \rho = -(1 - \rho)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \rho)zh'(z)}{\xi - c - 1 + (1 - \xi)H(z)} \quad (3.9)$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = \nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$, we define the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ by:

$$\varphi(\mu, \nu) = (1 - \rho)\mu - \frac{(1 - \rho)\nu}{\xi - c - 1 + (1 - \xi)H(z)}. \quad (3.10)$$

It is easy to see that the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 5 in $D = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. To verify the condition (iii), we proceed as follows;

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = \frac{\nu_1(1 - \rho)[(c + 1 - \xi - (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[(\xi - c - 1) + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]^2 + [(1 - \xi)h_2(x_2, y_2)]^2}.$$

Where $H(z) = h_1(x_1, y_1) + ih_2(x_2, y_2)$, $h_1(x_1, y_1)$ and $h_2(x_2, y_2)$ being functions of x and y and $\Re(h_1(x_1, y_1)) > 0$. By putting $\nu_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_2^2)$, we obtain

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, \nu_1)\} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \mu_2^2)(1 - \rho)[(c + 1 - \xi - (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[(\xi - c - 1) + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]^2 + [(1 - \xi)h_2(x_2, y_2)]^2} < 0.$$

Hence, the function $\varphi(\mu, \nu)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5, implies $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, that is, $L_c(f) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 12. Similarly we can prove the following theorem.. \square

Theorem 13. *Let $c > 0$, $f \in \Sigma$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, and $n \in N_o$. If $f(z) \in K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$, then $L_c(f) \in K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$.*

References

- [1] H.S. Al-Amiri, *On Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Ann. Polon. Math. **38**(1980), 88–94. [MR0595351](#). [Zbl 0452.30008](#).
- [2] M.K. Aouf and H.M. Hossen, *New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions*, Tsukuba J. Math. **17**(1993), 481–486. [MR1255485](#). [Zbl 0804.30012](#).
- [3] N.E. Cho, O.S. Kwon and H.M. Srivastava, *Inclusion and argument properties for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **300**(2004), 505–520. [MR2100067](#). [Zbl 1058.30012](#).
- [4] N.E. Cho, O.S. Kwon and H.M. Srivastava, *Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations*, Integral Transforms Special Functions, **16**(18)(2005), 647–659. [MR2184272](#). [Zbl 1096.30008](#).

- [5] P.L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **259**, Springer-Verlag, New York. (1983). [MR0708494](#). [Zbl 0514.30001](#).
- [6] R.M. El-Ashwah and M.K. Aouf, *Differential Subordination and Superordination on p -Valent Meromorphic Functions Defined by Extended Multiplier Transformations*, European J. pure Appl. Math. **6**(3)(2010), 1070–1085. [MR2749552](#). [Zbl 1213.30022](#).
- [7] J.L. Liu, *Note on Ruscheweyh derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **199**(1996), 936–940. [MR1386614](#). [Zbl 0858.30019](#).
- [8] S.K. Lee and S.B. Joshi, *A certain class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **14**(1999), 147–156. [MR1674828](#).
- [9] J.L. Liu and S. Owa, *On certain meromorphic p -valent functions*, Taiwanese J. Math. **2**(1)(1998) 107–110. [MR1609488](#). [Zbl 0909.30012](#).
- [10] J.L. Liu and H.M. Srivastava, *Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with certain linear operator*, Math. Comput. Modelling **39**(1)(2004), 35–44. [MR2032906](#). [Zbl 1049.30009](#).
- [11] S.S. Miller, *Differential inequalities and Carathodory function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **8**(1975), 79–81. [MR0355056](#).
- [12] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Second differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65**(1978), 289–305. [MR0506307](#). [Zbl 0367.34005](#).
- [13] H.M. Srivastava and J. Patel, *Applications of differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphically multivalent functions*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. **6**(3) Art. 88, pp.15. (2005). [MR2164329](#).
- [14] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1992). [MR1232424](#).
- [15] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators, and certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **106**(1987), 1–28. [MR0894409](#). [Zbl 0607.30014](#).
- [16] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49**(1975), 109–115. [MR0367176](#). [Zbl 0303.30006](#).
- [17] B.A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, *New criteria for memorphic starlike univalent functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 137–140. [MR1086726](#).

Imran Faisal
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan,
Malaysia.
e-mail: faisalmath@gmail.com

Maslina Darus
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan,
Malaysia.
e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **7** (2012), 125 – 136
<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>