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#### Abstract

We present a local convergence analysis for deformed Halley method in order to approximate a solution of a nonlinear equation in a Banach space setting. Our methods include the Halley and other high order methods under hypotheses up to the first Fréchet-derivative in contrast to earlier studies using hypotheses up to the second or third Fréchet-derivative. The convergence ball and error estimates are given for these methods. Numerical examples are also provided in this study. © 2015 All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Many problems in computational sciences and other disciplines can be brought in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a Fréchet-differentiable operator defined on a convex subset $D$ of a Banach space $X$ with values in a Banach space $Y$ using mathematical modeling [2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 14, 15].

In this study we are concerned with approximating a solution $x^{*}$ of the equation (1.1). In general the solutions of (1.1) can not be found in closed form, so one has to consider some iterative methods for solving (1.1]. Usually the convergence analysis of iterative methods are two types: semi-local and local convergence analysis. The semi-local convergence analysis is, based on the information around an initial

[^0]point, to give conditions ensuring the convergence of the iterative procedure; while the local one is, based on the information around a solution, to find estimates of the radii of convergence balls. In particular, the practice of Numerical Functional Analysis for finding solution $x^{*}$ of equation (1.1) is essentially connected to variants of Newton's method. This method converges quadratically to $x^{*}$ if the initial guess is close enough to the solution. Iterative methods of convergence order higher than two such as Chebyshev-Halley-type methods [1, 3, 5, 7]- [16] require the evaluation of the second Fréchet-derivative, which is very expensive in general. However, there are integral equations, where the second Fréchet-derivative is diagonal by blocks and inexpensive [10]-[13] or for quadratic equations the second Fréchet-derivative is constant [4, 12]. Moreover, in some applications involving stiff systems [2], [5], 9], high order methods are usefull. That is why we study the local convergence of deformed Halley method DHM defined for each $n=0,1,2, \cdots$ by
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right), \\
z_{n} & =x_{n}+\alpha F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right), \\
H_{n} & =\frac{1}{\lambda} F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+\lambda\left(z_{n}-x_{n}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} H_{n}\left(I-\frac{1}{2} H_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $x_{0}$ is an initial point, $\lambda \in(0,1]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ are given parameters. Deformed methods have been introduced to improve on the convergence order of Newton's method or Newton-like methods [2, 3, 10, 11, [14, 15, 16]. In particular, DHM was proposed in [17] as an alternative to the famous Halley method defined for each $n=0,1,2, \cdots$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{n}\right), \\
L_{n} & =F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) F^{\prime-1}\left(x_{n}\right) F\left(x_{n}\right) \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}+\frac{1}{2} L_{n}\left(I-\frac{1}{2} L_{n}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the computation of the expensive in general second Fréchet derivative $F^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is required in method (1.3) but not in DHM.

The semilocal convergence analysis of DHM was given in [17] under Lipschitz continuity conditions on up to the second Fréchet-derivative in the special case when $\alpha=1$ and $\lambda>0$.

The usual conditions for the semi-local convergence of these methods are $(\mathcal{C})$ : There exist constants $\beta, \eta, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ such that
$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ There exists $\Gamma_{0}=F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left\|\Gamma_{0}\right\| \leq \beta ;$
$\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)$

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{0} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq \eta ;
$$

$\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)$

$$
\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)\right\| \leq \beta_{1} \quad \text { for each } x \in D
$$

( $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ )

$$
\left\|F^{\prime \prime}(x)-F^{\prime \prime}(y)\right\| \leq \beta_{2}\|x-y\|^{p} \quad \text { for each } x, y \in D \text { and some } p \in(0,1] .
$$

The local convergence conditions are similar but $x_{0}$ is $x^{*}$ in $\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)$. There is a plethora of local and semi-local convergence results under the $(\mathcal{C})$ conditions [1]-[17]. The conditions $\left(\mathcal{C}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{C}_{4}\right)$ restrict the applicability of these methods.

As a motivational example, let us define function $f$ on $D=\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right]$ by

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{3} \ln x^{2}+x^{5}-x^{4}, x \neq 0 \\
0, x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Choose $x^{*}=1$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x) & =3 x^{2} \ln x^{2}+5 x^{4}-4 x^{3}+2 x^{2} \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =6 x \ln x^{2}+20 x^{3}-12 x^{2}+10 x \\
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & =6 \ln x^{2}+60 x^{2}-24 x+22
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ is unbounded on $D$. That is condition $\left(\mathcal{C}_{4}\right)$ is not satisfied. Hence, the results depending on $\left(\mathcal{C}_{4}\right)$ cannot apply in this case. However, using 2.8) 2.11 that follow we have $f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=3$ and $f\left(x^{*}\right)=0$. That is, conditions (2.8)-(2.8) are satisfied for $p=1, L_{0}=L=146.6629073, M=101.5578008$. Hence, the results of our Theorem 2.1 that follows can apply to solve equation $f(x)=0$ using DHM. Hence, the applicability of DHM is expanded under our new conditions.

In the rest of this study, $U(w, q)$ and $\bar{U}(w, q)$ stand, respectively, for the open and closed ball in $X$ with center $w \in X$ and of radius $q>0$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we present the local convergence of these methods. The numerical examples are given in the concluding Section 3.

## 2. Local convergence

In this section we present the local convergence analysis of DHM. Let $L_{0}>0, L>0, M>0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in$ $(0,1]$ and $p \in[0,1]$ be given parameters. It is convenient for the local convergence analysis that follows to introduce some functions and parameters.

Define functions on the interval $\left[0,\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}(t) & =\frac{L t^{p}}{(1+p)\left(1-L_{0} t^{p}\right)} \\
g_{2}(t) & =g_{1}(t)+\frac{|1+\alpha| M}{1-L_{0}^{p}} \\
g_{3}(t) & =\frac{L \|\left.\left.\alpha\right|^{p} \lambda\right|^{p-1} M^{p} t^{p}}{2\left(1-L_{0} t^{p}\right)^{1+p}}  \tag{2.1}\\
\bar{g}_{3}(t) & =L \|\left.\left.\alpha\right|^{p} \lambda\right|^{p-1} M^{p} t^{p}-2\left(1-L_{0} t^{p}\right)^{1+p} \\
g_{4}(t) & =g_{1}(t)+\frac{g_{3}(t) M}{\left(1-g_{3}(t)\right)\left(1-L_{0} t^{p}\right)} \\
\bar{g}_{4}(t) & =g_{4}(t)-1
\end{align*}
$$

and parameters

$$
r_{1}=\left(\frac{1+p}{(1+p) L_{0}+L}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

and

$$
r_{2}=\left(\frac{(1+p)(1-M|1+\alpha|)}{(1+p) L_{0}+L}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M|1+\alpha|<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $r_{2}$ is well defined and

$$
0<r_{2}<r_{1}
$$

We also have that

$$
0 \leq g_{1}(t)<1
$$

and

$$
0 \leq g_{2}(t)<1 \text { for each } t \in\left[0, r_{2}\right]
$$

Using the definition of function $\bar{g}_{3}$ we get that $\bar{g}_{3}(0)=-2<0$ and $\bar{g}_{3}\left(\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)=\frac{L|\lambda|^{p-1}|\alpha|^{p} M^{p}}{L_{0}}>0$. It then follows from the Intermediate Value Theorem that function $\bar{g}_{3}$ has zeros in $\left(0,\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$. Denote by $r_{3}$ the smallest such zero. Then, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq g_{3}(t)<1 \text { for each } t \in\left[0, r_{3}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly using the definition of function $\bar{g}_{4}$ we have that $\bar{g}_{4}(0)=-1<0$ and $\bar{g}_{4}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow\left(\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{-}$. Hence, function $\bar{g}_{4}$ has zeros in $\left(0,\left(\frac{1}{L_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$. Denote by $r_{4}$ the smallest such zero. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\min \left\{r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq g_{1}(t)<1,  \tag{2.5}\\
& 0 \leq g_{2}(t)<1,  \tag{2.6}\\
& 0 \leq g_{3}(t)<1 \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq g_{4}(t)<1 \text { for each } t \in[0, r) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next using the preceding notation, we present the local convergence result for DHM.
Theorem 2.1. Let $F: D \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fréchet-differentiable operator. Suppose that there exist $x^{*} \in$ $D, L_{0}>0, L>0, M>0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid, \lambda \in(0,1]$ and $p \in(0,1]$ such that for each $x, y \in D$

$$
\begin{gather*}
M|1+\alpha|<1, \\
F\left(x^{*}\right)=0, \quad F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} \in L(Y, X),  \tag{2.9}\\
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq L_{0}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|^{p},  \tag{2.10}\\
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}(y)\right)\right\| \leq L\|x-y\|^{p},  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leq M, \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}\left(x^{*}, r\right) \subseteq D, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the radius $r$ is given by 2.4). Then, sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by DHM for $x_{0} \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ is well defined, remains in $U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ for each $n=0,1,2, \cdots$ and converges to $x^{*}$. Moreover, the following estimates hold for each $n=0,1,2, \cdots$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq g_{1}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|<r,  \tag{2.14}\\
\left\|z_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq g_{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|,  \tag{2.15}\\
\left\|\frac{1}{2} H_{n}\right\| \leq g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right)<1 \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq g_{4}\left(\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the " $g$ " functions are given by (2.1).

Proof. By hypothesis $x_{0} \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$. Using the definition of radius $r$ and (2.9), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \leq L_{0}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|^{p}<L_{0} r^{p}<1 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.18) and the Banach Lemma on invertible operators [14] that $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L_{0}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|^{p}}<\frac{1}{1-L_{0} r^{p}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $y_{0}, z_{0}$ are well defined by first and second substep of DHM for $n=0$. Using the first substep of DHM for $n=0$, we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{0}-x^{*}= & x_{0}-x^{*}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right) \\
= & {\left[F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right]\left[\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\right.} \\
& \left.\times\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right) d \theta\right] \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by the definition of function $g_{1}, 2.4,2.20,2.19$ and 2.20 , we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \leq & \left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \| \int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} \\
& \times\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right)\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d \theta\| \| x_{0}-x^{*} \| \\
\leq & \frac{L\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{1+p}}{(1+p)\left(1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)} \\
\leq & g_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \\
< & \left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|<r
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows 2.14) for $n=0$ and $y_{0} \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$. Similarly, using the second substep of DHM for $n=0$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}-x^{*}=x_{0}-x^{*}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)+(1+\alpha) F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (2.5), (2.12), (2.19), (2.21) the definition of function $g_{2}$ and (2.14) (for $n=0$ ), we obtain for $F\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right) d \theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{0}-x^{*}-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|\left\|\int_{0}^{1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+\theta\left(x_{0}-x^{*}\right)\right) d \theta\right\| \\
& \times\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \\
\leq & \left.g_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)+\frac{|1+\alpha| M}{1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}\right]\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \\
= & g_{2}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|<r
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows 2.15) for $n=0$ and $z_{0} \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$. We have by the definition of $\lambda$ and 2.14, 2.15 (for $n=0$ ) that

$$
x_{0}-x^{*}+\lambda\left(z_{0}-x_{0}\right)\|\leq|1-\lambda|\| x_{0}-x^{*}\|+|\lambda|\| z_{0}-x^{*} \|<(|1-\lambda|+|\lambda|) r \leq r
$$

which shows that $x_{0}+\lambda\left(z_{0}-x_{0}\right) \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ and $H_{0}$ is well defined. We need an estimate on $\left\|H_{0}\right\|$. Using the definition of $H_{0}, g_{3}, 2.19$ and 2.11 we get in turn that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{1}{2} H_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2|\lambda|}\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \| F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}+\lambda\left(z_{0}-x_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \|\right. \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2|\lambda|} \frac{L|\lambda|^{p}\left\|z_{0}-x_{0}\right\|^{p}}{1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{p} L|\lambda|^{p-1}\left(\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|\right)^{p}}{2\left(1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{p} L|\lambda|^{p-1} M^{p}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p}}{2\left(1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|^{p}\right)^{1+p}} \\
& =g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)<1
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows (2.16) for $n=0$. Hence, we have

$$
\left\|\left(I-\frac{1}{2} H_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)}
$$

Then, using the last substep of DHM for $n=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|y_{0}-x^{*}\right\|+\left\|\frac{1}{2} H_{0}\right\|\left\|\left(I-\frac{1}{2} H_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|y_{0}-x_{0}\right\| \\
& \leq g_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|+\frac{g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)}{1-g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq g_{1}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|+\frac{g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)}{1-g_{3}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)} \\
& \quad \times \frac{M\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|}{1-L_{0}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|} \\
& =g_{4}\left(\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|\right)\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|<r
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows 2.17) for $n=0$. By simply replacing $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}, x_{1}$ by $x_{k}, y_{k}, z_{k}, x_{k+1}$ in the preceding estimates we arrive at estimates (2.14)-2.17). Finally using the estimate $\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|<\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|<r$, we deduce that $x_{k+1} \in U\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x^{*}$.

Remark 2.2. (a) Condition (2.10) can be dropped, since this condition follows from $\left(\mathcal{A}_{3}\right)$. Notice, however that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} \leq L \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in general and $\frac{L}{L_{0}}$ can be arbitrarily large [2]-6].
(b) In view of condition (2.10) and the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1} F^{\prime}(x)\right\|=\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right]+I\right\| \\
& \quad \leq 1+\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(F^{\prime}(x)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq 1+L_{0}\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

condition (2.12) can be dropped and $M$ can be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(t)=1+L_{0} t^{p} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) The convergence ball of radius $r_{1}$ was given by us in [2, 3, 5] for Newton's method under conditions (2.10) and (2.11). Estimate $r_{2}<r_{1}$ shows that the convergence ball of higher than two DHM methods are smaller than the convergence ball DHM. The convergence ball given by Rheinboldt [15] for Newton's method is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{R}=\frac{2}{3 L}<r_{1}(\text { for } p=1) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $L_{0}<L$ and $\frac{r_{R}}{r_{1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$ as $\frac{L_{0}}{L} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we do not expect $r$ to be larger than $r_{1}$ no matter how we choose $L_{0}, L, M$ and $\alpha$.
(d) The local results can be used for projection methods such as Arnoldi's method, the generalized minimum residual method (GMREM), the generalized conjugate method(GCM) for combined Newton/finite projection methods and in connection to the mesh independence principle in order to develop the cheapest and most efficient mesh refinement strategy [2]- [5], [14, 15].
(e) The results can also be used to solve equations where the operator $F^{\prime}$ satisfies the autonomous differential equation [2]- [5], [14, 15]:

$$
F^{\prime}(x)=T(F(x)),
$$

where $T$ is a known continuous operator. Since $F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=T\left(F\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=T(0), F^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right) T^{\prime}\left(F\left(x^{*}\right)\right)=$ $T(0) T^{\prime}(0)$, we can apply the results without actually knowing the solution $x^{*}$. Let as an example $F(x)=e^{x}-1$. Then, we can choose $T(x)=x+1$ and $x^{*}=0$.
(f) We can compute the computational order of convergence (COC) defined by

$$
\xi=\ln \left(\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}\right) / \ln \left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n-1}-x^{*}\right\|}\right)
$$

or the approximate computational order of convergence

$$
\xi_{1}=\ln \left(\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|}\right) / \ln \left(\frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}\right\|}\right),
$$

since the bounds given in Theorem 2.1 may be very pessimistic.
(g) The restriction $\lambda \in(0,1]$ can be dropped, if 2.13$)$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\bar{U}\left(x^{*},(|\lambda|+|1-\lambda|) r\right) \subseteq D \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, we will then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n}+\lambda\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right\| \leq|\lambda|\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+|1-\lambda|\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq(|\lambda|+|1-\lambda|) r \\
& \quad \Rightarrow x_{n}+\lambda\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right) \in U_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Numerical Examples

We present numerical examples where we compute the radii of the convergence balls.
Example 3.1. Let $X=Y=\mathbb{R}$. Define function $F$ on $D=[1,3]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\frac{2}{3} x^{\frac{2}{3}}-x . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $x^{*}=\frac{9}{4}, F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}=2, L_{0}=1<L=2, p=0.5, \alpha=-0.6585, \lambda=1$ and $M=2(\sqrt{3}-1)$, $r_{1}=0.6547, r_{2}=0.4629, r_{3}=0.1882, r_{4}=0.0215$ and $r=0.0215$.

Example 3.2. Let $X=Y=\mathbb{R}^{3}, D=\bar{U}(0,1)$ and $B(x)=F^{\prime \prime}(x)$ for each $x \in D$. Define $F$ on $D$ for $v=(x, y, z)^{T}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(v)=\left(e^{x}-1, \frac{e-1}{2} y^{2}+y, z\right)^{T} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Fréchet-derivative is given by

$$
F^{\prime}(v)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{x} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (e-1) y+1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Notice that $x^{*}=(0,0,0), F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\{1,1,1\}, L_{0}=e-1<L=M=e, p=1, \alpha=$ $-0.8161, \lambda=0.5$. The values of $r_{1}=0.3249, r_{2}=0.1625, r_{3}=0.1679, r_{4}=0.0819$ and $r=0.0819$.

Example 3.3. Let $X=Y=C[0,1]$, the space of continuous functions defined on $[0,1]$ and be equipped with the max norm. Let $D=\bar{U}(0,1)$ and $B(x)=F^{\prime \prime}(x)$ for each $x \in D$. Define function $F$ on $D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\varphi)(x)=\varphi(x)-5 \int_{0}^{1} x \theta \varphi(\theta)^{3} d \theta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that

$$
F^{\prime}(\varphi(\xi))(x)=\xi(x)-15 \int_{0}^{1} x \theta \varphi(\theta)^{2} \xi(\theta) d \theta, \text { for each } \xi \in D
$$

Then, we get that $p=1, x^{*}=0, L_{0}=7.5, L=15, \alpha=-0.9412, \lambda=0.5$ and $M=M(t)=1+7.5 t$. The values of $r_{1}=0.0667, r_{2}=0.0333, r_{3}=0.0135, r_{4}=0.0065$ and $r=0.0065$.

Example 3.4. Returning back to the motivational example at the introduction of this study, we have $p=1, L_{0}==L=146.6629073, M=101.5578008, \alpha=-0.9951, \lambda=0.5$. The values of $r_{1}=0.0045, r_{2}=$ $0.0023, r_{3}=0.0001, r_{4}=0.00001$ and $r=0.00001$.
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