Research Article



Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications Print: ISSN 2008-1898 Online: ISSN 2008-1901



Best proximity points for cyclic Kannan-Chatterjea-Ćirić type contractions on metric-like spaces

Hassen Aydi^{a,b,*}, Abdelbasset Felhi^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education of Jubail, University of Dammam, P. O: 12020, Industrial Jubail 31961, Saudi Arabia.

^bDepartment of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan. ^cDepartment of Mathematics, College of Sciences, King Faisal University, Al-Hassa, Saudi Arabia.

Communicated by M. Jleli

Abstract

In this paper, we establish some best proximity results for Kannan-Chatterjea-Ćirić type contractions in the setting of metric-like spaces. We also provide some concrete examples illustrating the obtained results. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Metric-like, best proximity point, Kannan-Chatterjea-Ćirić contraction. 2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The existence and approximation of best proximity points is an interesting topic in optimization theory. In 2003, Kirk et al. [21] introduced the notion of cyclical contractive mappings, and generalized Banach fixed point result [5] to the class of cyclic mappings.

Theorem 1.1 ([21]). Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) and let $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be such that

$$T(A) \subset B \quad and \quad T(B) \subset A.$$
 (1.1)

Assume that, for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha \, d(x, y),\tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, T has a unique fixed point $u \in A \cap B$.

*Corresponding author

Received 2015-12-26

Email addresses: hmaydi@uod.edu.sa (Hassen Aydi), afelhi@kfu.edu.sa (Abdelbasset Felhi)

A mapping satisfying (1.1) is called cyclic. In [10], Eldred and Veeramani are concerned with the case when $A \cap B = \emptyset$, and in this case they didn't seek for the existence of a fixed point of T but for the existence of a best proximity point. For instance, they [10] presented the following existence best proximity point result for cyclic contractions.

Theorem 1.2 ([10]). Let A and B be nonempty closed and convex subsets of a complete metric space (X, d)and let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be cyclic. Assume that, for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha \, d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) d(A, B),\tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $d(A,B) = \inf\{d(x,y), x \in A, y \in B\}$. For $x_0 \in A$, define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for each $n \ge 0$. Then, there exists a unique $x \in A$ such that $x_{2n} \to x$ and

$$d(x,Tx) = d(A,B).$$

Here, x is called a best proximity point of T.

In [27], Thagafi and Shahzad introduced a new class of mappings known as cyclic φ -contraction and proved some convergence and existence results for best proximity points. In 2011, Sadiq Basha [6] stated the best proximity points theorems for proximal contractions. For other best proximity point results, see [1, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28]. In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of best proximity points for cyclic Kannan-Chatterjea-Ćirić type contractions in the class of metric-like spaces.

On the other hand, metric-like spaces were considered by Hitzler and Seda [13] under the name of dislocated metric spaces. In what follows, we recall some notations and definitions we will need in the sequel.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a nonempty set. A function $\sigma : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a *b*-metric-like (or a dislocated *b*-metric) on X if for any $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- $(\sigma_1) \ \sigma(x,y) = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y;$
- $(\sigma_2) \ \sigma(x,y) = \sigma(y,x);$
- $(\sigma_3) \ \sigma(x,z) \le \sigma(x,y) + \sigma(y,z).$

The pair (X, σ) is then called a metric-like space. For (common) fixed point results on metric-like spaces, see [2, 3, 4, 11, 12].

Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to $x \in X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_n, x) = \sigma(x, x).$$
(1.4)

 $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, σ) if and only if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite. Moreover, (X, σ) is complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in X is convergent. For A and B two nonempty subsets of a metric-like space (X, σ) , define

 $\sigma(A,B) = \inf\{\sigma(a,b): a \in A, b \in B\}.$

Again, the definition of a best proximity point is as follows.

Definition 1.4. Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space. Consider A and B two nonempty subsets of X. An element $a \in X$ is said to be a best proximity point of $T : A \to B$ if

$$\sigma(a, Ta) = \sigma(A, B).$$

Now, we introduce different type contractions.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, σ) be a metric-like space. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of X. Take the cyclic mapping $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$.

(i) T is said to be a cyclic Kannan type contraction if

$$\sigma(Tx, Ty) \le k(\sigma(x, Tx) + \sigma(y, Ty)) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$$
(1.5)

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

(ii) T is said to be a cyclic Chatterjee type contraction if

$$\sigma(Tx, Ty) \le k(\sigma(Tx, y) + \sigma(Ty, x)) + (1 - 4k)\sigma(A, B)$$
(1.6)

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $k \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$.

(iii) T is said to be a cyclic Ćirić type contraction

$$\sigma(Tx, Ty) \le k \max\{\sigma(x, y), \sigma(Tx, x), \sigma(Ty, y)\} + (1 - k)\sigma(A, B)$$
(1.7)

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, where $k \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper, we establish some existence results on best proximity points for various α -proximal contractions in the setting of metric-like spaces. We will support the obtained theorems by some concrete examples where some known results in literature are not applicable.

2. Main results

The first main result is

Theorem 2.1. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric-like space (X, σ) . Let $T: A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a cyclic Kannan type mapping. For $x_0 \in A \cup B$, define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for each $n \ge 0$. Then

$$\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to \sigma(A, B) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
(2.1)

We have:

(a) If $x_0 \in A$ and $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then $u \in A$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.2}$$

(b) If $x_0 \in B$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i-1}\}$ converging to $v \in B$ with $\sigma(v, v) = 0$, then $v \in B$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(v, Tv) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in A \cup B$. Define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. By (1.5), we have

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) = \sigma(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le k(\sigma(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_n, Tx_n)) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$$

= $k(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$
 $\le k(\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})$
= $k\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (1 - k)\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}).$

Thus,

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \le \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n)$$
 for all $n \ge 0$,

that is, $\{\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n)\}$ is nonincreasing and is bounded below, so there exists $t \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = t$. We know that

$$\sigma(A,B) \le \sigma(x_{n+2},x_{n+1}) \le k(\sigma(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) + \sigma(x_n,x_{n+1})) + (1-2k)\sigma(A,B),$$

so letting $n \to \infty$, we deduce that $t = \sigma(A, B)$, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \sigma(A, B)$.

Assume that $x_0 \in A$. Since T is cyclic, so $\{x_{2n}\} \in A$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\} \in B$ for all $n \ge 0$. Now, if $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sigma(x_{2n_i}, u) = \sigma(u, u) = 0$$

We have

$$\sigma(A, B) \leq \sigma(u, Tu) \leq \sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(x_{2n_i}, Tu)$$

= $\sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(Tx_{2n_i-1}, Tu)$
 $\leq \sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + k[\sigma(x_{2n_i}, x_{2n_i-1}) + \sigma(Tu, u)] + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$

Letting $i \to \infty$, using (2.1) we obtain

$$\sigma(A,B) \le \sigma(u,Tu) \le k\sigma(u,Tu) + (1-k)\,\sigma(A,B).$$

Thus, $\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B)$, that is, u is best proximity of T.

The proof of case (b) is similar to above case.

The following example makes effective Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be endowed with the metric-like σ

$$\sigma(x,y) = x + y$$
 for all $x, y \in X$.

 (X, σ) is a complete metric-like space. Take $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{1, 2\}$. We have $\sigma(A, B) = 1$. Choose $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ as

$$T0 = 1$$
 and $T1 = T2 = 0$.

We have $T(A) = \{1\} \subset B$ and $T(B) = \{0\} = A$. Let $k \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Let $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, then x = 0 and $y \in \{1, 2\}$. In this case, we have

$$\sigma(Tx, Ty) = \sigma(1, 0) = 1 = 2k + 1 - 2k \le k(y+1) + (1 - 2k)$$
$$= k(0 + 1 + y + 0) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$$
$$= k(\sigma(x, Tx) + \sigma(y, Ty)) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B)$$

Thus (1.5) holds for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Now, choose $x_0 \in A \cup B$ such that $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. If $x_0 \in A$, then $x_{2n} = 0$ and $x_{2n+1} = 1$ for all $n \ge 0$. While, if $x_0 \in B$, then $x_{2n} = 1$ for all $n \ge 1$ and $x_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. We conclude that, for all $n \ge 1$

$$\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \sigma(1, 0) = 1 = \sigma(A, B),$$

that is, (2.1) is verified.

In the case $x_0 \in A$, we have $x_{2n} = 0$, so it has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u = 0 \in A$. Here, $\sigma(u, u) = 0$ and u = 0 is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(0,T0) = 1 = \sigma(A,B).$$

On the other hand, we could not apply Theorem 3.6 of [11]. In fact for x = 0 and y = 2, we have

$$\sigma(Tx,Ty) = 1 > 3\alpha = \alpha(\sigma(Tx,x) + \sigma(Ty,y)) \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in (0,\frac{1}{3}).$$

Also, Theorem 1.2 (the main result of [10]) is not applicable for the standard metric d. Indeed, for $x = 0 \in A$ and $y = 1 \in B$

$$d(Tx,Ty) = 1 > \alpha = \alpha d(x,y) + (1-\alpha)d(A,B) \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0,1).$$

The second main result is,

Theorem 2.3. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric-like space (X, σ) . Let $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic Chatterjee type mapping. For $x_0 \in A \cup B$, define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for each $n \geq 0$. Then

$$\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to \sigma(A, B) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
(2.4)

We have:

(a) If $x_0 \in A$ and $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then $u \in A$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.5}$$

(b) If $x_0 \in B$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i-1}\}$ converging to $v \in B$ with $\sigma(v, v) = 0$, then $v \in B$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(v, Tv) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in A \cup B$. Define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. By (1.5), we have

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) = \sigma(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le k(\sigma(Tx_{n+1}, x_n) + \sigma(Tx_n, x_{n+1})) + (1 - 4k)\sigma(A, B)$$

= $k(\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_n) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) + (1 - 4k)\sigma(A, B)$
 $\le k(\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})) + (1 - 4k)\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})$
= $k\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + (1 - k)\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}).$

Thus,

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \le \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \ge 0.$$

So, there exists $t \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = t$. We know that

$$\sigma(A,B) \le \sigma(x_{n+2},x_{n+1}) \le k(\sigma(x_{n+2},x_{n+1}) + 3\sigma(x_n,x_{n+1})) + (1-4k)\sigma(A,B).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we deduce that $t = \sigma(A, B)$, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \sigma(A, B)$. Assume that $x_0 \in A$. Again, T is cyclic, so $\{x_{2n}\} \in A$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\} \in B$ for all $n \ge 0$. Now, if $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sigma(x_{2n_i}, u) = \sigma(u, u) = 0.$$

We have

$$\sigma(A, B) \leq \sigma(u, Tu) \leq \sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(x_{2n_i}, Tu)$$

= $\sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(Tx_{2n_i-1}, Tu)$
 $\leq \sigma(u, x_{2n_i}) + k[\sigma(x_{2n_i+1}, u) + \sigma(Tu, x_{2n_i-1})] + (1 - 4k)\sigma(A, B).$

Letting $i \to \infty$, we obtain using (2.4)

$$\sigma(A,B) \le \sigma(u,Tu) \le k\sigma(u,Tu) + (1-4k)\sigma(A,B) \le k\sigma(u,Tu) + (1-k)\sigma(A,B).$$

Thus, $\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B)$, that is, u is a best proximity of T.

The proof of case (b) is similar to above case.

We present the following example.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ endowed with the metric-like

$$\sigma(0,0) = \sigma(1,1) = 2$$
 and $\sigma(0,1) = \sigma(1,0) = 1$.

Note that (X, σ) is a complete metric-like space. Take $k \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$. Let $A = \{0\}$ and $B = \{1\}$. Note that $\sigma(A, B) = 1$ and A, B are closed in (X, σ) . Consider $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ defined by

$$T0 = 1$$
 and $T1 = 0$.

Clearly, T is cyclic. Let $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, that is, x = 0 and y = 1. In this case, we have

$$\sigma(T0,T1) = \sigma(1,0) = 1 = 4k + (1-4k) = k \Big(\sigma(0,0) + \sigma(1,1) \Big) + (1-4k)\sigma(A,B)$$

= $k \Big(\sigma(0,T1) + \sigma(1,T0) \Big) + (1-4k)\sigma(A,B),$

that is, (1.6) holds, i.e., T is a cyclic Chatterjee type contraction.

Let $x_0 \in A \cup B$ and $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for $n \ge 0$. If $x_0 \in A$, then $x_{2n} = 0$ and $x_{2n+1} = 1$ for all $n \ge 0$. While, if $x_0 \in B$, then $x_{2n} = 1$ for all $n \ge 1$ and $x_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. We conclude that, for all $n \ge 1$

$$\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \sigma(0, 1) = 1 = \sigma(A, B),$$

that is, (2.4) is satisfied. Mention that T has two best proximity points. Indeed, we have $\sigma(0, T0) = \sigma(1, T1) = 1 = \sigma(A, B)$.

On the other hand, Corollary 2.2 (with m = 2) of Chandok and Postolache [9] is not applicable for the standard metric. Indeed, for $x = 0 \in A$ and $y = 1 \in B$, we have

$$d(T0, T1) = 1 > 0 = \alpha(d(0, T1) + d(1, T0)),$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

The third main result is,

Theorem 2.5. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric-like space (X, σ) such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $T : A \cup B \to A \cup B$ be a cyclic Ćirić type mapping. For $x_0 \in A \cup B$, define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for each $n \ge 0$. Then

$$\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to \sigma(A, B) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (2.7)

We have:

(a) If $x_0 \in A$ and $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then $u \in A$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.8}$$

(b) If $x_0 \in B$ and $\{x_{2n-1}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2ni-1}\}$ converging to $v \in B$ with $\sigma(v, v) = 0$, then $v \in B$ is a best proximity point of T, that is,

$$\sigma(v, Tv) = \sigma(A, B). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in A \cup B$. Define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \ge 0$. Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, we have $\sigma(A, B) > 0$. Then, $\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) > 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. By (1.5), we have

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) = \sigma(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le k \max\{\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n), \sigma(Tx_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \sigma(Tx_n, x_n)\} + (1-k)\sigma(A, B)$$
$$= k \max\{\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n), \sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}), \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n)\} + (1-k)\sigma(A, B)$$
$$= k \max\{\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n), \sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})\} + (1-k)\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}).$$

If for some *n*, we have $\max\{\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n), \sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})\} = \sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1})$. Then,

$$0 < \sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \le k\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) + (1 - 2k)\sigma(A, B) \le (1 - k)\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}).$$

It is a contradiction. Thus,

$$\sigma(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) \le \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \ge 0.$$

So, there exists $t \ge 0$ such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = t$. We know that

$$\sigma(A,B) \le \sigma(x_{n+2},x_{n+1}) \le k(\sigma(x_{n+1},x_n) + (1-k)\sigma(A,B),$$

so letting $n \to \infty$, we deduce that $t = \sigma(A, B)$, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) = \sigma(A, B)$. Assume that $x_0 \in A$. Again, T is cyclic, so $\{x_{2n}\} \in A$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\} \in B$ for all $n \ge 0$. Now, if $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{2n_i}\}$ converging to $u \in A$ with $\sigma(u, u) = 0$, then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \sigma(x_{2n_i}, u) = \sigma(u, u) = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A,B) &\leq \sigma(u,Tu) \leq \sigma(u,x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(x_{2n_i},Tu) \\ &= \sigma(u,x_{2n_i}) + \sigma(Tx_{2n_i-1},Tu) \\ &\leq \sigma(u,x_{2n_i}) + k \max\{\sigma(x_{2n_i-1},u),\sigma(x_{2n_i},x_{2n_i-1}),\sigma(Tu,u)] + (1-k)\sigma(A,B) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $i \to \infty$, from (2.7), we get

$$\sigma(A, B) \le \sigma(u, Tu) \le k\sigma(u, Tu) + (1 - k)\sigma(A, B)$$

Thus, $\sigma(u, Tu) = \sigma(A, B)$, that is, u is a best proximity of T.

The proof of case (b) is similar to above case.

Now, we provide an example illustrating Theorem 2.5.

Example 2.6. Let $X = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ endowed with the metric-like $\sigma : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ given as

$$\sigma((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \begin{cases} |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| & \text{if } (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in [0, 1]^2 \\ x_1 + x_2 + y_1 + y_2 & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that (X, σ) is a complete metric-like space. Take $A = \{0\} \times [0, 1]$ and $B = \{1\} \times [0, 1]$. Remark that $\sigma(A, B) = \sigma((0, 0), (1, 0)) = 1$. Consider the mapping $T: A \cup B \to B \cup A$ defined by

$$T(0,x) = (1, \frac{x}{4}) \quad \forall \ x \in [0,1].$$

and

$$T(1,x) = (0, \frac{x}{4}) \quad \forall \ x \in [0,1].$$

We have $T(A) \subset B$ and $T(B) \subset A$. Take $k = \frac{1}{4}$. Now, let $(0, x) \in A$ and $(1, y) \in B$. We have $x, y \in [0, 1]$. In this case, we have

$$\sigma(T(0,x),T(1,y)) = 1 + |\frac{x}{4} - \frac{y}{4}|.$$

Moreover,

$$k \max\{\sigma((0,x),(1,y)), \sigma((0,x), T(0,x)), \sigma((1,y), T(1,y))\} + (1-k)\sigma(A,B)$$

= $k \max\{1 + |x - y|, 1 + |x - \frac{x}{4}|, 1 + |y - \frac{y}{4}\} + (1-k)$
= $1 + k \max\{|x - y|, \frac{3x}{4}, \frac{3y}{4}\}.$

It is obvious that (1.7) holds, that is, T is a cyclic Ćirić type contraction. Let $X_0 = (0, x_0) \in A$ and $X_{n+1} = T(X_n)$ for $n \ge 0$. Here, we get

$$X_{2n} = (0, \frac{x_0}{2^{2n}}) \in A$$
 and $X_{2n+1} = (1, \frac{x_0}{2^{4n+2}}) \in B$ for all $n \ge 0$.

We have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sigma(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = 1 + \left|\frac{x_0}{2^{4n+2}} - \frac{x_0}{2^{2n}}\right| \to 1 = \sigma(A, B)$$

Moreover, $\sigma(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \to 0$. Thus, from above, (2.7) holds. Now, let $X_0 = (1, x_0) \in B$ and $X_{n+1} = T(X_n)$ for $n \ge 0$. In this case, we have

$$X_{2n} = (1, \frac{x_0}{2^{2n}}) \in B$$
 and $X_{2n+1} = (0, \frac{x_0}{2^{4n+2}}) \in A$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Similarly, in this case, (2.7) holds.

On the other hand, Theorem 3.10 in [11] is not applicable. Indeed, for $(0,0) \in A$ and $(1,0) \in B$, we have

$$\sigma(T(0,0), T(1,0)) = 1 > \alpha = \alpha \max\{\sigma((0,0), (1,0)), \sigma(T(0,0), (0,0)), \sigma(T(1,0), (1,0))\}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Remark 2.7. We may state the following remarks:

- Theorem 2.1 is a generalization of Theorem 3.6 of George and Rajagopalan [11] and extends Theorem 4 of Petrić [24] to the class of metric-like spaces.
- Theorem 2.3 is a generalization of Theorem 3.8 of George and Rajagopalan [11].
- Theorem 2.5 is a generalization of Theorem 3.10 of George and Rajagopalan [11] and extends Theorem 1.2 to the class of metric-like spaces.

References

- A. Abkar, M. Gabeleh, The existence of best proximity points for multivalued non-self-mappings, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM, 107 (2013), 319–325.1
- [2] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, E. Karapinar, S. Sahmim, A Nadler-type fixed point theorem in metric-like spaces and applications, Accepted in Miskolc Math. Notes, (2015).1
- [3] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, S. Sahmim, Fixed points of multivalued nonself almost contractions in metric-like spaces, Math. Sci., 9 (2015), 103–108.1
- [4] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, Fixed point results for generalized α-ψ-contractions in metric-like spaces and applications, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2015 (2015), 15 pages. 1
- [5] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intègrales, Fund. Math., 3 (1922), 133–181.1
- [6] S. Basha, Best proximity point theorems, J. Approx. Theory, 163 (2011), 1772–1781.1
- [7] S. Basha, P. Veeramani, Best approximations and best proximity pairs, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 63 (1997), 289–300.1
- [8] S. Basha, P. Veeramani, Best proximity pair theorems for multifunctions with open fibres, J. Approx. Theory., 103 (2000), 119–129.1
- S. Chandok, M. Postolache, Fixed point theorem for weakly Chatterjea-type cyclic contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 (2013), 9 pages. 2.4
- [10] A. A. Eldred, P. Veeramani, Existence and convergence of best proximity points, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 323 (2006), 1001–1006.1, 1.2, 2.2
- R. George, R. Rajagopalan, S. Vinayagam, Cyclic contractions and fixed points in dislocated metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal., 7 (2013), 403–411.1, 2.2, 2.6, 2.7
- [12] A. A. Harandi, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012 (2012), 10 pages.1
- [13] P. Hitzler, A. K. Seda, Dislocated topologies, J. Electr. Eng., 51 (2000), 3–7.1
- [14] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, Best proximity points for generalized $\alpha \psi$ -proximal contractive type mappings, J. Appl. Math., **2013** (2013), 10 pages. 1
- [15] M. Jleli, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, A best proximity point result in modular spaces with the Fatou property, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013 (2013), 4 pages.1
- [16] E. Karapinar, Best proximity points of cyclic mappings, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012), 1761–1766.1
- [17] E. Karapinar, Best proximity points of Kannan type cyclic weak \$\phi\$-contractions in ordered metric spaces, An. Stiint. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanta Ser. Mat., 20 (2012), 51–63.1

- [18] E. Karapinar, I. M. Erhan, Best proximity point on different type contractions, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., 3 (2011), 342–353.1
- [19] E. Karapinar, G. Petrusel, K. Tas, Best proximity point theorems for KT-types cyclic orbital contraction mappings, Fixed Point Theory, 13 (2012), 537–546.1
- [20] S. Karpagam, S. Agrawal, Best proximity point theorems for cyclic Meir-Keeler contraction maps, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 1040–1046.1
- [21] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich, P. Veeramani, Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 24 (2003), 851–862.1, 1.1
- [22] C. Mongkolkeha, P. Kumam, Best proximity point Theorems for generalized cyclic contractions in ordered metric Spaces, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 155 (2012), 215–226.1
- [23] H. K. Nashine, P. Kumam, C. Vetro, Best proximity point theorems for rational proximal contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 (2013), 11 pages.1
- [24] M. A. Petrić, Best proximity point theorems for weak cyclic Kannan contractions, Filomat, 25 (2011), 145–154.
 2.7
- [25] V. S. Raj, P. Veeramani, A best proximity theorems for weakly contractive non-self-mappings, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 4804–4808.1
- [26] W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, Best proximity point and best proximity coupled point in a complete metric space with (P)-property, Filomat, 29 (2015), 63–74.1
- [27] M. A. Al-Thagafi, N. Shahzad, Convergence and existence results for best proximity points, Nonlinear Anal., 70 (2009), 3665–3671.1
- [28] J. Zhang, Y. Su, Q. Cheng, A note on "A best proximity point theorem for Geraghty- contractions", Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 (2013), 4 pages. 1