SIMPLICIAL NERVE OF AN \mathcal{A}_{∞} -CATEGORY

GIOVANNI FAONTE

ABSTRACT. We introduce a functor called the simplicial nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category defined on the category of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories with values in simplicial sets. We show that the nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category is an $(\infty, 1)$ -category in the sense of J. Lurie [Lur1]. This construction generalizes the nerve construction for differential graded categories given in [Lur2]. We prove that if a differential graded category is pretriangulated in the sense of A.I. Bondal and M. Kapranov [Bo-Ka] then its nerve is a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category in the sense of J. Lurie [Lur2].

1. Introduction

 \mathcal{A}_{∞} -algebras were introduced by J.D. Stasheff [Sta] in order to encode the notion of a binary operation associative up to a coherent system of homotopies. An \mathcal{A}_{∞} -algebra is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space A over some base field \mathbb{K} together with degree 2 - k morphisms

$$m_k: A^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow A, \quad k \ge 1$$

satisfying the equation

$$\sum_{m=r+t+s} (-1)^{sr+t} m_{r+t+1} (Id^{\otimes^r} \otimes m_s \otimes Id^{\otimes^t}) = 0$$
(1)

for $n \ge 1$. This equation for n = 1 tells that m_1 is a differential on A and for n = 2 provides the compatibility of the binary operation m_2 with the differential m_1 in terms of the Leibniz rule. For n = 3 the equation is

$$m_2(m_2 \otimes Id) - m_2(Id \otimes m_2) = m_1(m_3) + \sum_{2=r+t} m_3(Id^{\otimes r} \otimes m_1 \otimes Id^{\otimes t})$$

which expresses the fact that m_2 is an associative operation up to the data provided by m_3 . Values of n > 3 in equation (1) encode all the coherences needed to be satisfied by such associativity constraints. Similarly an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -morphism is a morphism of differential graded spaces

$$f_1: A \longrightarrow B$$

The author would like to thank his doctoral adviser M. Kapranov and T. Dyckerhoff for useful discussions and precious insights given through the realization of this work.

Received by the editors 2015-09-25 and, in final form, 2017-01-06.

Transmitted by James Stasheff. Published on 2017-01-15.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G30.

Key words and phrases: \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories, nerve, higher categories, pretriangulated dg-categories.

[©] Giovanni Faonte, 2017. Permission to copy for private use granted.

which preserves the binary operations only up to the data provided by

$$f_2: A \otimes A \longrightarrow B$$

whose coherences are controlled by degree 1 - k morphisms $f_k : A^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow B$ for k > 3. The notion of a dg-algebra (morphisms of dg-algebras) is recovered by considering \mathcal{A}_{∞} -algebras with vanishing m_k for k > 2 (\mathcal{A}_{∞} -morphisms with vanishing f_k for k > 1). K. Fukaya and M. Kontsevich-Y. Soibelman later considered \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories and \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors as a natural generalization of these notions which have been essential tools in the formulation of homological mirror symmetry [Kon-Soi],[Fuk]. Namely the Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category associated to a symplectic manifold X and it corresponds to the A-side of such symmetry. Similarly to the case of algebras, differential graded categories (dgfunctors) can be identified with \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories (\mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors) for which the composition of morphisms is strictly associative (strictly preserving the composition of morphisms).

In the first part of this paper we define a functor (Definition 2.8) called the simplicial nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category

$$N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat \longrightarrow SSet$$

defined on the category $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat$ of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories with \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors and values in simplicial sets. We prove (Proposition 2.15) that the simplicial nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category is an $(\infty, 1)$ -category in the sense of J. Lurie [Lur1]. For an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category \mathcal{A} its nerve is the simplicial set of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors from a certain cosimplicial \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category $\mathcal{A}[\Delta^{-}]$, generated by the standard simplices, into \mathcal{A} . The restriction of this functor to dg-categories provides a functorial description of the differential graded nerve N_{dg} introduced in [Lur2] by J. Lurie and earlier defined in [Hin-Sch] by V.A. Hinich and V.V. Schechtman. The existence of a model category structure without limits on $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat$ was shown in [Le-Ha] and the study of its relationships with the nerve construction presented in this paper will be subject of future work.

In the second part we establish a connection between pretriangulated differential graded categories in the sense of A.I. Bondal and M. Kapranov [Bo-Ka] and stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories in the sense of J. Lurie [Lur2]. Pretriangulated dg-categories provide a natural setting to address the lack of functoriality of the cone construction for triangulated categories following from the axioms of J.-L. Verdier [Ver]. To a dg-category with a zero object \mathcal{D} it is possible to associate the dg-category of twisted complexes of \mathcal{D} , denoted by $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$, whose construction has to be understood as a triangulated hull of \mathcal{D} . $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ has a shift functor and a functorial notion of cones inducing a triangulated structure on its homotopy category $H^0(PreTr(\mathcal{D}))$. In particular when \mathcal{D} is pretriangulated the dg-embedding $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ is a quasi-equivalence of dg-categories and hence \mathcal{D} inherits shift and cones from $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ making $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ into a triangulated category [Bo-Ka]. On the $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical side J. Lurie in [Lur2] introduced the notion of stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category as an axiomatization of the properties of stable homotopy theory. The relevant feature for our purposes is that in a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category the notion of an exact triangle is replaced by the one of homotopy fiber of a morphism. Moreover stable $(\infty, 1)$ categories have canonically defined loop and suspension functors playing the role of the

shift functor and its inverse for triangulated categories. This data induces a structure of triangulated category on the homotopy category of a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category [Lur2]. We give an explicit proof (Theorem 3.18) that if \mathcal{D} is a pretriangulated dg-category the nerve $N_{dg}(\mathcal{D})$ is a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category and $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ is identified, as a triangulated category, with the homotopy category of $N_{dg}(\mathcal{D})$. The proof is based on a direct computation performed on an $(\infty, 1)$ -category equivalent to $N_{dg}(\mathcal{D})$, that we call the big dg-nerve $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$, defined as the nerve of a certain simplicial category \mathcal{D}_{Δ} whose simplicial set of morphisms is obtained by applying the Dold-Kan correspondence [McL] to a truncation of the cochain complex of morphisms in \mathcal{D} .

1.1. CONVENTIONS AND NOTATIONS. From now on we fix a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0. The category $Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K})$ is the category whose objects are \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces over \mathbb{K}

$$V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^n$$

and morphisms are degree preserving \mathbb{K} -linear maps. The tensor product of graded vector spaces is defined as

$$(V \otimes W)^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^p \otimes W^q$$

and the graded space of morphisms as

$$Hom_{Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K})}^{n}(V,W) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} Hom_{Vect(\mathbb{K})}(V^{p},W^{p+n})$$

We say that a morphism is of degree n if it belongs to such graded component. The tensor product of two morphisms is defined according to the convention

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{deg(x)deg(g)} f(x) \otimes g(y)$$
(2)

A cochain complex is an object $V \in Ob(Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K}))$ together with a morphism $d \in Hom^{1}_{Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K})}(V, V)$ such that $d^{2} = 0$. We call d the differential of the cochain complex. A morphism of cochain complexes $f: V \longrightarrow W$ is a morphism $f \in Hom^{0}_{Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K})}(V, W)$ such that $d \circ f = f \circ d$. The category $Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K})$ is the category whose objects are cochain complexes and morphisms are morphisms of cochain complex. The cohomology of a cochain complex (V, d) is the \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space defined as

$$H^{\bullet}(V) = \frac{Ker(d)}{Im(d)}$$

A morphism of cochain complexes $f : V \longrightarrow W$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if the morphism induced in cohomology $H^{\bullet}(f) : H^{\bullet}(V) \longrightarrow H^{\bullet}(W)$ is an isomorphism. The tensor product of \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces extends to a functor on $Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K})$ defining a symmetric monoidal structure $(Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}), \bigotimes, \mathbb{K})$ where, for cochain complexes V and W, we have

$$d_{V\otimes W} = d_V \otimes Id_W + Id_V \otimes d_W$$

Similarly the category $Ch_{\bullet}(\mathbb{K})$ of chain complexes has objects \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces together with a morphism $d \in Hom_{Vect_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{K})}^{-1}(V, V)$ such that $d^2 = 0$ and analogue notions of homology and quasi-isomorphism. We denote by $op : Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow Ch_{\bullet}(\mathbb{K})$ the functor associating to a cochain complex the chain complex $V_p^{op} = V^{-p}$ with the same differential and by $\tau_{>0} : Ch_{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})$ the truncation functor

$$\tau_{\geq 0}(V)_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p < 0\\ Ker(d_{|_{V_0}}) & \text{if } p = 0\\ V_p & \text{if } p > 0. \end{cases}$$

For a category \mathcal{C} the category of simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} , denoted by $S(\mathcal{C})$, is the category of functors $Fun(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{C})$ where Δ is the standard simplex category. Similarly, the category of cosimplicial objects in \mathcal{C} is the category of functors $Fun(\Delta, \mathcal{C})$. We refer to [Lur1, Chap. 1-2-3] for the theory of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories and related constructions. The smallness assumptions necessary for the consistency of the results presented in this work are implicitly assumed.

2. The simplicial nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category

2.1. \mathcal{A}_{∞} -CATEGORIES AND \mathcal{A}_{∞} -FUNCTORS. We recall now the notion of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category and of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor. We refer to [Le-Ha] for an extensive survey of the subject. For the purposes of this work we will refer to an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category meaning a strictly unital \mathcal{A}_{∞} category and to an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor meaning a strictly unital \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor.

2.2. DEFINITION. $[\mathcal{A}_{\infty}\text{-category}]$ Let \mathbb{K} be a field, an $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}\text{-category} \mathcal{A}$ is the data of:

- A set of objects $Ob(\mathcal{A})$
- For every pair of objects $x, y \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$ a graded space of morphisms $Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)$
- For $k \ge 1$ and a sequence of objects x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k , a morphism of degree 2-k

$$m_k: Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_0, x_1) \longrightarrow Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_0, x_k)$$

such that, for every $n \ge 1$

$$\sum_{n=r+t+s} (-1)^{sr+t} m_{r+t+1} (Id^{\otimes^r} \otimes m_s \otimes Id^{\otimes^t}) = 0$$
(3)

• For every object $x \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$ a degree 0 element $1_x \in Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, x)$, called the identity at x, such that m(1) = 0

$$m_1(1_x) = 0$$

$$m_2(1_x \otimes a) = a = m_2(a \otimes 1_x)$$

$$m_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{j-1} \otimes 1_x \otimes a_{j+1} \otimes \dots a_n) = 0$$

for n > 2, $1 \le j \le n$.

2.3. DEFINITION. $[\mathcal{A}_{\infty}\text{-functor}]$ Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}\text{-categories}$, an $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}\text{-functor}$

$$f:\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

is the data of:

- A map of sets $f_0: Ob(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow Ob(\mathcal{B})$
- For $k \ge 1$ and a sequence of objects x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k , a morphism of degree 1 k

$$f_k : Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(x_0, x_1) \longrightarrow Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{B}}(f_0(x_0), f_0(x_k))$$

such that, for $n \ge 1$

$$\sum_{n=r+t+s} (-1)^{sr+t} f_{r+t+1}(Id^{\otimes r} \otimes m_s \otimes Id^{\otimes t}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le r \le n\\i_1+\dots+i_r=n}} (-1)^{\epsilon_r} m'_r(f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_r})$$
(4)

where

for r

$$\epsilon_r = \epsilon_r(i_1, \dots, i_r) = \sum_{2 \le k \le r} \left((1 - i_k) \sum_{1 \le l \le k - 1} i_l \right)$$
(5)

and satisfying the strict unitality conditions:

$$f_1(1_x) = 1_{f_0(x)}$$
$$f_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{j-1} \otimes 1_x \otimes a_{j+1} \otimes \ldots a_n) = 0$$
$$n > 1, \ 1 \le j \le n.$$

2.4. REMARK. \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories and \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors can be defined in a more canonical way using the Bar construction [Le-Ha]. The sign convention adopted in Definition 2.2 and 2.3 follows from the convention (2) defining the tensor product of graded morphisms after considering such construction.

If $f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $g : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors, their composition $g \circ f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has graded components given by

$$(g \circ f)_k = \sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_r = k} (-1)^{\epsilon_r(i_1, \dots, i_r)} g_r(f_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes f_{i_r})$$
(6)

This composition is strictly associative with unit given by $Id_{\mathcal{A}}$ and defines a category $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat$ of \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories over \mathbb{K} .

A differential graded category (dg-category) over a field \mathbb{K} is a category enriched over the symmetric monoidal category $(Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}), \bigotimes, \mathbb{K})$ of cochain complexes and a dg-functor is a functor of enriched categories (see [Ke] for the notion of enriched category). In particular dg-categories are identified with \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories having $m_k = 0$, for k > 2, and

dg-functors with \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors having $f_k = 0$, for k > 1. This means that there exists a faithful functor

$$i: dgCat \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat \tag{7}$$

where dgCat is the category of differential graded categories over K.

For a dg-category \mathcal{D} its underlying K-linear category \mathcal{D}_{un} has the same objects of \mathcal{D} and morphisms

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}_{un}}(x,y) = Ker(d_0: Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^0(x,y) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^1(x,y))$$

We refer to a morphism in a dg-category, without specifying the degree, meaning a morphism in \mathcal{D}_{un} and we say that a dg-category \mathcal{D} is a dg-enhancement of a K-linear category \mathcal{V} if there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{V} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{un}$. The homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ of \mathcal{D} is the K-linear category with the same objects of \mathcal{D} and vector space of morphisms given by:

$$Hom_{H^0(\mathcal{D})}(x,y) = H^0(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(x,y))$$

A dg-functor $f: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a quasi-equivalence of dg-categories if the induced functor on the homotopy categories $H^0(f): H^0(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E})$ is an equivalence and the induced morphisms of cochain complexes $f: Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{D}}(x, y) \longrightarrow Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{E}}(f(x), f(y))$ are quasi-isomorphisms of complexes, for every $x, y \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$.

2.5. Construction of the nerve.

2.6. DEFINITION. The \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category (in fact dg-category) $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^{n}]$ generated by the standard n-simplex is the \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category whose objects are the integers $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, morphisms spaces given, for $0 \leq i, j \leq n$, by

$$Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^{n}]}(i,j) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K} \cdot (i,j) & i \leq j \\ \emptyset & i > j \end{cases}$$

with deg((i, j))=0 and \mathcal{A}_{∞} -structure determined by the maps

$$\begin{cases} m_1 = 0 \\ m_2((j,k), (i,j)) = (i,k), & \text{for } i \le j \le k \\ m_n = 0, & \text{for } n > 2 \end{cases}$$

with identities $1_i = (i, i) \in Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n]}(i, i)$, for i = 0, ..., n.

2.7. PROPOSITION. The construction $[n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n]$ defines a cosimplicial \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category

$$\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^{-}]:\Delta\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat$$

Proof. Consider the standard cofaces and codegeneracies morphisms in Δ

$$\delta_j^n : [n-1] \longrightarrow [n], \qquad 0 \le j \le n$$

$$\sigma_j^n : [n] \longrightarrow [n-1], \qquad 0 \le j \le n-1$$

with

$$\delta_j^n(k) = \begin{cases} k, & 0 \le k \le j-1\\ k+1, & j \le k \le n-1 \end{cases}$$
$$\sigma_j^n(k) = \begin{cases} k, & 0 \le k \le j\\ k-1, & j+1 \le k \le n \end{cases}$$

The induced cofaces \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors

$$(\delta_j^n)_\star : \mathcal{A}_\infty[\Delta^{n-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty[\Delta^n]$$

are defined by

$$\begin{cases} (\delta_{j}^{n})_{\star,0}(k) = \delta_{j}^{n}(k) \\ (\delta_{j}^{n})_{\star,1}(i,k) = (\delta_{j}^{n}(i), \delta_{j}^{n}(k)) \\ (\delta_{j}^{n})_{\star,p} = 0, \end{cases} \qquad p > 2$$

Similarly the codegeneracies \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors

$$(\sigma_j^n)_\star : \mathcal{A}_\infty[\Delta^n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\infty[\Delta^{n-1}]$$

are

$$\begin{cases} (\sigma_j^n)_{\star,0}(k) = \sigma_j^n(k) \\ (\sigma_j^n)_{\star,1}(i,k) = (\sigma_j^n(i), \sigma_j^n(k)) \\ (\sigma_j^n)_{\star,p} = 0, \qquad p > 2 \end{cases}$$

The fact that this assignment determines a cosimplicial \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category follows from the standard cosimplicial structure of Δ^{-} .

2.8. DEFINITION. [Simplicial Nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category] For an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category \mathcal{A} its simplicial nerve $N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the simplicial set whose n-simplices are given by

$$N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})_n = Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n], \mathcal{A})$$

and simplicial structure induced by applying the functor $Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat}(-,\mathcal{A})$ to the cosimplicial \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category $\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^{-}]$.

2.9. PROPOSITION. An *n*-simplex of the simplicial nerve of an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category \mathcal{A} is determined by n + 1 objects

$$x_i \in Ob(\mathcal{A}), i = 0, \dots, n$$

and by a collection of elements

$$f_{i_0\dots i_k} \in Hom_{\mathcal{A}}^{1-k}(x_{i_0}, x_{i_k})$$

for $1 \le k \le n$ and $0 \le i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n$, satisfying the conditions

$$f_{i_0,i_0} = Id_{x_{i_0}}$$

$$f_{i_0,\dots,i_p,i_p,\dots,i_l} = 0, \quad for \ 2 \le l \le n$$

$$m_1(f_{i_0\dots i_k}) = \sum_{\substack{0 < j < n}} (-1)^{j-1} f_{i_0\dots \hat{i_j}\dots i_k} + \sum_{\substack{0 < j < n}} (-1)^{1+k(j-1)} m_2(f_{i_j\dots i_k}, f_{i_0\dots i_j}) + \sum_{\substack{1 \le r \le n \\ 0 < j_1 < \dots < j_{r-1} < n}} (-1)^{1+\epsilon_r} m_r(f_{i_{j_{r-1}}\dots i_k}, \dots, f_{i_0\dots i_{j_1}})$$

where ϵ_r as in equation (5).

PROOF. An *n*-simplex $f \in N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})_n$ is by definition an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor

$$f: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n] \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

This determines n + 1 objects of

$$x_i = f_0(i) \in Ob(\mathcal{A})$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq n$ a morphism of degree 1-k

$$f_k: Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n]}(x_{i_{k-1}}, x_{i_k}) \otimes \cdots \otimes Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^n]}(x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}) \longrightarrow Hom^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{A}}(f_0(x_{i_0}), f_0(x_{i_k}))$$

which corresponds to the choice, for every string $0 \le i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n$, of an element

$$f_{i_0...i_k} = f_k((i_{k-1}, i_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes (i_0, i_1)) \in Hom_{\mathcal{A}}^{1-k}(x_{i_0}, x_{i_k})$$

The conditions satisfied by $f_{i_0...i_k}$ follow from Definition 2.3.

2.10. COROLLARY. For a dg-category \mathcal{D} its differential graded nerve [Lur2, §1.3] equals the simplicial nerve of $i(\mathcal{D})$

$$N_{dg}^{sm}(\mathcal{D}) = N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(i(\mathcal{D}))$$

where i is defined in Remark 7.

PROOF. The proof follows from Proposition 2.9.

38

2.11. PROPOSITION. The simplicial structure of $N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ can be described as follows: for $f \in N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})_n$ the components of j-th face map $d_i^n(f)$ are given by

$$d_j^n(f)_{i_0\dots i_k} = \begin{cases} f_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}(i_p+1)\dots(i_k+1)}, & j \le i_p, 0 \le p \le k \\ f_{i_0\dots i_k}, & j > i_k \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and a string $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n-1$. The components of the *j*-th degeneracy map $s_j^n(f)$ are

$$s_{j}^{n}(f)_{i_{0}i_{1}} = \begin{cases} f_{(i_{0}-1)(i_{1}-1)}, & j \leq i_{0}-1\\ f_{i_{0}(i_{1}-1)}, & i_{0} < j < i_{1}-1\\ Id_{x_{i_{0}}}, & i_{0} = j, i_{1} = j+1\\ f_{i_{0}i_{1}}, & j \geq i_{1} \end{cases}$$

$$(8)$$

for $0 \le i_0 < i_1 \le n+1$ and

$$s_{j}^{n}(f)_{i_{0}\dots i_{k}} = \begin{cases} f_{(i_{0}-1)\dots(i_{k}-1)}, & j \leq i_{0}-1\\ f_{i_{0}\dots i_{p}(i_{p+1}-1)\dots(i_{k}-1)}, & i_{p} < j < i_{p+1}-1, 0 < p < k\\ 0, & i_{p} = j, i_{p+1} = j+1\\ f_{i_{0}\dots i_{k}}, & j \geq i_{k} \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

for $2 \le k \le n+1$, $0 \le i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n+1$.

PROOF. The j-th face map

$$d_j^n: N_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}(\mathcal{A})_n \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}(\mathcal{A})_{n-1}$$

evaluated on an *n*-simplex $f \in N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ is by definition the \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor

$$d_j^n(f) = f \circ (\delta_j^n)_\star$$

The composition law for \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functors (see Equation (6)) gives

$$(f \circ (\delta_j^n)_{\star})_k = f_k((\delta_j^n)_{\star,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\delta_j^n)_{\star,1})$$

and hence, for $1 \le k \le n-1$ and a string $0 \le i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n-1$

$$d_j^n(f)_{i_0\dots i_k} = \begin{cases} f_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}(i_p+1)\dots(i_k+1)}, & j \le i_p, 0 \le p \le k \\ f_{i_0\dots i_k}, & j > i_k \end{cases}$$

A similar computation shows that the *j*-th degeneracy map is determined by the formulas (8) and (9).

2.12. PROPOSITION. The simplicial nerve construction defines a functor

$$N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}: \mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat \longrightarrow SSet$$

PROOF. Any \mathcal{A}_{∞} -functor $g : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ induces a map of simplicial sets $(g)_{\star} : N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{B})$ by the assignment

$$(g)_{\star}(f) = f \circ g$$

where $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}Cat_{\mathbb{K}}}(\mathcal{A}_{\infty}[\Delta^{n}], \mathcal{A})$ is an *n*-simplex in $N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$. More explicitly, if $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq i_{0} < i_{1} < \cdots < i_{k} \leq n$, we have from Equation (6)

$$((g)_{\star}(f))_{i_0\dots i_k} = \sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=k} (-1)^{\epsilon_r(j_1,\dots,j_r)} g_r(f_{i_{j_r}+\dots+j_2\dots i_k},\dots,f_{i_0\dots i_{j_r}})$$

The functoriality of $N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}$ hence follows from its definition.

2.13. DEFINITION. [Lur1] An $(\infty, 1)$ -category (or weak Kan complex) is a simplicial set X such that, for any $0 and any map of simplicial sets <math>f : \Lambda_p^n \longrightarrow X$, there exists an extension to the full n-simplex $g : \Delta^n \longrightarrow X$

where Λ_p^n is the p-th inner horn.

2.14. REMARK. A simplicial category is a category enriched over the symmetric monoidal category $(SSet, \times, pt)$ of simplicial sets where the monoidal structure is the point-wise cartesian product of simplices. Simplicial categories can be related to simplicial sets through a pair of adjoint functors

$$N_{SCat} \colon SCat \rightleftharpoons SSet : \mathcal{C}[-] \tag{10}$$

where SCat is the category of simplicial categories with the obvious notion of morphisms and the functor N_{SCat} is called the nerve of a simplicial category. This adjunction lifts to a Quillen adjunction of model categories between the Kan model structure on SCatand the Joyal model structure on SSet whose fibrant objects are $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. We refer to [Lur1, §2.2] for a more detailed discussion about this construction and for the definition of the homotopy category of an $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

2.15. PROPOSITION. For an \mathcal{A}_{∞} -category \mathcal{A} its simplicial nerve $N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ is an $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

PROOF. Consider a morphism of simplicial sets $f : \Lambda_p^n \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$, where n > 0 and 0 are fixed. Such morphism can be identified with an*n* $-simplex of <math>N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ whose components $f_{0...n}$ and $f_{0...\hat{p}...n}$ are not given. The morphism $g : \Delta^n \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{A}_{\infty}}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$g_{0...n} = 0$$

$$g_{0...\hat{p}...n} = \sum_{\substack{0 < j < n, j \neq p \\ 0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_{r-1} < n}} (-1)^{j-1+p} f_{0...\hat{j}...n} + \sum_{\substack{0 < j < n \\ 0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_{r-1} < n}} (-1)^{1+n(j-1)+p} f_{j...n} \circ f_{0...j} + \sum_{\substack{0 < j < n \\ 0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_{r-1} < n}} (-1)^{1+\epsilon_r(j_1,...,j_{r-1})+p} m_r(f_{i_{j_{r-1}}\dots i_k}, \dots, f_{i_0\dots i_{j_1}})$$

$$g_{|\Lambda_p^n} = f$$

provides an extension of f.

- 3. Comparison between pretriangulated dg-categories and stable $(\infty, 1)$ categories
- 3.1. Pretriangulated DG-categories.

3.2. DEFINITION. A zero object of a dg-category \mathcal{D} is an object $0 \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ such that for every $X \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X,0) = 0^{\bullet} = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(0,X)$$

where 0^{\bullet} is the cochain complex having 0 in each degree and zero differential.

3.3. DEFINITION. [dg-category of twisted complexes, [Bo-Ka]] Let \mathcal{D} be a dg-category with a zero object 0. A twisted complex of \mathcal{D} is the data consisting of a pair $K = (K_i, q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where:

- $K_i \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ are equal to 0 for all but a finite number of indices $i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- $q_{ij} \in Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{i-j+1}(K_i, K_j)$ are morphisms satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d(q_{ij}) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q_{kj} q_{ik} = 0$$

for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The dg-category $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ of twisted complexes of \mathcal{D} is the differential category whose objects are twisted complexes of \mathcal{D} and cochain complex of morphisms

$$Hom_{PreTr(\mathcal{D})}^{k}(K,K') = \bigoplus_{l+j-i=k} Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{l}(K_{i},K'_{j})$$

with differential d evaluated on $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{l}(K_{i}, K'_{i})$ given by the expression

$$d(f) = d(f) + \sum_{m} (q'_{jm}f + (-1)^{l(i-m+1)}fq_{mi})$$

3.4. REMARK. For a dg-category \mathcal{D} the category of dg-functors $dgFun(\mathcal{D}, Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}))$ has a dg-enhancement (as described in [Bo-Ka]) in such a way that the diagram of dg-functors



is commutative. Here h is the dg-Yoneda functor defined on objects by

$$h(X)(Y) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X, Y)$$

 ϵ is the dg-functor sending an object $X \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ to the twisted complex concentrated in degree 0 and α is the dg-functor that associates to a twisted complex $K = (K_i, q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the dg-functor

$$\alpha(K)(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(Y, K_i)[-i]$$

with twisted differential d+q. Moreover both dg-categories $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ and $dgFun(\mathcal{D}, Ch^{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}))$ have shift functors and functorial cones which are preserved under the dg-functor α . For a twisted complex K its shift by 1 is given explicitly by the formula

$$K[1]_i = K_{i+1}$$

 $q[1]_{ij} = q_{i+1,j+1}$

and for a morphism of twisted complexes $f: K \longrightarrow K'$ its cone is the twisted complex

$$Cone(f) = (K_{i+1} \bigoplus K'_i, q''_{ij})$$

where q_{ij}'' is the matrix

$$q_{ij}'' = \begin{vmatrix} q_{i+1,j+1} & f_{i+1,j} \\ 0 & q_{ij}' \end{vmatrix}$$

This definition of shift functor and cone construction determines a triangulated structure on the homotopy category $H^0(PreTr(\mathcal{D}))$ [Bo-Ka] where exact triangles are given by sequences in $H^0(PreTr(\mathcal{D}))$ of the form

$$K \xrightarrow{f} K' \longrightarrow Cone(f) \longrightarrow K[1]$$

3.5. DEFINITION. [Pretriangulated dg-category, [Bo-Ka]] A dg-category \mathcal{D} is called pretriangulated if for every twisted complex $K \in PreTr(\mathcal{D})$, the dg-functor $\alpha(K)$ is isomorphic to h(X) for some object $X \in \mathcal{D}$. 3.6. REMARK. If \mathcal{D} is a pretriangulated dg-category the dg-functor $\epsilon : \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ is a quasi-equivalence of dg-categories [Bo-Ka]. In this situation it is possible to transfer the shift functor and the cone construction of $PreTr(\mathcal{D})$ to \mathcal{D} . Namely the shift by +1 of $X \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ is defined as

$$X[1] = T(\epsilon(X)[1]) \tag{11}$$

where T is the inverse equivalence of ϵ and $T(\epsilon(X)[1])$ is an object of \mathcal{D} representing the dg-functor $\alpha(T(\epsilon(X)[1]))$. Similarly the cone of a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is

$$Cone(f) = T(Cone(\epsilon(f)))$$

Moreover there exist canonical quasi-isomorphisms of complexes

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X[1], Y) \simeq Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X, Y[-1]) \simeq Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X, Y)[-1]$$

and for a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ one has

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k}(Cone(f), Z) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k}(Y, Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k-1}(X, Z)$$
(12)

with differential

$$d^{k} = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(Y,Z)}^{k} & 0\\ (-1)^{k}(-\circ f) & d_{(X,Z)}^{k-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

where $d_{(X,Y)}^k = d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^k(X,Y)}$, and

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k}(Z, Cone(f)) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k}(Z, Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(Z, X)$$
(13)

with differential

$$d^{k} = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(Z,Y)}^{k} & (-\circ f) \\ 0 & d_{(Z,X)}^{k+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

3.7. PROPOSITION. [Bo-Ka] The homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ of a pretriangulated dg-category \mathcal{D} is triangulated in the sense of [Ver] with shift functor

 $[1]: H^0(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D})$

defined on objects by Equation (11) and class of exact triangles of the form

$$K \xrightarrow{f} K' \longrightarrow Cone(f) \longrightarrow K[1]$$

where f is a morphism of twisted complexes. Moreover the functor

$$H^0(T): H^0(PreTr(\mathcal{D})) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

3.8. Stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

3.9. DEFINITION. An $(\infty, 1)$ -category X is pointed if there exists an object $0 \in X_0$ called the zero object such that for every $A \in X_0$

$$Map_X(A,0) \simeq * \simeq Map_X(0,A)$$

where $Map_X(-,-)$ is the Kan complex of morphisms in X (see [Lur1, §2.2]).

3.10. DEFINITION. A triangle in a pointed $(\infty, 1)$ -category X is a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \longrightarrow X$ of the form



We say that a triangle is a fiber sequence (the fiber of g) if it is homotopy cartesian and is a cofiber sequence (the cofiber of f) if it is homotopy cocartesian.

3.11. DEFINITION. [Stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category, [Lur2]] An $(\infty, 1)$ -category is stable if

- It is pointed
- Every morphism admits fiber and cofiber
- A triangle is a fiber sequence if and only if it is a cofiber sequence

3.12. REMARK. A stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category X has canonical constructions of the suspension and loop functors

 $\Sigma, \Omega: X \longrightarrow X$

which are equivalences of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [Lur2, Chap. 1]. Explicitly these functors are given on objects by

$$\Sigma(A) = 0 \amalg_A^h 0$$
$$\Omega(A) = 0 \times_A^h 0$$

Moreover its homotopy category h(X) is an additive category and it comes equipped with a notion of distinguished triangles which are diagrams of the form

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} A[1] = \Sigma A$$

induced by a diagram $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^2 \longrightarrow X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow \tilde{g} & & \downarrow \\ 0' & \longrightarrow & C & \stackrel{h}{\longrightarrow} & D \end{array}$$

where

- 0 and 0' are both zero objects
- Both squares are pushout diagrams in X
- The morphisms \tilde{f} an \tilde{g} represent f and g respectively
- The map h is the composition with the homotopy class of \tilde{h} with an equivalence $D \simeq A[1]$.

3.13. PROPOSITION. [Lur2] The homotopy category h(X) of a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category X is a triangulated category in the sense of [Ver] with shift functor induced by the suspension functor

$$\Sigma : h(X) \longrightarrow h(X)$$

and class of distinguished triangles as described in Remark 3.12.

3.14. THE DOLD-KAN CORRESPONDENCE AND COMPUTATION OF HOMOTOPY LIMITS. Recall that the category $S(Vect_{\mathbb{K}})$ of simplicial vector spaces over \mathbb{K} has a model structure called the Quillen model structure [Qui]. Weak-equivalences and fibrations of simplicial vector spaces are morphisms inducing weak-homotopy equivalences and Kan fibrations on the underlying simplicial sets. The category $Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})$ of non-negatively graded chain complexes has a model structure called the projective model structure [Qui]. Weakequivalences are quasi-isomorphisms of chain complexes and fibrations are chain maps which are epimorphisms in each positive degree. Cofibrant objects in this model structure are retract of complexes of projective \mathbb{K} -modules. The Dold-Kan correspondence

$$DK: Ch^{\geq 0}_{\bullet}(\mathbb{K}) \rightleftharpoons S(Vect_{\mathbb{K}}):N$$
 (14)

establishes an equivalence of categories between the category $Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})$ of positively graded chain complexes over \mathbb{K} and the category $S(Vect_{\mathbb{K}})$ [McL]. According to S. Schwede and B. Shipley [Sch-Shi] these functors are both left and right adjoint of a Quillen adjunction between the Quillen model structure on $S(Vect_{\mathbb{K}})$ and the projective model structure on $Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(Vect_{\mathbb{K}})$. Moreover homology groups and homotopy groups are identified under this correspondence.

Recall that for a model category \mathcal{C} and a Reedy indexing category I, the Reedy model structure on the category of functors $Fun(I, \mathcal{C})$ (or I-shaped diagrams in \mathcal{C}) is the model structure for which a morphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a weak-equivalence if it is an object-wise weak-equivalence in \mathcal{C} , a cofibration if the relative latching morphisms at every object of Iare cofibrations in \mathcal{C} and a fibration if the relative matching morphisms at every object of I are fibrations in \mathcal{C} . Quillen equivalences of model categories induce Quillen equivalences in the respective categories of functors with the Reedy model structures [Hir]. We say that \mathcal{C} has I-shaped limits if the functor

$$(-)_{const.} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow Fun(I, \mathcal{C})$$

taking an object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ to the constant functor with value x, has a right adjoint

$$lim: Fun(I, \mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

If C has *I*-shaped limits the total right derived functor of lim exists [Hir] and defines the homotopy limit functor

$$holim: h(Fun(I, \mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow h(\mathcal{C})$$

given explicitly on an object $X \in h(Fun(I, \mathcal{C}))$ by

$$holim(X) = \mathbb{R}(lim)(X) = lim(P(X))$$

where P(X) is the fibrant replacement for X in the Reedy model structure on Fun(I, C). These observations lead to the following lemma.

3.15. LEMMA. Let I be a Reedy indexing category and consider the functor

 $DK_*: Fun(I, Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})) \longrightarrow Fun(I, S(Vect_{\mathbb{K}}))$

defined by applying object-wise the functor DK of (14) to an I-shaped diagram in $Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})$. For $X \in Fun(I, Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K}))$ we have

$$holim(DK_*(X)) \simeq DK(lim(P(X)))$$

where P(X) is the fibrant replacement of X in the Reedy model category on $Fun(I, Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K}))$. PROOF. By definition we have

$$holim(DK_*(X)) \simeq lim(P(DK_*(X)))$$

The functor DK is the right and left adjoint of a Quillen equivalence [Sch-Shi] hence

$$P(DK_*(X)) \simeq DK_*(P(X))$$

Moreover DK preserves limits which implies that

$$lim(P(DK_*(X))) \simeq lim(DK_*(P(X))) \simeq DK(lim(P(X)))$$

3.16. EXAMPLE. We give an explicit construction of the fibrant replacement in the Reedy model structure in order to compute homotopy limits when the indexing category I is the category



Given $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ a morphism in $Fun(I, \mathcal{C})$ it is easy to check that relative matching morphisms are

$$M_0(f): X_0 \longrightarrow Y_0$$

and for i = 1, 2

$$M_i(f): X_i \longrightarrow Y_i \underset{Y_0}{\times} X_0$$

In particular a morphism f is a fibrations if the morphisms $M_i(f)$ are fibrations in \mathcal{C} , for i = 0, 1, 2, and an object $X \in Fun(I, \mathcal{C})$ is a fibrant object if X_0 is a fibrant object in \mathcal{C} and the morphisms $X_1 \longrightarrow X_0$, $X_2 \longrightarrow X_0$ are fibrations. Hence a fibrant replacement of a diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} X_1 \\ \downarrow f_{10} \\ X_2 \xrightarrow[f_{20}]{} X_0 \end{array}$$

where X_0 fibrant is given by

$$P(f_{10}) \downarrow \\ P(f_{20}) \twoheadrightarrow X_0$$

where

$$\begin{array}{c}
P(f_{i0}) \\
\cong \swarrow \\
X_i \longrightarrow X_0
\end{array}$$

is a trivial cofibration-fibration factorization of the morphisms f_{i0} , i = 1, 2. In particular we get the following expression for the homotopy fibre product of X

$$X_2 \underset{X_0}{\times} X_1 := holim(X) \simeq P(f_{20}) \underset{X_0}{\times} P(f_{10})$$

When $\mathcal{C} = Ch_{\bullet}^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{K})$ with the projective model structure a trivial cofibration-fibration factorization of a chain map $f_{\bullet} : A_{\bullet} \longrightarrow B_{\bullet}$ is given by



where $P(f_{\bullet})$ is the chain complex in degree n > 0

$$P(f_{\bullet})_n = A_n \bigoplus B_{n+1} \bigoplus B_n$$

and in degree 0

$$P(f_{\bullet})_0 = A_0 \bigoplus B_1 \bigoplus D_0$$

with $D_0 \subseteq B_0$ defined by the equation $b_0 = d(b_1) + f_0(a_0)$, with $b_1 \in B_1$ and $a_0 \in A_0$. The differential is

$$d_n = \begin{vmatrix} d_{A_n} & 0 & 0 \\ -f_n & -d_{B_{n+1}} & Id_{B_n} \\ 0 & 0 & d_{B_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

and the morphisms i and p are

$$i_n = \begin{vmatrix} Id_{A_n} & 0 & f_n \end{vmatrix}$$
$$p_n = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ Id_{B_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

One can easily check that $H_*(P(f_{\bullet})) \simeq H_*(A_{\bullet})$ and that p is a fibration, being degree-wise surjective. The fact that i is a cofibration follows from the fact that every K-vector space is free and hence projective.

3.17. Pretriangulated dg-categories are stable $(\infty, 1)$ -categories under the nerve construction.

3.18. THEOREM. For a pretriangulated dg-category \mathcal{D} the dg-nerve $N_{dg}(\mathcal{D})$ is a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category. Moreover $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ is identified with $h(N_{dg}(\mathcal{D}))$ as triangulated categories.

PROOF. Recall that the big dg-nerve $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$ is the $(\infty, 1)$ -category defined as the nerve of the simplicial category \mathcal{D}_{Δ} (see Remark 2.14) having the same objects of \mathcal{D} and simplicial set of morphisms given by

$$Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}}(X,Y) := DK(\tau_{>0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y)^{op}))$$

where DK is the functor of the Dold-Kan correspondence (14), $\tau_{\geq 0}$ and $(-)^{op}$ are the functors defined in Section 1.1. The big dg-nerve and the dg-nerve are equivalent $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [Lur2, §1.3] hence it is enough to show that the big dg-nerve is a stable $(\infty, 1)$ -category. Let 0 be the zero object of \mathcal{D} then

$$Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}}(X,0) \simeq * \simeq Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda}}(0,X)$$

for every object X of \mathcal{D} hence $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$ is pointed. For a 1-simplex $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ in $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$ we show that it admits fiber and cofiber. Consider the case of the cofiber first. Let

 $j: Y \longrightarrow Cone(f)$ be the degree 0 morphism corresponding to $(Id_Y, 0)$ according to the equality (see Equation (13))

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Y, Cone(f)) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Y, Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{1}(Y, X)$$

This is a closed morphism because

$$d(Id_Y, 0) = (d(Id_Y) + f \circ 0, d(0)) = (0, 0)$$

hence j identifies a 1-simplex of $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$. The composition $j \circ f$ is null-homotopic in the sense that for

$$h = (0, Id_Y) \in Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(Y, Cone(f)) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(Y, Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Y, X)$$

we have

$$d(h) = (d(0) + f \circ Id_Y, d(0)) = (f, 0) = j \circ f$$

These data determines a triangle in $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$



In order to show that Cone(f) is the cofiber of f we need to construct a weak-equivalence

$$Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}}(Cone(f), Z) \longrightarrow Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}}(Y, Z) \underset{Map_{\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}}(X, Z)}{\times^{h}} *$$
 (16)

for every object $Z \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$. According to Lemma 3.15 this is equivalent to exhibit a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes

$$\tau_{\geq 0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(Cone(f), Z)^{op}) \longrightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(Y, Z)^{op}) \underset{\tau_{\geq 0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Z)^{op})}{\times^{h}} 0$$
(17)

Following Example 3.16 the right hand side of Equation (17) can be identified with the fibre product

$$P(-\circ f) \underset{\tau_{\geq 0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(X,Z)^{op})}{\times} P(0)$$

where the chain complex $P(-\circ f)$ is

$$\begin{cases} P(-\circ f)_0 = Ker(d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^0(Y,Z)}) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X,Z) \oplus D^0, & \text{if } k = 0\\ P(-\circ f)_k = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(Y,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k-1}(X,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(X,Z), & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

with $D^0 \subseteq Hom^0_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Z)$ defined by the equation $g^0 = h^0 \circ f + d(g^{-1})$, for $h^0 \in Ker(d_{Hom^0_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,Z)})$ and $g^{-1} \in Hom^{-1}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, Z)$. The differential for k > 0 is

$$d_k = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(Y,Z)}^{-k} & 0 & 0\\ -(-\circ f) & -d_{(X,Z)}^{-k-1} & Id_{(X,Z)}\\ 0 & 0 & d_{(Y,Z)}^{-k} \end{vmatrix}$$

and for k = 0

$$d_0 = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(Y,Z)}^0 & 0 & 0\\ -(-\circ f) & -d_{(X,Z)}^{-1} & Id_{(X,Z)}\\ 0 & 0 & d_{(Y,Z)}^0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where $d_{(X,Y)}^k = d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^k(X,Y)}$. The chain complex P(0) is

$$\begin{cases} P(0)_0 = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X, Z) \oplus Im(d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X, Z)}), & \text{if } k = 0\\ P(0)_k = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k-1}(X, Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(X, Z), & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

with differential for k > 0

$$d_k = \begin{vmatrix} -d_{(X,Z)}^{-k-1} & Id_{(X,Z)} \\ 0 & d_{(Y,Z)}^{-k} \end{vmatrix}$$

and for k = 0

$$d_0 = \begin{vmatrix} -d_{(X,Z)}^{-1} & Id_{(X,Z)} \\ 0 & d_{(Y,Z)}^{0} \end{vmatrix}$$

Hence the right hand side of Equation (17) is computed by the cochain complex having degree k > 0 component

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(Y,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k-1}(X,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k-1}(X,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(X,Z)$$

with differential

$$d_k = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(X,Z)}^{-k} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -(-\circ f) & -d_{(X,Z)}^{-k-1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -d_{(X,Z)}^{-k-1} & Id_{(X,Z)}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_{(X,Z)}^{-k} \end{vmatrix}$$

and degree 0 component the subspace of the direct sum $P(-\circ f)_0 \oplus P(0)_0$ corresponding to $D^0 \oplus Im(d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X,Z)})$ via the inclusion in $Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^0(X,Z)$. This chain complex is quasiisomorphic to the chain complex whose degree k > 0 component is

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k}(Y,Z) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-k-1}(X,Z)$$

with differential

$$d_k = \begin{vmatrix} d_{(X,Z)}^{-k} & 0\\ -(-\circ f) & -d_{(X,Z)}^{-k-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

and degree 0 component

 $Ker(d_{Hom^0_{\mathcal{D}}(Y,Z)}) \oplus E^{-1}$

where $E^{-1} \subseteq Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X, Z)$ is defined by the equation $d(g^{-1}) + g^0 \circ f = 0$, for $g^0 \in Ker(d_{Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^0(Y,Z)})$. This is quasi-isomorphic to the truncation $\tau_{\geq 0}(Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{\bullet}(Cone(f), Z)^{op})$ and hence it provides the weak-equivalence of equation (17). For computing the fiber of f, a similar computation shows that the morphism $i : Cone(f)[-1] \longrightarrow X$ corresponding to $(Id_X, 0)$ according to the equality (see Equation (12))

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Cone(f)[-1], X) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(X, X) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{1}(Y, X)$$

induces and homotopy cartesian triangle in $N_{dq}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$

$$Cone(f)[1] \xrightarrow{j} X$$

$$\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ Y$$
(18)

We show now that the diagram (15) is also cartesian. Let h and g be the closed degree 0 morphisms corresponding respectively to $(0, \pi_X)$ and $(0, (-f) \oplus i_X)$ according to the equalities

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(X, Cone(j)[-1]) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(X, Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(X, Y \oplus X)$$
$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Cone(j)[-1], X) = Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{1}(Y, X) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Y \oplus X, X)$$

where $\pi_X : Y \oplus X \longrightarrow X$ and $i_X : X \longrightarrow Y \oplus X$ are the canonical projection and inclusion morphisms. Let α corresponding to $(0, -i_Y, 0, 0)$ according to the identification of $Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(Cone(j)[-1], Cone(j)[-1])$ with

$$Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(Y,Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{0}(Y,Y \oplus X) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-2}(Y \oplus X,Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(Y \oplus X,Y \oplus X)$$

We have that $d(\alpha) = g \circ h - Id_{Cone(j)[-1]}$ because the differential in degree -1 is given by (see Equations (12) and (13))

$$d_{-1}(c^{-1}, c^{0}, c^{-2}, d^{-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} d(c^{-1}) + (Id_{Y} \oplus f) \circ c^{0} \\ d(c^{0}) \\ d(c^{-2}) + c^{-1} \circ (Id_{Y} \oplus f) + (Id_{Y} \oplus f) \circ d^{-1} \\ d(d^{-1}) + c^{0} \circ (Id_{Y} \oplus f) \end{vmatrix}$$

On the other hand $h \circ g = Id_X$ which implies that X and Cone(j)[-1] are homotopy equivalent in $N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D})$. A similar argument shows that the diagram (18) is also cocartesian. The fact that $H^0(\mathcal{D})$ is equivalent to $h(N_{dg}^{big}(\mathcal{D}))$ as triangulated categories follows from the arguments in this proof.

References

- Bondal A.I., Kapranov M., *Enhanced Triangulated Categories*. Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol. 70 (1991), pp. 93107.
- Fukaya K., Floer homology and mirror symmetry, II. Minimal surfaces, geometric analysis and symplectic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1999), pp. 31127 (Math. Soc. Japan, 2002).
- Hinich V.A., Schechtman V. V., On homotopy limits of homotopy algebras. Volume 1289 of the series Lecture Notes in Mathematics pp. 240-264.
- Hirschhorn P.S., *Model Categories and their localization*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 99 (American Mathematical Society, 2000)
- Kontsevich M., Soibelman Y., *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations* (arXiv:math/0011041).
- Kelly G.M., Basic Concepts of Enriched Category Theory Theory and Applications of Categories, No. 10 (2005) pp. 1-136.
- Lefvre-Hasegawa K., Sur les \mathcal{A}_{∞} -categories (arXiv:math/0310337).
- Lurie J., *Higher Topos Theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 170. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2009).
- Lurie J., Higher Algebra. Preprint, 2012.
- Mac Lane S., *Homology*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Band 114 (Springer-Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1975).
- Schwede S., Shipley B., *Equivalence of monoidal model categories*. Preprint (http://homepages.math.uic.edu/ bshipley/monoidalequi.final.pdf).
- Quillen D., *Homotopical Algebra*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 43, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- Stasheff J.D., Homotopy associativity of H-spaces, II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1963, vol. 108, pp. 293-312.
- Verdier J-L., *Dés Catégories Dérivès des Catégories Abéliennes* Astérisque 239 (Société Mathématique de France, Marseilles).

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, 10 Hillhouse Avenue, New Haven CT 06520 USA.

Email: giovanni.faonte@yale.edu

This article may be accessed at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

Full text of the journal is freely available from the journal's server at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is T_EX , and IAT_EX2e is required. Articles in PDF format may be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

TFXNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: barr@math.mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT $T_{\!E\!}\!X$ EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr Richard Blute, Université d'Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: breen@math.univ-paris13.fr Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com Valeria de Paiva: Nuance Communications Inc: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: M.Hyland@dpmms.cam.ac.uk Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@imf.au.dk Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk Ieke Moerdijk, Utrecht University: i.moerdijk@uu.nl Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu Ross Street, Macquarie University: ross.street@mg.edu.au Walter Tholen, York University: tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca Myles Tierney, Université du Québec à Montréal : tierney.myles40gmail.com R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca