

## Linearity and residues for foliations

James L. Heitsch\*

### 0. Introduction.

In [H] we constructed residues for a vector field preserving a foliation. These residues depend a priori on the germs of the vector field and the foliation on the singular set of the vector field. In this note we show that for certain vector fields, the residues actually depend only on the one jets of the vector field and the foliation. For definitions and notation, we refer to [H].

### 1. Continuity of the residues.

In this section we show that if  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are foliations which are  $C^k$  close ( $k \geq 2$ ), and if  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are vector fields preserving  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  respectively, with the same singular set, and if  $X_1$  is  $C^k$  close to  $X_2$ , then the residues determined by  $\tau_1, X_1$  are close to those determined by  $\tau_2, X_2$ .

Let  $M$  be a smooth,  $n$  dimensional, oriented manifold with tangent bundle  $TM$ . For any bundle  $E$  over  $M$  we denote the space of smooth sections of  $E$  by  $C^\infty(E)$ . Each element of  $C_0^\infty(\Lambda^p TM)$ , the subspace of  $C^\infty(\Lambda^p TM)$  consisting of non-zero sections, determines a smooth  $p$  dimensional subbundle of  $TM$ , and every such subbundle is so obtained. Denote by  $F^q(M)$  the subset of  $C_0^\infty(\Lambda^{n-q} TM)$  consisting of those sections which determine oriented foliations. The space  $C_0^\infty(\Lambda^{n-q} TM)$  has a natural  $C^k$  topology on it (the topology of uniform  $C^k$  convergence on compact sets) and so it induces a topology on  $F^q(M)$ , the  $C^k$  topology.

Let  $\tau \in F^q(M)$  and  $X$  a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $\tau$ . We assume that

$$\text{Sing } X = \{x \in M \mid X(x) \in \tau_x\}$$

is a connected leaf  $N$  of  $\tau$ , and that  $M$  is an  $R^q$  bundle over  $N$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\tau_t, t \in R$  be a family of foliations on  $M$ , so that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tau_t = \tau_0$  in the  $C^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) topology on  $F^q(M)$ . For each  $t \in R$ , let  $X_t$  be a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $\tau_t$ . Assume that  $\text{Sing } X_t = N$  for all  $t$ , and that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} X_t = X_0$  in the  $C^k$  topology on  $\Gamma(M)$ .

\* Partially supported by NSF Grant.

$= X_0$  in the  $C^k$  topology. Then for each  $\varphi \in I_q(WO_q)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_t, X_t, N) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_0, X_0, N)$ .

By  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_t, X_t, N) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_0, X_0, N)$  we mean that it is possible to choose a family of differential forms  $\beta_t \in \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_t, X_t, N)$  so that on any compact set  $B \subset N$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \beta_t = \beta_0$  in the  $C^0$  topology.

To prove the theorem, it is only necessary to exhibit a family of basic  $X_t$  connections  $\theta^t$  on  $\nu_t$  so that for  $t$  close to 0,  $\theta^t$  is  $C^1$  close to  $\theta^0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since the residues are local we may assume that each  $\tau_t$  is transverse to the fibers of  $M$  and that the  $X_t$  are tangent to the fibers of  $M$ . We will identify the normal bundle of  $\tau_t$  with  $TR^q$  the tangent bundle along the fiber of  $M$ . We assume without loss of generality that  $N$  is compact.

In addition, in order to avoid a plethora of  $\epsilon$ 's and  $\delta$ 's we will be somewhat imprecise and will speak of  $C^k$  closeness without writing down the requisite  $\epsilon$ 's and  $\delta$ 's.

Choose a smooth metric  $g$  on  $TM$ . Let  $D$  be an open disc sub-bundle of  $M$ , with closure  $\bar{D} \subset M$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open neighborhood of  $M-D$  whose closure is disjoint from  $N$ . For  $t \in R$  let  $\bar{\omega}_t$  be the one form on  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying

$$\text{i) } \bar{\omega}_t|_{\tau_t} \equiv 0$$

$$\text{ii) } \bar{\omega}_t(X_t) \equiv 1$$

$$\text{iii) if } Y \in TR^q \text{ and } g(Y, X_t) = 0 \text{ then } \bar{\omega}_t(Y) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open set with

$$\mathcal{U}' \supset \mathcal{U} \supset \bar{\mathcal{U}} \supset M-D$$

and let  $\varphi$  be a smooth function on  $M$  with

$$\varphi|_{M-\mathcal{U}} \equiv 0, \varphi|_{\mathcal{U}} \equiv 1$$

and set

$$\omega_t = \varphi \cdot \bar{\omega}_t.$$

Now if  $X_t$  is  $C^k$  close to  $X_0$  and  $\tau_t$  is  $C^k$  close to  $\tau_0$  then

$$(*) \quad \omega_t \text{ is } C^k \text{ close to } \omega_0.$$

Let  $\rho_t: TM \rightarrow TR^q$  be the orthogonal projection associated to  $\tau_t$ . For  $\tau_t$   $C^k$  close to  $\tau_0$

$$(**) \quad \rho_t \text{ is } C^k \text{ close to } \rho_0.$$

The statements (\*) and (\*\*) are essentially lemmas in linear algebra and are left to the reader.

Let  $\{W_a\}$  be a finite open cover of  $M$  where each  $W_a$  is a trivializing neighborhood for  $M$ , i.e.,  $W_a$  is diffeomorphic to  $U_a \times R^q$  where  $U_a$  is a coordinate chart on  $N$  with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-q}$ , and  $R^q$  has coordinates  $s_1, \dots, s_q$ . Define the connection  $\theta_a^t$  on  $TR^q$  over  $W_a$  by requiring its covariant derivative to satisfy

$$\text{i) } \nabla_Y^t \partial/\partial s_r = \rho_t([Y, \partial/\partial s_r]) \text{ for all } Y \in C^\infty(\tau_t|_{W_a})$$

$$\text{ii) } \nabla_{\partial/\partial s_p}^t \partial/\partial s_r = \omega_t(\partial/\partial s_p)[X_t, \partial/\partial s_r].$$

Then  $\theta_a^t$  is a basic  $X$  connection for  $\tau_t, X_t$  on  $W_a$  supported off  $W_a \cap \mathcal{U}$ .

One now calculates directly that  $\theta_a^t$  is  $C^{k-1}$  close to  $\theta_a^0$ . Gluing the  $\theta_a^t$  together with a partition of unity we obtain  $\theta^t$ , a basic  $X$  connection for  $\tau_t, X_t$  supported off  $\mathcal{U}$ . For  $\tau_t, X_t$   $C^k$  close to  $\tau_0, X_0$ ,  $\theta^t$  is  $C^{k-1}$  close to  $\theta^0$ . The theorem follows.

## 2. Linearity of the residues.

In this section we show that for certain  $\Gamma$  vector fields the residues are determined by the one jets of the foliation and  $\Gamma$  vector field on the singular set. That this is not true in general follows from [H], example 2. Let  $M$  be an  $R^q$  bundle over a smooth manifold  $N$ . Let  $\tau$  be a codimension  $q$  foliation on  $M$  transverse to its fibers and let  $X$  be a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $\tau$  tangent to the fibers of  $M$  with singular set  $N$ .

This situation may be alternately described as follows. Let  $\text{Diff}(R^q, 0)$  be the group of smooth diffeomorphisms of  $R^q$  fixing 0. Let  $h: \Pi_1(N) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(R^q, 0)$  be a homomorphism. Let  $X \in C^\infty(TR^q)$  be a vector field on  $R^q$  invariant under  $h(\Pi_1(N))$  with  $X = 0$  only at the origin. Let  $\tilde{N}$  be the universal cover of  $N$ . Form the bundle  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$ . It has a natural foliation  $\tau$  on it with leaves of the form  $\{x\} \times R^q$ . The vector field  $X$  on  $R^q$  induces a vector field on  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$ , also denoted  $X$ , which is a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $\tau$ . Let  $M = \tilde{N} \times_h R^q$  be the associated foliated bundle over  $N$  with associated  $\Gamma$  vector field  $X$ . This is the point of view we shall adopt in this section. We assume for convenience that  $N$  is compact.

**Definition.** Let  $X \in C^\infty(TR^q)$ . We say that  $X$  is a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h$  if  $X$  is invariant under  $h$  and is 0 only at the origin. We say that  $X$  is a *linear*  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h$  if the linear map  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0}$ , induced by the Lie derivative with respect to  $X$  on  $TR_0^q$ , is an isomorphism.

Let  $X \in C^\infty(TR^q)$  be a linear  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h$ . Define the vector field  $X_0$  on  $R^q$  by

$$X_0(x) = \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \cdot x$$

(i.e., if  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} = [a_j^i]$  then  $X_0(x) = \sum a_j^i x_j \partial/\partial x_i$ ).

Let  $h_0: \Pi_1(N) \rightarrow GL_q$  be the action induced on  $TR_0^q$  by  $h, h_0(\gamma) = (h(\gamma))_*|_{TR_0^q}$ . A direct computation shows

**Proposition.** *If  $X$  is a linear  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h$ , then  $X_0$  is a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h_0$ .*

Let  $M_0$  be the bundle  $\tilde{N} \times_{h_0} R^q$  over  $N$  and denote by  $\tau_0$  the natural foliation on  $M_0$  and by  $X_0$  the  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $\tau_0$  induced by  $X_0 \in C^\infty(TR^q)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For all  $\varphi \in I_q(W_0^q)$*

$$\text{Res}_\varphi(\tau, X, N) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_0, X_0, N).$$

*Thus for linear  $\Gamma$  vector fields the residues are determined by the linear parts of  $\tau$  and  $X$  on the singular set of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We will construct a family  $\tau_t, X_t$   $t > 0$ , of foliations and  $\Gamma$  vector fields on  $M_0$ , all of which are diffeomorphic to  $\tau, X$  and which converge  $C^k$  to  $\tau_0, X_0$ . As the residues are invariant under diffeomorphism we will have

$$\text{Res}_\varphi(\tau, X, N) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_t, X_t, N)$$

but

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_t, X_t, N) = \text{Res}_\varphi(\tau_0, X_0, N)$$

by Theorem 1.

For  $t > 0$ , let  $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}(R^q, 0)$  be

$$\varphi_t(x) = x/t$$

and define  $h_t: \Pi_1(N) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(R^q, 0)$  to be

$$h_t(\gamma) = \varphi_t h(\gamma) \varphi_t^{-1}.$$

This is a homomorphism and it is easy to calculate that for any  $k$ ,  $h_t$  converges uniformly in  $C^k$  on compact sets to  $h_0$ .

For  $t > 0$ , define  $X_t \in C^\infty(TR^q)$  to be

$$X_t = \varphi_{t*} X.$$

It is clear that  $X_t$  is a  $\Gamma$  vector field for  $h_t$ . An obvious calculation establishes

**Lemma.** *For any  $k$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} X_t = X_0$  in  $C^k$ .*

Denote by  $M_t$  the bundle  $\tilde{N} \times_{h_t} R^q$ . On this bundle we have the foliation  $\tau_t$  and  $\Gamma$  vector field  $X_t$ .

**Lemma.** *For all  $t > 0$  there is a diffeomorphism  $\Phi_t: M \rightarrow M_t$  taking  $\tau$  to  $\tau_t$  and  $X$  to  $X_t$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\tilde{\Phi}_t: \tilde{N} \times R^q \rightarrow \tilde{N} \times R^q$  by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t(x, s) = (x, s/t).$$

Then

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t \circ h = h_t \circ \tilde{\Phi}_t$$

and so  $\tilde{\Phi}_t$  induces

$$\Phi_t: \tilde{N} \times_{h_t} R^q \rightarrow \tilde{N} \times_{h_t} R^q.$$

Since  $\tilde{\Phi}_t$  takes  $\tau$  to  $\tau_t$  and  $X$  to  $X_t$ , so does  $\Phi_t$ .

The problem now is to transfer this family of foliations and  $\Gamma$  vector fields on the family of bundles  $M_t$  to a family of foliations and  $\Gamma$  vector fields on  $M_0$  converging in  $C^k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) to  $\tau_0, X_0$ .

Choose a smooth metric on the tangent bundle to  $M$  and let  $g$  be the associated  $h$  invariant metric on  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$ . For each  $t > 0$ , and  $(x, s) \in \tilde{N} \times R^q$  set

$$g_t^*(x, s) = g(x, st).$$

Another direct calculation gives

**Lemma.**  *$g^t$  is invariant under  $h_t$ .*

Note that as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $g_t^*(x, s)$  converges in  $C^k$  to  $g(x, 0)$  uniformly on compact sets and that the metric  $g_0$ , given by  $(g_0)_{(x, s)} = g(x, 0)$ , is invariant under  $h_0$ .

For each  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\nu_t$  be the tangent bundle along the fiber of  $M_t$  restricted to  $N$ . Note that

$$\nu_t = \tilde{N} \times_{h_0} R^q$$

for all  $t$ . Let  $\exp_t: \nu_t \rightarrow M_t$  be the exponential map associated to  $g_t$ , and consider the family of maps

$$\psi_t: M_t \rightarrow M_0$$

given by

$$\psi_t = \exp_0 \circ \exp_t^{-1}.$$

These maps are only well defined in a neighborhood of  $N$ , and are diffeomorphisms in a neighborhood of  $N$ . This is sufficient for our purposes so we assume that each  $\psi_t$  is a globally well defined diffeomorphism. On  $M_0$  we have the foliation  $\psi_{t*}(\tau_t)$  and the  $\Gamma$  vector field  $\psi_{t*}(X_t)$ . We denote them by  $\tau_t$  and  $X_t$  respectively.

**Lemma.** For the family  $\tau_t, X_t$  on  $M_0$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tau_t = \tau_0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} X_t = X_0$$

in the  $C^k$  topology.

*Proof.* Pull everything up to the universal cover  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{N} \times R^q & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}_t^{-1}} & \tilde{N} \times R^q & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}_0} & \tilde{N} \times R^q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{N} \times_h R^q & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}_t} & \tilde{N} \times_{h_0} R^q & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}_0} & \tilde{N} \times_{h_0} R^q \end{array}$$

On  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$  the foliations induced by  $\tau_t$  and  $\tau_0$  are identical. For  $t$  close to 0,  $X_t$  is  $C^k$  close to  $X_0$ . The diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\psi}_t = \text{exp}_0 \circ \text{exp}_t^{-1}$  is smooth and as  $t \rightarrow 0$  it converges in the  $C^k$  topology uniformly on compact sets to identity. Thus for  $t$  close to 0,  $(\tilde{\psi}_t)_* \tau_t$  and  $(\tilde{\psi}_t)_* X_t$  are  $C^k$  close to  $\tau_0$  and  $X_0$  respectively. Therefore  $(\psi_t)_* \tau_t$  and  $(\psi_t)_* X_t$  are  $C^k$  close to  $\tau_0$  and  $X_0$  on  $M_0$ .

### 3. The residue.

We close with some remarks about the actual calculation of the residues in the linear case. Let  $h: \Pi_1(N) \rightarrow GL_q$  be a homomorphism and let  $X = Ax$ ,  $A \in GL_q$ , be a vector field on  $R^q$  invariant under  $h$ . This information determines the cohomology classes  $\text{Res}_\varphi(\tau, X, N) \in H^*(N; R)$ , where  $\varphi \in I_q(WO_q)$ . The bundle  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$  is a flat bundle and there is a canonical homomorphism

$$\alpha: H^*(g_{1q}, SO_q) \rightarrow H^*(N; R)$$

which measures the incompatibility of the flat structure with an  $SO_q$  structure. Recall that

$$H^*(g_{12k+1}, SO_{2k+1}) = \Lambda(h_1, h_3, \dots, h_{2k+1})$$

and

$$H^*(g_{12k}, SO_{2k}) = \Lambda(h_1, h_3, \dots, h_{2k-1}, X).$$

For  $\varphi \in I_q(WO_q)$  of the form  $\varphi = \hat{c}_{i_1} \dots \hat{c}_{i_k} c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_l} = \hat{c}_{i_1} \dots \hat{c}_{i_k} c_J$  we have from [H], 5.11 (see also [L])

$$\text{Res}_\varphi(\tau, X, N) = \alpha(h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_k}) \text{Res}_{c_J}(\tau, X, N).$$

In order to compute  $\text{Res}_{c_J}$  proceed as follows. Let  $\omega$  be a one form on  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$  so that off a disc sub-bundle  $\omega(X) = 1$ . Lift this form to  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$ . On the tangent bundle along the fiber of  $\tilde{N} \times R^q$  define a connection  $\theta$  by requiring its covariant derivative to satisfy

$$\nabla_Y \partial/\partial s_i = 0$$

for all  $Y$  tangent to  $N$  and

$$\nabla_Z \partial/\partial s_i = \omega(Z)[X, \partial/\partial s_i]$$

for all  $Z$  tangent to  $R^q$ . The connection  $\theta$  is then a basic  $X$  connection on  $TR^q$  and it is not difficult to show that it defines a basic  $X$  connection of  $TR^q$  over  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$ . (See [H] 5.6). To evaluate  $\text{Res}_{c_J}$  we must compute the curvature  $\Omega$  of  $\theta$  and integrate  $c_J(\Omega)$  over the fiber of  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$ . It is immediate that with respect to the basis  $\partial/\partial s_1, \dots, \partial/\partial s_q$  of  $TR^q$ , the local connection form is

$$-\omega \cdot A.$$

The local curvature is then

$$-d\omega \cdot A$$

and

$$c_J(\Omega) = c_J(A)(-d\omega)^q.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Res}_{\hat{c}_{i_1} \dots \hat{c}_{i_k} c_J}(\tau, X, N) = (-1)^q c_J(A) \alpha(h_{i_1} \dots h_{i_k}) \gamma_R(d\omega^q)$$

where  $\gamma_R$  is integration over the fiber of  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$ . Thus the computation of the residue in the case of a linear  $\Gamma$  vector field is reduced to two problems:

- i) compute the map  $\alpha: H^*(g_{1q}, SO_q) \rightarrow H^*(N; R)$ ,
- ii) determine the class in  $H^*(N; R)$  represented by  $\gamma_R(d\omega^q)$ .

For several examples where these computations are worked out in detail see [H], examples 3 and 4. We conjecture that  $\gamma_R(d\omega^q)$  is always a multiple (possibly zero) of the Euler class of the bundle  $\tilde{N} \times_h R^q$ .

### References

- [H] J. Heitsch, *Independent variation of secondary classes*, Ann. of Math. 108 (1978), 421-460.  
 [L] C. Lazarov, *A permanence theorem for exotic classes*, preprint.