



Hyers-Ulam–Rassias stability of Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation in 2-Banach spaces

G. Zamani Eskandani ^{a,*}, P. Gavruta^b

^aFaculty of Mathematical Science University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, University Politehnica of Timisoara, Piata Victoriei No. 2,300006 Timisoara, Romania.

Dedicated to George A Anastassiou on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

Communicated by Professor R. Saadati

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate stability of the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation in 2-Banach spaces and pose an open problem.

copyright 2012 NGA. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Linear 2-normed space, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation .

2010 MSC: Primary 46BXX, 39B72, 47Jxx

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [34] asked the first question on the stability problem for mappings. In 1941, D. H. Hyers [14] solved the problem of Ulam. This result was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Th. M. Rassias [24] for linear mappings by considering an *unbounded Cauchy difference*. The paper of Th. M. Rassias has provided a lot of influence in the development of what we now call Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations. In 1994, a further generalization was obtained by P. Găvruta [13]. During the last two decades, a number of papers and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability to a number of functional equations and mappings (see [5]-[12],[16]-[20],[22]-[23], [25]-[29], [32],[33]). We also refer the readers to the books: P. Czerwik [4] and D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias [15].

In the 1960s, S. Gähler [8, 9] introduced the concept of linear 2-normed spaces.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: zamani@tabrizu.ac.ir (G. Zamani Eskandani), pgavruta@yahoo.com (P. Gavruta)

Definition 1.1. Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{R} with $\dim X > 1$ and let $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent,
- (b) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$,
- (c) $\|\lambda x, y\| = |\lambda| \|x, y\|$,
- (d) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is called a 2-norm on X and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a linear 2-normed space. Sometimes the condition (d) called the triangle inequality.

It follows from (d), that $\|x + y, z\| \leq \|x, z\| + \|y, z\|$ and $|\|x, z\| - \|y, z\|| \leq \|x - y, z\|$. Hence the functions $x \rightarrow \|x, y\|$ are continuous functions of X into \mathbb{R} for each fixed $y \in X$.

Lemma 1.2. ([21]) Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a linear 2-normed space. If $x \in X$ and $\|x, y\| = 0$ for all $y \in X$, then $x = 0$.

Definition 1.3. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a linear 2-normed space X is called a Cauchy sequence if there are two points $y, z \in X$ such that y and z are linearly independent,

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n, y\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n, z\| = 0.$$

Definition 1.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a linear 2-normed space X is called a convergent sequence if there is an $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x, y\| = 0,$$

for all $y \in X$. If $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and call x the limit of $\{x_n\}$. In this case, we also write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.5. A linear 2-normed space in which every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence is called a 2-Banach space.

Lemma 1.6. ([21]) For a convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a linear 2-normed space X ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n, y\| = \|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, y\|,$$

for all $y \in X$.

In [21] Won-Gil Park has investigated approximate additive mappings, approximate Jensen mappings and approximate quadratic mappings in 2-Banach spaces. In this paper, we investigate stability of the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation in 2-Banach spaces and pose an open problem.

2. Stability of the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation

Throughout this paper, let X be a normed linear space, Y be a 2-Banach space with $\dim Y > 1$ and k is a fixed integer greater than 1.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \varphi(k^n x, k^n y, z) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x + y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\widetilde{M}_k(x, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{M(k^n x, ik^n x, z)}{k^n}, \tag{2.3}$$

exist for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $M(x, y, z) := \varphi(x, y, z) + \varphi(0, y, z) + \varphi(x, 0, z)$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{1}{k} \widetilde{M}_k(x, z) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x, z \in X$.

Proof. Replacing $y = 0$ in (2.2), we get

$$\|f(x) - g(x), z\| \leq \varphi(x, 0, z), \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Replacing $x = 0$ in (2.2), we get

$$\|f(y) - h(y), z\| \leq \varphi(0, y, z), \tag{2.6}$$

for all $y, z \in X$. By using (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y), z\| \leq M(x, y, z), \tag{2.7}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where

$$M(x, y, z) := \varphi(x, y, z) + \varphi(x, 0, z) + \varphi(0, y, z).$$

By induction on k , we show that

$$\|f(kx) - kf(x), z\| \leq M_k(x, z), \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x, z \in X$, where $M_k(x, z) := \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} M(x, ix, z)$. Letting $y = x$ in (2.7), we get

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x), z\| \leq M(x, x, z), \tag{2.9}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. So we get (2.8) for $k = 2$.

Assume that (2.8) holds for k . Letting $y = kx$ in (2.7), we get

$$\|f((k + 1)x) - f(x) - f(kx), z\| \leq M(x, kx, z) \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. It follows from (2.8) and (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f((k + 1)x) - (k + 1)f(x), z\| \\ & \leq \|f((k + 1)x) - f(x) - f(kx), z\| + \|f(kx) - kf(x), z\| \\ & \leq M_{k+1}(x, z), \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction argument. Replacing x by $k^n x$ in (2.8) and dividing both sides of (2.8) by k^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} f(k^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x), z \right\| \leq \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} M_k(k^n x, z), \tag{2.11}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} f(k^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{k^m} f(k^m x), z \right\| & \leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{k^{i+1}} f(k^{i+1}x) - \frac{1}{k^i} f(k^i x), z \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{1}{k^i} M_k(k^i x, z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x, z \in X$ and all non-negative integers m and n with $n \geq m$. Therefore, we conclude from (2.3) and (2.12) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete the sequence $\{\frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x)\}$ converges in Y for all $x \in X$. So one can define the mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ by:

$$A_k(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x), \tag{2.13}$$

for all $x \in X$. That is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\frac{1}{k^n}f(k^n x) - A_k(x), y\| = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get (2.4). Now, we show that $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ is an additive mapping. It follows from (2.1), (2.7), (2.13) and Lemma 1.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_k(x + y) - A_k(x) - A_k(y), z\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \|f(k^n x + k^n y) - f(k^n x) - f(k^n y), z\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} M(k^n x, k^n y, z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Lemma 1.2, $A_k(x + y) - A_k(x) - A_k(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. So the mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

To prove the uniqueness of A_k , let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (2.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_k(x) - T(x), z\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \|A_k(k^n x) - T(k^n x), z\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^{n+1}} \widetilde{M}_k(k^n x, z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. By Lemma 1.2, $A_k(x) - f(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$. So $A_k = T$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and*

1. $\psi(ts) \leq \psi(t)\psi(s)$,
2. $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 1$.

Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x + y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq \psi(\|x\|_X) + \psi(\|y\|_X) + \psi(\|z\|_X), \tag{2.14}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + \psi(i))}{k - \psi(k)} \psi(\|x\|_X) + 3\psi(\|z\|_X), \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Moreover, $A_k = A_2$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = \psi(\|x\|_X) + \psi(\|y\|_X) + \psi(\|z\|_X),$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. It follows from (1) that $\psi(k^n) \leq (\psi(k))^n$ and

$$\varphi(k^n x, k^n y, z) \leq (\psi(k))^n (\psi(\|x\|_X) + \psi(\|y\|_X)) + \psi(\|z\|_X)$$

By using Theorem 2.1, we can get (2.15). Now, we show that $A_k = A_2$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $A_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.15) and dividing both sides of (2.15) by 2^n , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - A_k(x), z \right\| &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + \psi(i))}{k - \psi(k)} \frac{\psi(\|2^n x\|_X)}{2^n} + \frac{3\psi(\|z\|_X)}{2^n} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 + \psi(i))}{k - \psi(k)} \psi(\|x\|_X) \frac{\psi(2^n)}{2^n} + \frac{3\psi(\|z\|_X)}{2^n} \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. By passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.16), we get $A_k = A_2$. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let p, q be non-negative real numbers such that $p > 0, q < 1$ and $H : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homogeneous function of degree q . Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|f(x + y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq H(\|x\|_X, \|y\|_X) + \|z\|_X^p,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{\sigma_k(H)}{k - k^q} \|x\|_X^q + 3\|z\|_X^p \tag{2.17}$$

for all $x \in X$, where $\sigma_k(H) := (k - 1)H(1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} H(1, i) + H(0, i)$. Moreover, $A_k = A_2$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = H(\|x\|_X, \|y\|_X) + \|z\|_X^p$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By using Theorem 2.1, we can get (2.17). Now, we show that $A_k = A_2$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that $A_k(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} f(k^n x)$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ in (2.17) and dividing both sides of (2.17) by 2^n , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - A_k(x), z \right\| \leq \frac{\sigma_k(H)}{k - k^q} \frac{\|2^n x\|_X^q}{2^n} + \frac{3\|z\|_X^p}{2^n} \tag{2.18}$$

for all $x \in X$. By passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - A_k(x), z \right\| &= 0, \\ \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - A_k(x), z \right\| &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. By Lemma 1.2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} - A_k(x) = 0$, so $A_k = A_2$. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let p, q be non-negative real numbers such that $p > 0, q < 1$ and $H : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a homogeneous function of degree q . Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and*

$$\|f(x + y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq H(\|x\|_X, \|y\|_X) \|z\|_X^p,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{\sigma_k(H)}{k - k^q} \|x\|_X^q \|z\|_X^p \tag{2.19}$$

for all $x, z \in X$, where $\sigma_k(H) := (k - 1)H(1, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} H(1, i) + H(0, i)$. Moreover, $A_k = A_2$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 2.5. Let p be real number such that $0 < p < 1$. Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x+y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq \|x\|_X^p + \|y\|_X^p + \|z\|_X^p,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{2(k-1) + 2(1^p + 2^p + \dots + (k-1)^p)}{k - k^p} \|x\|_X^p + 3\|z\|_X^p$$

for all $x, z \in X$, Moreover, $A_k = A_2$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Corollary 2.6. Let r, s, p be real numbers such that $p > 0, r + s < 1$. Suppose that $f, g, h : X \rightarrow Y$ be mappings with $f(0) = g(0) = h(0) = 0$ and

$$\|f(x+y) - g(x) - h(y), z\| \leq \|x\|_X^r \|y\|_X^s \|z\|_X^p$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there is a unique additive mapping $A_k : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A_k(x), z\| \leq \frac{1^s + 2^s + \dots + (k-1)^s}{k - k^{r+s}} \|x\|_X^{r+s} \|z\|_X^p$$

for all $x, z \in X$, Moreover, $A_k = A_2$ for all $k \geq 2$ and

$$f(x) = g(x) = h(x),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Open problem: What is the best possible value of k in Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6?

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950) 64-66.
- [2] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, vol. 1, Colloq. Publ., vol. **48**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, New Jersey, London, Singapore, Hong Kong, 2002.
- [5] G.Z. Eskandani, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of an additive functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **345** (2008) 405-409.
- [6] G. Z. Eskandani, P. Gavruta, J. M. Rassias, and R. Zarghami, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a general mixed functional equation in quasi- β -normed spaces*, Mediterr. J. Math. **8** (2011), 331-348.
- [7] G.Z. Eskandani, H. Vaezi and Y.N. Dehghan, *Stability of mixed additive and quadratic functional equation in non-Archimedean Banach modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **14** (2010) 1309-1324.
- [8] S. Ghler, *2-metrische Rume und ihre topologische Struktur*, Math. Nachr. **26** (1963) 115-148.
- [9] S. Ghler, *Lineare 2-normierte Rumen*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1964) 1-43.
- [10] L. Gävruta, P. Gävruta and G.Z.Eskandani, *Hyers-Ulam stability of frames in Hilbert spaces*, Bul. Stiint. Univ. Politeh. Timis. Ser. Mat. Fiz. 55(69), 2 (2010) 60-77.
- [11] P. Gävruta, *On a problem of G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias concerning the stability of mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **261** (2001), 543-553.
- [12] P. Gävruta, *An answer to question of John M. Rassias concerning the stability of Cauchy equation*, Advanced in Equation and Inequality (1999) 67-71.
- [13] P. Gävruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994) 431-436.
- [14] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [15] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [16] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [17] S. M. Jung, *Asymptotic properties of isometries*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002) 642-653.

- [18] Pl. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces*, Results Math. **27** (1995), 368–372.
- [19] A. Najati and G.Z. Eskandani, *Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **342** (2008) 1318-1331.
- [20] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [21] W.G. Park, *Approximate additive mappings in 2-Banach spaces and related topics*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **376** (2011) 193-202.
- [22] J.M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 126-130, 1982.
- [23] J. M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques, vol. 108, no. 4, pp. 445-446, 1984.
- [24] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [25] Th.M. Rassias, *On a modified Hyers–Ulam sequence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **158** (1991) 106–113.
- [26] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000) 264–284.
- [27] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. **62** (1) (2000) 23–130.
- [28] Th.M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy HyersUlam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992) 989-993.
- [29] Th.M. Rassias and J. Tabor, *What is left of Hyers–Ulam stability?*, J. Natural Geometry, **1** (1992), 65–69.
- [30] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar and J. M. Rassias, *Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation*, Intern. J. Math. Stat. **3** (A08)(2008), 36–46.
- [31] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
- [32] R. Saadati, Y.J. Cho and J. Vahidi, *The stability of the quartic functional equation in various spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. (2010) doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.07.034.
- [33] R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, Y. Cho, *A note on the On the stability of cubic mappings and quadratic mappings in random normed spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2009). Article ID 214530.
- [34] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, (1960),431-436.