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Abstract. We study the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the entire three-dimensional space. We prove that if $\partial_{3} u_{3} \in L_{t}^{s_{1}} L_{x}^{r_{1}}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in L_{t}^{s_{2}} L_{x}^{r_{2}}$, then the solution is regular. Here $\frac{2}{s_{1}}+\frac{3}{r_{1}} \leq 1,3 \leq r_{1} \leq \infty, \frac{2}{s_{2}}+\frac{3}{r_{2}} \leq 1$ and $3 \leq r_{2} \leq \infty$.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper, we consider Cauchy problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \cdot \nabla u+\nabla p & =\nu \Delta u  \tag{1.1}\\
\nabla \cdot u & =0 \\
u(x, 0) & =u_{0}(x)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

in which $u=\left(u_{1}(x, t), u_{2}(x, t), u_{3}(x, t)\right)$ is the unknown velocity field, $u_{0}=$ $\left(u_{1}(x, 0), u_{2}(x, 0), u_{3}(x, 0)\right)$ is the initial velocity field with $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$, and $p(x, t)$ is a scalar pressure. While $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity coefficient, we will assume that $\nu \equiv 1$ for simplicity in this paper. Here we use the classical notations

$$
\nabla u=\left(\partial_{1} u, \partial_{2} u, \partial_{3} u\right), \Delta u=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_{i}^{2} u, \nabla \cdot u=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_{i} u_{i}, u \cdot \nabla u=\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j} \partial_{j} u
$$

Date: Received: August 12, 2010; Revised: November 24, 2010.
*Corresponding author
(C) 2011 N.A.G.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q30, 76D03.
Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations; Leray-Hopf weak solution; Regularity.

In 1934, Leray proved the existence of a global weak solution

$$
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, \infty ; L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right) \bigcap L^{2}\left(0, \infty ; H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)
$$

on the condition that $u_{0} \in L^{2}$ and $\nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$. It is called the Leray-Hopf weak solution which satisfies the energy inequality [1]. If $\|u(t)\|_{H^{1}}$ is continuous, then we say that $u(t)$ is regular. Up to the present, the regularity and uniqueness of the weak solutions is still an open problem. On the other hand, there are already many criterions which ensure that the weak solution is regular [2, 3].

In this paper, we give a regularity criterion concerning one derivative of one component of the velocity and other components of velocity.

## 2. Main Results

Our main result can be stated as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{3} u_{3} \in L_{t}^{s_{1}} L_{x}^{r_{1}}, \text { where } \frac{2}{s_{1}}+\frac{3}{r_{1}} \leq 1,3 \leq r_{1} \leq \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
u_{1}, u_{2} \in L_{t}^{s_{2}} L_{x}^{r_{2}}, \text { where } \frac{2}{s_{2}}+\frac{3}{r_{2}} \leq 1,3 \leq r_{2} \leq \infty
$$

Then $u$ is regular.
At first, let us recall the definition of the Leray-Hopf weak solution.
Definition 2.2. (Leray-Hopf weak solution) If a measurable vector u satisfies the following properties in $0 \leq T \leq \infty$ :
(1) $u$ is weakly continuous in $[0, T) \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$,
(2) $u$ verifies (1.1) in the sense of distribution

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}+u \cdot \nabla \Phi+\triangle \Phi\right) \cdot u d x d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{0} \cdot \Phi(x, 0) d x=0
$$

$\forall \Phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0, T)\right), \quad \nabla \cdot \Phi=0, \Phi$ is a vector function,
(3) $u$ satisfies the energy inequality

$$
\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t}\|\nabla u(\cdot, s)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
$$

then u is called a Leray-Hopf weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations.
We give three lemmas for the proof of the theorem.
Lemma 2.3. (The estimate for the pressure in $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ )

$$
\|p\|_{L^{q}}(t) \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2 q}}^{2}(t), 1<q<\infty .
$$

Proof. See [2].

Lemma 2.4. (Interpolation inequality) Assume $u \in L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times I\right)$ and $\nabla u \in$ $L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times I\right)$, where $I$ is an open interval. Then $u \in L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times I\right)$ for all $r, s$ such that

$$
\frac{2}{s}+\frac{3}{r} \leq \frac{3}{2}
$$

and $2 \leq r \leq 6$. Moreover,

$$
\|u\|_{L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{2}}^{(6-r) / 2 r}\|\nabla u\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}}^{(3 r-6) / 2 r} .
$$

Proof. See [3].
By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we get the following.
Lemma 2.5. (The estimate for the pressure in $\left.L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times I\right)\right)$

$$
\|p\|_{L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \frac{2}{s}+\frac{3}{r}=3,1<r \leq 3
$$

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 2.1) At first, let us multiply the equation for $u_{3}$ of (1.1) by $\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}$ and integrate over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{3} t \in(0, T)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial t} \cdot\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \triangle u_{3} \cdot\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}= & -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u \cdot \nabla u_{3} \cdot\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3} \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{3} p \cdot\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3} \\
I_{1}+I_{2}= & I_{3}+I_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating every term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\partial\left|u_{3}\right|^{3}}{\partial t}=\left.\frac{1}{3}\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L^{3}}^{3}\right|_{0} ^{t}=\frac{1}{3}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{3}}^{3}-\frac{1}{3}\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^{3}}^{3}, \\
& I_{2}=-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i i} u_{3}\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}=\frac{8}{9} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(\partial_{i}\left|u_{3}\right|^{3 / 2}\right)^{2}=\frac{8}{9}\left\|\nabla\left|u_{3}\right|^{3 / 2}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}}^{2}, \\
& I_{3}=-\sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u_{i} \partial_{i} u_{3}\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \partial_{i} u_{i}\left|u_{3}\right|^{3}=0, \\
& I_{4}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} p \partial_{3}\left(\left|u_{3}\right| u_{3}\right) \leq C\|p\|_{L_{t}^{s_{2}} L_{x}^{r_{2}}}^{3 / 2}\left\|\partial_{3} u_{3}\right\|_{L_{t}^{s_{1}}}^{3 / 2} L_{x}^{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{6}\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{3}}^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{1}{s_{1}}+\frac{1}{s_{2}}=1 & \\ \frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{r_{2}}=\frac{2}{3} & \\ \frac{2}{s_{1}}+\frac{3}{r_{1}}=1 & 2 \leq s_{1} \leq \infty, 3 \leq r_{1} \leq \infty \\ \frac{2}{s_{2}}+\frac{3}{r_{2}}=3 & 1 \leq s_{2} \leq 2, \frac{3}{2} \leq r_{2} \leq 3\end{cases}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p\|_{L_{t}^{s_{2}} L_{x}^{r_{2}}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{4} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\left\|\partial_{3} u_{3}\right\|_{L_{t}^{s_{1}} L_{x}^{r_{1}}}^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{6}\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{3}}^{3} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing the above calculating of $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ and $I_{4}$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{6}\left\|u_{3}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{3}}^{3}+\frac{8}{9}\left\|\nabla\left|u_{3}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}}^{2} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{3}\left\|\partial_{3} u_{3}\right\|_{L_{t}^{s_{1}} L_{x}^{r_{1}}}^{\frac{3}{2}}+C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

By (2.1), we get

$$
\left\|u_{3}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{3}}+\left\|\nabla\left|u_{3}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}} \leq C
$$

moreover,

$$
\left\|u_{3}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{3} L_{x}^{9}} \leq C\left\|\nabla\left|u_{3}\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{2}}^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

and so

$$
\left\|u_{3}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{\infty} L_{x}^{3}}+\left\|u_{3}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L_{t}^{3} L_{x}^{9}} \leq C,
$$

i.e., $u_{3} \in L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}$, where $\frac{2}{s}+\frac{3}{r} \leq 1,3 \leq r \leq 9$, so the solution is regular making use of the regularity criterion [4, 5]:

$$
u \in L_{t}^{s} L_{x}^{r}, \text { where } \frac{2}{s}+\frac{3}{r} \leq 1,3 \leq r \leq \infty
$$

which completes the proof.
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