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#### Abstract

By use of a new viscosity approximation method, we construct an explicit iterative algorithm for finding common fixed points of a sequence of nonexpansive mappings with weakly contractive mappings in the framework of Banach spaces. A strong convergence theorem is obtained for solving a kind of variational inequality problems. Our results improve and extend the corresponding ones of other authors with related interest. © 2016 All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

Alber and Guerre-Delabriere [1] defined the weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, and Rhoades [5] showed that the result of [1] is also valid in the complete metric spaces as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called weakly contractive if

$$
d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y)-\psi(d(x, y)) \forall x, y \in X
$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous and nondecreasing function such that $\psi(0)=0$ if and only if $t=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)=\infty$.

Definition $1.2([6])$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and $T_{n}: C \rightarrow$ $C$, where $n \in\{1,2, \cdots\}$. Then the mapping sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is called uniformly asymptotically regular on $C$, if for all $m \in\{1,2, \cdots\}$ and any bounded subset $K$ of $C$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in K}\left\|T_{m}\left(T_{n} x\right)-T_{n} x\right\|=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem $1.3([6])$. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a weakly contractive mapping, where $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space, then $f$ has a unique fixed point.

In 2010, Razani and Homaeipour [4] considered the iterative sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=t_{m} f\left(x_{m}\right)+\left(1-t_{m}\right) T_{m} x_{m} \forall m \geq 1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proved the following strong convergence theorem for $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$, where $f$ is a weakly contractive and $\left\{T_{m}\right\}$ is a uniformly asymptotically regular sequence of nonexpansive mappings in a reflexive Banach space $X$.

Theorem 1.4 (4]). Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J$ from $X$ to $X^{*}$. Suppose that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and $\left\{T_{m}\right\}: C \rightarrow C$ is a uniformly asymptotically regular sequence of nonexpansive mappings with $F:=$ $\cap_{m=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{m}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a weakly contractive mapping. Suppose $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ is defined by (1.1), where $\left\{t_{m}\right\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers in $(0,1)$ satisfying $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{m}=0$. Then $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point $p \in F$ which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$
\langle f(p)-p, J(y-p)\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in F .
$$

Remark 1.5. Note that the iteration sequence $\left\{x_{m}\right\}$ generated by (1.2) is an implicit one that will lead to complicated computations. Additionally, a stronger condition was imposed on the involved mappings, that is, $\left\{T_{m}\right\}$ was assumed to be a uniformly asymptotically regular sequence of nonexpansive mappings, and hence the corresponding result was less applicable.

Inspired and motivated by the study mentioned above, in this paper, by use of a new viscosity approximation method, we construct an explicit iteration scheme for finding common fixed points of a sequence of nonexpansive mappings. A strong convergence theorem for solving some variational inequality problems is established in the framework of Banach spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, let $X$ be a real Banach space. We say that $X$ is strictly convex if the following implication holds for $x, y \in X$ :

$$
\|x\|=\|y\|=1, x \neq y \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1
$$

$X$ is also said to be uniformly convex if for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\|x\|=\|y\|=1,\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \leq 1-\delta .
$$

The following results are well known, which can be founded in [7].
(i) A uniformly convex Banach space $X$ is reflexive and strictly convex.
(ii) If $C$ is a nonempty convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space $X$ and $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, then the fixed point set $F(T)$ of $T$ is a closed convex subset of $C$.

By a gauge function we mean a continuous and strictly increasing function $\varphi$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0)=0$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r)=\infty$. The mapping $J_{\varphi}$ from $X$ to $2^{X^{*}}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\varphi} x=\left\{f \in X^{*}:\langle x, f\rangle=\|x\|\|f\|,\|f\|=\varphi(\|x\|)\right\} \forall x \in X, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the duality mapping with the gauge function $\varphi$. In the case where $\varphi(t)=t$, then $J_{\varphi}=J$, which is the normalized duality mapping.

Proposition 2.1 ([8]).
(i) $J=I$ if and only if $X$ is a Hilbert space.
(ii) $J$ is surjective if and only if $X$ is reflexive.
(iii) $J_{\varphi}(\lambda x)=\operatorname{sign\lambda }\left(\frac{\varphi(\mid \lambda\|x\|)}{\|x\|}\right)$ Jx for all $x \in X \backslash\{0\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$; particularly, $J(-x)=-J(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

We say that a Banach space $X$ has a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping if there exists a gauge function $\varphi$ such that the duality mapping $J_{\varphi}$ is single-valued and continuous from the weak topology to the weak* topology of $X$.

In what follows we shall make use of the following definitions and lemmas.
Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J$ from $X$ to $X^{*}$. The function $\phi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ is defined by

$$
\phi(x, y):=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2} .
$$

It is obvious from the definition of the function $\phi$ that

$$
(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \leq \phi(x, y) \leq(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2} .
$$

The function $\phi$ also has the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(y, x)=\phi(z, x)+\phi(y, z)+2\langle z-y, J(x-z)\rangle . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $X$ be a Banach space. Then for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha_{i} \in[0,1]$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=1$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 ( 3$]$ ). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+\delta_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}, \forall n \geq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.
Definition 2.4 ([10]). Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}: C \rightarrow C$ be a sequence of mappings and $A: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping. $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ is said to be graph convergent to $A$ if $\left\{\operatorname{graph}\left(A_{n}\right)\right\}$ (the sequence of graph of $A_{n}$ ) converges to graph $A$ in the sense of Kuratowski-Painleve, that is,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{graph}\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{graph}\left(A_{n}\right) \subset \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{graph}\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

## Definition 2.5.

(i) A multi-valued mapping $A: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be accretive if $\langle A x-A y, J(x-y)\rangle \geq 0 \forall x, y \in X$. A mapping $A: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be maximal accretive if it is accretive, and for any $x, u \in X$ when

$$
\langle u-v, J(x-y)\rangle \geq 0 \forall(y, v) \in \operatorname{graph}(A)
$$

we have $u \in A x$.
(ii) A mapping $A: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be strongly accretive if there exists a strictly increasing function $\tilde{\varphi}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\tilde{\varphi}(0)=0$ such that

$$
\langle A x-A y, J(x-y)\rangle \geq \tilde{\varphi}(\|x-y\|)\|x-y\| \forall x, y \in X .
$$

Definition 2.6. The normal cone $N_{F(T)}$ to $F(T)$ is defined by

$$
N_{F(T)}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\{u \in X:\langle y-x, J u\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in F\}, x \in F(T) ; \\
\emptyset, x \in F(T)^{c} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finding an $x^{*} \in F(T)$ such that

$$
\left\langle(I-f) x^{*}, J\left(x^{*}-x\right)\right\rangle \leq 0(\forall x \in F(T))
$$

is equivalent to the following variational inclusion problem: finding an $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
\theta \in(I-f) x^{*}+N_{F(T)}\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.7 ([2]).
(i) Let $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a maximal accretive operator. Then $\left(t^{-1} A\right)$ graph converges to $N_{A^{-1}(0)}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ provided that $A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$.
(ii) Let $\left\{B_{n}: X \rightarrow X\right\}$ be a sequence of maximal accretive operators, which graph converges to an operator $B$. If $A$ is a strongly accretive operator, then $\left\{A+B_{n}\right\}$ graph converges to $A+B$, and $A+B$ is maximal accretive.

Lemma 2.8. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a weakly contractive mapping and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a nonexpansive mapping. Then, the following results are obtained:
(i) the mapping $(I-f): X \rightarrow X$ is strongly accretive;
(ii) the mapping $(I-T): X \rightarrow X$ is accretive, so it is maximal accretive.

Remark 2.9. This conclusion results directly from Lemma 1.6 in [10].
Lemma 2.10. The unique solutions to the positive integer equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=i_{n}+\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2}, m_{n} \geq i_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are

$$
i_{n}=n-\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2}, m_{n}=-\left[\frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}\right], n=1,2, \cdots
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the maximal integer that is not larger than $x$.
Proof. It follows from (2.5) that

$$
i_{n}=n-\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2}, i_{n} \leq m_{n}, n=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq i_{n}=n-\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2} \leq m_{n}, n=1,2,3, \cdots, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2}+1 \leq n \leq \frac{\left(m_{n}+1\right) m_{n}}{2}, n=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(m_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \leq 2 n-\frac{7}{4}, \quad\left(m_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \geq 2 n+\frac{1}{4}, n=1,2,3, \cdots .
$$

Thus

$$
\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}-\frac{1}{2} \leq m_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{2 n-\frac{7}{4}}, n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sqrt{2 n-\frac{7}{4}}-\frac{1}{2} \leq-m_{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}-\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}, n=1,2,3, \cdots, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the difference of the two sides of the inequality above is

$$
1-\left(\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}-\sqrt{2 n-\frac{7}{4}}\right)=1-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+\frac{1}{4}}+\sqrt{2 n-\frac{7}{4}}} \in[0,1), n=1,2,3, \cdots
$$

Then, it follows from (2.7) that (2.6) holds obviously.

## 3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping $J$ from $X$ to $X^{*}$. Suppose that $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $X$ and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}: C \rightarrow C$ is a sequence of nonexpansive mappings with the interior of $F:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f: C \rightarrow C$ be a weakly contractive mapping. Starting from an arbitrary $x_{1} \in C$, define $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n}^{*} x_{n} \forall n \geq 1, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence in $(0,1)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{n-1}^{2} / \alpha_{n}^{2}-1\right)<\infty$;
(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\alpha_{n-1}-\alpha_{n}\right) / \alpha_{n}^{2}<\infty$;
and $T_{n}^{*}=T_{i_{n}}$ with $i_{n}$ being the solution to the positive integer equation: $n=i_{n}+\frac{\left(m_{n}-1\right) m_{n}}{2}\left(m_{n} \geq\right.$ $\left.i_{n}, n=1,2, \cdots\right)$, that is, for each $n \geq 1$, there exists a unique $i_{n}$ such that

$$
i_{1}=1, i_{2}=1, i_{3}=2, i_{4}=1, i_{5}=2, i_{6}=3, i_{7}=1, i_{8}=2, i_{9}=3, i_{10}=4, i_{11}=1, \cdots
$$

If $f \neq 0$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^{*} \in F$ which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(I-f) x^{*}, J\left(x-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in F \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.
(I) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|$ exists $\forall p^{*} \in F$.

For any $p^{*} \in F$, from (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p^{*}\right\| & =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-p^{*}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n}^{*}\left(x_{n}-p^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p^{*}\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(p^{*}\right)\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|-\alpha_{n} \psi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|+\mu_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{n}=\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|$, and so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n}<\infty$. So by Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| x_{n}-$ $p^{*} \|$ exists and hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$, and $\left\{T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\}$ are bounded.
(II) $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in C$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From (3.1) and Lemma 2.2, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p^{*}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-p^{*}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n}^{*}\left(x_{n}-p^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-p^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{n}^{*}\left(x_{n}-p^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& -\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left(\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(p^{*}\right)\right\|+\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|\right)^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left[\left(\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|-\psi\left(\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|\right)\right)+\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|\right]^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{n}\left(2\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|+\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|^{2}+\nu_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{n}:=\alpha_{n}\left(2\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\| \cdot\left\|x_{n}-p^{*}\right\|+\left\|f\left(p^{*}\right)-p^{*}\right\|^{2}\right)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n}<\infty$, since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty$.

Furthermore, it follows from (2.2) that

$$
\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\phi\left(p, x_{n+1}\right)+2\left\langle x_{n+1}-p, J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle \forall p \in X
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n+1}-p, J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(p, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p, x_{n+1}\right)\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since the interior of $F$ is nonempty, there exists a $p^{*} \in F$ and $r>0$ such that $\left(p^{*}+r h\right) \in F$ whenever $\|h\| \leq 1$. Thus, from (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left\langle x_{n+1}-\left(p^{*}+r h\right), J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{n} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left\langle h, J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle & \leq\left\langle x_{n+1}-p^{*}, J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nu_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h, J\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2 r}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2 r} \nu_{n} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h$ with $\|h\| \leq 1$ is arbitrary, we have, by taking $h=\frac{x_{n}-x_{n+1}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 r}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n+1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2 r} \nu_{n} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, if $n>m$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\| & \leq \sum_{j=m}^{n-1}\left\|x_{j}-x_{j+1}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 r} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{j}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{j+1}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2 r} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \nu_{j}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{1}{2 r}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{m}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2 r} \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \nu_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

But we know that $\left\{\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n}<\infty$. Therefore, we obtain from (3.8) that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $X$ is complete there exists an $x^{*} \in X$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, since $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $C$ is closed and convex, then $x^{*} \in C$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in C(n \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(III) $\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $i \geq 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows from (3.1) and (3.7) that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

which implies that, by induction, for any nonnegative integer $j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+j}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+1}-T_{n}^{*} x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \geq 1$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n+i}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+i}\right\|+\left\|x_{n+i}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n+i}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+i}^{*} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for each $i \geq 1$, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a matter of fact, setting

$$
n=N_{m}+i,
$$

where $N_{m}=\frac{(m-1) m}{2}, m \geq i$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|x_{N_{m}}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|x_{N_{m}}-T_{N_{m}+i}^{*} x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|T_{N_{m}+i}^{*} x_{N_{m}}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|x_{N_{m}}-T_{N_{m}+i}^{*} x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|T_{i} x_{N_{m}}-T_{i} x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq 2\left\|x_{n}-x_{N_{m}}\right\|+\left\|x_{N_{m}}-T_{N_{m}+i}^{*} x_{N_{m}}\right\| \\
& =2\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-i}\right\|+\left\|x_{N_{m}}-T_{N_{m}+i}^{*} x_{N_{m}}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since $N_{m} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (3.10) and (3.12) that (3.13) holds obviously.
(IV) $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is the unique solution to the following variational inequality:

$$
\left\langle(I-f) x^{*}, J\left(x-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in F .
$$

It immediately follows from (3.9) and (3.13) that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in F . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for any $i \geq 1$, we consider the corresponding subsequence $\left\{x_{k}^{(i)}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, where $\mathbb{K}_{i}:=$ $\{k \in \mathbb{N}: k=i+(m-1) m / 2, m \geq i, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For example, by Lemma 2.10 and the definition of $\mathbb{K}_{1}$, we have $\mathbb{K}_{1}=\{1,2,4,7,11,16, \cdots\}$ and $i_{1}=i_{2}=i_{4}=i_{7}=i_{11}=i_{16}=\cdots=1$. Since $\left(T_{k}^{*}\right)^{(i)}=T_{i}$ whenever $k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}$, it follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{k+1}^{(i)}-x_{k}^{(i)}\right\|= & \| \alpha_{k}^{(i)}\left(f\left(x_{k}^{(i)}\right)-f\left(x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right) T_{i}\left(x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right) \\
& +\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)\left(f\left(x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)-T_{i} x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right) \| \\
\leq & \alpha_{k}^{(i)}\left(\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|-\psi\left(\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|\right)\right) \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|+M\left|\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right| \\
\leq & \left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|+M\left|\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M:=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}}\left\|f\left(x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)-T_{i} x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|<\infty$.
Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\|x_{k+1}^{(i)}-x_{k}^{(i)}\right\|}{\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}} & \leq \frac{\left(\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|}{\left(\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2}}+\frac{M\left|\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right|}{\left(\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\left(1+\eta_{k}^{(i)}\right) \frac{\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k-1}^{(i)}\right\|}{\left(\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right)^{2}}+\gamma_{k}^{(i)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{k}^{(i)}:=\left(\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)} / \alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}-1, \gamma_{k}^{(i)}:=M\left|\alpha_{k}^{(i)}-\alpha_{k-1}^{(i)}\right| /\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}} \eta_{k}^{(i)}<\infty$, and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}} \gamma_{k}^{(i)}<\infty$.
It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\lim _{\mathbb{K}_{i} \ni k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{k+1}^{(i)}-x_{k}^{(i)}\right\| /\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}$ exists and hence $\left\{y_{k}^{(i)}\right\}:=$ $\left\{\left(x_{k+1}^{(i)}-x_{k}^{(i)}\right) /\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}\right\}$ is bounded. Then there exists an $M_{i}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|x_{k+1}^{(i)}-x_{k}^{(i)}\right\|}{M_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}} \leq 1 \forall k \in \mathbb{K}_{i}
$$

Taking $h=\left(x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k+1}^{(i)}\right) / M_{i}\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}$, we have, from 3.6.,

$$
\frac{\left\|x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k+1}^{(i)}\right\|^{2}}{\left(\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{M_{i}}{2 r}\left(\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{k}^{(i)}\right)-\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{k+1}^{(i)}\right)\right)+\frac{M_{i}}{2 r} \nu_{k}^{(i)} .
$$

This implies that, as $\mathbb{K}_{i} \ni k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k+1}^{(i)}}{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}} \rightarrow \theta . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from (3.1), we have

$$
\frac{x_{k}^{(i)}-x_{k+1}^{(i)}}{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}=\left((I-f)+\frac{1-\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}{\alpha_{k}^{(i)}}\left(I-T_{i}\right)\right) x_{k}^{(i)} .
$$

In addition, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8 . $(I-f)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}^{(i)}\right) / \alpha_{k}^{(i)}\left(I-T_{i}\right)$ graph converges to ( $I-$ $f)+N_{F\left(T_{i}\right)}$. Since the graph of $(I-f)+N_{F\left(T_{i}\right)}$ is weakly-strongly closed, we obtain that, by taking into (3.15) and (3.14),

$$
\theta \in(I-f) x^{*}+N_{F\left(T_{i}\right)}\left(x^{*}\right) .
$$

This implies that $\left\langle(I-f) x^{*}, x^{*}-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in F\left(T_{i}\right)$, that is,

$$
\left\langle(I-f) x^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in F
$$

since $F \subset F\left(T_{i}\right)$. The proof is completed.

## 4. Applications

The so-called convex feasibility problem for a family of mappings $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is to find a point in the nonempty intersection $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right)$, which exactly illustrates the importance of finding common fixed points of infinite families. The following example also clarifies the same thing.

Example 4.1. Let $X$ be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space, $C$ be a nonempty and closed convex subset of $X$, and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of bifunctions satisfying the conditions: for each $i \geq 1$,
$\left(A_{1}\right) f_{i}(x, x)=0$;
$\left(A_{2}\right) f_{i}$ is monotone, i.e., $f_{i}(x, y)+f_{i}(y, x) \leq 0$;
$\left(A_{3}\right) \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} f_{i}(x+t(z-x), y) \leq f_{i}(x, y)$;
$\left(A_{4}\right)$ The mapping $y \mapsto f_{i}(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
A system of equilibrium problems for $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is to find an $x^{*} \in C$ such that

$$
f_{i}\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C, i \geq 1,
$$

whose set of common solutions is denoted by $E P:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} E P\left(f_{i}\right)$, where $E P\left(f_{i}\right)$ denotes the set of solutions to the equilibrium problem for $f_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots)$. It is shown in Theorem 4.3 in [10] that such a system of problems can be reduced to the approximation of some fixed point of a sequence of nonexpansive mappings.

Example 4.2. Application to monotone variational inequalities.
Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space. Set $f=I-\gamma G$, where $G: H \rightarrow H$ is a $\eta$-Lipschitzian and $\kappa$-strongly monotone mapping and $\gamma \in\left(0, \frac{2 \kappa}{\eta^{2}}\right]$. Now, we show that $f: H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive mapping. In fact, by the assumptions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(x)-f(y)\|^{2} & =\|(x-y)-(\gamma G x-\gamma G y)\|^{2} \\
& =\|x-y\|^{2}-2 \gamma\langle x-y, G x-G y\rangle+\gamma^{2}\|G x-G y\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-2 \gamma \kappa\|x-y\|^{2}+\gamma^{2} \eta^{2}\|x-y\|^{2} \\
& =\left(1-2 \gamma \kappa+\gamma^{2} \eta^{2}\right)\|x-y\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|x-y\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Hence, (3.2) is reduced to finding an $x^{*} \in F$ such that

$$
\left\langle G x^{*}, x-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall x \in F,
$$

where $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of nonexpansive mappings, whose common fixed points set is denoted by $F$. This problem was first considered by Yamada and Ogura 9$]$.
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