

# EXISTENCE AND ATTRACTIVITY OF SOLUTIONS OF SEMILINEAR VOLTERRA TYPE INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Mouffak Benchohra and Noredine Rezoug

**Abstract.** In this paper, we prove a result on the existence and local attractivity of solutions of second order semilinear evolution equation. Our investigations will be situated on the Banach space of functions which are defined, continuous and bounded on the nonnegative real axis. The results are obtained by using the Mönch fixed point and the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness. An example is provided to illustrate the main result.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence and local attractivity of the mild solution, defined on a semi-infinite positive real interval  $J = [0, \infty)$ , for non-autonomous semilinear second order evolution equation of mixed type in a real Banach space. More precisely, we will consider the following problem

$$y''(t) - A(t)y(t) = f\left(t, y(t), \int_0^t K(t, s, y(s))ds\right), \quad t \in J, \quad (1.1)$$

$$y(0) = y_0, \quad y'(0) = y_1, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\{A(t)\}_{0 \leq t < +\infty}$  is a family of linear closed operators from  $E$  into  $E$ ,  $f : J \times E \times E \rightarrow E$  is a Carathéodory function,  $K : \Delta \times E \rightarrow E$  is a continuous function,  $\Delta := \{(t, s) \in J \times J : s \leq t\}$ ,  $y_0, y_1 \in E$  and  $(E, |\cdot|)$  is a real Banach space.

Evolution equations arise in many areas of applied mathematics [2, 40]. This type of equations have received much attention in recent years [1]. Integro-differential equations on infinite intervals have attracted great interest due to their applications in characterizing many problems in physics, fluid dynamics, biological models and

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 45D05; 34G20; 47J35

Keywords: second order semilinear evolution equation; existence of solutions; local attractivity of solutions

\*\*\*\*\*

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

chemical kinetics see [5, 6, 15, 16, 36]. Qualitative properties such as the existence, uniqueness and stability for various functional differential and integro-differential equations have been extensively studied by many researchers (see, for instance, [7, 9, 11, 19, 25, 30]).

There are many results concerning the second-order differential equations, see for example [10, 18, 23, 26, 37, 38]. For the study of abstract second order equations, the existence of an evolution system  $U(t, s)$  for the homogenous equation

$$y''(t) = A(t)y(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0,$$

is useful. For this purpose there are many techniques to show the existence of  $U(t, s)$  which has been developed by Kozak [29].

On the other hand, recently there has been an increasing interest in studying the abstract non-autonomous second order initial value problem

$$y''(t) - A(t)y(t) = f(t, y(t)), \quad t \in [0, T] \text{ or } t \in [0, \infty) \quad (1.3)$$

$$y(0) = y_0, \quad y'(0) = y_1. \quad (1.4)$$

The reader is referred to [14, 17, 22, 27] and the references therein.

In this paper we use the technique of measures of noncompactness. It is well known that this method provides an excellent tool for obtaining existence of solutions of nonlinear differential equation. This technique works fruitfully for both integral and differential equations. More details are found in Akhmerov *et al.* [3], Álvares [4], Aissani and Benchohra [8], Banaś and Goebel [12], Guo *et al.* [28], Olszowy and Wędrychowicz [32, 33], Zhang and Chen [41] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above-mentioned works, we derive some sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of the system (1.1)-(1.2) by means of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness and the fixed point theory.

This work is organized of as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and facts about evolution systems. In Section 3, we give the existence of mild solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2). Section 4 is devoted to the attractivity of the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2). An example is presented in Section 5 to illustrate the application of our results.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we mention notations, definitions, lemmas and preliminary facts needed to establish our main results. Throughout this paper, we denote by  $E$  a Banach space with the norm  $|\cdot|$ . Let  $BC(J, E)$  be the Banach space of all bounded and continuous functions  $y$  mapping  $J$  into  $E$  with the usual supremum norm

$$\|y\| = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|.$$

\*\*\*\*\*

We set

$$B_R = \{y \in C(J, E) : \|y\| < R\}, \bar{B}_R = \{y \in C(J, E) : \|y\| \leq R\}$$

( $R > 0$  is a constant).

In what follows, let  $\{A(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a family of closed linear operators on the Banach space  $E$  with domain  $D(A(t))$  which is dense in  $E$  and independent of  $t$ .

In this work the existence of solutions the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is related to the existence of an evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  for the following homogeneous problem,

$$y''(t) = A(t)y(t), \quad t \in J. \quad (2.1)$$

This concept of evolution operator has been developed by Kozak [29].

**Definition 1.** A family  $U$  of bounded operators  $U(t, s) : E \rightarrow E$ ,  $(t, s) \in \Delta := \{(t, s) \in J \times J : s \leq t\}$ , is called an evolution operator of the equation (2.1) if the following conditions hold:

(e<sub>1</sub>) For any  $x \in E$  the map  $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$  is continuously differentiable and

(a) for any  $t \in J$ ,  $U(t, t) = 0$ .

(b) for all  $(t, s) \in \Delta$  and for any  $x \in E$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s)x|_{t=s} = x$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)x|_{t=s} = -x$ .

(e<sub>2</sub>) For all  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , if  $x \in D(A(t))$ , then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)x \in D(A(t))$ , the map  $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$  is of class  $C^2$  and

(a)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}U(t, s)x = A(t)U(t, s)x$ ,

(b)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}U(t, s)x = U(t, s)A(s)x$ ,

(c)  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}U(t, s)x|_{t=s} = 0$ .

(e<sub>3</sub>) For all  $(t, s) \in \Delta$ , then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)x \in D(A(t))$ , there exist  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s}U(t, s)x$ ,  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t}U(t, s)x$  and

(a)  $\frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^2 \partial s}U(t, s)x = A(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(t)U(t, s)x$ .

Moreover, the map  $(t, s) \mapsto A(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(t)U(t, s)x$  is continuous,

\*\*\*\*\*

$$(b) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial s^2 \partial t} U(t, s)x = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s)A(s)x.$$

Throughout this paper, we will use the following definition of the concept of Kuratowski measure of noncompactness [12].

**Definition 2.** *The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness  $\alpha$  is defined by*

$$\alpha(D) = \inf\{r > 0 : D \text{ has a finite cover by sets of diameter } \leq r\},$$

for a bounded set  $D$  in any Banach space  $X$ .

Let us recall the basic properties of Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

**Lemma 3.** [12] *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $C, D \subset X$  be bounded, then the following properties hold:*

- (i<sub>1</sub>)  $\alpha(D) = 0$  if and only if  $D$  is relatively compact,
- (i<sub>2</sub>)  $\alpha(\overline{D}) = \alpha(D)$  ;  $\overline{D}$  denotes the closure of  $D$ ,
- (i<sub>4</sub>)  $\alpha(C) \leq \alpha(D)$  when  $C \subset D$ ,
- (i<sub>4</sub>)  $\alpha(C + D) \leq \alpha(C) + \alpha(D)$  where  $C + D = \{x \mid x = y + z; y \in C; z \in D\}$ ,
- (i<sub>5</sub>)  $\alpha(aD) = |a|\alpha(D)$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (i<sub>6</sub>)  $\alpha(\text{Conv}D) = \alpha(D)$ , where  $\text{Conv}D$  is the convex hull of  $D$ ,
- (i<sub>7</sub>)  $\alpha(C \cup D) = \max(\alpha(C), \alpha(D))$ ,
- (i<sub>8</sub>)  $\alpha(C \cup \{x\}) = \alpha(C)$  for any  $x \in E$ .

Denote by  $\omega^T(y, \varepsilon)$  the modulus of continuity of  $y$  on the interval  $[0, T]$  i.e.

$$\omega^T(y, \varepsilon) = \sup \{|y(t) - y(s)|; t, s \in [0, T], |t - s| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Moreover, let us put

$$\omega^T(D, \varepsilon) = \sup \{\omega^T(y, \varepsilon); y \in D\},$$

$$\omega_0^T(D) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(D, \varepsilon).$$

**Lemma 4.** [26] *If  $H = \{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset L^1([0; T], E)$  is uniformly integrable, then the function  $s \rightarrow \alpha(H(s))$  is measurable and*

$$\alpha \left\{ \int_0^t u_n(s) ds \right\}_{n=0}^\infty \leq 2 \int_0^t \alpha(H(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0; T].$$

\*\*\*\*\*

We recall that a subset  $B \subset L^1([0; T]; E)$  is uniformly integrable if there exists  $\xi \in L^1([0; T]; \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$\|x(s)\| \leq \xi(s) \text{ for } x \in B \text{ and a.e. } s \in [0; T].$$

**Lemma 5.** [34], ([35], p. 35). Let  $u(t), h(t), p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  be real valued nonnegative integrable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , for which the inequality

$$u(t) \leq h(t) + \int_0^t p(s) \left[ u(s) + \int_0^s q(\tau)u(\tau)d\tau \right] ds,$$

holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then

$$u(t) \leq h(t) + \int_0^t p(s) \left[ h(s) + \int_0^s h(\tau)(p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \exp \left( \int_\tau^s (p(\delta) + q(\delta)d\delta) \right) d\tau \right] ds,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We introduce now the concept of attractivity (stability) of solutions of operator equations in the space  $BC(J, E)$ . To this end, assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a nonempty subset of the space  $BC(J, E)$ . Moreover, let  $Q$  be an operator defined on  $\mathcal{E}$  with values in  $BC(J, E)$ . Let us consider the operator equation of the form

$$y(t) = (Qy)(t) \tag{2.2}$$

**Definition 6.** [20] We say that solutions of (2.2) are locally attractive if there exists a ball  $B(y^*, r)$  in the space  $BC(J, E)$  such that  $\bar{B}(y^*, r) \cap \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$ , and for arbitrary solutions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  of (2.2) belonging to  $\bar{B}(y^*, r) \cap \mathcal{E}$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (y_2(t) - y_1(t)) = 0.$$

In the case when this limit (2.2) is uniform with respect to the set  $\bar{B}(y^*, r) \cap \mathcal{E}$  i.e. when for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $T > 0$  such that

$$|y_2(t) - y_1(t)| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $y_2, y_1 \in \bar{B}(y^*, r) \cap \mathcal{E}$  being solutions of equation (2.2) and for  $t \geq T$ , we will say that solutions of equation (2.2) are uniformly locally attractive.

The concept of uniform local attractivity of solutions is equivalent to the concept of asymptotic stability of solutions (introduced in the paper [13]).

**Theorem 7** ( Mönch fixed point theorem). [21] Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\Omega$  is bounded open subset of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $F : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$  be a continuous operator satisfying

(i) If  $H \subset \bar{\Omega}$  is countable and  $H \subset \overline{Conv}(\{0\} \cup F(H))$ ; then  $H$  is relatively compact.

(ii)  $y \neq \lambda Fy; \forall \lambda \in [0; 1]; y \in \partial\Omega$ ,

Then  $F$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

\*\*\*\*\*

### 3 Existence of solutions

**Definition 8.** A function  $y \in BC(J, E)$  is called a mild solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if  $y$  satisfies the integral equation

$$y(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, 0)y_0 + U(t, 0)y_1 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f\left(s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau\right) ds. \quad (3.1)$$

For the forthcoming analysis, we need the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exist constants  $M \geq 1$  and  $\omega > 0$ , such that

$$\|U\|_{B(E)} \leq Me^{-\omega(t-s)} \quad \text{for any } (t, s) \in \Delta.$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exist constants  $\tilde{M} \geq 1$  and  $\varpi > 0$ , such that:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s) \right\|_{B(E)} \leq \tilde{M}e^{-\varpi(t-s)} \quad \text{for any } (t, s) \in \Delta.$$

(H<sub>3</sub>) The function  $f : J \times E \times E \rightarrow E$  is Carathéodory and satisfies the following:

(a)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds = 0,$$

(b) There exists an integrable function  $p : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that:

$$|f(t, u_2, v_2) - f(t, u_1, v_1)| \leq p(t)(1 + |u_2 - u_1| + |v_2 - v_1|)$$

for a.e  $t \in J$  and each  $u_i, v_i \in E, (i = 1, 2)$ ,

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds = 0.$$

(c) There exist locally integrable functions  $\sigma_i : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, (i = 1, 2)$  such that:

$$\alpha(f(t, D_1, D_2)) \leq \sigma_1(t)\alpha(D_1) + \sigma_2(t)\alpha(D_2) \quad \text{for a.e } t \in J \text{ and } D_1, D_2 \subset E.$$

(H<sub>4</sub>) The function  $K : \Delta \times E \rightarrow E$  satisfies the following:

(a) There exists an integrable function  $q : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that:

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq q(t) |u - v| \quad \text{for a.e } (t, s) \in \Delta \text{ and each } u, v \in E.$$

\*\*\*\*\*

(b) There exist constants  $K \geq 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$ , such that:

$$|K(t, s, 0)| < Ke^{-\gamma(t-s)} \quad \text{for any } (t, s) \in \Delta.$$

(c) There exists a constant  $K^* > 0$ , such that

$$\alpha(K(t, s, D)) \leq K^* \alpha(D) \quad \text{for a.e } (t, s) \in \Delta \text{ and } D \subset E.$$

**Remark 9.** Notice that if the hypothesis  $(H_3)$  holds, then there exist constants  $f^*, p^* > 0$  such that:

$$f^* = \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds, \quad p^* = \sup_{t \in J} \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds.$$

**Theorem 10.** Assume that the hypotheses  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  are satisfied. Then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) admits at least one mild solution, which is uniformly locally asymptotically attractive.

**Proof.** Consider the operator  $N : BC(J, E) \rightarrow BC(J, E)$  defined by

$$(Ny)(t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, 0)y_0 + U(t, 0)y_1 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f\left(s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau\right) ds.$$

We notice that the fixed points of the operator  $N$  are mild solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

**Step 1.**  $N(y) \in BC(J, E)$  for any  $y \in BC(J, E)$ .

Let  $y \in BC(J, E)$ , then for  $t \in J$ , we have

\*\*\*\*\*

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Ny(t)| \\
& \leq \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, 0) \right\|_{B(E)} |y_0| + \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} |y_1| \\
& + \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \int_0^t \left| f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| ds \\
& \leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} \left| f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) - f(s, 0, 0) + f(s, 0, 0) \right| ds \\
& \leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s |K(s, \tau, y(\tau))| d\tau \right) ds \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\
& \leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s |K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) - K(s, \tau, 0) + K(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau \right) ds \\
& \leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau) |y(\tau)| \right) ds \\
& + M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\
& + M \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) |k(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau ds \\
& \leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| + Mp^*(1 + \|q\|_{L^1}) \sup_{t \in J} y(t) + Mf^* + M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} \right) p^* \\
& < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $N(y) \in BC(J, E)$ .

**Step 2.**  $N$  is continuous.

Let  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $BC(J, E)$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $BC(J, E)$ .

**Case 1.** If  $t \in [0, T]$ ;  $T > 0$ , then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Ny_n)(t) - (Ny)(t)| \\
& \leq M \int_0^t \left| f(s, y_n(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y_n(\tau)) d\tau) - f(s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau) \right| ds. \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, since the functions  $f$  is Carathéodory and  $K$  is continuous function, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\|Ny_n - Ny\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

\*\*\*\*\*



**Case 2.** If  $t \in (T, \infty)$ ,  $T > 0$ .

Since  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that for  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , there is a real number  $\mathbb{T} \geq 0$  such that

$$\|y_n(t) - y(t)\| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for any } t \geq \mathbb{T}.$$

We choose  $T \geq \mathbb{T}$ , then (3.2) and the hypotheses imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & |Ny_n(t) - Ny(t)| \\ & \leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y_n(s) - y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)(|y_n(\tau) - y(\tau)|)d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq M(1 + \varepsilon(1 + \|q\|_{L^1})) \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $(H_3)$ , then the inequality (3.3) reduces to

$$\|N(y_n) - N(y)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

So  $N$  is continuous.

**Step 3:**  $N(\bar{B}_R)$  is equicontinuous.

Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$  with  $t_2 > t_1$  and  $y \in B_R$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Ny)(t_2) - (Ny)(t_1)| \\ & = \left| \int_0^{t_1} (U(t_2, s) - U(t_1, s)) f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ & + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} U(t_2, \tau) f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau))d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} p(\tau) \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)|y(\tau)|d\tau \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ & + \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^s \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} p(s) |K(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau ds \\ & + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} p(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)|y(\tau)|d\tau \right) ds \\ & + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ & + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^s e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) |K(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Ny)(t_2) - (Ny)(t_1)| \\
& \leq \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} p(\tau) \left(1 + R + R \int_0^s q(\tau) d\tau\right) ds \\
& + \int_0^{t_1} \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\
& + \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^s \|U(t_2, \tau) - U(t_1, \tau)\|_{B(E)} p(s) |K(s, \tau, 0)| ds \\
& + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds. \\
& + MR \int_{t_1}^{t_2} p(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \left(1 + \int_0^s q(\tau) d\tau\right) ds \\
& + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\
& + M \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^s e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) |K(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as  $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $N(\bar{B}_R)$  is equicontinuous.

Consider the measure of noncompactness  $\mu(B)$  defined on the family of bounded subsets of the space  $BC(J, E)$  by

$$\mu(B) = \omega_0^T(B) + \sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \bar{\alpha}(B(t)) + \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|,$$

where

$$\sigma(t) = 4M \int_0^t (\sigma_1(s) + 2K^* s \sigma_2(s)) ds, \tau \geq 1, \quad \bar{\alpha}(B(t)) = \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \alpha(B(s)).$$

Now, we will show that the operator  $N$  satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Mönch's fixed point theorem. Suppose  $B \subset BC(J, E)$  is countable and  $B \subset \overline{\text{Conv}}(\{0\} \cup N(B))$ .

**Step 4.**  $B$  is relatively compact.

**Claim 1.**  $\omega_0^T(B) = 0$

Using the properties of  $\omega_0^T(\cdot)$  (see [31]), and  $N(\bar{B}_R)$  is equicontinuous, we get

$$\omega_0^T(B) \leq \omega_0^T(\overline{\text{Conv}}(\{0\} \cup N(B))) = \omega_0^T(N(B)) = 0.$$

So we deduce  $\omega_0^T(B) = 0$ .

**Claim 2.**  $\sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \bar{\alpha}(B(t)) = 0$ .

\*\*\*\*\*

Using the properties of  $\alpha$ , Lemma 4 and assumptions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_3)$  and  $(H_4)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(B(t)) \\ & \leq \alpha(\overline{\text{Conv}(\{0\} \cup N(B)(t))}) = \alpha(NB(t)) \\ & \leq \alpha\left(\int_0^t U(t,s)f\left(s, B(s), \int_0^s K(s,\tau, B(s))d\tau\right) ds\right) \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \alpha\left(f\left(s, B(s), \int_0^s K(s,\tau, B(\tau))d\tau\right) ds\right) ds \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \left(\sigma_1(s)\alpha(B(s)) + \sigma_2(s)\alpha\left(\int_0^s K(s,\tau, B(\tau))d\tau\right)\right) ds \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \left(\sigma_1(s)\alpha(B(s)) + 2K^*\sigma_2(s) \int_0^s \alpha(B(\tau))d\tau\right) ds. \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \left(\sigma_1(s)\alpha(B(s)) + 2K^*\sigma_2(s) \int_0^s \alpha(B(\tau))d\tau\right) ds. \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \left(\sigma_1(s) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s)) + 2K^*\sigma_2(s)s \sup_{\tau \in [0,s]} \alpha(B(\tau))\right) ds. \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t \left(\sigma_1(s) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s)) + 2K^*\sigma_2(s)s \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s))\right) ds. \\ & \leq 2M \int_0^t (\sigma_1(s) + 2K^*\sigma_2(s)s) \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\alpha(B(t)) \leq 2M \int_0^t (\sigma_1(s) + 2K^*s\sigma_2(s))e^{\tau\sigma(s)}e^{-\tau\sigma(s)}\bar{\alpha}(B(s))ds,$$

then

$$e^{-\tau\sigma(t)}\alpha(B(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)}\bar{\alpha}(B(t)).$$

hence

$$e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(B(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)}\bar{\alpha}(B(t)).$$

Since

$$e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s)) \leq e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(B(t)),$$

we get

$$e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \alpha(B(s)) \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)}\bar{\alpha}(B(t)).$$

\*\*\*\*\*

Then

$$\sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \bar{\alpha}(B(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \bar{\alpha}(B(t)). \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $\tau > 1$  and inequality (3.5), we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in J} e^{-\tau\sigma(t)} \bar{\alpha}(B(t)) = 0.$$

**Claim 3.**  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)| = 0.$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq \tilde{M}|y_0|e^{-\varpi t} + M|y_1|e^{-\omega t} \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left[ 1 + |y(t)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)|y(t)|d\tau \right] ds \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ &+ M \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) |K(s, \tau, 0)| d\tau ds \\ &\leq \tilde{M}|y_0|e^{-\varpi t} + M|y_1|e^{-\omega t} \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ &+ M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} \right) \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left[ |y(t)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)|y(t)|d\tau \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq h(t) + \int_0^t M e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \\ &\times \left[ h(s) + \int_0^s h(\tau) (M e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \exp \left( \int_\tau^s (M e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(\delta) + q(\delta) d\delta) \right) d\tau \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \tilde{M}|y_0|e^{-\varpi t} + M|y_1|e^{-\omega t} \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ &+ M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} \right) \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

Then

$$|y(t)| \leq h(t) + \xi \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds,$$

where

$$\xi = \left[ \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| + M f^* + M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} \right) p^* \right] [1 + p^*(Mp^* + \|q\|_{L^1})] \exp(Mp^* + \|q\|_{L^1}).$$

It follows immediately by assumptions (H1) – (H4) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)| = 0.$$

From **Claims 1, 2, 3**, we obtain

$$\mu(B) = 0.$$

Thus, we find that  $B$  is relatively compact.

**Step 5.** A priori bounds.

We now show there exists an open set  $Y \subseteq B$  with  $y \neq \lambda N(y)$ , for  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $y \in \partial Y$ . Let  $y \in B$  and  $y = \lambda N(y)$  for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then

$$y(t) = -\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, 0) y_0 + \lambda U(t, 0) y_1 + \lambda \int_0^t U(t, s) f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds.$$

This implies by (H1) – (H4) that, for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, 0) \right\|_{B(E)} |y_0| + \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} |y_1| \\ &+ \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \int_0^t p(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \left( 1 + |y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau) |y(\tau)| d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds \\ &+ M \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) |k(s, \tau, 0)| ds d\tau \\ &\leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| + M f^* + M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} \right) p^* \\ &+ M \int_0^t p(s) e^{-\omega(t-s)} \left( |y(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau) |y(\tau)| d\tau \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq h(t) + \xi \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds. \\ &\leq \tilde{M} |y_0| + M |y_1| + M f^* + M \left( 1 + \frac{K}{\gamma} + \xi \right) p^* = \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$Y = \{y \in BC(J, E) : \|y\| < \Lambda + 1\}.$$

By the choice of  $Y$ , there is no  $y \in \partial Y$  such that  $y = \lambda N(y)$ , for  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Thus by Mönch fixed point theorem, the operator  $N : \bar{Y} \rightarrow BC(J, E)$  has at least one fixed point which is a mild solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2).

## 4 Attractivity of solutions

Now we investigate the uniform local attractivity for solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Let  $y^*$  be a solution to problem (1.1)-(1.2) and  $\bar{B}(y^*, r_0)$  with  $r_0 \geq \frac{Mp^*}{1 - Mp^*(1 + \|q\|_{L^1})}$  the closed ball in  $BC(J, E)$ . Then, for  $y \in \bar{B}(y^*, r_0)$  by  $(H_1)$ - $(H_4)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Ny(t) - y^*(t)| &= |Ny(t) - Ny^*(t)| \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \left| f \left( s, y(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y(\tau)) d\tau \right) - f \left( s, y^*(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y^*(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y_2(s) - y_1(s)| + \int_0^s |K(s, \tau, y_2(\tau)) - K(s, \tau, y_1(\tau))| d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y(s) - y^*(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau) (|y(\tau) - y^*(\tau)|) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds \\ &\quad + Mr_0 \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + \int_0^s q(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq Mp^* + Mp^*(1 + \|q\|_{L^1}) r_0 \\ &< r_0. \end{aligned}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

Therefore, we get  $N(\bar{B}(y^*, r_0)) \subset \bar{B}(y^*, r_0)$ .

So, for any solution  $y_1, y_2 \in \bar{B}(y^*, r_0)$  to problem (1.1)-(1.2) and  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |Ny_2(t) - Ny_1(t)| \\ & \leq \int_0^t \|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \|f(s, y_2(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y_2(\tau))d\tau) - f(s, y_1(s), \int_0^s K(s, \tau, y_1(\tau))d\tau)\| ds \\ & \leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y_2(s) - y_1(s)| + \int_0^s |K(s, \tau, y_2(\tau)) - K(s, \tau, y_1(\tau))| d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left( 1 + |y_2(s) - y_1(s)| + \int_0^s q(\tau)(|y_2(\tau) - y_1(\tau)|) d\tau \right) ds \\ & \leq M \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds \\ & + Mr_0 \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) \left[ 1 + \int_0^s q(\tau) d\tau \right] ds \\ & \leq (M + M(1 + \|q\|_{L^1})r_0) \int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from  $(H_3)$ , we conclude that for  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , there are real numbers  $T \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-s)} p(s) ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M + M(1 + \|q\|_{L^1})r_0}, \text{ for all } t \geq T,$$

Then from the above inequality it follows that

$$|y_2(t) - y_1(t)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \geq T.$$

Consequently, the solutions of problem (1.1)-(1.2) are uniformly locally attractive.

### 5 Example

Let us consider the following class of partial differential equations;

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} z(t, \tau) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} z(t, \tau) + a(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z(t, \tau) \\ &+ \frac{\sin(t)e^{-|z(t, \tau)| - \nu t}}{t^2 + 1} \\ &+ \frac{\ln(1 + 2e^{-\nu t})z(t, \tau)}{(t^2 + 1)(1 + |z(t, \tau)|)} \\ &+ \frac{\sin(e^{\nu t})}{(t^2 + 1)^2} \int_0^t \frac{\ln(e^{-s} + 2t) \cos(z(s, \tau))e^{-\nu(t-s)}}{(2 + 2t^2 + s^2)^3} ds, \quad t \in J, \tau \in [0, \pi], \\ z(t, 0) &= z(t, \pi) = 0 \quad t \in J, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z(0, \tau) &= \psi(\tau) \quad \tau \in [0, \pi], \end{aligned} \right. \tag{5.1}$$

\*\*\*\*\*

where  $a : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Hölder continuous function and  $\nu$  is a positive constant such that  $\nu > 1$ .

Let  $E = L^2([0, \pi], \mathbb{R})$  be the space of 2-integrable functions from  $[0, \pi]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $H^2([0, \pi], \mathbb{R})$  be the Sobolev space of functions  $x : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $x'' \in L^2([0, \pi], \mathbb{R})$ . We consider the operator  $A_1 y(\tau) = y''(\tau)$  with domain  $D(A_1) = H^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , infinitesimal generator of strongly continuous cosine function  $C(t)$  on  $E$ . Moreover, we take  $A_2(t)y(s) = a(t)y'(s)$ , defined on  $H^1([0, \pi], \mathbb{R})$ , and consider the closed linear operator  $A(t) = A_1 + A_2(t)$  which, generates an evolution operator  $U$ , defined by

$$U(t, s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z_n(t, s) \langle x, w_n \rangle w_n,$$

where  $z_n$  is a solution to the following scalar initial value problem,

$$\begin{cases} z''(t) = -n^2 z(t) + ina(t)z(t) \\ z(0) = 0, \quad z'(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows from this representation that

$$\|U(t, s)\|_{B(E)} \leq e^{-(t-s)}, \text{ for every } (t, s) \in \Delta.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} z(t)(\tau) &= w(t)(\tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \tau \in [0, \pi], \\ f(t, u, v)(\tau) &= \frac{\sin(t)e^{-|u(t,\tau)|-\nu t}}{t^2 + 1} + \frac{\ln(1 + 2e^{-\nu t})u(t, \tau)}{(t^2 + 1)(1 + |u(t, \tau)|)} + \frac{\sin(e^{-\nu t})}{(t^2 + 1)^2}v(t, \tau), \\ k(t, s, u)(\tau) &= \frac{\ln(2t + e^{-s}) \cos(u(t, s))e^{-\nu(t-s)}}{(1 + t^2 + s^2)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} z(0)(\tau) = \frac{d}{dt} w(0)(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, \pi].$$

Moreover, applying the inequalities

$$\ln(1 + x) \leq x, \quad \sin x \leq x,$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(t, u_2, v_2)(\tau) - f(t, u_1, v_1)(\tau)| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\nu t}}{t^2 + 1} + \frac{\ln(1 + e^{-\nu t})}{(t^2 + 1)}|u_2(t, \tau) - u_1(t, \tau)| \\ &+ \frac{e^{-\nu t}}{(t^2 + 1)^2}|v_2(t, \tau) - v_1(t, \tau)| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\nu t}}{t^2 + 1}(1 + |u_2(t, \tau) - u_1(t, \tau)| + |v_2(t, \tau) - v_1(t, \tau)|), \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

\*\*\*\*\*



and

$$|K(t, s, u)(\tau) - K(t, s, v)(\tau)| \leq \frac{\ln(1 + 2t)}{(t^2 + 1)^3} |u(t, \tau) - v(t, \tau)|. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence conditions (H3)(a) and (H4)(a) are satisfied with

$$p(t) = \frac{e^{-\nu t}}{t^2 + 1}, \quad q(t) = \frac{\ln(1 + 2t)}{(t^2 + 1)^3}.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} |f(s, 0, 0)| ds &= \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} \frac{e^{-\nu s} \sin(s)}{s^2 + 1} ds \\ &= e^{-t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{s^2 + 1} ds \\ &\leq e^{-t} \arctan(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)} p(s) ds &= \int_0^t \frac{e^{-(t-s)} e^{-\nu s}}{s^2 + 1} ds \\ &\leq e^{-t} \arctan(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |k(t, s, 0)| &\leq \frac{\ln(2t + e^{-s}) e^{-\nu(t-s)}}{(1 + t^2 + s^2)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{\ln(1 + 2t) e^{-\nu(t-s)}}{(t^2 + 1)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{2t e^{-\nu(t-s)}}{(t^2 + 1)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{25\sqrt{5}}{108} e^{-\nu(t-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.2), for any bounded sets  $D_1, D_2 \subset E$ , we get

$$\alpha(f(t, D_1, D_2)) \leq \frac{\ln(1 + e^{-\nu t})}{t^2 + 1} \alpha(D_1) + \frac{\sin(e^{-\nu t})}{(t^2 + 1)^2} \alpha(D_2) \text{ for a.e } t \in J.$$

By (5.3), for any bounded sets  $D \subset E$ , we get

$$\alpha(K(t, s, D)) \leq \frac{25\sqrt{5}}{108} \alpha(D) \text{ for a.e } t \in J.$$

Hence (H3)(c) and (H4)(c) are satisfied.

Consequently, (5.1) can be written in the abstract form (1.1)-(1.2). The existence of a mild solutions can be deduced from an application of Theorem 10. Moreover, these solutions are uniformly locally attractive.

\*\*\*\*\*

## References

- [1] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, *Advanced Functional Evolution Equations and Inclusions*, Springer, Cham, 2015. [MR3381102](#). [Zbl 1326.34012](#).
- [2] N. U. Ahmed, *Semigroup Theory with Applications to Systems and Control*, Harlow John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991. [MR1100706](#). [Zbl 0727.47026](#).
- [3] R. R. Akhmerov. M. I. Kamenskii, A. S. Patapov, A. E. Rodkina and B. N. Sadovskii, *Measures of Noncompactness an Condensing Operators*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1992. [MR1153247](#). [Zbl 0748.47045](#).
- [4] J. C. Álvarez, Measure of Noncompactness and fixed points of nonexpansive condensing mappings in locally convex spaces, *Rev. Real. Acad. Cienc. Exact. Fis. Natur. Madrid* **79** (1985), 53–66. [MR0835168](#). [Zbl 0589.47054](#).
- [5] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Infinite interval problems modelling the flow of a gas through a semi-infinite porous medium, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **108** (2002), 245–257. [MR1895284](#). [Zbl 1152.34315](#).
- [6] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Infinite interval problems modeling phenomena which arise in the theory of plasma and electrical potential theory, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **111** (2003), no. 3, 339–358. [MR1999644](#). [Zbl 1182.34103](#).
- [7] R. P. Agarwal, A. Domoshnitsky, and Ya. Goltser, Stability of partial functional integro-differential equations. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.* **12** (2006), No. 1, 1–31. [MR2188391](#). [Zbl 1178.35368](#).
- [8] K. Aissani, M. Benchohra, Global existence results for fractional integro-differential equations with state-dependent delay. *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.)* **62** (2016), no. 2, 411–422. [MR3680219](#). [Zbl 1389.34244](#).
- [9] P. Aviles and J. Sandefur: Nolinear second order equations wth applications to partial differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **58** (1985), 404–427. [MR0797319](#). [Zbl 0572.34004](#).
- [10] K. Balachandran, D.G. Park and S.M. Anthoni, Existence of solutions of abstract nonlinear second-order neutral functional integrodifferential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **46** (2003), 1313–1324. [MR2019686](#). [Zbl 1054.45006](#).
- [11] A. Baliki, M. Benchohra and J. Graef. Global existence and stability for second order functional evolution equations with infinite delay. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2016, 1–10. [MR3498741](#). [Zbl 1363.34261](#).

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **13** (2018), 215 – 235

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [12] J. Banaš and K. Goebel, *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*. Lecture Note in Pure App. Math. 60, Dekker, New York, 1980. [MR0591679](#). [Zbl 0441.47056](#).
- [13] J. Banaš and B. Rzepka, An application of a measure of noncompactness in the study of asymptotic stability, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **16** (2003), 1–6. [MR1938185](#). [Zbl 1015.47034](#).
- [14] C. J. K. Batty, R. Chill, S. Srivastava, Maximal regularity for second order non-autonomous Cauchy problems, *Studia Math.* **189** (2008), 205–223. [MR2457487](#). [Zbl 1336.34080](#).
- [15] A. Belleni-Morante, An integrodifferential equation arising from the theory of heat conduction in rigid material with memory, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **15** (1978), 470–482. [MR0516147](#). [Zbl 0394.45006](#).
- [16] A. Belleni-Morante and G. F. Roach, A mathematical model for Gamma ray transport in the cardiac region, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **244** (2000), 498–514. [MR1753375](#). [Zbl 0953.92002](#).
- [17] M. Benchohra and N. Rezoug, Measure of noncompactness and second order evolution equations. *Gulf J. Math.* **4** (2016), no. 2, 71–79. [MR3518037](#). [Zbl 1389.34238](#).
- [18] M. Benchohra, J. Henderson and N. Rezoug, Global existence results for second order evolution equations, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **23** (2016), no. 3, 57–67. [MR3560555](#). [Zbl 1370.34115](#).
- [19] J. Blot, C. Buse, P. Cieutat, Local attractivity in nonautonomous semilinear evolution equations. *Nonauton. Dyn. Syst.* **1** (2014), 72–82. [MR3313006](#). [Zbl 1288.35058](#).
- [20] B.C. Dhage, V. Lakshmikantham, On global existence and attractivity results for nonlinear functional integral equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 2219–2227. [MR2577788](#). [Zbl 1197.45005](#).
- [21] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985 [MR0787404](#). [Zbl 1257.47059](#).
- [22] F. Faraci, A. Iannizzotto, A multiplicity theorem for a perturbed second-order non-autonomous system, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **49** (2006) 267–275. [MR2243786](#). [Zbl 1106.34025](#).
- [23] H. O. Fattorini, *Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. **108**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985. [MR0797071](#). [Zbl 0564.34063](#).

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **13** (2018), 215 – 235

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [24] H.P. Heinz, On the behaviour of measure of noncompactness with respect to differentiation and integration of vector-valued functions, *Nonlinear Anal.* **7** (1983), 1351–1371. [MR0726478](#). [Zbl 0528.47046](#).
- [25] G. Marino, P. Pietramala, and H.-K. Xu, Nonlinear neutral integrodifferential equations on unbounded intervals. *Int. Math. Forum* **1** (2006), No. 17-20, 933–946. [MR2250847](#). [Zbl 1167.45301](#).
- [26] H. Mönch, Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* **4**(5) (1980), 985–999. [MR0586861](#). [Zbl 0462.34041](#).
- [27] H. Henríquez, V. Poblete, J. Pozo, Mild solutions of non-autonomous second order problems with nonlocal initial conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014), no. 2, 1064–1083. [MR3147269](#). [Zbl 1317.34144](#).
- [28] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham and X. Liu, *Nonlinear Integral Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996. [MR1418859](#). [Zbl 0866.45004](#).
- [29] M. Kozak, A fundamental solution of a second-order differential equation in a Banach space, *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.* **32** (1995), 275–289. [MR1345144](#). [Zbl 0855.34073](#).
- [30] A. Jawahdou, Mild solutions of functional semilinear evolution Volterra integrodifferential equations on an unbounded interval, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 7325–7332. [MR2833715](#). [Zbl 1179.45005](#).
- [31] L. Olszowy, On existence of solutions of a quadratic Urysohn integral equation on an unbounded interval, *Comment. Math.* **46** (2008), 103–112. [MR2440754](#). [Zbl 1145.45301](#).
- [32] L. Olszowy and S. Wędrychowicz, On the existence and asymptotic behaviour of solution of an evolution equation and an application to the Feynman-Kac theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2011), 6758–6769. [MR2834075](#). [Zbl 1242.34113](#).
- [33] L. Olszowy, S. Wędrychowicz, Mild solutions of semilinear evolution equation on an unbounded interval and their applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 2119–2126. [MR2577609](#). [Zbl 1195.34088](#).
- [34] B. G. Pachpatte, Integral inequalities of Gronwall-Bellman type and their applications, *J. Math. Phys. Sci.* **8** (1974), 309–318. [MR0427721](#). [Zbl 0292.45017](#).
- [35] B. G. Pachpatte, *Inequalities for differential and integral equations*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1998. [MR0427721](#). [Zbl 0920.26020](#).

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **13** (2018), 215 – 235

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

- [36] D. Tang and M. Samuel Rankin III: Peristaltic transport of a heat conducting viscous fluid as an application of abstract differential equations and semigroup of operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **169** (1992), 391–407. [MR1180899](#). [Zbl 0799.76100](#).
- [37] H. L. Tidke, M. B. Dhakne, Existence and uniqueness of solutions of certain second order nonlinear equations. *Note Mat.* **30** (2010), no. 2, 73–81. [MR2943025](#). [Zbl 1388.45001](#).
- [38] C. C. Travis and G.F. Webb, Second order differential equations in Banach spaces, in: *Nonlinear Equations in Abstract Spaces, Proc. Internat. Sympos. (Univ. Texas, Arlington, TX, 1977)*, Academic Press, New-York, 1978, 331–361. [MR05025515](#). [Zbl 0455.34044](#).
- [39] X. Su, Solutions to boundary value problem of fractional order on unbounded domains in a Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 2844–2852 [MR2776532](#). [Zbl 1250.34007](#).
- [40] J. Wu, *Theory and Application of Partial Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. [MR1415838](#). [Zbl 0870.35116](#).
- [41] X. Zhang, P. Chen, Fractional evolution equation nonlocal problems with noncompact semigroups. *Opuscula Math.* **36** (2016), no. 1, 123–137. [MR3405833](#). [Zbl 1335.34024](#).

Mouffak Benchohra  
Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Sidi Bel Abbès  
PO Box 89, Sidi Bel Abbès 22000, Algeria.  
e-mail: benchohra@yahoo.com

Noredine Rezoug  
Laboratory of Mathematics, University of Sidi Bel Abbès  
PO Box 89, Sidi Bel Abbès 22000, Algeria.  
e-mail: noreddinerezoug@yahoo.fr

## License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#). 

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **13** (2018), 215 – 235  
<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>