



Fixed point theorems for multivalued G -contractions in Hausdorff b -Gauge spaces

Muhammad Usman Ali^a, Tayyab Kamran^b, Mihai Postolache^{c,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. and Department of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad, Pakistan.

^cDepartment of Mathematics and Informatics, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, 060042, Romania.

Communicated by Yonghong Yao

Abstract

In this paper, we extend gauge spaces in the setting of b metric spaces and prove fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in this new setting endowed with a graph. An example is constructed to substantiate our result. We also discuss possible application of our result for solving integral equations. ©2015 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Gauge space, graph, fixed point, nonlinear integral equation.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Czerwik [11] introduced the notion of a b -metric space. Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a b -metric on X , if there exists $s \geq 1$ such that for each $x, y, z \in X$, we have

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: muh_usman_ali@yahoo.com (Muhammad Usman Ali), tayyabkamran@gmail.com (Tayyab Kamran), mihai@mathem.pub.ro (Mihai Postolache)

The triplet (X, d, s) is said to be a b -metric space.

Note that every metric space is a b -metric but converse is not true.

Convergence of a sequence in a b -metric space is defined in a similar fashion as in a metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d, s) , if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a natural number $N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $m, n \geq N(\epsilon)$. A b -metric space (X, d, s) is a complete if each Cauchy sequence in X converges to some point of X .

Czerwik [11] extended Banach contraction principle for self mappings on b metric spaces; for recent research in this direction, please see: Phiangsungnoen *et al.* [20], Shatanawi *et al* [23]. Czerwik [12] further extended the notion of a b -metric space (X, d, s) by defining Hausdorff metric for the space of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of the b -metric space (X, d, s) .

Let (X, d, s) be a b metric space. For $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$. Denote by $CB(X)$ the class of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and by $CL(X)$ the class of all nonempty closed subsets of X . For $A, B \in CB(X)$, the function

$$H : CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\}$$

is said to be a Hausdorff b -metric induced by a b -metric space (X, d, s) . A Hausdorff b metric space enjoys the same properties as a Hausdorff metric, except for triangular inequality which in Hausdorff b metric spaces has the following form $H(A, B) \leq s[H(A, C) + H(C, B)]$. Czerwik [12] extended Nadler's fixed point theorem in the setting of Hausdorff b metric spaces.

Jachymaski [15] introduced the notion of Banach G -contraction to extend the notion of Banach contraction, where G is a graph in the metric space whose vertex set coincides with the metric space. He obtain some fixed point theorems for such mappings on complete metric space. Afterwards, many authors extended Banach G -contraction in single as well as multivalued case, see for examples: Tiammee and Suantai [24], Samreen and Kamran [21, 17, 22], Bojor [4, 5, 6], Nicolae *et al.* [19], Aleomraninejad *et al.* [2], Asl *et al.* [3].

One may characterize gauge spaces by the fact that the distance between two distinct points of the space may be zero. For details on gauge spaces, we refer the reader to [13]. Frigon [14] and Chis and Precup [10] generalized the Banach contraction principle on gauge spaces. Some interesting results are also been obtained by the authors: Agarwal *et al.* [1], Chifu and Petrusel [9], Cherichi *et al.* [8, 7], Lazara and Petrusel [18], Jleli *et al.* [16].

By using b metric spaces, in this paper, we first introduce the notion of b_s -gauge spaces. Then we extend this notion to define b_s -gauge structure on the space of nonempty closed subsets of the b metric space and prove some fixed point theorems for multivalued G contractions. To substantiate our main result we have constructed an example. Moreover, a possible application of our result in solving an integral equation is also been discussed.

2. Main results

We begin this section by introducing the notion of a b_s -pseudo metric space.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called b_s -pseudo metric on X if there exists $s \geq 1$ such that for each $x, y, z \in X$, we have

- (i) $d(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in X$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, x)]$.

Remark 2.2. Every b -metric space (X, d, s) is a b_s -pseudo metric space, but the converse is not true.

Example 2.3. Let $X = C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Define a function

$$d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad d(x(t), y(t)) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (x(t) - y(t))^2.$$

Then

(i) It is clear that d is not a metric on X .

(ii) d is not a pseudo metric on X . In this respect, consider $x, y, z \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ be defined by

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t - 1 & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases}$$

$y(t) = 3$, for each $t \geq 0$, and $z(t) = -3$, for each $t \geq 0$. Then, we can see that $d(y, z) = 36 \not\leq 18 = d(y, x) + d(x, z)$.

(iii) d is not a b -metric on X . Since, if $u, v \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ are defined by

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t - 1 & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 2t - 2 & \text{if } t > 1, \end{cases}$$

then $u \neq v$, but $d(u, v) = 0$.

(iv) d is b_2 -pseudo metric on X with $s = 2$.

In order to define gauge spaces in the setting of b_s -pseudo metrics we need to define following.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a nonempty set endowed with the b_s -pseudo metric d . The d_s -ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ centered at $x \in X$ is the set

$$B(x, d, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

Definition 2.5. A family $\mathcal{F} = \{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of b_s -pseudo metrics is said to be separating if for each pair (x, y) with $x \neq y$, there exists $d_\nu \in \mathcal{F}$ with $d_\nu(x, y) \neq 0$.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{F} = \{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a family of b_s -pseudo metrics on X . The topology $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ having subbases the family

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}) = \{B(x, d_\nu, \epsilon) : x \in X, d_\nu \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \epsilon > 0\}$$

of balls is called topology induced by the family \mathcal{F} of b_s -pseudo metrics. The pair $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$ is called a b_s -gauge space. Note that $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$ is Hausdorff if \mathcal{F} is separating.

Definition 2.7. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$ be a b_s -gauge space with respect to the family $\mathcal{F} = \{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$ of b_s -pseudo metrics on X and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then:

- (i) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_\nu(x_n, x) < \epsilon$ for each $n \geq N_0$. We denote it as $x_n \rightarrow^{\mathcal{F}} x$;
- (ii) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_\nu(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $n, m \geq N_0$;
- (iii) $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$ is complete if each Cauchy sequence in $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$ is convergent in X ;

(iv) A subset of X is said to be closed if it contains the limit of each convergent sequence of its elements.

Remark 2.8. When $s = 1$, then all above definitions reduce to the corresponding definitions in a gauge space.

Subsequently, in this paper, \mathcal{A} is directed set and X is a nonempty set endowed with a separating complete b_s -gauge structure $\{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Further, $G = (V, E)$ is a directed graph in $X \times X$, where the set of its vertices V is equal to X and set of its edges E contains $\{(x, x) : x \in V\}$. Furthermore, G has no parallel edges. For each $d_\nu \in \mathcal{F}$, $CL_\nu(X)$ denote the set of all nonempty closed subsets of X with respect to d_ν . For each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A, B \in CL_\nu(X)$, the function $H_\nu : CL_\nu(X) \times CL_\nu(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$H_\nu(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d_\nu(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d_\nu(y, A) \right\}, & \text{if the maximum exists;} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a generalized Hausdorff b_s -pseudo metric on $CL_\nu(X)$. We denote by $CL(X)$ the set of all nonempty closed subsets in the b_s -gauge space $(X, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}))$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a mapping such that for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$H_\nu(Tx, Ty) \leq a_\nu d_\nu(x, y) + b_\nu d_\nu(x, Tx) + c_\nu d_\nu(y, Ty) + e_\nu d_\nu(x, Ty) + L_\nu d_\nu(y, Tx), \tag{2.1}$$

for all $(x, y) \in E$, where $a_\nu, b_\nu, c_\nu, e_\nu, L_\nu \geq 0$, and $s^2 a_\nu + s^2 b_\nu + s^2 c_\nu + 2s^3 e_\nu < 1$.

Assume that following conditions hold:

- (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;
- (ii) if $(x, y) \in E$, for $u \in Tx$ and $v \in Ty$ such that $d_\nu(u, v) \leq d_\nu(x, y)$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(u, v) \in E$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iv) for each $\{q_\nu : q_\nu > 1\}_{\nu \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Tx$ such that

$$d_\nu(x, y) \leq q_\nu d_\nu(x, Tx) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis (i), there exist $x_0, x_1 \in X$ such that $x_1 \in Tx_0$ and $(x_0, x_1) \in E$. Now, it follows from (2.1) that

$$H_\nu(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq a_\nu d_\nu(x_0, x_1) + b_\nu d_\nu(x_0, Tx_0) + c_\nu d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1) + e_\nu d_\nu(x_0, Tx_1) + L_\nu d_\nu(x_1, Tx_0), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since $d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H_\nu(Tx_0, Tx_1)$ and $d_\nu(x_0, Tx_1) \leq d_\nu(x_0, x_1) + d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1)$, therefore from (2.2), we get

$$d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1) \leq \frac{1}{\xi_\nu} d_\nu(x_0, x_1) \tag{2.3}$$

where, $\xi_\nu = \frac{1 - c_\nu - s e_\nu}{a_\nu + b_\nu + s e_\nu} > 1$. Using hypothesis (iv) there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d_\nu(x_1, x_2) \leq \sqrt{\xi_\nu} d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1). \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$d_\nu(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_\nu}} d_\nu(x_0, x_1) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.5}$$

Hypothesis (ii) and (2.5), implies that $(x_1, x_2) \in E$. Continuing in the same way, we get a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ and

$$d_\nu(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_\nu}}\right)^m d_\nu(x_0, x_1) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For convenience we assume that $\eta_\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_\nu}}$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$. Now we show that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For each $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nu(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+p-1} s^i d_\nu(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+p-1} s^i (\eta_\nu)^i d_\nu(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (s\eta_\nu)^i < \infty \quad (\text{since } s\eta_\nu < 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness of X , we have $x^* \in X$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By using hypothesis (iii), triangular inequality and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sd_\nu(x_{m-1}, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sH_\nu(Tx_m, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sa_\nu d_\nu(x_m, x^*) + sb_\nu d_\nu(x_m, Tx_m) \\ &\quad + sc_\nu d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) + se_\nu d_\nu(x_m, Tx^*) + sL_\nu d_\nu(x^*, Tx_m) \\ &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sa_\nu d_\nu(x_m, x^*) + sb_\nu d_\nu(x_m, x_{m+1}) \\ &\quad + sc_\nu d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) + se_\nu d_\nu(x_m, Tx^*) + sL_\nu d_\nu(x^*, x_{m+1}) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) \leq (sc_\nu + se_\nu)d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Which is only possible if $d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. Since the structure $\{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$ on X is separating, we have $x^* \in Tx^*$. □

In case of single valued mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ we have the following result:

Theorem 2.10. *Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$d_\nu(Tx, Ty) \leq a_\nu d_\nu(x, y) + b_\nu d_\nu(x, Tx) + c_\nu d_\nu(y, Ty) + e_\nu d_\nu(x, Ty) + L_\nu d_\nu(y, Tx), \tag{2.6}$$

for all $(x, y) \in E$, where, $a_\nu, b_\nu, c_\nu, e_\nu, L_\nu \geq 0$, and $sa_\nu + sb_\nu + sc_\nu + 2s^2e_\nu < 1$.

Assume that following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E$;
- (ii) for $(x, y) \in E$, we have $(Tx, Ty) \in E$, provided $d_\nu(Tx, Ty) \leq d_\nu(x, y)$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

Then T has a fixed point.

Example 2.11. Let $X = C([0, 10], \mathbb{R})$ endowed with the $d_n(x(t), y(t)) = \max_{t \in [0, n]} (x(t) - y(t))^2$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$ and the graph $G = (V, E)$ as $V = X$ and

$$E = \{(x(t), y(t)) : x(t) \leq y(t)\} \cup \{(x(t), x(t)) : x \in X\}.$$

Define $T: X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx(t) = \frac{x(t)+1}{5}$, for each $x \in X$. It is easy to see that (2.5) holds with $a_n = 1/5$ and $b_n = c_n = e_n = L_n = 0$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 10\}$. For $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = Tx_0 = 1/5$, we have $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E$. Since T is nondecreasing, for each $(x, y) \in E$, we have $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$. For each sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_m \rightarrow x$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_m, x) \in E$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 2.10 are satisfied and T has a fixed point.

Before going towards our next theorem, we have to define Ψ_{s^2} family of mappings. Let $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing mappings such that it satisfies following conditions:

$$(\psi_1) \psi(0) = 0;$$

$$(\psi_2) \psi(\rho t) = \rho\psi(t) < \rho t \text{ for each } \rho, t > 0 ;$$

$$(\psi_3) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s^{2i}\psi^i(t) < \infty;$$

where $s \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $T: X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a mapping such that for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$H_\nu(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi_\nu(d_\nu(x, y)), \quad \forall (x, y) \in E, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\psi_\nu \in \Psi_{s^2}$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;

(ii) if $(x, y) \in E$, for $u \in Tx$ and $v \in Ty$ such that $\frac{1}{s}d_\nu(u, v) < d_\nu(x, y)$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(u, v) \in E$;

(iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iv) for each $x \in X$, we have $y \in Tx$ such that

$$d_\nu(x, y) \leq sd_\nu(x, Tx) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis we have $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$. From (2.7), we get

$$d_\nu(x_1, Tx_1) \leq H_\nu(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.8}$$

By hypothesis (iv), for $x_1 \in X$, we have $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$d_\nu(x_1, x_2) \leq sd_\nu(x_1, Tx_1) \leq s\psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.9}$$

Applying ψ_ν , we have

$$\psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_1, x_2)) \leq \psi_\nu(s\psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_0, x_1))) = s\psi_\nu^2(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

From (2.9), it is clear that $(x_1, x_2) \in E$. Again from (2.7), we have

$$d_\nu(x_2, Tx_2) \leq H_\nu(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq \psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_1, x_2)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.10}$$

By hypothesis (iv), for $x_2 \in X$, we have $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that

$$d_\nu(x_2, x_3) \leq sd_\nu(x_2, Tx_2) \leq s\psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_1, x_2)) \leq s^2\psi_\nu^2(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2.11}$$

Clearly, $(x_2, x_3) \in E$. Continuing in the same way, we get a sequence $\{x_m\}$ in X such that $(x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E$ and

$$d_\nu(x_m, x_{m+1}) \leq s^m\psi_\nu^m(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Now, we show that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nu(x_m, x_{m+p}) &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+p-1} s^i d_\nu(x_i, x_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=m}^{m+p-1} s^{2i} \psi_\nu^i(d_\nu(x_0, x_1)) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{x_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness of X , we have $x^* \in X$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x^*$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Using hypothesis (iv), triangular inequality and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sd_\nu(x_{m-1}, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + sH_\nu(Tx_m, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sd_\nu(x^*, x_{m-1}) + s\psi_\nu(d_\nu(x_m, x^*)) \quad \forall \nu \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d_\nu(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$. Since the structure $\{d_\nu : \nu \in \mathcal{A}\}$ on X is separating, we have $x^* \in Tx^*$. □

By considering $T: X \rightarrow X$ in above theorem we get the following one.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ we have*

$$d_\nu(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi_\nu(d_\nu(x, y)), \quad \forall (x, y) \in E, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\psi_\nu \in \Psi_{s^2}$. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $(x_0, x_1) \in E$;
- (ii) for $(x, y) \in E$, we have $(Tx, Ty) \in E$ provided $\frac{1}{s}d_\nu(Tx, Ty) < d_\nu(x, y)$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(u, v) \in E$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x) \in E$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T has a fixed point.

3. Application

Consider the Volterra integral equation of the form:

$$x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in I \tag{3.1}$$

where $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $K: I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and nondecreasing function.

Let $X = (C[0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Define the family of b_2 -pseudo norms by $\|x\|_n = \max_{t \in [0, n]} (x(t))^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using this family of b_2 -pseudonorms we get a family of b_2 -pseudo metrics as $d_n(x, y) = \|x - y\|_n$. Clearly, $\mathcal{F} = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ defines b_2 -gauge structure on X , which is complete and separating. Define graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $V = X$ and $E = \{(x, y) : x(t) \leq y(t), \forall t \geq 0\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X = (C[0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and let the operator

$$T: X \rightarrow X \quad Tx(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in I = [0, \infty),$$

where $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and $K: I \times I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and nondecreasing function. Assume that the following conditions hold:

(i) for each $t, s \in [0, n]$ and $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$, there exists a continuous mapping $p: I \times I \rightarrow I$ such that

$$|K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))| \leq \sqrt{p(t, s)d_n(x, y)} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

(ii) $\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \sqrt{p(t, s)}ds = a < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$;

(iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$.

Then the integral equation (3.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. First we show that for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$, the inequality (2.1) holds. For any $(x, y) \in E(G)$ and $t \in [0, n]$ for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx(t) - Ty(t))^2 &\leq \left(\int_0^t |K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))|ds \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{p(t, s)d_n(x, y)}ds \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\int_0^t \sqrt{p(t, s)}ds \right)^2 d_n(x, y) \\ &= a^2 d_n(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $d_n(Tx, Ty) \leq a^2 d_n(x, y)$ for each $(x, y) \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^2 < 1/2$. This implies that (2.1) holds with $a_n = a^2$, and $b_n = c_n = e_n = L_n = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As K is nondecreasing, for each $(x, y) \in E(G)$, we have $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.10, there exists a fixed point of the operator T , that is, integral equation (3.1) has at least one solution. \square

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, Y. J. Cho, D. O'Regan, *Homotopy invariant results on complete gauge spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **67** (2003), 241–248.1
- [2] S. M. A. Aleomraninejad, Sh. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, *Some fixed point results on a metric space with a graph*, Topology Appl., **159** (2012), 659–663.1
- [3] J. H. Asl, B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, S. M. Vaezpour, *Some fixed point results for generalized quasi-contractive multifunctions on graphs*, Filomat, **27** (2013), 311–315.1
- [4] F. Bojor, *Fixed point of φ -contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Ann. Univ. Craiova Math. Ser. Mat. Inform., **37** (2010), 85–92.1
- [5] F. Bojor, *Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 3895–3901.1
- [6] F. Bojor, *Fixed points of Kannan mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat., **20** (2012), 31–40.1
- [7] M. Cherichi, B. Samet, C. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems in complete gauge spaces and applications to second order nonlinear initial value problems*, J. Funct. Space Appl., **2013** (2013), 8 pages.1
- [8] M. Cherichi, B. Samet, *Fixed point theorems on ordered gauge spaces with applications to nonlinear integral equations*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 19 pages.1
- [9] C. Chifu, G. Petrusel, *Fixed point results for generalized contractions on ordered gauge spaces with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2011** (2011), 10 pages.1
- [10] A. Chis, R. Precup, *Continuation theory for general contractions in gauge spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **3** (2004), 173–185.1

- [11] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11.1
- [12] S. Czerwik, *Non-linear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti. Sem. Math. Fig. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998) 263–276.1
- [13] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, (1966).1
- [14] M. Frigon, *Fixed point results for generalized contractions in gauge spaces and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 2957–2965.1
- [15] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 1359–1373.1
- [16] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, *Fixed point results for α - ψ_λ - contractions on gauge spaces and applications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2013** (2013), 7 pages.1
- [17] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, N. Shahzad, *Probabilistic G-contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 14 pages.1
- [18] T. Lazara, G. Petrusel, *Fixed points for non-self operators in gauge spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **6** (2013), 29–34.1
- [19] A. Nicolae, D. O’ Regan, A. Petrusel, *Fixed point theorems for single-valued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Georgian Math. J., **18** (2011), 307–327.1
- [20] S. Phiangsungnoen, W. Sintunavarat, P. Kumam, *Fixed point results, generalized Ulam-Hyers stability and well-posedness via alpha-admissible mappings in b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 17 pages.1
- [21] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, *Fixed point theorems for integral G-contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 11 pages.1
- [22] M. Samreen, T. Kamran, N. Shahzad, *Some fixed point theorems in b-metric space endowed with graph*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2013** (2013), 9 pages.1
- [23] W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, R. Lazovic, *Contraction conditions using comparison functions on b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 9 pages.1
- [24] J. Tiammee, S. Suantai, *Coincidence point theorems for graph-preserving multi-valued mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 11 pages.1