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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate convergence of a hybrid Halpern process for fixed point and equilibrium problems. Strong convergence theorems of common solutions are established in a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also has the Kadec-Klee property. © 2016 All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of a $E$. Let $g$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers. Recall that the following equilibrium problem [4]: Find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\operatorname{Sol}(g)$ to denote the solution set of equilibrium problem (1.1). That is,

$$
\operatorname{Sol}(g)=\{x \in C: g(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C\} .
$$

Given a mapping $A: C \rightarrow E^{*}$, let

$$
G(x, y)=\langle A x, y-x\rangle \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

[^0]Then $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}(g)$ iff $\bar{x}$ is a solution of the following variational inequality. Find $\bar{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \bar{x}, y-\bar{x}\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following restrictions (R-a), (R-b), (R-c) and (R-d) imposed on $g$ are essential in this paper.
(R-a) $g(y, x)+g(x, y) \leq 0 \forall x, y \in C$;
(R-b) $g(x, x)=0 \forall x \in C$;
(R-c) $y \mapsto g(x, y)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous and convex $\forall x \in C$;
$(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{d}) g(x, y) \geq \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} g(t z+(1-t) x, y), \forall x, y, z \in C$.
Equilibrium problem (1.1) is a bridge between nonlinear functional analysis and convex optimization theory. Many problems arising in economics, medicine, engineering and physics can be studied via the problem; see [3, $7,4,4,40,12,14,18,19,25]$ and the references therein.

Recall that the normalized duality mapping $J$ from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
J x:=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}\right\}
$$

Let $S^{E}$ be the unit sphere of $E$. Recall that $E$ is said to be a strictly convex space iff $\|x+y\|<2$ for all $x, y \in S^{E}$ and $x \neq y$. Recall that $E$ is said to have a Gâteaux differentiable norm iff $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(\|t x+y\|-t\|x\|)$ exists $\forall x, y \in S^{E}$. In this case, we also say that $E$ is smooth. $E$ is said to have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm if for every $y \in S^{E}$, the limit is attained uniformly for each $x \in S^{E}$. $E$ is also said to have a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm iff the above limit is attained uniformly for each $x, y \in S^{E}$. In this case, we say that $E$ is uniformly smooth. It is known if $E$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on every bounded subset of $E$; if $E$ is a smooth Banach space, then $J$ is singlevalued and demicontinuous, i.e., continuous from the strong topology of $E$ to the weak star topology of $E$; if $E$ is a strictly convex Banach space, then $J$ is strictly monotone; if $E$ is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space with a strictly convex dual $E^{*}$ and $J^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow E$ is the normalized duality mapping in $E^{*}$, then $J^{-1}=J^{*}$; if $E$ is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one and onto; if $E$ is a uniformly smooth, then it is smooth and reflexive. It is also known that $E^{*}$ is uniformly convex if and only if $E$ is uniformly smooth. From now on, we use $\rightharpoonup$ and $\rightarrow$ to stand for the weak convergence and strong convergence, respectively. Recall that $E$ is said to have the Kadec-Klee property if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|=0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset E$ and $x \in E$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow\|x\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known that if $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach spaces, then $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property; see [11] and the references therein.

Let $f$ be a mapping on $C$. Recall that a point $p$ is said to be a fixed point of $f$ if and only if $p=f p$. $p$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $f$ if and only if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, where $x_{n} \rightharpoonup p$ such that $x_{n}-f x_{n} \rightarrow 0$. From now on, We use $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$ to stand for the fixed point set and $\widetilde{F i x}(f)$ to stand for the asymptotic fixed point set. $f$ is said to be closed if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x^{\prime}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_{n}=y^{\prime}$, then $f x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}$.

Next, we assume that $E$ is a smooth Banach space. Consider the functional defined on $E$ by

$$
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}+\|y\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle \quad \forall x, y \in E .
$$

Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. For any $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in $C$, denoted by $P_{C} x$, such that $\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $y \in C$. The operator $P_{C}$ is called the metric projection from $H$ onto $C$. It is known that $P_{C}$ is firmly nonexpansive. In [2], Alber studied a new mapping $\Pi_{C}$ in a Banach space $E$ which is an analogue of $P_{C}$, the metric projection, in Hilbert spaces. Recall that the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of $\phi(x, y)$. It is obvious from the definition of function $\phi$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\|y\|+\|x\|)^{2} \geq \phi(x, y) \geq(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)-2\langle z-x, J z-J y\rangle=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in E . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. If $E$ is a strictly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space, then $\phi(x, y)=0$ iff $x=y$.
Recall that a mapping $f$ is said to be relatively nonexpansive ([5]) iff

$$
\phi(p, x) \geq \phi(p, f x) \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in \widetilde{F i x}(f)=F i x(f) \neq \emptyset
$$

$f$ is said to be relatively asymptotically nonexpansive ([1]) iff

$$
\phi\left(p, f^{n} x\right) \leq\left(1+\mu_{n}\right) \phi(p, x) \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in \widetilde{F i x}(f)=F i x(f) \neq \emptyset, \forall n \geq 1
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ is a sequence such that $\mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 1.2. The class of relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, which include the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings ([5]) as a special case, were first considered in [1] and [26]; see the references therein.
$f$ is said to be quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive ([21]) iff

$$
\phi(p, x) \geq \phi(p, f x) \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in F i x(f) \neq \emptyset
$$

$f$ is said to be asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive ([22]) iff there exists a sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset[0, \infty)$ with $\mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\phi\left(p, f^{n} x\right) \leq\left(1+\mu_{n}\right) \phi(p, x) \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in \operatorname{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset, \forall n \geq 1
$$

Remark 1.3. The class of asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings, which include the class of quasi-$\phi$-nonexpansive mappings $([21])$ as a special case, were first considered in [20] and [22]; see the references therein.

Remark 1.4. The class of asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings is more desirable than the class of asymptotically relatively nonexpansive mappings. Quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings and asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive do not require $F i x(f)=\widetilde{F i x}(f)$.

Recently, Qin and Wang ([23]) introduced the asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense, which is a generalization of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense in Banach spaces. Recall that $f$ is said to be asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive in the intermediate sense iff $F i x(f) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{p \in F i x(f), x \in C}\left(\phi\left(p, f^{n} x\right)-\phi(p, x)\right) \leq 0
$$

The so called convex feasibility problems which capture lots of applications in various subjects are to find a special point in the intersection of convex (solution) sets. Recently, many author studied fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and equilibrium (1.1); see [6], [13], [15]-[17], [24], [27]- [33] and the references therein. The aim of this paper is to investigate convergence of a hybrid Halpern process for fixed point and the equilibrium problem. Strong convergence theorems of common solutions are established in a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also has the Kadec-Klee property. In order to our main results, we also need the following lemmas.

Lemma $1.5([2])$. Let $E$ be a strictly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space and let $C$ be $a$ convex and closed subset of $E$. Let $x \in E$. Then

$$
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right) \leq \phi(y, x)-\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma $1.6([4])$. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of a smooth Banach space $E$ and let $x \in E$. Then $\left\langle y-x_{0}, J x-J x_{0}\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in C$ iff $x_{0}=\Pi_{C} x$.

Lemma 1.7 ([4], [21]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$. Let $g$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying ( $R-a$ ), ( $R-b$ ), ( $R-c$ ) and ( $R-d$ ). Let $r>0$ and $x \in E$. Then
(a) There exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle+r g(z, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C
$$

(b) Define a mapping $\tau_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ by

$$
\tau_{r} x=\{z \in C:\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle+r g(z, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C\}
$$

Then the following conclusions hold:
(1) $\tau_{r}$ is single-valued;
(2) $\tau_{r}$ is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping, i.e., for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\left\langle\tau_{r} x-\tau_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle \geq\left\langle\tau_{r} x-\tau_{r} y, J \tau_{r} x-J \tau_{r} y\right\rangle
$$

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\tau_{r}\right)=\operatorname{Sol}(g)$;
(4) $\tau_{r}$ is quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive;
(5) $\phi\left(q, \tau_{r} x\right) \leq \phi(q, x)-\phi\left(\tau_{r} x, x\right) \quad \forall q \in F\left(\tau_{r}\right)$;
(6) $\operatorname{Sol}(g)$ is convex and closed.

Lemma 1.8 ([23]). Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and strictly convex Banach space which also enjoys the Kadec-Klee property. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a closed asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense. Then Fix $(f)$ is a convex closed subset of $C$.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also has the KadecKlee property. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying ( $R-a$ ), ( $R-b$ ), ( $R-c$ ), ( $R-d$ ) and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically quasi- $\phi$ nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous and uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$ for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C, \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0} \\
y_{(n, i)}=J^{-1}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} J x_{1}\right) \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}: \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{(n, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) \xi_{(n, i)} \geq \phi\left(z, y_{(n, i)}\right)\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\xi_{(n, i)}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{p \in F i x\left(f_{i}\right), x \in C}\left(\phi\left(p, f_{i}^{n} x\right)-\phi(p, x)\right), D=\sup \left\{\phi\left(w, x_{1}\right): w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap\right.\right.$ $\left.\cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)\right\}, z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-y, J z_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.

Proof. We divide the proof into six steps.
Step 1. We prove that $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is convex and closed.
In the light of Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.8 , we easily find the conclusion. This shows that the generalized projection onto $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is well defined.

Step 2. We prove that $C_{n}$ is convex and closed.
$C_{(1, i)}=C$ is convex and closed. Next, we assume that $C_{(k, i)}$ is convex and closed for some $k \geq$ 1. For $q_{1}, q_{2} \in C_{(k+1, i)} \subset C_{(k, i)}$, we have $q=t q_{1}+(1-t) q_{2} \in C_{(k, i)}$, where $t \in(0,1)$. Notice that $\phi\left(q_{1}, x_{k}\right)+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq \phi\left(q_{1}, y_{(k, i)}\right)$ and $\phi\left(q_{2}, x_{k}\right)+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq \phi\left(q_{2}, y_{(k, i)}\right)$. The above inequalities are equivalent to

$$
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{(k, i)}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq 2\left\langle q_{1}, J x_{k}-J y_{(k, i)}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{(k, i)}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq 2\left\langle q_{2}, J x_{k}-J y_{(k, i)}\right\rangle
$$

Using the above inequalities, we find that

$$
\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|y_{(k, i)}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq 2\left\langle q, J x_{k}-J y_{(k, i)}\right\rangle
$$

That is,

$$
\phi\left(q, x_{k}\right)+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq \phi\left(q, y_{(k, i)}\right)
$$

where $q \in C_{(k, i)}$. This finds that $C_{(k+1, i)}$ is convex and closed. We conclude that $C_{(n, i)}$ is convex and closed. This in turn implies that $C_{n}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n, i)}$ is convex and closed. Hence, $\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}$ is well defined.

Step 3. We prove that $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{n}$.
$\cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{1}=C$ is clear. Suppose that $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{(k, i)}$ for some positive integer $k$. For any $w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{(k, i)}$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(w, x_{k}\right)+\alpha_{(k, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \geq & \phi\left(w, x_{k}\right)+\alpha_{(k, i)} \phi\left(w, x_{1}\right)-\alpha_{(k, i)} \phi\left(w, x_{k}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \\
\geq & \alpha_{(k, i)} \phi\left(w, x_{1}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \phi\left(w, \tau_{(k, i)} x_{k}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \xi_{(k, i)} \\
= & \alpha_{(k, i)} \phi\left(w, x_{1}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) \phi\left(w, f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right) \\
\geq & \|w\|^{2}+\alpha_{(k, i)}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right)\left\|f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
& -2\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right)\left\langle w, J f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right\rangle-2 \alpha_{(k, i)}\left\langle w, J x_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{2.1}\\
= & \left.\|w\|^{2}+\| \alpha_{(k, i)} J x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) J f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right) \|^{2} \\
& \left.-2\left\langle w, \alpha_{(k, i)} J x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) J f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \phi\left(w, J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{(k, i)} J x_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{(k, i)}\right) J f_{i}^{k} z_{(k, i)}\right)\right) \\
= & \phi\left(w, y_{(k, i)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $D:=\sup _{w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} \phi\left(w, x_{1}\right)$. This proves $w \in C_{(k+1, i)}$. Hence, we have

$$
\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{(n, i)} .
$$

This in turn implies that $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset \cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n, i)}$. It follows that

$$
\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) \subset C_{n} .
$$

Step 4. We prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence.
Using Lemma 1.6, we see

$$
\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x_{1}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall z \in C_{n}
$$

Since $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is subset of $C_{n}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}-w, J x_{1}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F\left(T_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} E F\left(f_{i}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 1.5, we get

$$
\phi\left(\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}, x_{n}\right)+\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right)} \cap_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} S o l\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}, x_{1}\right)
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}, x_{1}\right)
$$

This implies that $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a bounded sequence. It follows from 1.3 that sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is also a bounded sequence.

Step 5. Since the space is reflexive, we may assume that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. We prove $\bar{x} \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} S o l\left(g_{i}\right)$.
Since $C_{n}$ is convex and closed, we have $\bar{x} \in C_{n}$. Hence, $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, we see from the weakly lower semicontinuity of the norm that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{1}\right) & \geq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}, J x_{1}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}+\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J x_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\|$. In view of KadecKlee property of $E$, we find that $x_{n} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n}$, one has $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{1}\right)$. So, $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence. Since $\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right) \leq \phi\left(\bar{x}, x_{1}\right)$, one see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{1}\right)$ exists. This implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, we find that

$$
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{(n, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) \xi_{(n, i)} \geq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{(n, i)}\right) \geq 0
$$

Using restriction imposed on $\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$, on has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{(n, i)}\right)=0$. Using 1.3), we see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|y_{(n, i)}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|\right)=0
$$

which in turn finds

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\|
$$

That is,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|J \bar{x}\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\|
$$

Since both $E^{*}$ and $E$ are reflexive spaces, we may assume that $J y_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup y^{(*, i)} \in E^{*}$. This shows that there exists an element $y^{i} \in E$ such that $y^{(*, i)}=J y^{i}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|J y_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J y_{(n, i)}\right\rangle & =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J y_{(n, i)}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi\left(x_{n+1}, y_{(n, i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the both sides of the equality above yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \phi\left(\bar{x}, y^{i}\right) & =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J y^{i}\right\rangle+\left\|y^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J y^{i}\right\rangle+\left\|J y^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, y^{(*, i)}\right\rangle+\left\|y^{(*, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $y^{i}=\bar{x}$. Hence, we have $y^{(*, i)}=J \bar{x}$. It follows that $J y_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup J \bar{x} \in E^{*}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ for every $i \in \Lambda$, we find $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\|=0$. Using the fact

$$
\left\|J \bar{x}-J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\| \leq\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J \bar{x}\right\|+\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\|
$$

one has $J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)} \rightarrow J \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $i \in \lambda$. Since $J^{-1}$ is demicontinuous, we have $f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ for every $i \in \Delta$. Since $\left|\left\|f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\|-\|\bar{x}\|\right| \leq\left\|J\left(f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right)-J \bar{x}\right\|$, one has $\left\|f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\| \rightarrow\|\bar{x}\|$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $i \in \Lambda$. Since $E$ has the Kadec-Klee property, one obtains

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}-\bar{x}\right\|=0
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\left\|f_{i}^{n+1} z_{(n, i)}-\bar{x}\right\| \leq\left\|f_{i}^{n+1} z_{(n, i)}-f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}\right\|+\left\|f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}-\bar{x}\right\|
$$

In view of the uniformly asymptotic regularity of $f_{i}$, one has

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{i}^{n+1} z_{(n, i)}-\bar{x}\right\|=0
$$

that is, $f_{i} f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}-\bar{x} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since every $f_{i}$ is a continuous, we find that $f_{i} \bar{x}=\bar{x}$ for every $i \in \Lambda$.
Next, we prove $\bar{x} \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$.
Since $f_{i}$ is continuous, using (2.1), we find that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, z_{(n, i)}\right)=0$. Using (1.3), we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|z_{(n, i)}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|\right)=0$, which in turn finds $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\|$. That is,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J z_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|J \bar{x}\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{(n, i)}\right\|=\|\bar{x}\|
$$

Since both $E^{*}$ and $E$ are reflexive, we may assume that $J z_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup z^{(*, i)} \in E^{*}$. This shows that there exists an element $z^{i} \in E$ such that $z^{(*, i)}=J z^{i}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|J z_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J z_{(n, i)}\right\rangle & =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J z_{(n, i)}\right\rangle \\
& =\phi\left(x_{n+1}, z_{(n, i)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}$ on the both sides of the equality above yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\bar{x}, z^{i}\right) & =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J z^{i}\right\rangle+\left\|z^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, J z^{i}\right\rangle+\left\|J z^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|\bar{x}\|^{2}-2\left\langle\bar{x}, z^{(*, i)}\right\rangle+\left\|z^{(*, i)}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $z^{i}=\bar{x}$. Hence, we have $z^{(*, i)}=J \bar{x}$. It follows that $J z_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup J \bar{x} \in E^{*}$. Using the Kadec-Klee property we find that $J z_{(n, i)} \rightarrow J \bar{x} \in E^{*}$. Since $J^{-1}$ is demicontinuous, we have $z_{(n, i)} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Using the fact that

$$
\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J \bar{x}\right\|+\left\|J x_{n}-J \bar{x}\right\|
$$

we see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J y_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\|=0$. In view of $z_{(n, i)}=\tau_{r_{(n, i)}} x_{n}$, we see that

$$
\left\|y-z_{(n, i)}\right\|\left\|J z_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\| \geq r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(y, z_{(n, i)}\right) \quad \forall y \in C_{n}
$$

It follows that $g_{i}(y, \bar{x}) \leq 0 \forall y \in C_{n}$. For $0<t_{i}<1$ and $y \in C_{n}$, define $y_{(t, i)}=t_{i} y+\left(1-t_{i}\right) \bar{x}$. It follows that $y_{(t, i)} \in C_{n}$, which yields that $g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, \bar{x}\right) \leq 0$. Hence, we have

$$
0=g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, y_{(t, i)}\right) \leq t_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, y\right)+\left(1-t_{i}\right) g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, \bar{x}\right) \leq t_{i} g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, y\right)
$$

That is, $g_{i}\left(y_{(t, i)}, y\right) \geq 0$. Letting $t_{i} \downarrow 0$, we obtain from $(R-d)$ that $g_{i}(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ for every $i \in \Lambda$. This shows that $\bar{x} \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$. This completes the proof that $\bar{x} \in$ $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$.

Step 6. Prove $\bar{x}=\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.
Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.2), we see that

$$
\left\langle\bar{x}-w, J x_{1}-J \bar{x}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) .
$$

In view of Lemma 1.6 , we find that that $\bar{x}=\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} S o l\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$. This completes the proof.
If $f$ is a asymptotically quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping, we find from Theorem 2.1 the following.
Corollary 2.2. Let $E$ be a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also has the KadecKlee property. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(R-a),(R-b),(R-c),(R-d)$ and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically quasi- $\phi$ nonexpansive mapping for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous and uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$ for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} S o l\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0} \\
y_{(n, i)}=J^{-1}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) J f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} J x_{1}\right) \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}: \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)+\alpha_{(n, i)} D \geq \phi\left(z, y_{(n, i)}\right)\right\} \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D=\sup \left\{\phi\left(w, x_{1}\right): w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)\right\}, z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-\right.$ $\left.y, J z_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.

If $T$ is a quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping, we find from Theorem 2.1 the following.
Corollary 2.3. Let $E$ be a strictly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space which also has the KKP. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying ( $R-a$ ), (R-b), (R-c), (R-d) and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be a quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mapping for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C \\
x_{1}=\Pi_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0} \\
y_{(n, i)}=J^{-1}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) J f_{i} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} J x_{1}\right) \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}: \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right) \geq \phi\left(z, y_{(n, i)}\right)\right\} \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-y, J z_{(n, i)}-J x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.

In the Hilbert spaces, we have the following deduced results.

Corollary 2.4. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(R-a),(R-b),(R-c),(R-d)$ and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous and uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$ for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C, \\
x_{1}=P_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0}, \\
y_{(n, i)}=\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)} f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} x_{1},\right. \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}:\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{(n, i)} D+\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) \xi_{(n, i)} \geq\left\|z-y_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)}, \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
$\xi_{(n, i)}=\max \left\{0, \sup _{p \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right), x \in C}\left(\left\|p-f_{i}^{n} x\right\|^{2}-\|p-x\|^{2}\right), D=\sup \left\{\left\|w-x_{1}\right\|^{2}: w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)\right\}\right.$,
$z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-y, z_{(n, i)}-x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} S o l\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.

If $T f$ is an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping, we find from Theorem 2.1 the following.
Corollary 2.5. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying ( $R-a$ ), ( $R-b$ ), ( $R-c$ ), ( $R-d$ ) and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous and uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$ for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty and bounded. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C, x_{1}=P_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0} \\
y_{(n, i)}=\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) f_{i}^{n} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} x_{1} \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}:\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{(n, i)} D \geq\left\|z-y_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)}, x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D=\sup \left\{\left\|w-x_{1}\right\|^{2}: w \in \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)\right\}, z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq$ $\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-y, z_{(n, i)}-x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)} x_{1}$.

If $f$ is a closed quasi-nonexpansive mapping, we find from Theorem 2.1 the following.

Corollary 2.6. Let $E$ be a Hilbert space. Let $C$ be a convex and closed subset of $E$ and let $\Lambda$ be an index set. Let $g_{i}$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $(R-a),(R-b),(R-c),(R-d)$ and let $f_{i}: C \rightarrow C$ be a quasi-nonexpansive mapping for every $i \in \Lambda$. Assume that $f_{i}$ is continuous and uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$ for every $i \in \Lambda$ and $\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right) \bigcap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is nonempty. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated in the following manner:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in E \quad \text { chosen arbitrarily, } \\
C_{(1, i)}=C, x_{1}=P_{C_{1}:=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(1, i)}} x_{0}, \\
y_{(n, i)}=\left(1-\alpha_{(n, i)}\right) f_{i} z_{(n, i)}+\alpha_{(n, i)} x_{1}, \\
C_{(n+1, i)}=\left\{z \in C_{(n, i)}:\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq\left\|z-y_{(n, i)}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \\
C_{n+1}=\cap_{i \in \Lambda} C_{(n+1, i)}, x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{1},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z_{(n, i)} \in C_{n}$ such that $r_{(n, i)} g_{i}\left(z_{(n, i)}, y\right) \geq\left\langle z_{(n, i)}-y, z_{(n, i)}-x_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C_{n},\left\{\alpha_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{(n, i)}=0$ and $\left\{r_{(n, i)}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $\left[r_{i}, \infty\right)$, where $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$ is a positive real number sequence for every $i \in \Lambda$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $\Pi_{\cap_{i \in \Lambda} F i x\left(f_{i}\right)} \cap \cap_{i \in \Lambda} \operatorname{Sol}\left(g_{i}\right) x_{1}$.
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