A̶TG

Mp-small summands increase knot width

Jacob Hendricks

Abstract Scharlemann and Schultens have shown that for any pair of knots K_1 and K_2, $w(K_1 \# K_2) \geq max\{w(K_1), w(K_2)\}$. Scharlemann and Thompson have given a scheme for possible examples where equality holds. Using results of Scharlemann–Schultens, Rieck–Sedgwick and Thompson, it is shown that for $K = \#_{i=1}^{n} K_i$ a connected sum of mp-small knots and K' any non-trivial knot, $w(K \# K') > w(K)$.

AMS Classification 57M25; 57M27

Keywords Thin position, knot width

1 Introductory remarks

Thin position, introduced by David Gabai [1], has applications in solving difficult problems, yet there remains much to understand about the width of knots. Current understanding of the behavior of width under connect sum is incomplete, but has some form. For example, given two knots K_1 and K_2, it is easily seen that $w(K_1 \# K_2) \leq w(K_1) + w(K_2) - 2$; simply stack the knots in thin position, perform the connect sum, and calculate the upperbound. Also, Rieck and Sedgwick [3] have shown that if K_1 and K_2 are mp-small (see definition 2.1) then $w(K_1 \# K_2) = w(K_1) + w(K_2) - 2$. By means of a fascinating method (see [4]), it has also been shown that for any two knots K_1 and K_2, $w(K_1 \# K_2) \geq \max\{w(K_1), w(K_2)\}$. It is natural to wonder if there exists a pair of knots such that $w(K_1 \# K_2) = w(K_1)$, for this would be a peculiar property of width. In fact, a class of possible examples of equality is presented in [5], where it is argued, though not proven, that for any knot K_2 there is a (typically quite complicated) knot K_1, apparently determined by the bridge number of K_2, so that $w(K_1 \# K_2) = w(K_1)$. In contrast, we show here that if K_1 is the connect sum of mp-small knots, then for any knot K_2, $w(K_1 \# K_2) > w(K_1)$.

I would like to thank the referees for many helpful remarks and Yo'av Rieck for many helpful conversations.

2 Preliminaries

For the sake of brevity, familiarity with *width, thin/thick levels, thin position, bridge position, swallow-follow torus, satellite knot* as well as the following definitions and theorems will be assumed. One can find definitions of the italicized words in many sources, for example [2] and [4].

Definition 2.1 A knot $K \subset S^3$ is called meridionally planar small (mp-small) if the only incompressible meridional surface in its complement is a boundary-parallel annulus.

In [6], Thompson proved:

Theorem 2.1 (Thompson) *If a knot K in thin position countains a thin level, then it is not mp-small.*

Thus, for an mp-small knot K, thin position must equal bridge position. In [3] (Theorem 4.1), a converse of Theorem 2.1 is given in the case that K is the connect sum of two non-trivial knots.

Theorem 2.2 (Rieck–Sedgwick) *Let K be a connected sum of non-trivial knots, $K = K_1 \# K_2$. Then any thin position for K is not bridge position for K.*

The following was also shown in [3]:

Theorem 2.3 (Rieck–Sedgwick) *Let $K = \#_{i=1}^n K_i$ be a connected sum of mp-small knots. If K is in thin position, then there is an ordering of the summands $K_{i_1}, K_{i_2}, \ldots, K_{i_n}$ and a collection of leveled decomposing annuli $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \ldots, A_{i_{n-1}}$ so that the thin levels of the presentation are precisely the annuli $\{A_{i_j}\}$ occurring in order, where the annulus A_{i_j} separates the connected sum $K_{i_1} \# K_{i_2} \# \ldots \# K_{i_j}$ from the connected sum $K_{i_j+1} \# \ldots \# K_{i_n}$.*

Scharlemann–Schultens [4] describe a method for reimbedding a knot K so that a height function on K would be preserved.

Theorem 2.4 (Scharlemann–Schultens) *Suppose $p : S^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the standard height function. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot that lies inside a standard unknotted torus $H \subset S^3$. Let $f : H \to S^3$ be a possibly knotted embedding of H in S^3. Then there is a reimbedding $f' : H \to S^3$ so that*

(1) $pf' = pf$, *i.e. the reimbedding preserves height*

(2) $S^3 - f'(H)$ *is a solid torus (so $f'(H)$ is an unknotted solid torus) and*

(3) $f(K')$ *is isotopic to K in S^3.*

This is a specific case of what is shown in Corollary 5.4 of [4]. In this paper will apply the theorem in this setting: We will be given a connected sum $K\#L$ embedded in S^3 via a knotted embedding $f : H \to S^3$. K has here been placed in H as a wrapping number one knot and the embedding f is known to take the core of H to the knot L. (The boundary of $f(H)$ is commonly called a "swallow-follow" torus for $K\#L$, for it swallows K and follows L.)

3 When summands are mp-small

In [4], it is shown that $w(K_1\#K_2) \geq w(K_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. One may ask if there is a pair of knots K_1 and K_2 such that equality holds (eg. [5]).

It is shown in [3] that for K_1 and K_2 both mp-small knots, $w(K_1\#K_2) = w(K_1) + w(K_2) - 2$. In particular, when both are non-trivial knots, $w(K_1\#K_2) > w(K_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. A natural question is whether this inequality remains true if only one of the knots is mp-small.

Proposition 3.1 *If K_1 is an mp-small knot, then for any non-trivial knot K_2, $w(K_1\#K_2) > w(K_1)$.*

Proof Since K_1 is mp-small, Theorem 2.1 implies that thin position of K_1 equals bridge position. Then, take $K_1\#K_2$ to be a satellite knot with companion knot K_2 via the *swallow-follow torus*. Put $K_1\#K_2$ in thin position; notice by Theorem 2.2, $K_1\#K_2$ cannot be in bridge position, so there exists a thin level. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a height preserving reimbedding that changes the knot $K_1\#K_2$ to the knot K_1. Since $K_1\#K_2$ has a thin level, so must a height preserving reimbedding of $K_1\#K_2$. So, this reimbedding yields an embedding of K_1 that has a thin level. (i.e. an embedding of K_1 that is not in bridge position.) It follows that this embedding of K_1 cannot be in thin position, so its width as embedded, and hence the width of $K_1\#K_2$, is greater than the minimal width of K_1. □

One can use the method for showing proposition 3.1 to prove a more general statement; that is, K_1 can be taken to be the connect sum of mp-small knots.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $K = \#_{i=1}^{n} K_i$ be a connected sum of mp-small knots and let K' be any non-trivial knot. Then, $w(K \# K') > w(K)$.*

Proof Suppose $w(K \# K') = w(K)$; we will show that K' must be the unknot. Now, take K' to be the companion knot of the satellite knot given by $K \# K'$ with H a torus that swallows K and follows K'. Put $K \# K'$ in thin position. By Theorem 2.4, there exists a reimbedding f such that f preserves a height function on $K \# K'$ and $f(K \# K')$ is isotopic to K in S^3. We are assuming $w(K \# K') = w(K)$, thus $f(K \# K')$ is a thin presentation of K; therefore, by Theorem 2.3, every thin level of K is one of the $n - 1$ decomposing annuli, which decompose the connect sum $\#_{i=1}^{n} K_i$ into mp-small knots. Since each K_i is mp-small, by Theorem 2.1, thin position of each K_i is bridge position of each K_i. Now, since f is a height preserving reimbedding, $K \# K'$ must have $n - 1$ decomposing annuli that constitute all of the thin levels; hence, $K \# K' = \#_{i=1}^{n} K_i'$. Note that each of the n components K_i' is in bridge position. This must also be thin position; for if not, one could thin $K \# K'$ by thinning the summand for which bridge does not equal thin, but we have put $K \# K'$ in thin position. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that each K_i' must be prime. Hence, we have $K \# K' = \#_{i=1}^{n} K_i'$ where each K_i' is prime, and $K = \#_{i=1}^{n} K_i$ where each K_i is prime. Since knot factorizations are unique, K' must be the unknot. $\qquad\square$

References

[1] **D Gabai**, *Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds, III*, J. Differential Geom. 26 (1987) 479-536

[2] **D J Heath**, **T Kobayashi**, *Essential Tangle Decomposition from Thin Position of a Link*, Pacific J. Math. 179 (1997) 101–117

[3] **Y Rieck**, **E Sedgwick**, *Thin position for a connected sum of small knots*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 2 (2002) 297–309

[4] **M Scharlemann**, **J Schultens**, *3-manifolds with planar presentations and the width of satellite knots*, arXiv:math.GT/0304271

[5] **M Scharlemann**, **A Thompson**, *On the additivity of knot width*, from: "Proceedings of the Casson Fest", (Cameron Gordon and Yoav Rieck, editors), Geom. Topol. Monogr. 7 (2004) 135–144

[6] **A Thompson**, *Thin position and bridge number for knots in the 3-sphere*, Topology 36 (1997) 505–507

Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

Email: `jghendr@uark.edu`   Received: 14 June 2004   Revised: 20 August 2004