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#### Abstract

We introduce a hybrid method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem defined on the dual space of a Banach space and the set of common fixed points of a family of generalized nonexpansive mappings and prove strong convergence theorems by using the new hybrid method. Using our main results, we obtain some new strong convergence theorems for finding a solution of an equilibrium problem and a fixed point of a family of generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space. © 2016 All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space, $E^{*}$ the dual space of $E$ and $C$ a closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is a closed and convex subset of $E^{*}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem is to find

$$
\hat{x} \in C \text { such that } f(J \hat{x}, J y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C .
$$

[^0]The set of such solutions $\hat{x}$ is denoted by $E P(f)$. A mapping $T$ of $C$ into itself is called nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. We use $F(T)$ to denote the set of fixed points of $T$, that is, $F(T)=\{x \in C: x=T x\}$. A mapping $T$ of $C$ into itself is called quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T)$ is nonempty and $\|T x-y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x \in C$ and $y \in F(T)$. It is easy to see that if $T$ is nonexpansive with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then it is quasi-nonexpansive.

Numerous problems in physics, optimization and economics reduce to find a solution of the equilibrium problem. Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem in a Hilbert space, see for instance, Blum and Oettli [1], and Combettes and Hirstoaga [2]. On the other hand, Ibaraki and Takahashi [3] introduced a new resolvent of a maximal monotone operator in a Banach space and the concept of a generalized nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space. Ibaraki and Takahashi [3], and Kohsaka and Takahashi [5] also studied some properties for generalized nonexpansive retractions in Banach spaces. Recently, Takahashi and Zembayashi [12] considered the following equilibrium problem with a bifunction defined on the dual space of a Banach space. Moreover, they proved a strong convergence theorem for finding a solution of the equilibrium problem which generalized the result of Combettes and Hirstoaga [2].

Construction of fixed point iteration of nonlinear mappings is an important subject in the theory of nonlinear mappings and has been widely studied by many mathematicians. In 1953, Mann [6] introduced an algorithm which is used to approximate a fixed point of a nonlinear mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$. Mann's iterative process is defined as follows: $x_{0} \in C$

$$
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a real sequence in $[0,1]$. However, Mann's algorithm have only weak convergence. For example, Reich [9] proved that if $T: C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point in a closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space with a Frechét differentiable norm and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is chosen such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)=\infty$, then the Mann's iteration converges weakly to a fixed point of $T$. Later, Nakajo and Takahashi [8] attempted to modify the Mann's iteration in order to guarantee strong convergence by using the hybrid method in mathematical programming, called normal hybrid method. For a nonexpansive mapping $T$ in a Hilbert space, it is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=x \in C, C_{0}=C  \tag{1.1}\\
u_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\|z-u_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|\right\} \\
Q_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, x-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n} \cap Q_{n}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\alpha_{n} \subset[0, a]$ for $a \in[0,1)$, then sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.1), converges strongly to $P_{F(T)} x$ which is the metric projection from $C$ onto $F(T)$. Construction the sets $C_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$ is difficult to obtain because it has complicated condition. For this reason, Takahashi et al. 11] introduced another hybrid method and proposed the following modification iteration method different from Nakajo and Takahashi 's hybrid method [8]. We call such a method the shrinking projection method:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=x \in C, C_{0}=C  \tag{1.2}\\
u_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|z-u_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$. They proved strong convergence of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1.2) under an appropriate control condition on the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$.

In this paper, motivated by Takahashi et al. [11], we introduce a new hybrid method by using the shrinking projection method and Takahashi and Zambayashi [12] for finding a common element of the set
of solutions of equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points of a countable family of generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space and prove strong convergence theorems in a Banach space. Using this results, we obtain some new strong convergence results for finding a solution of an equilibrium problem and a fixed point of a generalized nonexpansive mapping or a family of generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that all linear spaces are real. Let $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ be the sets of all positive integers and real numbers, respectively. Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$. For a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ of $E$ and a point $x \in E$, the weak convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$ and the strong convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$ are denoted by $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $x_{n} \rightarrow x$, respectively. The duality mapping $J$ from $E$ into $2^{E^{*}}$ is defined by

$$
J x=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}, \forall x \in E
$$

Let $S(E)$ be the unit sphere centered at the origin of $E$. Then the space $E$ is said to be smooth if the limit

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t}
$$

exists for all $x, y \in S(E)$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit exists uniformly in $x, y \in S(E)$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1$ whenever $x, y \in S(E)$ and $x \neq y$. It is said to be uniformly convex if for each $\epsilon \in(0,2]$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1-\delta$ whenever $x, y \in S(E)$ and $\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon$. We know the following (see [10]):
(i) if $E$ is smooth, then $J$ is single-valued;
(ii) if $E$ is reflexive, then $J$ is onto;
(iii) if $E$ is strictly convex, then $J$ is one-to-one;
(iv) if $E$ is strictly convex, then $J$ is strictly monotone;
(v) if $E$ is uniformly smooth, then $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on each bounded subset of $E$.

Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$. Throughout this paper, define the function $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(y, x)=\|y\|^{2}-2\langle y, J x\rangle+\|x\|^{2}, \forall y, x \in E \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, in a Hilbert space $H$, (2.1) reduces to $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$, for all $x, y \in H$. It is obvious from the definition of the function $\phi$ that for all $x, y \in E$,
(P1) $(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \leq \phi(x, y) \leq(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2}$,
$(\mathrm{P} 2) \phi(x, y)=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y)+2\langle x-z, J z-J y\rangle$,
(P3) $\phi(x, y)=\langle x, J x-J y\rangle+\langle y-x, J y\rangle \leq\|x\|\|J x-J y\|+\|y-x\|\|y\|$.
Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a Banach space $E$, and let $T$ be a mapping from $C$ into itself. Recall that a self-mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is generalized nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(T x, u) \leq \phi(x, u)$ for all $x \in C$ and $u \in F(T)$. Let $R$ be a mapping from $E$ onto $C$. Then $R$ is said to be a retraction if $R^{2}=R$. The mapping $R$ from $E$ onto $C$ is said to be sunny if $R(R x+t(x-R x))=R x$ for all $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$.

A nonempty closed subset $C$ of a smooth Banach space $E$ is said to be a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$ if there exists a sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction $R$ from $E$ onto $C$. We know the following lemmas for sunny generalized nonexpansive retractions.

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$ and let $R$ be a retraction from $E$ onto $C$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $R$ is sunny generalized nonexpansive;
(ii) $\langle x-R x, J y-J R x\rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in E, y \in C$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of a smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$. Then the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C$ is uniquely determined.

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth and strictly convex Banach space $E$ such that there exists a sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction $R$ from $E$ onto $C$, let $x \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $z=R x$ if and only if $\langle x-z, J y-J z\rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in C$;
(ii) $\phi(x, R x)+\phi(R x, z) \leq \phi(x, z)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$. Then the following items are equivalent:
(i) $C$ is a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$;
(ii) $J C$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.5 ([5]). Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$. Let $R$ be the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $C$, let $x \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $z=R x$;
(ii) $\phi(x, z)=\min _{y \in C} \phi(x, y)$.

Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. To solve the equilibrium problem, let us assume that a bifunction $f: J C \times J C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A1) $f\left(x^{*}, x^{*}\right)=0$ for all $x^{*} \in J C$;
(A2) $f$ is monotone, i.e., $f\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)+f\left(y^{*}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$ for all $x^{*}, y^{*} \in J C$;
(A3) for all $x^{*}, y^{*}, z^{*} \in J C, \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} f\left(t z^{*}+(1-t) x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \leq f\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$;
(A4) for all $x^{*} \in J C, f\left(x^{*}, \cdot\right)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
Lemma 2.6 ([1]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex, and let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Then, for $r>0$ and $x \in E$, there exists $z \in C$ such that

$$
f(J z, J y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle z-x, J y-J z\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 2.7 ([12]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex, let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). For $r>0$ and $x \in E$, define a mapping $T_{r}: E \rightarrow C$ as follows:

$$
T_{r}(x)=\left\{z \in C: f(J z, J y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle z-x, J y-J z\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Then the following statements hold:
(i) $T_{r}$ is single-valued;
(ii) for all $x, y \in E,\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle x-y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle$;
(iii) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=E P(f)$;
(iv) $J E P(f)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.8 ([12]). Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex, let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) and let $r>0$. Then, for $x \in E$ and $p \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$,

$$
\phi\left(x, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, p\right) \leq \phi(x, p)
$$

The following lemmas are also needed for the proof of our main results.
Lemma 2.9 ([4]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $E$ such that either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=$ 0 .

Lemma 2.10 ([5]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$ and let $T$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is closed and $J F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.11 ([5]). Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space $E$ and let $T$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself. Then $F(T)$ is a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$.

Lemma 2.12 ([4]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space and let $r>0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g(0)=0$ and

$$
g(\|x-y\|) \leq \phi(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}(0)$, where $B_{r}(0)=\{z \in E:\|z\| \leq r\}$.
Lemma 2.13 ([13]). Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and let $r>0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $g(0)=0$ and

$$
\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2} \leq t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t) g(\|x-y\|)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}(0)$ and $t \in[0,1]$, where $B_{r}(0)=\{z \in E:\|z\| \leq r\}$.
Lemma $2.14([4])$. Let $E$ be a smooth and strictly convex Banach space, $z \in E$, and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m} \subset(0,1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i}=1$. If $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ is a finite sequence in $E$ such that

$$
\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} x_{i}, z\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} \phi\left(x_{i}, z\right)
$$

then $x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots=x_{m}$.
Next, we recall some lemmas for NST-condition.
Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$. Motivated by Nakajo et al. [7], we give the following definition: Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be two families of generalized nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into $E$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$, where $F\left(T_{n}\right)$ is the set of all fixed points of $T_{n}$ and $F(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of all common fixed points of $\mathcal{T}$. Then, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is said to satisfy the NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}$ if for each bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0 \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0, \text { for all } T \in \mathcal{T}
$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{T}=\{T\}$, i.e., $\mathcal{T}$ consists of one mapping $T$, then $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is said to satisfy the NST-condition with $T$. It is obvious that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ with $T_{n}=T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}=\{T\}$.

Lemma 2.15. Let $C$ be a closed subset of a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ and let $T$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $E$ with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the mapping $T_{n}$ from $C$ into $E$ by

$$
T_{n} x=\beta_{n} x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x
$$

for all $x \in C$. Then, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a countable family of generalized nonexpansive mappings satisfying the NSTcondition with $T$.

Lemma 2.16. Let $C$ be a closed subset of a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space $E$ and let $S$ and $T$ be generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ with $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the mapping $T_{n}$ from $C$ into $E$ by

$$
T_{n} x=\beta_{n} S x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x
$$

for all $x \in C$. Then, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a countable family of generalized nonexpansive mappings satisfying the NSTcondition with $\mathcal{T}=\{S, T\}$.

## 3. Strong convergence theorems

In this section, we introduce and prove a strong convergence theorem of a new hybrid method for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of common fixed points of a family of generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space. Recall that an operator $T$ in a Banach space is call closed, if $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $T x_{n} \rightarrow y$, then $T x=y$.

Before proving our main result, we give the following lemma for non-self generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space.

Lemma 3.1. Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and let $C$ be a closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $T$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $E$ such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $F(T)$ is closed and $J F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Proof. We first prove that $F(T)$ is closed. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset F(T)$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. Since $T$ is generalized nonexpansive, then we have

$$
\phi\left(T x, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)
$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies

$$
\phi(T x, x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T x, x_{n}\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\phi(x, x)=0
$$

Therefore, we have $\phi(T x, x)=0$ and hence $x \in F(T)$.
We next show that $J F(T)$ is closed. Let $\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\} \subset J F(T)$ such that $x_{n}^{*} \rightarrow x^{*}$ for some $x^{*} \in E^{*}$. Note that since $J C$ is closed and convex, we have $x^{*} \in J C$. Then, there exist $x \in C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset F(T)$ such that $x^{*}=J x$ and $x_{n}^{*}=J x_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(T x, x_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(x, x_{n}\right) \\
& =\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \rightarrow\|x\|^{2}-2\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T x, x_{n}\right)=0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(T x, x_{n}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\|T x\|^{2}-2\left\langle T x, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\|T x\|^{2}-2\left\langle T x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}=\phi(T x, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $\phi(T x, x)=0$ and hence $x=T x$. This implies $x^{*}=J x \in J F(T)$.
We finally show that $J F(T)$ is convex. Let $x^{*}, y^{*} \in J F(T)$ and let $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\beta=1-\alpha$. Then we have $x, y \in F(T)$ such that $x^{*}=J x$ and $y^{*}=J y$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(T J^{-1}(\alpha J x\right. & \left.+\beta J y), J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y)\right) \\
= & \left\|T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y)\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), \alpha J x+\beta J y\right\rangle+\left\|J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}-\left(\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}\right) \\
= & \alpha \phi\left(T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), x\right)+\beta \phi\left(T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), y\right)+\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-\left(\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x, y \in F(T)$ and $T$ is generalized nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha \phi\left(T J^{-1}(\alpha J\right. & x+\beta J y), x)+\beta \phi\left(T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), y\right)+\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-\left(\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}\right) \\
\leq & \alpha \phi\left(J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), x\right)+\beta \phi\left(J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), y\right)+\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-\left(\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}\right) \\
= & \alpha\left\{\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-2\left\langle J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), J x\right\rangle+\|x\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\beta\left\{\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-2\left\langle J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), J y\right\rangle+\|y\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-\left(\alpha\|x\|^{2}+\beta\|y\|^{2}\right) \\
= & 2\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-2\left\langle J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y), \alpha J x+\beta J y\right\rangle \\
= & 2\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}-2\|\alpha J x+\beta J y\|^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have $T J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y)=J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y)$ and hence $J^{-1}(\alpha J x+\beta J y) \in J F(T)$. This implies that $\alpha J x+\beta J y \in J F(T)$. Therefore, $J F(T)$ is convex and the proof is complete.

Using Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1 , we obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and $C$ be a closed subset of $E$ such that JC is closed and convex. Let $T$ be a generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $E$ such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then $F(T)$ is a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a countable family of generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a family of closed generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$ and $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n} \\
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)}$ x, where $R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)$.

Proof. Since the proof of Theorem 3.3 is very long, so we divide it into 5 steps.
Step1: We begin by proving that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well-defined. Putting $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, we have from Lemma 2.8 that $T_{r_{n}}$ is generalized nonexpansive. We first show that $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)$ is a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$ and $J C_{n}$ is closed and convex. From Lemmas 2.7 and 3.1 , we have $J E P(f)$ and $J F(T)$ are closed and convex, respectively. Since $E$ is uniformly convex, $J$ is injective and hence

$$
J(F(T) \cap J E P(f))=J F(T) \cap J E P(f)
$$

which is also closed and convex. Using Lemma 2.4, we have $F(T) \cap E P(f)$ is a sunny generalized nonexpansive retract of $E$. It is obvious that $J C_{0}$ is closed and convex. Since $\phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)$ is equivalent to

$$
0 \leq\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}-u_{n}, J z\right\rangle
$$

which is affine in $J z$, hence $J C_{n}$ is closed and convex. Next, we show by the induction that $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset$ $C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. From $C_{0}=C$, we have $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{0}$. Suppose that $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Since $T_{r_{k}}$ and $T_{n}$ are generalized nonexpansive, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(u_{k}, u\right) & =\phi\left(T_{r_{k}} y_{k}, u\right) \leq \phi\left(y_{k}, u\right) \\
& =\phi\left(\alpha_{k} x_{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T_{k} x_{k}, u\right) \\
& =\left\|\alpha_{k} x_{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T_{k} x_{k}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle\alpha_{k} x_{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T_{k} x_{k}, J u\right\rangle+\|u\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{k}\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right)\left\|T_{k} x_{k}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle x_{k}, J u\right\rangle-2\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right)\left\langle T_{k} x_{k}, J u\right\rangle+\|u\|^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\alpha_{k} \phi\left(x_{k}, u\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) \phi\left(T_{k} x_{k}, u\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{k} \phi\left(x_{k}, u\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) \phi\left(x_{k}, u\right)=\phi\left(x_{k}, u\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have $u \in C_{k+1}$. This implies that $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. So, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well-defined. Step2: We will show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 (ii) and $x_{n}=R_{C_{n}} x$ that

$$
\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(x, R_{C_{n}} x\right) \leq \phi(x, u)-\phi\left(R_{C_{n}} x, u\right) \leq \phi(x, u)
$$

for all $u \in F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{n}$. Then, $\left\{\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. Moreover, by definition of $\phi$, we have that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. From $C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$ and $x_{n}=R_{C_{n}} x$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x, x_{n+1}\right), n \geq 0
$$

So, the limit of $\left\{\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)\right\}$ exists. From $x_{n}=R_{C_{n}} x$, and for any positive integer $k$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right)=\phi\left(R_{C_{n}} x, x_{n+k}\right) \leq \phi\left(x, x_{n+k}\right)-\phi\left(x, R_{C_{n}} x\right)=\phi\left(x, x_{n+k}\right)-\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)
$$

This implies that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+k}\right)=0$. Using Lemma 2.12, we have that, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m>n$,

$$
g\left(\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \leq \phi\left(x, x_{m}\right)-\phi\left(x, x_{n}\right)
$$

where $g:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function with $g(0)=0$. Then the property of the function $g$ yields that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C$, so there exists $w \in C$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow w$. In view of $x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x \in C_{n+1}$ and definition of $C_{n+1}$, we also have

$$
\phi\left(u_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)
$$

It follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=0$. Since $E$ is uniformly convex and smooth, we have from Lemma 2.9 that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|=0
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step3 : We will prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0$. Put $r=\max \left\{\sup _{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|, \sup _{n}\left\|T x_{n}\right\|\right\}$. Since $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, there exists a continuous, strictly increasing and convex function $g$ with $g(0)=0$ such that

$$
\|t x+(1-t) y\|^{2} \leq t\|x\|^{2}+(1-t)\|y\|^{2}-t(1-t) g(\|x-y\|)
$$

for all $x, y \in B_{r}(0)$ and $t \in[0,1]$. So, we have that for $u \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right)= & \phi\left(T_{r_{n}} y_{n}, u\right) \leq \phi\left(y_{n}, u\right) \\
= & \phi\left(\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}, u\right) \\
= & \left\|\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n}, J u\right\rangle+\|u\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|T_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle x_{n}, J u\right\rangle-2\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle T_{n} x_{n}, J u\right\rangle+\|u\|^{2} \\
& -\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \alpha_{n} \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \phi\left(T_{n} x_{n}, u\right)-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{n} \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right), \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right) & =\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n}-u_{n}, J u\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left|\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right|+2\left|\left\langle x_{n}-u_{n}, J u\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left\|x_{n}\right\|-\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right|\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\|J u\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\| \mid\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\|J u\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right)\right)=0 .
$$

From $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|\right)=0$. By properties of the function $g$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n} x_{n}\right\|=0$.
Step4: We will show that $w \in F(T) \cap E P(f)$. Since $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}$, we have that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0, \text { for all } T \in \mathcal{T}
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow w$ and $T$ is closed, it follows that $w$ is a fixed point of $T$, that is, $w \in F(\mathcal{T})$ and by (3.2), we have that $u_{n} \rightarrow w$. On the other hand, from $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$, Lemma 2.8 and (3.1) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(y_{n}, u_{n}\right) & =\phi\left(y_{n}, T_{r_{n}} y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(y_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(T_{r_{n}} y_{n}, u\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(T_{r_{n}} y_{n}, u\right) \\
& =\phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\phi\left(x_{n}, u\right)-\phi\left(u_{n}, u\right)\right)=0$, we have that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(y_{n}, u_{n}\right)=0$. Since $E$ is uniformly convex and smooth, we have from Lemma 2.9 that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. From $r_{n} \geq a$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|y_{n}-u_{n}\right\|}{r_{n}}=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $u_{n}=T_{r_{n}} y_{n}$, we have

$$
f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C .
$$

By (A2), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq-f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right) \geq f\left(J y, J u_{n}\right), \forall y \in C \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous and $u_{n} \rightarrow w$, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$
f(J y, J w) \leq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

For $t$ with $0<t \leq 1$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}^{*}=t J y+(1-t) J w$. Since $J C$ is convex, we have $y_{t}^{*} \in J C$ and hence $f\left(y_{t}^{*}, J w\right) \leq 0$. So, from (A1) we have

$$
0=f\left(y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \leq t f\left(y_{t}^{*}, J y\right)+(1-t) f\left(y_{t}^{*}, J w\right) \leq t f\left(y_{t}^{*}, J y\right)
$$

Hence

$$
f\left(y_{t}^{*}, J y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, from (A3) we have

$$
f(J w, J y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Therefore, we have $J w \in J E P(f)$ that is $w \in E P(f)$.
Step5: We will show that $x_{n}$ converges strongly to $R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x$ by proving $w=R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x$. From
Lemma 2.3 (ii), we have

$$
\phi\left(x, R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x\right)+\phi\left(R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x, w\right) \leq \phi(x, w)
$$

Since $x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x$ and $w \in F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \subset C_{n}$, we get from Lemma 2.3 (ii) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x, x_{n+1}\right)+\phi\left(x_{n+1}, R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x\right) \leq \phi\left(x, R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{n} \rightarrow w$, it follows by definition of $\phi$ that $\phi\left(x, x_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow \phi(x, w)$. This implies by (3.5) that $\phi(x, w) \leq$ $\phi\left(x, R_{F(\mathcal{T} \cap E P(f))} x\right)$. But since $\phi(x, w) \geq \phi\left(x, R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x\right)$, we obtain $\phi(x, w)=\phi\left(x, R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x\right)$. Therefore, it follows from the uniqueness of $R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x$ that $w=R_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.4. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $J C \times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-x_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{E P(f)} x$, where $R_{E P(f)}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $E P(f)$.
Proof. Putting $T_{n}=I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the desired result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a countable family of generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ and, let $\mathcal{T}$ be a family of closed generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n} \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{F(\mathcal{T})}$ x, where $R_{F(\mathcal{T})}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $F(\mathcal{T})$.
Proof. Putting $f(J x, J y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $r_{n}=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the desired result.

## 4. Deduced results

In this section, using Theorem 3.3, we obtain some new convergence theorems for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of one and two of generalized nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space.

Theorem 4.1. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that JC is closed and convex. Let $f$ be a bifunction from JC $\times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $T$ be a closed generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $E$ such that $F(T) \cap E P(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T x_{n} \\
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{F(T) \cap E P(f)} x$, where $R_{F(T) \cap E P(f)}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $F(T) \cap E P(f)$.

Proof. Put $T_{n}=T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is obvious that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $T$, so we obtain the desired result by using Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 4.2. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $f$ be a bifunction from JC $\times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $T$ be a closed generalized nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into $E$ such that $F(T) \cap E P(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x_{n}\right) \\
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{F(T) \cap E P(f)}$ x, where $R_{F(T) \cap E P(f)}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $F(T) \cap E P(f)$.

Proof. Define $T_{n} x=\beta_{n} x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in C$. By Lemma 2.15, we know that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $T$, so we obtain the desired result by using Theorem 3.3.

Theorem 4.3. Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $E$ such that $J C$ is closed and convex. Let $f$ be a bifunction from JC $\times J C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4) and $S, T$ be closed generalized nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $E$ such that $\Omega=F(S) \cap$ $F(T) \cap E P(f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(\beta_{n} S x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x_{n}\right) \\
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(J u_{n}, J y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, J y-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(u_{n}, z\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n}, z\right)\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=R_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J$ is the duality mapping on $E$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $[0,1]$ satisfying
$\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $R_{\Omega} x$, where $R_{\Omega}$ is the sunny generalized nonexpansive retraction from $E$ onto $\Omega$.

Proof. Define $T_{n} x=\beta_{n} S x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in C$. By Lemma 2.15, we know that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $T$. So, we obtain the desired result by using Theorem 3.3 .

## 5. Applications

In this section, we give a strong convergence theorem for a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space. In a Hilbert space, we know that $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in H, J=I$, where $I$ is an identity mapping and every nonexpansive mapping is closed generalized nonexpansive. The following two lemmas are directly obtained by Lemmas 2.15 and 2.16, respectively.

Lemma 5.1 ([11, Lemma 2.1]). Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the mapping $T_{n}$ of $C$ into itself by

$$
T_{n} x=\beta_{n} x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x
$$

for all $x \in C$. Then, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a countable family of nonexpansive mappings satisfying the NST-condition with $T$.

Lemma 5.2 (11, Lemma 2.3]). Let $C$ be a closed and convex subset of a Hilbert space $H$ and let $S$ and $T$ be nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into itself with $F(S) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)>0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the mapping $T_{n}$ of $C$ into itself by

$$
T_{n} x=\beta_{n} S x+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) T x
$$

for all $x \in C$. Then, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a countable family of nonexpansive mappings satisfying the NST-condition with $\{S, T\}$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $H$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ be families of nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{n}\right)=F(\mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$ and $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the NST-condition with $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) T_{n} x_{n} \\
u_{n} \in C, \text { such that } f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle u_{n}-y_{n}, y-u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|z-u_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty)$ for some $a>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)} x$, where $P_{F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)}$ is the metric projection from $C$ onto $F(\mathcal{T}) \cap E P(f)$.

Proof. In a Hilbert space, we know that $\phi(x, y)=\|x-y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in H, J=I$, where $I$ is the identity mapping. By using Theorem 3.3, we obtain the desired conclusion.
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