

Equivalences to the triangulation conjecture

Duane Randall

Abstract We utilize the obstruction theory of Galewski-Matsumoto-Stern to derive equivalent formulations of the Triangulation Conjecture. For example, every closed topological manifold M^n with $n \geq 5$ can be simplicially triangulated if and only if the two distinct combinatorial triangulations of RP^5 are simplicially concordant.

AMS Classification 57N16, 55S35; 57Q15

Keywords Triangulation, Kirby-Siebenmann class, Bockstein operator, topological manifold

1 Introduction

The Triangulation Conjecture (TC) asserts that every closed topological manifold M^n of dimension $n \geq 5$ admits a simplicial triangulation. The vanishing of the Kirby-Siebenmann class $KS(M)$ in $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is both necessary and sufficient for the existence of a combinatorial triangulation of M^n for $n \geq 5$ by [7]. A combinatorial triangulation of a closed manifold M^n is a simplicial triangulation for which the link of every i -simplex is a combinatorial sphere of dimension $n - i - 1$. Galewski and Stern [3, Theorem 5] and Matsumoto [8] independently proved that a closed connected topological manifold M^n with $n \geq 5$ is simplicially triangulable if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad KS(M) = 0 \text{ in } H^5(M; \ker \beta)$$

where β denotes the Bockstein operator associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \beta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups. Moreover, the Triangulation Conjecture is true if and only if this exact sequence splits by [3] or [11, page 26]. The Rochlin invariant morphism β is defined on the homology bordism group \mathfrak{B}_3 of oriented homology 3-spheres modulo those which bound acyclic compact PL 4-manifolds. Fintushel and Stern [1] and Furuta [2] proved that \mathfrak{B}_3 is infinitely generated.

We freely employ the notation and information given in Ranicki's excellent exposition [11]. The relative boundary version of the Galewski-Matsumoto-Stern

obstruction theory in [11] produces the following result. Given any homeomorphism $f : jKj \rightarrow jLj$ of the polyhedra of closed m -dimensional PL manifolds K and L with $m \geq 5$, f is homotopic to a PL homeomorphism if and only if $KS(f)$ vanishes in $H^3(L; \mathbb{Z}=2)$. More generally, a homeomorphism $f : jKj \rightarrow jLj$ is homotopic to a PL map $F : K \rightarrow L$ with acyclic point inverses if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad (KS(f)) = 0 \text{ in } H^4(L; \ker \pi) :$$

Concordance classes of simplicial triangulations on M^n for $n \geq 5$ correspond bijectively to vertical homotopy classes of liftings of the stable topological tangent bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow B\text{TOP}$ to BH by [3, Theorem 1] and so are enumerated by $H^4(M; \ker \pi)$. The classifying space BH for the stable bundle theory associated to combinatorial homology manifolds in [11] is denoted by $BTRI$ in [3] and by $BHML$ in [8]. We employ obstruction theory to derive some known and new results and generalizations of [4] and [13] on the existence of simplicial triangulations in section 2 and to record some equivalent formulations of TC in section 3. Although some of these formulations may be known, they do not seem to be documented in the literature.

2 Simplicial Triangulations

Let β denote the integral Bockstein operator associated to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$. We proceed to derive some consequences of the vanishing of β on Kirby-Siebenmann classes. The coefficient group for cohomology is understood to be $\mathbb{Z}/2$ whenever omitted. Matumoto knew in [8] that the vanishing of $\beta KS(M)$ implied the vanishing of $KS(M)$. Let m denote the fundamental class of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}; m)$. Since $H^{m+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}; m); G) = 0$ for all coefficient groups G , trivially $\beta(m) = 0$ in $H^{m+1}(K(\mathbb{Z}; m); \ker \pi)$. Thus β vanishes on $KS(M)$ in (1.1) or $KS(f)$ in (1.2) whenever β does. This observation together with (1.1) and (1.2) justifies the following well-known statements. Every closed connected topological manifold M^n with $n \geq 5$ and $\beta KS(M) = 0$ admits a simplicial triangulation. Let $f : jKj \rightarrow jLj$ be any homeomorphism of the polyhedra of closed m -dimensional PL manifolds K and L with $m \geq 5$. If $\beta KS(f) = 0$, then f is homotopic to a PL map $F : K \rightarrow L$ with acyclic point inverses.

Proposition 2.1 *All k -fold Cartesian products of closed 4-manifolds are simplicially triangulable for $k \geq 2$. All products $M^4 \times S^1$ with non-orientable closed*

4-manifolds M^4 are simplicially triangulable. Let N^4 be any simply connected closed 4-manifold with $KS(N)$ trivial and also $b = \text{rank of } H_2(N; \mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Let $f : jKj \rightarrow jLj$ be any homeomorphism with $KS(f)$ nontrivial and $jKj = jLj = N \times S^1$. Then f is homotopic to a PL map $F : K \rightarrow L$ with acyclic point inverses.

Proof of 2.1 Since $KS(\cdot)$ is a primitive cohomology class for the universal bundle on BTOP, we have $KS(M_1 \times M_2) = KS(M_1) + 1 + KS(M_2)$ in $H^4(M_1 \times M_2)$. Triviality of \cdot on $H^4(M^4)$ by dimensionality yields triangulability of all k -fold products of closed 4-manifolds for $k \geq 2$, and of $M^4 \times S^1$ by (1.1).

The product $N^4 \times S^1$ admits 2^b distinct combinatorial structures by [7]; moreover, for every non-zero class u in $H^3(N \times S^1)$, there is a homeomorphism of polyhedra with distinct combinatorial structures whose Casson-Sullivan invariant is u by [11, page 15]. The vanishing of $KS(f)$ follows from the triviality of \cdot on $H^3(N \times S^1) = (H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}))$. \square

No closed 4-manifold M^4 with $KS(M)$ non-zero can be simplicially triangulated. Yet k -fold products of such manifolds M^4 by (2.1) and their products with spheres or tori produce in nitely many distinct non-combinatorial, yet simplicially triangulable closed manifolds in every dimension ≥ 5 . In contrast, there are no known examples of non-smoothable closed 4-manifolds which can be simplicially triangulated, according to Problem 4.72 of [6, page 287].

Theorem 2.2 Let M^n be any closed connected topological manifold with $n \geq 5$ such that the stable spherical fibration determined by the tangent bundle $\tau(M)$ has odd order in $[M; BSG]$. Suppose that either $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion or else all 2-torsion in $H_4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has order 2. Then M is simplicially triangulable.

Proof The Stiefel-Whitney classes of M are trivial by the hypothesis of odd order. We first consider the special case that $\tau(M)$ is stably fiber homotopically trivial. Let $g : M \rightarrow SG=STOP$ be any lifting of a classifying map $\tau(M) : M \rightarrow BSG$ in the fibration

$$(2.3) \quad SG=STOP \xrightarrow{j} BSG \rightarrow BSG$$

The Postnikov 4-stage of $SG=STOP$ is $K(\mathbb{Z}; 2) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}; 4)$. Now $j \cdot KS(e) = \frac{2}{2} + \binom{4}{4}$ by Theorem 15.1 of [7, page 328] where e denotes the universal bundle over BSG. Clearly $(j \cdot KS(e)) = \binom{2}{2} = 2u$ where u generates $H^5(K(\mathbb{Z}; 2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. If all nonzero 2-torsion in $H_4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has order 2,

then $KS(M) = 2g \cup = 0$. If $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion, then $(g \cup) = 0$ so again $KS(M) = 0$. Thus $KS(M) = 0$.

We suppose now that the stable spherical fibration of (M) has order $2a + 1$ in $[M; BSG]$ with $a \geq 1$. Let $s : M \rightarrow S(2a + 1)$ be a section to the sphere bundle projection $p : S(2a + 1) \rightarrow M$ associated to $2a + 1$. Now $S(2a + 1)$ is a stably fiber homotopically trivial manifold, since its stable tangent bundle is $(2a + 1)p^*(M)$. Since $KS(M) = (2a + 1)KS(M) = s^*(KS(S(2a + 1)))$ we conclude that

$$(2.4) \quad KS(M) = s^*(KS(S(2a + 1))) = s^*0 = 0 :$$

We consider the following homotopy commutative diagram of principal fibrations.

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} K(\ker \pi; 4) & \xrightarrow{-i} & (K(\ker \pi; 4); \pi) & = & (K(\ker \pi; 4); \pi) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ BH & \xrightarrow{-i} & (BH; BPL) & \xrightarrow{-i} & (K(\mathbb{Z}/2; 4); \pi) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ S^4 & \xrightarrow{-k\mathcal{P}} & BTOP & \xrightarrow{-i} & (BTOP; BPL) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}S} (K(\mathbb{Z}/2; 4); \pi) \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ & & (K(\ker \pi; 5); \pi) & = & (K(\ker \pi; 5); \pi) \end{array}$$

The fiber map π is induced from the path-loop fibration on $K(\ker \pi; 5)$ via the Bockstein operator β on the fundamental class of $K(\mathbb{Z}/2; 4)$. The induced morphism π on $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the Rochlin morphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ by construction. The relative principal fibration π^\wedge is induced from π via the map $\mathcal{K}S$ classifying the relative universal Kirby-Siebenmann class. Thus $(\mathcal{K}S \circ i) = KS(\pi)$. Inclusion maps are denoted by i in (2.5). The induced morphisms t and $(\mathcal{K}S)$ are isomorphisms on $\mathbb{Z}/2$. We employ (2.5) in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

3 Equivalent formulations to TC

Galewski and Stern constructed a non-orientable closed connected 5-manifold M^5 in [4] such that $Sq^1 KS(M)$ generates $H^5(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. They also proved that any such M^5 is "universal" for TC. Moreover, Theorem 2.1 of [4] essentially asserts that either TC is true or else no closed connected topological n -manifold M^n with $Sq^1 KS(M) \neq 0$ and $n \geq 5$ can be simplicially triangulated.

Theorem 3.1

The following statements are equivalent to the Triangulation Conjecture.

- (1) Any (equivalently all) of the classes $KS(\cdot)$, $\mathcal{K}S$, and $\mathcal{K}S(\wedge)$ in (2.5) is trivial if and only if any (equivalently all) of the fiber maps \mathcal{K} , \wedge , and $\mathcal{K}S$ in (2.5) admits a section.
- (2) The essential map $f : S^4 \rightarrow [2, e^5; \text{BTOP}]$ lifts to BH in (2.5).
- (3) $Sq^1 KS(\wedge) \neq 0$ in $H^5(BH)$ for the universal bundle $\wedge = \mathcal{K}S$ on BH .
- (4) Any closed connected topological manifold M^n with $Sq^1 KS(M) \neq 0$ and $n \geq 5$ admits a simplicial triangulation.
- (5) Every homeomorphism $f : jKj \rightarrow jLj$ with $KS(f)$ non-trivial is homotopic to a PL map with acyclic point inverses where K and L are any combinatorially distinct polyhedra with $jKj = jLj = N^4 \rightarrow RP^2$. Here N^4 denotes any simply connected, closed 4-manifold with $KS(N)$ trivial and positive rank for $H_2(N; Z)$.
- (6) All combinatorial triangulations of each closed connected PL manifold M^n with $n \geq 5$ are concordant as simplicial triangulations.
- (7) The two distinct combinatorial triangulations of RP^5 are simplicially concordant.
- (8) Every closed connected topological manifold M^n with $n \geq 5$ that is stably fiber homotopically trivial admits a simplicial triangulation.

Proof TC , (1) Statement (1) is equivalent to the splitting of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow Z=2 \rightarrow 0$ through the induced morphisms on homotopy in dimension 4.

TC , (2) Let $ks : S^4 \rightarrow [2, e^5; \text{BTOP}]$ represent the Kirby-Siebenmann class in homotopy. That is, $[ks]$ has order 2 and is dual to $KS(\cdot)$ under the mod 2 Hurewicz morphism. Now ks admits an extension $f : S^4 \rightarrow [2, e^5; \text{BTOP}]$, since the co-contraction exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_5(\text{BTOP}) \rightarrow \pi_5([2, e^5; \text{BTOP}]) \rightarrow \pi_4(\text{BTOP}) \rightarrow \pi_4([2, e^5; \text{BTOP}])$$

corresponds to $0 \rightarrow \pi_5 \rightarrow \pi_5 \rightarrow \pi_4 \rightarrow \pi_4$. If $g : S^4 \rightarrow [2, e^5; BH]$ is any lifting of f , the composite map using (2.5)

$$(3.3) \quad h : S^4 \rightarrow [2, e^5; BH] \rightarrow (BH; BPL) \rightarrow (K(\mathbb{Z}/2; 4); \mathcal{K})$$

produces $u = [h]$ in π_4 with $2u = 0$ and $\langle u, \mathcal{K} \rangle = 1$, since $\langle u, \mathcal{K} \rangle = \langle [h], \mathcal{K} \rangle = \langle [ks], \mathcal{K} \rangle$ generates $\pi_4(K(\mathbb{Z}/2; 4))$. Thus TC is true. Conversely, if TC is true, a section $s : \text{BTOP} \rightarrow BH$ in (2.5) gives a lifting $s \circ f$ of f .

TC, (3) Properties of $KS(\cdot)$ are enumerated in [9] and [10]. Since $Sq^1 KS(\cdot) \neq 0$, a section s to \cdot in (2.5) gives $Sq^1(KS(\wedge)) \neq 0$ so *TC* implies 3. We now assume that *TC* is false and claim that the generator Sq^1 for $H^5(K(Z=2;4))$ lies in the image of

$$H^5(K(\ker \cdot; 5)) \rightarrow Hom(\cdot_5(K(\ker \cdot; 5)); Z=2) \rightarrow Hom(\ker \cdot; Z=2):$$

The Serre exact sequence then gives $(Sq^1) = 0$ in $H^5(K(\cdot_3;4))$ so

$$Sq^1 KS(\wedge) = (t \cdot i) (\cdot Sq^1) = 0:$$

Thus we must construct a morphism $\ker \cdot \rightarrow Z=2$ which does not extend to \cdot_3 . We consider the sequence $\ker \cdot \xrightarrow{-f^2} \ker \cdot \xrightarrow{-!} \ker \cdot \rightarrow Z=2$ and define $h: \ker \cdot \rightarrow Z=2 \rightarrow Z=2$ as follows. $h(v) = 1$ if and only if $v = (2z)$ for some $z \in \cdot_3$ with $\cdot(z) = 1$. Now h is a well-defined and non-trivial morphism, since \cdot_3 does not have an element u with $2u = 0$ and $\cdot(u) = 1$ by hypothesis. The composite morphism $h \cdot : \ker \cdot \rightarrow Z=2$ does not extend to \cdot_3 .

TC, (4) Suppose M^n with $Sq^1 KS(M) \neq 0$ admits a simplicial triangulation. Now $Sq^1 KS(M) = g Sq^1 KS(\wedge)$ for any lifting $g: M \rightarrow BH$ of $\cdot: M \rightarrow BTOP$. Since $Sq^1 KS(\wedge) \neq 0$, *TC* holds by (3).

TC, (5) Clearly triviality of $\mathbb{R}S$ in (2.5) gives $KS(f) = 0$ via naturality for every f . Suppose that $KS(f) = 0$ for any such f in 5. Now $KS(f) = (v) \cdot i a$ in $(H^2(M; Z) \rightarrow H^1(RP^2) \rightarrow H^3(L))$. Here a generates $H(RP^1)$ and $i: RP^2 \rightarrow RP^1$. Naturality via the universal example $CP^1 \rightarrow RP^1$ for $(v) \cdot i a$ gives $KS(f) = v \cdot (i a)$. Since $i: H^2(RP^1; \ker \cdot) \rightarrow H^2(RP^2; \ker \cdot)$ is a monomorphism, $(i a) = 0$ if and only if $(a) = 0$. Now $(a) = 0$ if and only if *TC* is true via the fibration

$$K(\ker \cdot; 1) \rightarrow K(\cdot_3; 1) \rightarrow RP^1:$$

TC, (6), (7) *TC* holds if and only if $\cdot = 0$ for the fundamental class of $K(Z=2;3)$. Concordance classes of simplicial triangulations of M^n arising from combinatorial triangulations differ by classes in $H^3(M)$. This subgroup of $H^4(M; \ker \cdot)$ is trivial by naturality if $\cdot = 0$. Conversely, $H^3(RP^5) = 0$ if the two distinct combinatorial triangulations of RP^5 given by Theorem 16.5 in [7, pages 332 and 337] are simplicially concordant. But $(a^3) = 0$ if and only if $\cdot = 0$ via the skeletal inclusion $RP_3^5 \rightarrow K(Z=2;3)$ and naturality for $RP^5 \rightarrow RP_3^5$.

TC, (8) Similar to Theorem 5.1 of [12], we consider a regular neighborhood of the 9-skeleton of *SG=STOP* embedded in R^m for some $m \geq 19$ in order

to obtain a smoothly parallelizable manifold W with boundary and a map $g : W \rightarrow SG=STOP$ which is a homotopy equivalence through dimension 7. The double DW is smoothly parallelizable and admits an extension $\tilde{g} : DW \rightarrow SG=STOP$. Note that (\tilde{g}) is a monomorphism through dimension 7. Let $h : M \rightarrow DW$ be a degree one normal map. Now M is stably fiber homotopically trivial and h is a monomorphism in cohomology. In particular, $(\tilde{g} \circ h)$ is a monomorphism on $H^5(SG=STOP; \ker \tilde{g})$. We conclude that $KS(M) = (\tilde{g} \circ h) (\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g}) = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} = 0$ for the fundamental class $\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g}$ of $K(Z=2;2)$. So statement (8) yields $\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} = 0$.

Let $f : K(Z=2;2) \rightarrow K(Z=2;4)$ classify $\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g}$. Since $\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} = 0$ assuming statement (8), f admits a lifting $h : K(Z=2;2) \rightarrow K(Z=2;4)$ in (2.5) such that $f = h \circ \tilde{g}$. The diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & [CP^3; K(Z=2;4)] & & \tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} \\ & & \tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} & & \tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} \\ & h \circ \tilde{g} & \downarrow \tilde{g} & & \downarrow \tilde{g} \\ Z=2 & [CP^3; K(Z=2;2)] & \xrightarrow{f} & [CP^3; K(Z=2;4)] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & Z=2 \end{array}$$

yields a splitting to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{g} \rightarrow Z=2 \rightarrow 0$ so TC holds.

References

- [1] **R Fintushel, R J Stern**, *Instanton homology of Seifert bred homology three spheres*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 61 (1990) 109{137
- [2] **M Furuta**, *Homology cobordism group of homology 3-spheres*, Inventiones Math. 100 (1990) 339{355
- [3] **DE Galewski, R J Stern**, *Classification of simplicial triangulations of topological manifolds*, Annals of Math. 111 (1980) 1{34
- [4] **DE Galewski, R J Stern**, *A universal 5-manifold with respect to simplicial triangulations*, from: \Geometric Topology (Proc. Georgia Topology Conf. Athens, Ga. 1977)", Academic Press (1979) 345{350
- [5] **DE Galewski, R J Stern**, *Simplicial triangulations of topological manifolds*, from: \Algebraic and Geometric Topology (Stanford, Calif. 1976) Part 2", A.M.S. Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 32 (1978) 7{12
- [6] **R Kirby**, *Problems in low-dimensional topology*, from: \Geometric Topology (Athens, GA, 1993)", AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Math. 2.2 (1997) 35{473
- [7] **R Kirby, L Siebenmann**, *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations*, Annals of Math. Studies 88, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1977)

- [8] **T Matumoto**, *Triangulation of manifolds*, from: \Algebraic and Geometric Topology (Stanford, Calif. 1976) Part 2", A.M.S. Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 32 (1978) 3{6
- [9] **R J Milgram**, *Some remarks on the Kirby-Siebenmann class*, from: \Göttingen Conference on Algebraic Topology and Transformation Groups", Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1361, Springer{Verlag (1988) 247{252
- [10] **D Randall**, *On 4-dimensional bundle theories*, from: \Differential Topology, Foliations and Group Actions", Contemp. Math. Vol. 161, Amer. Math. Soc. (1994) 217{233
- [11] **A A Ranicki**, *On the Hauptvermutung*, from: \The Hauptvermutung Book", *K-Monographs in Math.*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1996) 3{31
- [12] **Y B Rudyak**, *On Thom Spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism*, Monographs in Math., Springer{Verlag (1998)
- [13] **L. Siebenmann**, *Are non-triangulable manifolds triangulable?* from: \Topology of Manifolds, Proc. 1969 Georgia Topology Conference", Markham Press (1970) 77{84

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Loyola University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA*

Email: randal1@loyno.edu

Received: 19 July 2002