

*Geometry & Topology Monographs*  
 Volume 1: The Epstein Birthday Schrift  
 Pages 479{492

## The boundary of the deformation space of the fundamental group of some hyperbolic 3-manifolds fibering over the circle

Leonid Potyagailo

**Abstract** By using Thurston's bending construction we obtain a sequence of faithful discrete representations  $\rho_n$  of the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold fibering over the circle into the isometry group  $Isol \mathbf{H}^4$  of the hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{H}^4$ . The algebraic limit of  $\rho_n$  contains a finitely generated subgroup  $F$  whose 3-dimensional quotient  $(F) \backslash F$  has finitely generated fundamental group, where  $(F)$  is the discontinuity domain of  $F$  acting on the sphere at infinity  $S^3_\infty = \partial \mathbf{H}^4$ . Moreover  $F$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed surface and contains finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups.

**AMS Classification** 57M10, 30F40, 20H10; 57S30, 57M05, 30F10, 30F35

**Keywords** Discrete (Kleinian) subgroups, deformation spaces, hyperbolic 4-manifolds, conformally flat 3-manifolds, surface bundles over the circle

### 1 Introduction and statement of results

By a Kleinian (discontinuous) group  $G$  we mean a subgroup of the group  $\text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^n) = SO_+(1; n+1)$  of conformal transformations of  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}^n = \mathbf{S}^n = \mathbf{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  which acts discontinuously on a non-empty set  $(G) \subset \mathbf{S}^n$  called its domain of discontinuity. It may be connected or not; we will say that  $G$  is a function group if there is a connected component  $(G) \subset (G)$  that is invariant under the action of the whole group:  $G \cdot (G) = (G)$ . The quotient spaces  $M_G = (G) \backslash G$  and  $M(G) = (G) \backslash G$  are  $n$ -manifolds in the case in which  $G$  is torsion-free. The complement  $(G) = (\mathbf{S}^n \setminus (G)) \subset \partial \mathbf{H}^{n+1}$  is called the limit set of  $G$ .

A finitely generated Kleinian group  $G$  is called geometrically finite if for some  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\epsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $H_G \backslash G$  in  $\mathbf{H}^{n+1} \backslash G$  which is of finite hyperbolic volume. Here  $H_G \subset \mathbf{H}^{n+1}$  is the convex hull of  $(G)$ .

Let us consider for  $n = 3$  a hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M = H^3 / \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  is a discrete group acting on the circle  $S^1$  with boundary a closed surface  $\Sigma$ . The notation is  $M = \Sigma \times S^1$ . A representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)$  is called admissible if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)$  is faithful and  $\rho(\pi_1(M)) = \Gamma$  is Kleinian.
- (2)  $\rho$  preserves the type of each element, i.e.  $\rho(\gamma)$  is loxodromic for all  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ .
- (3)  $\rho$  is induced by a homeomorphism  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ , namely  $f \rho \gamma f^{-1} = \rho(\gamma)$ ,  $\forall \gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ .

The set of all admissible representations modulo conjugation in  $\text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)$  is called the deformation space  $\text{Def}(\Sigma)$  of the group  $\Gamma$ .

The set  $\text{Def}(\Sigma)$  inherits the topology of convergence on generators of  $\Gamma$  on compact subsets in  $\mathbf{S}^3$  because  $\text{Def}(\Sigma) \subset \text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)^k = \text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)^k / \sim$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $\sim$  is conjugation in  $\text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)$ ). As  $\text{Def}(\Sigma)$  is a bounded domain [13] two questions have arisen. The first is to describe the cases when  $\text{Def}(\Sigma)$  is non-trivial and the second is to study the boundary  $\partial \text{Def}(\Sigma)$ , as was done for the classical Teichmüller space [2], [10]. The answer to the first question is still unknown even in the case when  $M$  is Haken. We will consider the case when  $M$  contains many totally geodesic surfaces. Each of them produces a curve in  $\text{Def}(\Sigma)$  by Thurston's "bending" construction [19]. Our main interest is in groups which appear on the boundary  $\partial \text{Def}(\Sigma)$ . These are higher dimensional analogs of  $B$ -groups which arise as the limits of sequences of quasifuchsian groups in classical Teichmüller space.

One of the most fundamental questions is to describe the topological type of the orbifold  $M(\Sigma) = \Sigma \times S^1 / \Gamma$  (a manifold in the case when  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free), in particular, when  $\Gamma$  is a function group it is important to know when the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M_G = \Sigma \times S^1 / \Gamma)$  turns out to be finitely generated, or even more generally when it has finite homotopy type.

In dimension 2 the famous theorem of Ahlfors [1] says that a finitely generated non-elementary Kleinian group  $G \subset \text{Conf}(\mathbf{R}^2)$  has a factor-space  $(G) = G \backslash \mathbf{R}^2$  consisting of a finite number of Riemann surfaces  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  each having a finite hyperbolic area.

We discovered in [7] that the weakest topological version of Ahlfors' theorem does not hold starting already with dimension 3. Namely we constructed a finitely generated function group  $F \subset \text{Conf}(\mathbf{S}^3)$  such that the group  $\pi_1(F \backslash F)$  is not finitely generated. Afterwards it was pointed out in [15] that this group is in fact not finitely presented.

It has also been shown that there exists a finitely generated Kleinian group with infinitely many conjugacy classes of parabolics [6].

In [14] we constructed a finitely generated group  $F_1$  such that  $\pi_1(M/F_1)$  is not finitely generated and having in finitely many non-conjugate elliptic elements; moreover  $F_1$  appears as an infinitely presented subgroup of a geometrically finite Kleinian group in  $\mathbf{H}^4$  without parabolic elements. On the other hand, it was shown in [4] that a finitely generated but infinitely presented group can also appear as a subgroup of a cocompact group in  $SO(1;4)$ .

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\pi_1(M)$  be the fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  fibered over the circle with fiber a closed surface  $S$ . Suppose that  $S$  is commensurable with the reflection group  $R$  determined by the faces of a right-angular polyhedron  $D$  in  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . Then there exists a finite-index subgroup  $L$  and a path  $\gamma: [0;1[ \rightarrow \text{Def}(\pi_1(M))$  such that  $\gamma_t$  converges to a faithful representation  $\rho \in \text{Def}(\pi_1(M))$  (as  $t \rightarrow 1$ ) and the following hold:*

- (1)  $\rho(F_L)$  contains in finitely many conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups,
- (2)  $\pi_1(M/\rho(F_L)) = \pi_1(M/F_L)$  is finitely generated,

where  $F_L = L \backslash M$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface which finitely covers  $S$  and  $\rho(F_L)$  acts discontinuously on an invariant component  $\pi_1(M/\rho(F_L)) \subset \mathbf{S}^3$ .

**Remark** Groups satisfying all the conditions of Theorem 1 do exist. An example of Thurston, of the reflection group in the faces of the right-angular dodecahedron, which is commensurable with a group of a closed surface bundle, is given in [18].

**Acknowledgement** This paper was prepared several years ago while the author had a Humboldt Fellowship at the Ruhr-Universität in Bochum. The author is deeply grateful to Heiner Zieschang and to the Humboldt Foundation for this opportunity. I would also like to thank Nicolaas Kuiper (who died recently) for reading a preliminary version of the manuscript and to express my gratitude to the referee for many useful remarks and corrections.

## 2 Outline of the proof

Before giving a formal proof of the Theorem let us describe it informally.

Our construction is inspired essentially by papers [6], [8] and [14]. In the first two a free Kleinian group of finite rank satisfying the conclusion (2) was produced, whereas now we give an example of a closed surface group with this property. Our present construction is essentially easier than that of [14]. Also, we produce a curve in the deformation space whose limit point is the group in question.

**Step 1** We start with an uniform lattice  $\Gamma \subset PSL_2\mathbb{C}$  commensurable with the reflection group  $R$  whose limit set is the Euclidean 2-sphere  $\partial B_1 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$ . There exists a Fuchsian subgroup  $H_2$  leaving invariant a vertical plane  $w_2$  whose intersection with  $B_1$  is a round circle, its limit set  $(H_2)$  (see figure 1). The group  $H_2$  also leaves invariant a geodesic plane  $w_2 \subset B_1$ . Consider the action of the group  $\Gamma$  in the outside ball  $B_1 = \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus B_1$ . For some finite-index subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$  we construct a new group  $G_1$  obtained by Maskit's Combination theorem from  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  combined along the common subgroup  $H_2 = \text{Stab } w_2$ , where  $\sigma$  is the reflection in  $w_2$ . The new group  $G_1$  is still isomorphic to some subgroup  $G \subset R$  of finite index essentially because the same construction can be done inside  $B_1$  by reflecting the picture along the geodesic plane  $w_2$ . Thus  $G_1$  belongs to the deformation space  $\text{Def}(G_1)$ . One can obtain a fundamental domain  $R(G_1) \subset B_1$  of  $G_1$  which is situated in a small neighbourhood of the spheres  $\partial B_1$  and  $\partial(B_1)$ .




Figure 1

**Step 2** There is another geodesic plane  $w_1 \subset B_1$  disjoint from  $w_2$  whose stabilizer in  $\Gamma_1$  is  $H_1$  (see figure 2). Denote by  $B_2$  the ball  $\partial(B_1)$ . Take a sphere  $B_1$  passing through the circle  $w_3 \setminus B_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^3$  (the limit set of the group  $H_1 \subset \Gamma_1$ ) and tangent to the isometric spheres of some element  $g_1 \in \Gamma_1$ , where  $H_1$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_1$  stabilizing  $w_1$ . We now construct a family of Euclidean spheres  $B_t$  ( $0 < t < 1; B_1 = B_0$ ) and corresponding groups  $G_t$  obtained as before from  $G_1$  and  $B_t G_1 B_t$  by using the combination method along common closed surface subgroups. We prove then that there is a path  $\gamma: t \in [0; 1] \rightarrow \text{Def}(L^0)$  such that  $\gamma_0 = L^0; \gamma_t = G_t$  where  $L^0$  is some finite-index subgroup of  $R$ . One can equally say that  $\gamma_t$  is obtained by using Thurston's bending deformation. The main point is now to prove that the limit




Figure 2

group  $G_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} t(L^\theta)$  is discontinuous and has a fundamental domain obtained from the part of  $R(G_1)$  by doubling along the sphere  $S^2$ . The group  $G_1$  is also isomorphic to  $L^\theta$  and so contains a fundamental group  $N$  of a closed surface bundle over the circle which is isomorphic to the group  $L = \pi_1(L^\theta)$ . Let  $F$  be the fundamental group of the fiber given by  $\pi_1(F_L = F \setminus L)$ . Since two isometric spheres of the element  $g_1 \in \pi_1$  are tangent to  $S^2$ , we get a new accidental parabolic element  $g = g_1 g_2$ ;  $g_2 = g_1^{-1}$  in the group  $G_1$ . By a choice of  $g_1$  made from the very beginning we assure that  $g \in F$ , so we have a pseudo-Anosov action of some element  $t \in N \setminus F$  such that the orbit  $t^n g t^{-n}$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) gives us infinitely many conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups of  $F$ . Now Scott's compact core theorem implies that  $\pi_1(F) = F$  is not finitely generated. *End of outline*

### 3 Preliminaries

We will consider the Poincaré model of hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{H}^3$  in the unit ball  $B_1$  equipped with the hyperbolic metric  $ds^2$ . By a right-angled polyhedron  $D \subset \mathbf{H}^3$  we mean a polyhedron all of whose dihedral angles are  $\pi/2$ .

Consider the tessellation of  $\mathbf{H}^3$  by images of  $D$  under the reflection group  $R$  from Theorem 1. Denote by  $W \subset \mathbf{H}^3$  the collection of geodesic planes  $w$  such that there exists  $r \in R$ , for which  $r(w) \cap \partial D$  is a face of  $D$ .

It is easy to see that if  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are two faces of  $D$  with  $\sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2 = \emptyset$ , then also the geodesic planes  $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \subset \sigma_1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2 \subset \sigma_2$  have no point in common. One can easily show that the distance between  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , as well as that of  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ , is realized by a common perpendicular  $\ell$  for which  $\ell \cap \text{int} D = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\Gamma_0 = R \cap \text{Stab}(\ell)$  which is a subgroup of a finite index in both groups  $R$  and  $\text{Stab}(\ell)$ . By passing to a subgroup of a finite index and preserving notation, we may assume that  $\Gamma_0$  is a normal subgroup in  $R$ ,  $jR: \Gamma_0 j < \Gamma_0$ . For a plane  $w \in W$  we write  $H_w = \text{Stab}(w; \Gamma_0) = \{g \in \Gamma_0: gw = wg\}$ . It is not hard to see that  $H_w$  is a Fuchsian group of the first kind commensurable with the reflection group determined by the edges of some face of the polyhedron  $r(D_1); r \in R$ .

Let us now fix two disjoint planes  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  from  $W$  containing opposite faces of  $D$  and let  $\ell$  be their common perpendicular; up to conjugation in  $\text{Isom } \mathbf{H}^3$  we can assume that  $\ell$  is a Euclidean diameter of  $B_1$ . Denote  $B_1 = \mathbf{S}^3 \text{nc}l(B_1)$  as well (where  $\text{cl}(\cdot)$  is the closure of a set). We have the following:

**Lemma 1** *For every horosphere  $\sigma_3$  in  $B_1$  centered at the point  $\ell \cap \partial B_1$  (see figure 1) there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\epsilon$ -close sphere  $\sigma_1 \subset B_1$  to  $\sigma_3$  ( $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ ) orthogonal to the plane  $\sigma_2$  there exists a geodesic plane  $w$  and an element  $g_1 \in [H_{w_1}; H_{w_2}]$  (commutator subgroup) such that:*

$$I_{g_1} \cap \sigma_1 = \emptyset; \text{ and } g_1(I_{g_1} \cap \sigma_1) = I_{g_1}^0 \cap \sigma_1; \tag{1}$$

where  $I_{g_1}; I_{g_1}^0 = I_{g_1^{-1}}$  are isometric spheres of  $g_1$ :

**Proof** Up to further conjugation in  $\text{Isom } B_1$  preserving  $\ell$  we may assume that  $\sigma_3$  is the vertical plane tangent to  $\partial B_1$  at  $\ell \cap \partial B_1$ . Take  $w = w_1$  and let  $g_1 \in [H_{w_1}; H_{w_2}]$  be any primitive element corresponding to a simple dividing loop on the surface  $w_1 = H_{w_1}$ .

Suppose first that  $I_{g_1} \cap \sigma_3 = \emptyset$ . In this case we proceed as follows. Put  $g = w_1 w_2 \in R$ , where  $w_i$  denotes the reflection in plane  $w_i$  ( $i = 1; 2$ ). Then  $g$  is a hyperbolic element whose invariant axis is  $\ell$ . Consider the sequence of planes  $g^n(w_1)$ . We claim that, for some  $n$ ,  $g^n(I_{g_1}) \cap \sigma_3 = \emptyset$ . In fact this follows directly from the fact that the fixed point of the hyperbolic element  $g$  is a conical limit point of  $\Gamma_0$ , and so the approximating sequence  $g^n(I_{g_1})$  should intersect a fixed horosphere (or equivalently by sending  $g$  to the identity and passing to the half-space model one can see that  $g$  becomes now a dilation  $z \mapsto z + \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon > 0$ ) which implies that the translations of the image of  $I_{g_1}$  by

powers of the dilation will intersect a fixed horosphere at infinity). Since  $\rho_0$  is normal in  $R$  it now follows that  ${}^n g_1^{-n} \in [H_{\rho(w_1)}; H_{\rho(w_1)}] \cap \rho_0$  and  ${}^n(I_{g_1}) = I_{{}^n g_1^{-n}}$ . The latter is true since  $\rho$  preserves each Euclidean plane passing through  $B_1 \setminus \rho$  and, hence  $({}^n g_1^{-n})j_{\rho(I_{g_1})}$  is an Euclidean isometry. So up to replacing  $w_1$  by  ${}^n(w_1)$  and  $g_1$  by  ${}^n g_1^{-n}$  if needed, we may assume that  $I_{g_1} \setminus \rho_3 \notin \rho$ . The same conclusion is then obviously true for a plane  $\rho_1 \subset B_1$  sufficiently close to  $\rho_3$ .

For  $\rho_1 = I_{g_1} \setminus \rho_1$  we now claim that  $g_1(\rho_1) = \rho_2 = I_{g_1}^0 \setminus \rho_1$ . Indeed,  $g_1 = \rho_2 \circ I_{g_1}$  where  $\rho_2$  is orthogonal to  $\rho_1$  and contains  $\rho$  (figure 1). Evidently

$$g_1(\rho_1) = \rho_2(I_{g_1} \setminus \rho_1) = \rho_2(I_{g_1}) \setminus \rho_1 = I_{g_1}^0 \setminus \rho_1 \tag{2}$$

since  $\rho_2(\rho_1) = \rho_1$ . The lemma is proved. □

So we can suppose that  $w_1 \in W$  is chosen satisfying all the conclusions of Lemma 1. Let  $w_2 \in W$  be a geodesic plane disjoint from  $w_1$  and let  $\rho$  be their common perpendicular passing through the origin of  $B_1$ . Now consider the Euclidean plane  $\rho$  orthogonal to  $\rho$  (figure 2) such that

$$\rho \cap B_1 = \rho \cap w_2 :$$

It is not hard to see that  $\text{Stab}(\rho) = \text{Stab}(w_2; \rho) = H_{w_2}$ . Reflecting our picture in the plane  $\rho$  we get

$$B_2 = \rho(B_1) ; w_3 = \rho(w_2) \text{ and} \\ H_{w_3} = H_{w_1} :$$

By Lemma 1 we can now find a Euclidean sphere  $\rho$  centered on  $\rho$  which goes through the circle  $w_3 \cap \rho$  and is tangent to  $I_{g_1}$  (figure 2). Moreover, by Lemma 1,  $\rho$  is tangent also to  $I_{g_1}^0$ .

Denote  $\rho^0 = \rho^{-1}(\rho)$ .

**Lemma 2** *There exists a subgroup  $\rho_1$  of finite index such that the following conditions hold:*

- (a) *The boundary of the isometric fundamental domain  $P(\rho_1) \subset B_1$  lies in a regular neighbourhood of  $\rho \cap B_1 = \mathbb{S}^3 \cap \text{ncI}(B_1)$ ;  $\epsilon > 0$ .*
- (b)  $\rho \setminus I = \rho ; \rho \supset \rho_1 \text{ncI}(g_1; g_1^{-1}g)$ .
- (c) *For subgroups  $H_1 = \rho_1 \setminus H_{w_1}; H_2 = \rho_1 \setminus H_{w_2}$  there exists another fundamental domain  $R(\rho_1) \subset B_1$  of  $\rho_1$  such that*

$$R(\rho_1) \setminus (\rho \cap \rho^0) = P(H) \setminus (\rho \cap \rho^0);$$

where  $P(H)$  is an isometric fundamental domain for the group  $H = \langle H_1; H_2 \rangle$ .

- (d)  $g_1 \in \rho_1 \setminus [H_1; H_1]$ .

**Proof** This Lemma can be obtained by repeating the arguments of [14, Main Lemma]. We just sketch these considerations. First, we choose a subgroup  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$  of finite index satisfying conditions (a) and (b) such that  $g_1 \notin \tilde{\Gamma}_0$  by using the property of separability of finite cyclic subgroups in  $\Gamma_0$  [9].

To obtain (c) we will find  $\Gamma_1$  by using Scott's LERF property of the group  $\Gamma_0$  with respect to its geometrically finite subgroups (see [16], [17]). To this end we proceed as follows: the group  $H$  is geometrically finite as a result of Klein-Maskit free combination from  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , which are both geometrically finite subgroups of  $\Gamma_0$ . The LERF property now says that for the element  $g_1$  there exists a subgroup of  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index which contains  $H$  and does not contain  $g_1$ . Call this subgroup  $\Gamma_1$ . Evidently,  $g_1 \notin [H_1; H_1] \subset \Gamma_1$  by construction. For the complete proof, see [14, Main Lemma].  $\square$

Let us introduce the following notation:  $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = B_1 n_{\tilde{\Gamma}_1}^S$  where  $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$  is the component of  $S^3 n$  for which  $w_3 \notin \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ . Let  $\Gamma_1 = \text{Stab}(\tilde{\Gamma}_1; \Gamma_1)$ .

The complete proof of the following assertion can be also found in [14, Lemma 3].

**Lemma 3** *The group  $G_1 = \langle h_{\Gamma_1}; \Gamma_1 \rangle$  is discontinuous and*

- (1)  $G_1 = \langle \Gamma_1, H_2 \rangle$ .
- (2)  $G_1$  is isomorphic to a subgroup  $G_1 \subset R$  of finite index.

**Sketch of proof** (1) This follows from the fact that the plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is strongly invariant under  $H_2$  in  $\Gamma_1$  by [14, Lemma 3.c], which means  $H_2 = \langle \Gamma_1, H_2 \rangle$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \Gamma_1 = \mathbb{H}^2 / \langle \Gamma_1, H_2 \rangle$ . One can now get assertion (1) from Maskit's First Combination theorem [11].

(2) Consider the reflection  $w_2$  in the geodesic plane  $w_2 \subset B_1$ . We claim that the group  $G_1 = \langle h_{\Gamma_1}; w_2 \Gamma_1 w_2 \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $G_1$ . Indeed,  $w_2$  is also strongly invariant under  $H_2$  in  $\Gamma_1$  and we again observe that  $G_1 = \langle \Gamma_1, H_2 \rangle = \langle \Gamma_1, w_2 \Gamma_1 w_2 \rangle = G_1$  because  $w_2 \Gamma_1 w_2 = \Gamma_1 = id$ .

Now  $w_2 \notin R$ . Therefore,  $G_1 \subset R$  and  $G_1$  has a compact fundamental domain  $R(G_1) = R(\Gamma_1) \setminus w_2(R(\Gamma_1))$ . The covering  $\mathbb{H}^3 / (G_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 / G_1$  is finite since  $jR : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$  and, hence, the manifold  $M(G_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^3) = \mathbb{H}^3 / (G_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^3)$  is compact. Thus, the covering  $M(G_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^3) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{H}^3 / G_1)$  is finite as well and so  $j : G_1 \setminus \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3 / G_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4** *There exists a path  $\gamma : [0; 1] \rightarrow \text{Def}(G_1)$  such that  $\gamma_0 = G_1$  and  $\gamma_1 = G_1$ .*



**Proof** By choosing a continuous family of spheres  $S_t$  for which  $S_t \setminus W_2 = W_2 \setminus (H_2)$ ;  $W_2 \setminus W_1 = S_t$ ;  $t \in [0; 1)$ , we construct the family of groups  $G_t = \langle h, i \rangle$  by the arguments of Lemma 3. Consider now the action of  $G_t$  in  $B_1$  where  $p_1: B_1 \rightarrow B_1 = \mathbb{R}^3$  is the covering map. The surfaces  $p_1(S_t)$  are all embedded and parallel due to condition (b). If now  $G_t$  is the component of  $G_1$  containing  $1$  then the manifold  $M_{G_t} = M_{G_t} = G_t$  is homeomorphic to the double of the manifold  $M_1^- = \mathbb{R}^3$  along the boundary  $p_1(S_t)$ . Thus, for all  $t \in [0; 1]$ ,  $M_{G_t}$  are all homeomorphic and there exists a continuous family of homeomorphisms  $f_t: (G_1) \rightarrow (G_t)$  such that  $G_t = f_t G_1 f_t^{-1}$ ,  $G_1 = f_1 G_1 f_1^{-1}$ .  $\square$

By construction the domain  $R(G_1) = R(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus (R(\mathbb{R}^3))$  is fundamental for the action of  $G_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Claim 5**  $R(G_1) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = P(H_3) \setminus I_{g_1} \setminus I_{g_1}^0 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Proof** Recall that  $+( -)$  means the right (left) component of  $\mathbb{S}^3 n$  ( $I_{g_1} \setminus +$ ). Then  $+( -) \setminus R(\mathbb{R}^3) = P(H_1) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = I_{g_1} \setminus I_{g_1}^0 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$  by (b) and (c) of Lemma 2.

Also,  $( -) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (R(\mathbb{R}^3)) = + \setminus ( -) \setminus R(\mathbb{R}^3) = P(H_1) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$ , so  $( -) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus R(G_1) = (P(H_1)) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = P(H_3) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\square$

Let us consider now the family of spheres  $S_t$  centered on the  $y$ -axis (figure 2) such that  $S_t \setminus W_3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus W_3$ ;  $W_3 \setminus W_0 = S_t$ ;  $t \in [0; 1]$ , where  $S_t \setminus \text{ext}(B_1) \setminus \text{ext}(B_2) = \text{ext}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \text{ext}(B_1) \setminus \text{ext}(B_2)$  (recall  $\text{ext}(\cdot)$  is the exterior of a set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ),  $S_t \setminus I_{g_1} = S_t$  ( $t > 0$ ). Denote by  $\sigma_t$  the corresponding reflections. As before take the domain  $M = G_1 n G_1(\bar{0})$  and the group  $G_1^0 = \text{Stab}(S_t; G_1)$ , where  $\bar{0} = \text{ext}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{R}^3 n \bar{0}$ .

Denote  $G_t = \langle h, i \rangle$ ;  $G_1^0 = \langle \sigma_t, i \rangle$ . Evidently,  $G_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} G_t$ .

**Lemma 6** *The groups  $G_t$  are discontinuous,  $t \in [0; 1]$ .*

**Proof** First, let us prove the lemma for  $t \neq 1$ . By Claim 5 we have now that  $R(G_1) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = P(H_3) \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$ . Moreover we claim also that

$$g \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = \langle g \rangle \setminus G_1 n H_3; H_3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{3}$$

where  $H_3 = H_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^3$

To prove (3) we only need to show that  $g(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (H_3)) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (H_3)) = \mathbb{R}^3$ , but this can be shown from the fact that each point of  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus (H_3)$  is a point of approximation (see [14, Claim 1]).

All conditions of Maskit's First Combination theorem are now satisfied for the groups  $G_1^0$  and  $G_t^0$  ( $t \neq 1$ ) [11] and we obtain also

$$G_t = G_1^0 \cdot_{H_3} (G_t^0) \tag{4}$$

where the  $G_t$  are all discontinuous,  $t \in [0; 1)$ .

Let us now consider the group  $G_1$  and the domain  $R(G_1) = R(G_1) \setminus (R(G_1))$ . Our goal now is to show that  $R(G_1)$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $G_1$  in  $\mathbb{H}^3$  ( $1 \in G_1$ ). If now  $hg_1; \dots; g_i$  is a set of generators of  $G_1^0$  then  $S = hg_1; \dots; g_2; g_1^0; \dots; g_i^0$  are generators of  $G_1$ , where  $g_i^0 = g_i$  and  $g_2 = g_1$ . Observe that the element  $g_1$  is included in  $S$  because some of its isometric spheres belong to the boundary  $\partial R(G_1^0)$

We want to apply the Poincaré Polyhedron theorem [12]. Indeed, an arbitrary cycle of edges in  $\partial R(G_1)$  consists either of edges situated in  $\partial(R(G_1)) \setminus \text{int}(\cdot)$ , and  $\partial(R(G_1)) \setminus \text{ext}(\cdot)$ , or is an edge cycle  $\gamma_1 = I_{g_1} \setminus I_{g_2}; \gamma_2 = I_{g_1}^0 \setminus I_{g_2}^0$ , where  $I_{g_k}; I_{g_k}^0$  are the isometric spheres of  $g_k$  and  $g_k^{-1}$  ( $k = 1; 2$ ). The sum of angles in any cycle of the first type is  $2\pi$  because  $R(G_1)$  is a fundamental domain [12].

We now claim that the element  $g = g_2^{-1} g_1$  is parabolic with a fixed point  $d = I_{g_1} \setminus I_{g_2}$ . Indeed,  $g_2^{-1} g_1 = g_1 \cdot_{I_{g_1}} g_2^{-1}$  because  $g_1 = g_2 \cdot_{I_{g_1}}$  and  $g_2$  is orthogonal to  $I_{g_1}$  (figure 2). Now it is easy to check that  $g(d) = d$ ,  $g(I_{g_1}) = \text{int}(I_{g_2})$  and  $g(\text{int}(I_{g_1})) = \text{ext}(I_{g_2})$ , therefore the elements  $g$  and  $g^0 = g_1 \cdot_{I_{g_1}} g_1^{-1}$  are parabolics.

All conditions of the Maskit-Poincaré theorem are valid at the edges  $\gamma_i$  also and, hence,  $G_1$  is discontinuous. Lemma 6 is proved. □

**Lemma 7** *The group  $G_0$  is isomorphic to a subgroup  $L^0$  of a finite index.*

**Proof** We repeat our construction of  $G_0$  by modelling it in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  so as to get the required isomorphism.

Recall that we started from the group  $G_1 = \langle h; g_1 \rangle \subset \text{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^3)$  and showed that  $G_1 = \langle h; g_1 \rangle = \langle h; w_2 \cdot g_1 \cdot w_2^{-1} \rangle$  (see Lemma 4). Next we constructed  $G_0$  by using reflection in  $w_1 = w_2$  such that  $w_1 \setminus w_3 = (H_3); w_1 \setminus B_1 = \dots; w_3 = (w_1)$ .

Let  $W = w_2(w_1) \subset \mathbf{H}^3; W \supset W_2$ . Again let us take the subgroup  $G_1$  of  $G_1$  which is  $G_1 = \text{Stab}(\mathbf{H}^3 / G_1(\cdot); G_1)$ , where  $\cdot$  is a subspace  $\mathbf{H}^3 / n$  not containing  $w_2$ .

By construction the fundamental domain  $R(G_1) = R(\rho_1) \setminus w_2(R(\rho_1))$  of the group  $G_1$  satisfies  $R(G_1) \setminus = P(H_3^0 = \text{Stab}(\rho_1; G_1))$ . Again by Maskit's First Combination theorem we have a group  $L^0$ :

$$L^0 = G_1 \setminus H_3^0 (G_1) \tag{5}$$

We constructed an isomorphism  $\rho_1: G_1 \rightarrow G_1$  in Lemma 4 such that  $\rho_1^{-1} w_2 = \rho_1$ , therefore  $\rho_1^{-1}(H_3^0) = H_3$  and  $\rho_1^{-1}(G_1) = G_1^0$ . It follows now from (4) and (5) that the map  $\rho_1: G_1$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\rho: L^0 \rightarrow G_0$ .

Index  $jR: L^0j$  is finite because  $L^0$  has a compact fundamental domain. The Lemma is proved. □

Recall that we identify  $[0, 1] \subset \text{Def}(L^0)$  with  $(L^0)$ .

**Lemma 8** *There exists a path  $\rho_t: [0; 1] \rightarrow \text{cl}(\text{Def}(L^0))$  such that  $\rho_0 = L^0$ ,  $\rho_1 = G_1 \subset \text{Def}(L^0)$ ,  $\rho_t([0; 1]) \subset \text{Def}(L^0)$ .*

**Proof** We have constructed a path  $\rho_t: [0; 1] \rightarrow \text{Def}(G_1)$  in Corollary 4 such that  $\rho_0 = G_1$ ,  $\rho_1 = G_1$  and  $\rho_t$  is a family of admissible representations. Let further  $\rho_t: G_1 \rightarrow G_1^0$ . Obviously, the representations  $\rho_t^0$  are also admissible and  $\rho_1^0(G_1) = G_1^0$ . We can easily extend our family  $\rho_t^0$  to a family of admissible representations  $\rho_t: L^0 \rightarrow \text{Def}(L^0)$  by the formula  $\rho_t = \rho_t^0 \cup \rho_t^1$ , where  $\rho_t^1$  are the spheres constructed in Corollary 4.

Observe that  $\rho_1 = \rho_0$  and now take a new continuous family of spheres  $\rho_t^1$  for which  $\rho_t^1 \setminus w_3 = (H_s) = w_3 \setminus B_2$  and  $\rho_1^1 = w_3$ ;  $\rho_0^1 = \emptyset$  where  $w_3$  is the sphere containing  $w_3$  ( $t \in [0; 1]$ ).

Again we have a path  $\rho_t^0(L^0) = hG_1^0; \rho_t^0 G_1^0 \rho_t^1$ . Composing the path  $\rho_t$  with  $\rho_t^0$  and with the path corresponding to spheres  $\rho_t^1$  connecting  $\rho_0$  with  $\rho_1$  we get required path  $\rho_t$ . The Lemma is proved. □

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1

(1) Denote by  $F = \rho_1$  a fixed fiber group of our initial manifold  $M$ , and let also  $F_0 = \rho_0 \setminus F$ .

By Jørgensen's theorem [5] the limit  $\rho_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \rho_t$  is an isomorphism  $\rho_1: L^0 \rightarrow G_1$ . Let us consider the subgroup  $L = L^0 \setminus \rho_0; j \rho_0: Lj < 1$ . Put also  $F_L = L \setminus F_0$  for its normal subgroup. We have also the curve  $\rho_t(L) \subset \text{Def}(L)$ . Let  $N = \rho_1(L); F = \rho_1(F_L)$ . Let us show that  $g = g_2^{-1} g_1 \in F$ . To this

end let us recall that the element  $g_1$  was chosen from the very beginning being in  $[H_{w_1}; H_{w_1}]$  (Lemma 1). Recalling also that  $\pi_1^{-1}(g_1) = g_1$  and denoting  $\pi_1^{-1}(g_2) = g_2^\theta$ , by construction we get  $g_2^\theta = g_1$ ;  $g_1 = w_2(w_1)$ ;  $g_1 \in [H_{w_1}; H_{w_1}] = [F_0; F_0]$  (see Lemma 1). The group  $F_0$  was chosen to be normal in the reflection group  $R$ , and since  $[F_0; F_0] \subset F$ , it is straightforward to see that

$$r[F_0; F_0]r^{-1} \subset F_0; \quad r \in R :$$

Hence,  $g_2^\theta \in F_0$ , and for the element  $g^\theta = (g_2^\theta)^{-1} g_1$  we immediately obtain  $g^\theta \in F_L = F_0 \setminus L^\theta$ . It follows that  $\pi_1(g^\theta) = g = g_2^{-1} g_1 \in F_0 \setminus G_1 = F$  as was promised.

We have that  $N$  is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of  $F$  and the infinite cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}$ , so taking the element  $t \in N \setminus F$  projecting to the generator of  $N/F$ , we observe that the elements

$$g_n = t^n g t^{-n} \in F; \quad g \in F; \quad n \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{6}$$

are all parabolics. Since  $N$  contains no abelian subgroups of rank bigger than 1 and  $t^n \notin F$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) one can easily see that the elements (6) are also non-conjugate in  $F$ . We have proved (1) of the Theorem.

(2) By the construction, the fundamental polyhedron  $R(G_1)$  of the group  $G_1$  contains only one conjugacy class of parabolic elements  $g$  of rank 1. There is a strongly invariant cusp neighborhood  $B_g = [0; 1] \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times [0; 1)$  which comes from the construction of  $R(G_1)$ . So each parabolic  $g_n$  of type (6) gives rise to submanifold

$$B_{g_n} = \langle g_n \rangle = T_n \times [0; 1); \quad T_n = S^1 \times S^1 \tag{7}$$

in the manifold  $M(F) = N \setminus F$ . Therefore  $M(F)$  contains infinitely many parabolic ends (7) bounded by tori  $T_n$ . They all are non-parallel in  $M(F)$  and therefore by Scott's "core" theorem the group  $\pi_1(M(F))$  is not finitely generated [16]. □

**Remark** By using the argument of [14] one can prove:

**Theorem 2** *There is a (non-faithful) representation  $\rho_{1+}$  which is "close to  $\rho_1$  for some small  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the group  $\rho_{1+}(F_L)$  is finitely generated, has infinitely many non-conjugate elliptic elements. Moreover,  $\rho_{1+}(F_L)$  is a normal finitely presented subgroup of a geometrically finite group  $\rho_{1+}(L)$  without parabolics.*

To prove the theorem one can continue to deform the group for  $1 < t < 1 + \epsilon$  (these representations will no longer be faithful) in order to get an elliptic element  $g_t$  whose isometric spheres form an angle  $\epsilon(t)$  instead of being tangent. To do this in our Lemma 2, instead of the sphere  $S$  tangent to the isometric spheres of  $g_1$ , one needs to consider a nearby sphere  $S_{1+\epsilon}$  forming angle  $\epsilon$  with them. If  $\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2n}$  and  $n > 0$  is large enough the group  $\Gamma_{1+\epsilon}(F_L)$  is Kleinian, has in finitely many non-conjugate elliptic elements of the order  $n$  (obtained as above as an orbit of  $g_{1+\epsilon}$  by a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of the  $\Gamma_{1+\epsilon}(F_L)$ ). The construction gives us that  $\Gamma_{1+\epsilon}(F_L)$  is a normal and finitely generated but in finitely presented subgroup of the geometrically finite group  $\Gamma_{1+\epsilon}(L)$  without parabolic elements. In particular  $\Gamma_{1+\epsilon}(L)$  is a Gromov hyperbolic group (see [14, Lemmas 5{7}).

## References

- [1] **L V Ahlfors**, *Finitely generated Kleinian groups*, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964) 413{429; 87 (1965) 759
- [2] **L Bers**, *On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups I*, Annals of Math. 91 (1970) 570{600
- [3] **B Bowditch**, *Geometrical finiteness of hyperbolic groups*, preprint, University of Melbourne
- [4] **B Bowditch, G Mess**, *A 4{Dimensional Kleinian Group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994) 391{405
- [5] **T J Rgensen**, *Compact 3{manifolds of constant negative curvature covering over the circle*, Annals of Math. 106 (1977) 61{72
- [6] **M Kapovich**, *On Absence of Sullivan's cusp finiteness theorem in higher dimensions*, preprint
- [7] **M Kapovich, L Potyagailo**, *On absence of Ahlfors' finiteness theorem for Kleinian groups in dimension 3*, Topology and its Applications, 40 (1991) 83{91
- [8] **M Kapovich, L Potyagailo**, *On absence of Ahlfors' and Sullivan's finiteness theorems for Kleinian groups in higher dimensions*, Siberian Math. Journal 32 (1992) 61{73
- [9] **D Long**, *Immersion and embeddings of totally geodesic surfaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 19 (1987) 481{484
- [10] **B Maskit**, *On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups, II*, Annals of Math. 91 (1970) 608{638
- [11] **B Maskit**, *On Klein's Combination theorem III*, from: "Advances in the theory of Riemann Surfaces", Princeton Univ. Press (1971) 297{310

- [12] **B Maskit**, *Kleinian groups*, Springer-Verlag (1988)
- [13] **J Morgan**, *Group action on trees and the compactification of the space of conjugacy classes of  $SO(n; 1)$  representations*, *Topology* 25 (1986) 1{33
- [14] **L Potyagailo**, *Finitely generated Kleinian groups in 3-space and 3-manifolds of finite homotopy type*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 344 (1994) 57{77
- [15] **L Potyagailo**, *The problem of finiteness for Kleinian groups in 3-space*, from: *Proceedings of International Conference, Knots-90*, Osaka (1992)
- [16] **P Scott**, *Finitely generated 3-manifold groups are finitely presented*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 6 (1973) 437{440
- [17] **P Scott**, *Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 17 (1978) 555{565; Correction *ibid* 32 (1985) 217{220
- [18] **D Sullivan**, *Travaux de Thurston sur les groupes quasi-fuchsien et les varietes hyperboliques de dimension 3* *bres sur  $S^1$* , *Lecture Notes in Math.* 842, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1981) 196{214
- [19] **W Thurston**, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Princeton University Lecture Notes (1978)

*Departement de Mathematiques  
Universite de Lille 1  
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France*

Email: potyag@gat.univ-lille1.fr

Received: 20 November 1997      Revised: 7 November 1998