

15. On the structure of the Milnor K -groups of complete discrete valuation fields

Jinya Nakamura

15.0. Introduction

For a discrete valuation field K the unit group K^* of K has a natural decreasing filtration with respect to the valuation, and the graded quotients of this filtration are written in terms of the residue field. The Milnor K -group $K_q(K)$ is a generalization of the unit group and it also has a natural decreasing filtration defined in section 4. However, if K is of mixed characteristic and has absolute ramification index greater than one, the graded quotients of this filtration are known in some special cases only.

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field $k = k_K$; we keep the notations of section 4. Put $v_p = v_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

A description of $\text{gr}_n K_q(K)$ is known in the following cases:

- (i) (Bass and Tate [BT]) $\text{gr}_0 K_q(K) \simeq K_q(k) \oplus K_{q-1}(k)$.
- (ii) (Graham [G]) If the characteristic of K and k is zero, then $\text{gr}_n K_q(K) \simeq \Omega_k^{q-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- (iii) (Bloch [B], Kato [Kt1]) If the characteristic of K and of k is $p > 0$ then

$$\text{gr}_n K_q(K) \simeq \text{coker} \left(\Omega_k^{q-2} \longrightarrow \Omega_k^{q-1}/B_s^{q-1} \oplus \Omega_k^{q-2}/B_s^{q-2} \right)$$

where $\omega \longmapsto (C^{-s}(d\omega), (-1)^q m C^{-s}(\omega))$ and where $n \geq 1$, $s = v_p(n)$ and $m = n/p^s$.

- (iv) (Bloch–Kato [BK]) If K is of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, then

$$\text{gr}_n K_q(K) \simeq \text{coker} \left(\Omega_k^{q-2} \longrightarrow \Omega_k^{q-1}/B_s^{q-1} \oplus \Omega_k^{q-2}/B_s^{q-2} \right)$$

where $\omega \longmapsto (C^{-s}(d\omega), (-1)^q m C^{-s}(\omega))$ and where $1 \leq n < ep/(p-1)$ for $e = v_K(p)$, $s = v_p(n)$ and $m = n/p^s$; and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{gr}_{\frac{ep}{p-1}} K_q(K) \\ & \simeq \text{coker} \left(\Omega_k^{q-2} \longrightarrow \Omega_k^{q-1}/(1+aC)B_s^{q-1} \oplus \Omega_k^{q-2}/(1+aC)B_s^{q-2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega \mapsto ((1 + aC)C^{-s}(d\omega), (-1)^q m(1 + aC)C^{-s}(\omega))$ and where a is the residue class of p/π^e for fixed prime element of K , $s = v_p(ep/(p - 1))$ and $m = ep/(p - 1)p^s$.

- (v) (Kurihara [Ku1], see also section 13) If K is of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and absolutely unramified (i.e., $v_K(p) = 1$), then $\text{gr}_n K_q(K) \simeq \Omega_k^{q-1}/B_{n-1}^{q-1}$ for $n \geq 1$.
- (vi) (Nakamura [N2]) If K is of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with $p > 2$ and $p \nmid e = v_K(p)$, then

$$\text{gr}_n K_q(K) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{as in (iv)} & (1 \leq n \leq ep/(p - 1)) \\ \Omega_k^{q-1}/B_{l_n+s_n}^{q-1} & (n > ep/(p - 1)) \end{cases}$$

where l_n is the maximal integer which satisfies $n - l_n e \geq e/(p - 1)$ and $s_n = v_p(n - l_n e)$.

- (vii) (Kurihara [Ku3]) If K_0 is the fraction field of the completion of the localization $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]_{(p)}$ and $K = K_0(\sqrt[p]{pT})$ for a prime $p \neq 2$, then

$$\text{gr}_n K_2(K) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{as in (iv)} & (1 \leq n \leq p) \\ k/k^p & (n = 2p) \\ k^{p^{l-2}} & (n = lp, l \geq 3) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

- (viii) (Nakamura [N1]) Let K_0 be an absolutely unramified complete discrete valuation field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with $p > 2$. If $K = K_0(\zeta_p)(\sqrt[p]{\pi})$ where π is a prime element of $K_0(\zeta_p)$ such that $d\pi^{p-1} = 0$ in $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_0(\zeta_p)}}^1$, then $\text{gr}_n K_q(K)$ are determined for all $n \geq 1$. This is complicated, so we omit the details.
- (ix) (Kahn [Kh]) Quotients of the Milnor K -groups of a complete discrete valuation field K with perfect residue field are computed using symbols.

Recall that the group of units $U_{1,K}$ can be described as a topological \mathbb{Z}_p -module. As a generalization of this classical result, there is an approach different from (i)-(ix) for higher local fields K which uses topological convergence and

$$K_q^{\text{top}}(K) = K_q(K) / \bigcap_{l \geq 1} lK_q(K)$$

(see section 6). It provides not only the description of $\text{gr}_n K_q(K)$ but of the whole $K_q^{\text{top}}(K)$ in characteristic p (Parshin [P]) and in characteristic 0 (Fesenko [F]). A complete description of the structure of $K_q^{\text{top}}(K)$ of some higher local fields with small ramification is given by Zhukov [Z].

Below we discuss (vi).

15.1. Syntomic complex and Kurihara's exponential homomorphism

15.1.1. Syntomic complex. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ and let A_0 be the subring of A such that A_0 is a complete discrete valuation ring with respect to the restriction of the valuation of K , the residue field of A_0 coincides with $k = k_K$ and A_0 is absolutely unramified. Let π be a fixed prime of K . Let $B = A_0[[X]]$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= \ker[B \xrightarrow{X \mapsto \pi} A] \\ \mathcal{J} &= \ker[B \xrightarrow{X \mapsto \pi} A \xrightarrow{\text{mod } p} A/p] = \mathcal{J} + pB. \end{aligned}$$

Let D and $J \subset D$ be the PD-envelope and the PD-ideal with respect to $B \rightarrow A$, respectively. Let $I \subset D$ be the PD-ideal with respect to $B \rightarrow A/p$. Namely,

$$D = B \left[\frac{x^j}{j!} ; j \geq 0, x \in \mathcal{J} \right], \quad J = \ker(D \rightarrow A), \quad I = \ker(D \rightarrow A/p).$$

Let $J^{[r]}$ (resp. $I^{[r]}$) be the r -th divided power, which is the ideal of D generated by

$$\left\{ \frac{x^j}{j!} ; j \geq r, x \in \mathcal{J} \right\}, \quad \left(\text{resp. } \left\{ \frac{x^i p^j}{i! j!} ; i + j \geq r, x \in \mathcal{J} \right\} \right).$$

Notice that $I^{[0]} = J^{[0]} = D$. Let $I^{[n]} = J^{[n]} = D$ for a negative n . We define the complexes $\mathbb{J}^{[q]}$ and $\mathbb{I}^{[q]}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{J}^{[q]} &= [J^{[q]} \xrightarrow{d} J^{[q-1]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^1 \xrightarrow{d} J^{[q-2]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^2 \longrightarrow \dots] \\ \mathbb{I}^{[q]} &= [I^{[q]} \xrightarrow{d} I^{[q-1]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^1 \xrightarrow{d} I^{[q-2]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^2 \longrightarrow \dots] \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\Omega}_B^q$ is the p -adic completion of Ω_B^q . We define $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{I}^{[0]} = \mathbb{J}^{[0]}$.

Let \mathbb{T} be a fixed set of elements of A_0^* such that the residue classes of all $T \in \mathbb{T}$ in k forms a p -base of k . Let f be the Frobenius endomorphism of A_0 such that $f(T) = T^p$ for any $T \in \mathbb{T}$ and $f(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{p}$ for any $x \in A_0$. We extend f to B by $f(X) = X^p$, and to D naturally. For $0 \leq r < p$ and $0 \leq s$, we get

$$f(J^{[r]}) \subset p^r D, \quad f(\widehat{\Omega}_B^s) \subset p^s \widehat{\Omega}_B^s,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{[r]}) &= (x^p + py)^{[r]} = (p!x^{[p]} + py)^{[r]} = p^{[r]}((p-1)!x^{[p]} + y)^r, \\ f\left(z \frac{dT_1}{T_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dT_s}{T_s}\right) &= z \frac{dT_1^p}{T_1^p} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dT_s^p}{T_s^p} = z p^s \frac{dT_1}{T_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dT_s}{T_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \mathcal{J}$, y is an element which satisfies $f(x) = x^p + py$, and $T_1, \dots, T_s \in \mathbb{T} \cup \{X\}$. Thus we can define

$$f_q = \frac{f}{p^q} : J^{[r]} \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-r} \longrightarrow D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-r}$$

for $0 \leq r < p$. Let $\mathcal{S}(q)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(q)$ be the mapping fiber complexes (cf. Appendix) of

$$\mathbb{J}[q] \xrightarrow{1-f_q} \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{I}[q] \xrightarrow{1-f_q} \mathbb{D}$$

respectively, for $q < p$. For simplicity, from now to the end, we assume p is large enough to treat $\mathcal{S}(q)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(q)$. $\mathcal{S}(q)$ is called the *syntomic complex* of A with respect to B , and $\mathcal{S}'(q)$ is also called the *syntomic complex* of A/p with respect to B (cf. [Kt2]).

Theorem 1 (Kurihara [Ku2]). *There exists a subgroup S^q of $H^q(\mathcal{S}(q))$ such that $U_X H^q(\mathcal{S}(q)) \simeq U_1 \widehat{K}_q(A)$ where $\widehat{K}_q(A) = \varprojlim K_q(A)/p^n$ is the p -adic completion of $K_q(A)$ (see subsection 9.1).*

Outline of the proof. Let $U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1})$ be the subgroup of $D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$ generated by $X D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$, $D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} \wedge dX$ and $I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$, and let

$$S^q = U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) / ((dD \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + (1-f_q)J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) \cap U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1})).$$

The infinite sum $\sum_{n \geq 0} f_q^n(dx)$ converges in $D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^q$ for $x \in U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1})$. Thus we get a map

$$\begin{aligned} U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) &\longrightarrow H^q(\mathcal{S}(q)) \\ x &\longmapsto \left(x, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_q^n(dx)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and we may assume S^q is a subgroup of $H^q(\mathcal{S}(q))$. Let E_q be the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_q: U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) &\longrightarrow \widehat{K}_q(A) \\ x \frac{dT_1}{T_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dT_{q-1}}{T_{q-1}} &\longmapsto \{E_1(x), T_1, \dots, T_{q-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_1(x) = \exp \circ (\sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n)(x)$ is Artin–Hasse’s exponential homomorphism. In [Ku2] it was shown that E_q vanishes on

$$(dD \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + (1-f_q)J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) \cap U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}),$$

hence we get the map

$$E_q: S^q \longrightarrow \widehat{K}_q(A).$$

The image of E_q coincides with $U_1 \widehat{K}_q(A)$ by definition.

On the other hand, define $s_q: \widehat{K}_q(A) \longrightarrow S^q$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &s_q(\{a_1, \dots, a_q\}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{f(\widetilde{a}_i)}{\widetilde{a}_i^p} \right) \frac{d\widetilde{a}_1}{\widetilde{a}_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{d\widetilde{a}_{i-1}}{\widetilde{a}_{i-1}} \wedge f_1 \left(\frac{d\widetilde{a}_{i+1}}{\widetilde{a}_{i+1}} \right) \wedge \cdots \wedge f_1 \left(\frac{d\widetilde{a}_q}{\widetilde{a}_q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [Kt2], compare with the series Φ in subsection 8.3), where \tilde{a} is a lifting of a to D . One can check that $s_q \circ E_q = -\text{id}$. Hence $S^q \simeq U_1 \widehat{K}_q(A)$. Note that if $\zeta_p \in K$, then one can show $U_1 \widehat{K}_q(A) \simeq U_1 \widehat{K}_q(K)$ (see [Ku4] or [N2]), thus we have $S^q \simeq U_1 \widehat{K}_q(K)$. \square

Example. We shall prove the equality $s_q \circ E_q = -\text{id}$ in the following simple case. Let $q = 2$. Take an element $adT/T \in U_X(D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1})$ for $T \in \mathbb{T} \cup \{X\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & s_q \circ E_q \left(a \frac{dT}{T} \right) \\ &= s_q(\{E_1(\tilde{a}), T\}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{f(E_1(a))}{E_1(a)^p} \right) f_1 \left(\frac{dT}{T} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \left(\log \circ f \circ \exp \circ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n(a) - p \log \circ \exp \circ \sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n(a) \right) \frac{dT}{T} \\ &= \left(f_1 \sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n(a) - \sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n(a) \right) \frac{dT}{T} \\ &= -a \frac{dT}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

15.1.2. Exponential Homomorphism. The usual exponential homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_\eta : A &\longrightarrow A^* \\ x &\longmapsto \exp(\eta x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

is defined for $\eta \in A$ such that $v_A(\eta) > e/(p-1)$. This map is injective. Section 9 contains a definition of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_\eta : \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} &\longrightarrow \widehat{K}_q(A) \\ x \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dy_{q-1}}{y_{q-1}} &\longmapsto \{ \exp(\eta x), y_1, \dots, y_{q-1} \} \end{aligned}$$

for $\eta \in A$ such that $v_A(\eta) \geq 2e/(p-1)$. This map is not injective in general. Here is a description of the kernel of \exp_η .

Theorem 2. *The following sequence is exact:*

$$(*) \quad H^{q-1}(\mathcal{S}^l(q)) \xrightarrow{\psi} \Omega_A^{q-1} / p d \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2} \xrightarrow{\exp_p} \widehat{K}_q(A).$$

Sketch of the proof. There is an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{MF} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{J}^{[q]} \\ 1-f_q \downarrow \\ \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \text{MF} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}^{[q]} \\ 1-f_q \downarrow \\ \mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{[q]}/\mathbb{J}^{[q]} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$\mathcal{S}(q) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{S}'(q)$$

where MF means the mapping fiber complex. Thus, taking cohomologies we have the following diagram with the exact top row

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{q-1}(\mathcal{S}'(q)) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^{q-1}(\mathbb{I}^{[q]}/\mathbb{J}^{[q]}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^q(\mathcal{S}(q)) \\ & & (1) \uparrow & & \text{Thm.1} \uparrow \\ & & \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}/pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2} & \xrightarrow{\exp_p} & U_1\widehat{K}_q(A), \end{array}$$

where the map (1) is induced by

$$\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} \ni \omega \mapsto p\tilde{\omega} \in I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}/J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1} = (\mathbb{I}^{[q]}/\mathbb{J}^{[q]})^{q-1}.$$

We denoted the left horizontal arrow of the top row by ψ and the right horizontal arrow of the top row by δ . The right vertical arrow is injective, thus the claims are

- (1) is an isomorphism,
- (2) this diagram is commutative.

First we shall show (1). Recall that

$$H^{q-1}(\mathbb{I}^{[q]}/\mathbb{J}^{[q]}) = \text{coker} \left(\frac{I^{[2]} \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}}{J^{[2]} \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}} \rightarrow \frac{I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}}{J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}} \right).$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow D \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

we get $D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}/J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1} = A \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$ and its subgroup $I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}/J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}$ is $pA \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$ in $A \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$. The image of $I^{[2]} \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}$ in $pA \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$ is equal to the image of

$$\mathcal{J}^2 \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} = \mathcal{J}^2 \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + p\mathcal{J}\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + p^2\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}.$$

On the other hand, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

we get an exact sequence

$$(\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2) \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} \xrightarrow{d} A \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $d\mathcal{J}^2 \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}$ vanishes on $pA \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}$, hence

$$H^{q-1}(\mathbb{I}^{[q]}/\mathbb{J}^{[q]}) = \frac{pA \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}}{pd\mathcal{J}\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + p^2d\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}} \stackrel{p^{-1}}{\simeq} \frac{A \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}}{d\mathcal{J}\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} + pd\widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}} \simeq \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}/pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2},$$

which completes the proof of (1).

Next, we shall demonstrate the commutativity of the diagram on a simple example. Consider the case where $q = 2$ and take $adT/T \in \widehat{\Omega}_A^1$ for $T \in \mathbb{T} \cup \{\pi\}$. We want to show that the composite of

$$\widehat{\Omega}_A^1/pdA \xrightarrow{(1)} H^1(\mathbb{I}^{[2]}/\mathbb{J}^{[2]}) \xrightarrow{\delta} S^q \xrightarrow{E_q} U_1\widehat{K}_2(A)$$

coincides with \exp_p . By (1), the lifting of adT/T in $(\mathbb{I}^{[2]}/\mathbb{J}^{[2]})^1 = I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1/J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1$ is $p\tilde{a} \otimes dT/T$, where \tilde{a} is a lifting of a to D . Chasing the connecting homomorphism δ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1) \oplus D & \longrightarrow & (I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1) \oplus D & \longrightarrow & (I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1)/(J \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^2) \oplus (D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1) & \longrightarrow & (D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^2) \oplus (D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \end{array}$$

(the left column is $\mathcal{S}(2)$, the middle is $\mathcal{S}'(2)$ and the right is $\mathbb{I}^{[2]}/\mathbb{J}^{[2]}$); $p\tilde{a}dT/T$ in the upper right goes to $(p\tilde{a} \wedge dT/T, (1 - f_2)(p\tilde{a} \otimes dT/T))$ in the lower left. By E_2 , this element goes

$$\begin{aligned} E_2((1 - f_2)(p\tilde{a} \otimes \frac{dT}{T})) &= E_2((1 - f_1)(p\tilde{a}) \otimes \frac{dT}{T}) \\ &= \{E_1((1 - f_1)(p\tilde{a}), T)\} = \{\exp \circ (\sum_{n \geq 0} f_1^n) \circ (1 - f_1)(p\tilde{a}), T\} \\ &= \{\exp(pa), T\}. \end{aligned}$$

in $U_1\widehat{K}_2(A)$. This is none other than the map \exp_p . □

By Theorem 2 we can calculate the kernel of \exp_p . On the other hand, even though \exp_p is not surjective, the image of \exp_p includes $U_{e+1}\widehat{K}_q(A)$ and we already know $\text{gr}_i\widehat{K}_q(K)$ for $0 \leq i \leq ep/(p - 1)$. Thus it is enough to calculate the kernel of \exp_p in order to know all $\text{gr}_i\widehat{K}_q(K)$. Note that to know $\text{gr}_i\widehat{K}_q(K)$, we may assume that $\zeta_p \in K$, and hence $\widehat{K}_q(A) = U_0\widehat{K}_q(K)$.

15.2. Computation of the kernel of the exponential homomorphism

15.2.1. Modified syntomic complex. We introduce a modification of $\mathcal{S}'(q)$ and calculate it instead of $\mathcal{S}'(q)$. Let \mathbb{S}_q be the mapping fiber complex of

$$1 - f_q: (\mathbb{J}^{[q]})^{\geq q-2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\geq q-2}.$$

Here, for a complex C^\cdot , we put

$$C^{\geq n} = (0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow C^n \longrightarrow C^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots).$$

By definition, we have a natural surjection $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(\mathcal{S}'(q))$, hence $\psi(H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)) = \psi(H^{q-1}(\mathcal{S}'(q)))$, which is the kernel of \exp_p .

To calculate $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$, we introduce an X -filtration. Let $0 \leq r \leq 2$ and $s = q - r$. Recall that $B = A_0[[X]]$. For $i \geq 0$, let $\text{fil}_i(I^{[r]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^s)$ be the subgroup of $I^{[r]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^s$ generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ X^n \frac{(X^e)^j p^l}{j! l!} a \omega : n + ej \geq i, n \geq 0, j + l \geq r, a \in D, \omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_B^s \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ X^n \frac{(X^e)^j p^l}{j! l!} a v \wedge \frac{dX}{X} : n + ej \geq i, n \geq 1, j + l \geq r, a \in D, v \in \widehat{\Omega}_B^{s-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The map $1 - f_q: I^{[r]} \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^s \rightarrow D \otimes_B \widehat{\Omega}_B^s$ preserves the filtrations. By using the latter we get the following

Proposition 3. $H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i \mathbb{S}_q)_i$ form a finite decreasing filtration of $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fil}_i H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q) &= H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i \mathbb{S}_q), \\ \text{gr}_i H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q) &= \text{fil}_i H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q) / \text{fil}_{i+1} H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{gr}_i H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & (\text{if } i > 2e) \\ X^{2e-1} dX \wedge \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} / p \right) & (\text{if } i = 2e) \\ X^i \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2} / p \right) \oplus X^{i-1} dX \wedge \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} / p \right) & (\text{if } e < i < 2e) \\ X^e \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2} / p \right) \oplus X^{e-1} dX \wedge \left(\mathfrak{Z}_1 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} / p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} \right) & (\text{if } i = e, p \mid e) \\ X^{e-1} dX \wedge \left(\mathfrak{Z}_1 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} / p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} \right) & (\text{if } i = e, p \nmid e) \\ \left(X^i \frac{\left(p^{\max(\eta'_i - v_p(i), 0)} \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{\eta_i} \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2} \right) + p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2}}{p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2}} \right) \\ \oplus \left(X^{i-1} dX \wedge \frac{\mathfrak{Z}_{\eta_i} \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3} + p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3}}{p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-3}} \right) & (\text{if } 1 \leq i < e) \\ 0 & (\text{if } i = 0). \end{cases}$$

Here η_i and η'_i are the integers which satisfy $p^{\eta_i - 1} i < e \leq p^{\eta_i} i$ and $p^{\eta'_i - 1} i - 1 < e \leq p^{\eta'_i} i - 1$ for each i ,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_n \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^q = \ker \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^q \xrightarrow{d} \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q+1} / p^n \right)$$

for positive n , and $\mathfrak{Z}_n \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^q = \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^q$ for $n \leq 0$.

Outline of the proof. From the definition of the filtration we have the exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{fil}_{i+1} \mathbb{S}_q \longrightarrow \text{fil}_i \mathbb{S}_q \longrightarrow \text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q \longrightarrow 0$$

and this sequence induce a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{q-2}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_{i+1} \mathbb{S}_q) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i \mathbb{S}_q) \rightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q) \rightarrow \dots$$

The group $H^{q-2}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q)$ is

$$H^{q-2}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q) = \ker \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{gr}_i I^{[2]} \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2} \longrightarrow (\text{gr}_i I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) \oplus (\text{gr}_i D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}) \\ x \longmapsto (dx, (1 - f_q)x) \end{array} \right).$$

The map $1 - f_q$ is equal to 1 if $i \geq 1$ and $1 - f_q: p^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-2}$ if $i = 0$, thus they are all injective. Hence $H^{q-2}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q) = 0$ for all i and we deduce that $H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i \mathbb{S}_q)_i$ form a decreasing filtration on $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$.

Next, we have to calculate $H^{q-2}(\text{gr}_i \mathbb{S}_q)$. The calculation is easy but there are many cases which depend on i , so we omit them. For more detail, see [N2].

Finally, we have to compute the image of the last arrow of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_{i+1}\mathbb{S}_q) \longrightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i\mathbb{S}_q) \longrightarrow H^{q-1}(\text{gr}_i\mathbb{S}_q)$$

because it is not surjective in general. Write down the complex $\text{gr}_i\mathbb{S}_q$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow (\text{gr}_i I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) \oplus (\text{gr}_i D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}) \xrightarrow{d} (\text{gr}_i D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^q) \oplus (\text{gr}_i D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where the first term is the degree $q-1$ part and the second term is the degree q part. An element (x, y) in the first term which is mapped to zero by d comes from $H^{q-1}(\text{fil}_i\mathbb{S}_q)$ if and only if there exists $z \in \text{fil}_i D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}$ such that $z \equiv y$ modulo $\text{fil}_{i+1} D \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-2}$ and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f_q^n(dz) \in \text{fil}_i I \otimes \widehat{\Omega}_B^{q-1}.$$

From here one deduces Proposition 3. \square

15.2.2. Differential modules. Take a prime element π of K such that $\pi^{e-1}d\pi = 0$. We assume that $p \nmid e$ in this subsection. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Omega}_A^q &\simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_q} A \frac{dT_{i_1}}{T_{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dT_{i_q}}{T_{i_q}} \right) \\ &\oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{q-1}} A/(\pi^{e-1}) \frac{dT_{i_1}}{T_{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dT_{i_{q-1}}}{T_{i_{q-1}}} \wedge d\pi \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{T_i\} = \mathbb{T}$. We introduce a filtration on $\widehat{\Omega}_A^q$ as

$$\text{fil}_i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q = \begin{cases} \widehat{\Omega}_A^q & (\text{if } i = 0) \\ \pi^i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q + \pi^{i-1} d\pi \wedge \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} & (\text{if } i \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

The subquotients are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q &= \text{fil}_i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q / \text{fil}_{i+1} \widehat{\Omega}_A^q \\ &= \begin{cases} \Omega_F^q & (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } i \geq e) \\ \Omega_F^q \oplus \Omega_F^{q-1} & (\text{if } 1 \leq i < e), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the map is

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_F^q \ni \omega &\longmapsto \pi^i \tilde{\omega} \in \pi^i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q \\ \Omega_F^{q-1} \ni \omega &\longmapsto \pi^{i-1} d\pi \wedge \tilde{\omega} \in \pi^{i-1} d\pi \wedge \widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\omega}$ is the lifting of ω . Let $\text{fil}_i(\widehat{\Omega}_A^q/pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1})$ be the image of $\text{fil}_i \widehat{\Omega}_A^q$ in $\widehat{\Omega}_A^q/pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}$. Then we have the following:

Proposition 4. For $j \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{gr}_j \left(\widehat{\Omega}_A^q / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} \right) = \begin{cases} \Omega_F^q & (j = 0) \\ \Omega_F^q \oplus \Omega_F^{q-1} & (1 \leq j < e) \\ \Omega_F^q / B_l^q & (e \leq j), \end{cases}$$

where l be the maximal integer which satisfies $j - le \geq 0$.

Proof. If $1 \leq j < e$, $\mathrm{gr}_j \widehat{\Omega}_A^q = \mathrm{gr}_j(\widehat{\Omega}_A^q / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1})$ because $pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} \subset \mathrm{fil}_e \widehat{\Omega}_A^q$. Assume that $j \geq e$ and let l be as above. Since $\pi^{e-1}d\pi = 0$, $\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}$ is generated by elements $p\pi^i d\omega$ for $0 \leq i < e$ and $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{A_0}^{q-1}$. By [I] (Cor. 2.3.14), $p\pi^i d\omega \in \mathrm{fil}_{e(1+n)+i} \widehat{\Omega}_A^q$ if and only if the residue class of $p^{-n}d\omega$ belongs to B_{n+1} . Thus $\mathrm{gr}_j(\widehat{\Omega}_A^q / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}) \simeq \Omega_F^q / B_l^q$. \square

By definition of the filtrations, \exp_p preserves the filtrations on $\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2}$ and $\widehat{K}_q(K)$. Furthermore, $\exp_p: \mathrm{gr}_i(\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{i+e} K_q(K)$ is surjective and its kernel is the image of $\psi(H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)) \cap \mathrm{fil}_i(\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2})$ in $\mathrm{gr}_i(\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2})$. Now we know both $\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2}$ and $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$ explicitly, thus we shall get the structure of $K_q(K)$ by calculating ψ . But ψ does not preserve the filtration of $H^{q-1}(\mathbb{S}_q)$, so it is not easy to compute it. For more details, see [N2], especially sections 4-8 of that paper. After completing these calculations, we get the result in (vi) in the introduction.

Remark. Note that if $p \mid e$, the structure of $\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1} / pd\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-2}$ is much more complicated. For example, if $e = p(p-1)$, and if $\pi^e = p$, then $p\pi^{e-1}d\pi = 0$. This means the torsion part of $\widehat{\Omega}_A^{q-1}$ is larger than in the the case where $p \nmid e$. Furthermore, if $\pi^{p(p-1)} = pT$ for some $T \in \mathbb{T}$, then $p\pi^{e-1}d\pi = pdT$, this means that $d\pi$ is not a torsion element. This complexity makes it difficult to describe the structure of $K_q(K)$ in the case where $p \mid e$.

Appendix. The mapping fiber complex.

This subsection is only a note on homological algebra to introduce the mapping fiber complex. The mapping fiber complex is the degree -1 shift of the mapping cone complex.

Let $C \cdot \xrightarrow{f} D \cdot$ be a morphism of non-negative cochain complexes. We denote the degree i term of $C \cdot$ by C^i .

Then the mapping fiber complex $\mathrm{MF}(f)$ is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MF}(f)^i &= C^i \oplus D^{i-1}, \\ \text{differential } d: C^i \oplus D^{i-1} &\longrightarrow C^{i+1} \oplus D^i \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto (dx, f(x) - dy). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we get an exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow D[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{MF}(f) \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $D[-1] = (0 \rightarrow D^0 \rightarrow D^1 \rightarrow \dots)$ (degree -1 shift of D .)

Taking cohomology, we get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\mathrm{MF}(f)) \rightarrow H^i(C) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(D[-1]) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathrm{MF}(f)) \rightarrow \dots,$$

which is the same as the following exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\mathrm{MF}(f)) \rightarrow H^i(C) \xrightarrow{f} H^i(D) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathrm{MF}(f)) \rightarrow \dots.$$

References

- [B] S. Bloch, Algebraic K -theory and crystalline cohomology, Publ. Math. IHES, 47(1977), 187–268.
- [BK] S. Bloch and K. Kato, p -adic étale cohomology, Publ. Math. IHES, 63(1986), 107–152.
- [BT] H. Bass and J. Tate, The Milnor ring of a global field, In Algebraic K -theory II, Lect. Notes Math. 342, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 349–446.
- [F] I. Fesenko, Abelian local p -class field theory, Math. Ann., 301 (1995), pp. 561–586.
- [G] J. Graham, Continuous symbols on fields of formal power series, In Algebraic K -theory II, Lect. Notes Math. 342, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 474–486.
- [I] L. Illusie, Complexe de de Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 12(1979), 501–661.
- [Kh] B. Kahn, L’anneau de Milnor d’un corps local à corps résiduel parfait. Ann. Inst. Fourier 34, 4(1984), 19–65.
- [Kt1] K. Kato, A generalization of local class field theory by using K -groups. II, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 27(1980), 603–683.
- [Kt2] K. Kato, On p -adic vanishing cycles (applications of ideas of Fontaine–Messing). Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. 10(1987), 207–251.
- [Ku1] M. Kurihara, Abelian extensions of an absolutely unramified local field with general residue field, Invent. Math. 93(1988), 451–480.
- [Ku2] M. Kurihara, The Milnor K -groups of a local ring over a ring of the p -adic integers, to appear in the volume on Ramification theory for arithmetic schemes. Lminy 1999, ed. B. Erez.
- [Ku3] M. Kurihara, On the structure of the Milnor K -group of a certain complete discrete valuation field, preprint.
- [Ku4] M. Kurihara, A note on p -adic étale cohomology, Proc. Japan Acad. (A) 63 (1987), 275–278.
- [N1] J. Nakamura, On the structure of the Milnor K -groups of some complete discrete valuation fields, K -Theory 19 (2000), 269–309.

- [N2] J. Nakamura, On the Milnor K -groups of complete discrete valuation fields, *Doc. Math.* 5(2000), 151–200.
- [P] A. N. Parshin, Local class field theory, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* (1984); English translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 165 (1985), no. 3, pp. 157–185.
- [Z] I. Zhukov, Milnor and topological K -groups of multidimensional complete fields, *Algebra i Analiz* (1997); English translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 9(1998), 69–105.

*Department of Mathematics University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-Ku Tokyo 153-8914 Japan
E-mail: jinya@ms357.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp*