

## Generic properties of higher order endomorphisms

Roberto Ribeiro Paterlini

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $M$  be a smooth compact manifold without boundary. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. We denote by  $M^k$  the product manifold  $M \times \dots \times M$  ( $k$ -times).

**1.1. Definition.** An *endomorphism of order  $k$*  (or  $k$ -endomorphism) is a map  $f: M^k \rightarrow M$ . A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $x_n \in M \forall n \geq 1$ , is an *orbit* of  $f$  if it satisfies the condition  $f(x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-1}) = x_{n+k} \forall n \geq 1$ . Hence, the orbit  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is determined by the  $k$ -tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ .

An endomorphism  $f: M \hookrightarrow M$  is a 1-endomorphism in our notation. If  $k \geq 2$  we say that a  $k$ -endomorphism is a *higher order endomorphism*.

In the classical literature objects like higher order endomorphisms are called recurrences. See (M).

**1.2.** We will describe the dynamics of a  $k$ -endomorphism  $f: M^k \rightarrow M$  using the endomorphism  $\tilde{f}: M^k \hookrightarrow M^k$  defined by  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_2, x_k, f(x_1, \dots, x_k))$ , which we will call the *lifting* of  $f$ . There is a close relationship between the dynamics of  $f$  and that of  $\tilde{f}$ . In fact, let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be an orbit of  $f$ . Then the orbit of  $\tilde{f}$  through  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is  $\{(x_n, \dots, x_{n+k-1}), n \geq 1\}$ . Moreover every orbit of  $\tilde{f}$  has this form.

**1.3. Definition.** A *periodic orbit* of  $f$  is a  $p$ -tuple  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= x_{k+1}, f(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) = x_{k+2}, \dots, f(x_{p-k+1}, \dots, x_p) = \\ &= x_1, f(x_{p-k+2}, \dots, x_p, x_1) = x_2, \dots, f(x_p, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k \end{aligned}$$

for  $k < p$ . If  $k \geq p$  the definition is analogous. In particular a fixed point of  $f$  is an element  $x \in M$  such that  $f(x, \dots, x) = x$ . The period of the periodic orbit  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  is  $p$  if it is minimal with respect of the above conditions.

**1.4.** The correspondence between orbits of  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  established in 1.2 preserves periodic orbits and their periods. At each periodic orbit



$\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  of  $f$  with period  $p$  corresponds the periodic orbit  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k), (x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}), \dots, (x_p, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})\}$  of  $\tilde{f}$  with period  $p$ . Moreover, every periodic orbit of  $\tilde{f}$  has this form. Furthermore this correspondence preserves invariant sets,  $w$ -limit sets and some kind of attractors.

**1.5. Definitions.** Let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , and let  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  be a periodic orbit of  $f$  with period  $p$ . This orbit is hyperbolic if every eigenvalue of  $D\tilde{f}^p(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  has norm  $\neq 0, 1$ .

Let  $H_p = \{f \in C^r(M^k, M); \text{ every periodic orbit of } f \text{ with period } \leq p \text{ is hyperbolic}\}$ . Let  $KS^r(M^k, M)$  be the set of  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$  which satisfy the following properties:

- The periodic orbits of  $f$  are all hyperbolic;
- If  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  is a periodic orbit of  $f$  with period  $p$ , let  $W_{loc}^s(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be the local stable manifold of  $\tilde{f}$  at  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Then the set  $W^s(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \{x \in M; \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \tilde{f}^{np}(x) \in W_{loc}^s(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}$  is a 1-1 immersed submanifold of constant dimension.
- Let  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$  and  $\langle y_1, \dots, y_q \rangle$  be periodic orbits of  $f$  with periods  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Let  $W_{loc}^u(y_1, \dots, y_k)$  be the local unstable manifold of  $\tilde{f}$  at  $(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ . Then  $\tilde{f}^{nq} | W_{loc}^u(y_1, \dots, y_k)$  is transversal to  $W^s(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  on  $W_{loc}^u(y_1, \dots, y_k)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

We regard the elements in  $KS^r(M^k, M)$  as the natural extension of Kupka-Smale endomorphisms therefore we call them Kupka-Smale  $k$ -endomorphisms.

The following theorem extends a result of M. Shub (Sh). It constitutes the main result of this work.

**1.6. Theorem.**  $H_p$  is open and dense in  $C^r(M^k, M)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Moreover  $KS^r(M^k, M)$  is residual in  $C^r(M^k, M)$ .

The proof of 1.6 is given in the next section.

The results presented here originally appeared in my doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of J. Sotomayor at IMPA. We wish to express our gratitude to him for his helpful advice.

## 2. The Proof of Theorem 1.6.

**2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}(R^{kn})$  be the space of real  $kn \times kn$  matrices identified as usual with  $R^{kn^2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})$  be the subspace of matrices  $L$  of the form

$$(2.2) \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n(k-1), n} & I_{n(k-1), n(k-1)} \\ a_1^1 \dots a_n^1 & a_{n+1}^1 \dots a_{nk}^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_1^n \dots a_n^n & a_{n+1}^n \dots a_{nk}^n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $0_{n(k-1), n}$  is the zero matrix  $n(k-1) \times n$  and  $I_{n(k-1), n(k-1)}$  is the identity matrix  $n(k-1) \times n(k-1)$ .

Note that if  $f: R^{kn} \rightarrow R^{kn}$  is a  $C^1$   $k$ -endomorphism of  $R^n$  then  $D\tilde{f}(x)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})$  for all  $x \in R^n$ . Moreover the determinant of the matrix (2.2) is given by

$$(-1)^{n(k+1)} \det \begin{bmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_n^1 \\ \vdots \\ a_1^n \dots a_n^n \end{bmatrix}$$

**2.3. Lemma.** The set  $H$  of  $p$ -tuples  $(L_1, \dots, L_p)$  in  $[\mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})]^p = \mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})$  ( $p$ -times) such that  $L_1 \dots L_p$  is a hyperbolic isomorphism is open and dense in  $[\mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})]^p$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $H$  is open in  $[\mathcal{L}_0(R^{kn})]^p$ . For the proof of the density we take the space  $\mathcal{P}_n$  of real polynomials  $p(x) = x^{kn} + c_{kn-1}x^{kn-1} + \dots + c_0$  identified with  $R^{kn}$  by the isomorphism  $p \rightarrow (c_{kn-1}, \dots, c_0)$ . Note that if 0 is a zero of  $p$  then  $p \in f_0^{-1}(0)$  where  $f_0: R^{kn} \rightarrow R$  is given by  $f_0(X_{kn-1}, \dots, X_0) = X_0$ . Moreover if 1 is a zero of  $p$  then  $p \in f_1^{-1}(0)$  where  $f_1: R^{kn} \rightarrow R$  is given by  $f_1(X_{kn-1}, \dots, X_0) = 1 + X_{kn-1} + \dots + X_0$ , and if  $-1$  is a zero of  $p$  then  $p \in f_2^{-1}(0)$  where  $f_2: R^{kn} \rightarrow R$  is given by  $f_2(X_{kn-1}, \dots, X_0) = 1 + (-1)^{kn-1}X_{kn-1} + \dots + (-1)^iX_i + \dots + X_0$ . Finally if  $p$  is a polynomial with a complex zero  $\lambda = s + it$ ,  $t \neq 0$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then  $p(x) = (x^2 - 2sx + 1)(x^{kn-2} + d_{kn-3}x^{kn-3} + \dots + d_0)$ . It is easy to see that  $p \in f_3^{-1}(0)$  where  $f_3: R^{kn} \rightarrow R$  is a non zero polynomial. Furthermore there exist polynomials  $C_i: (R^{kn^2})^p \rightarrow R$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq kn-1$ , such that  $p(x) = x^{kn} + C_{kn-1}(L_1, \dots, L_p)x^{kn-1} + \dots + C_0(L_1, \dots, L_p)$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $L_1 \dots L_p$  for all  $(L_1, \dots, L_p) \in (R^{kn^2})^p$ . We put  $g_i: (R^{kn^2})^p \rightarrow R$ ,  $g_i(L_1, \dots, L_p) = f_i(C_{kn-1}(L_1, \dots, L_p), \dots, C_0(L_1, \dots, L_p))$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then  $V = g_0^{-1}(0) \cup g_1^{-1}(0) \cup g_2^{-1}(0) \cup g_3^{-1}(0)$  is an algebraic submanifold of  $R^{kn^2}$  satisfying the following condition: if  $(L_1, \dots, L_p) \notin V$  then every eigenvalue of  $L_1 \dots L_p$  has norm  $\neq 0, 1$ . In particular,  $(R^{kn^2})^p - V \subset H$ . We claim that for  $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$  there exists a  $p$ -tuple  $(L_1, \dots, L_p) \notin g_j^{-1}(0)$ . Let

$$L_n^k(a) = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n(k-1), n} & I_{n(k-1), n(k-1)} \\ a I_{n, n} & 0_{n, n(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$



where  $a \in R$ . We have  $(L_n^k(a))^k = aI$  and  $\det(L_n^k(a) - xI) = (-1)^k(x^k - a) \det(L_{n-1}^k(a) - xI)$ . If  $0 < a < 1$   $L_n^k(a)$  is a contractive hyperbolic isomorphism, hence  $(L_n^k(a), \dots, L_n^k(a)) \notin g_0^{-1}(0) \cup g_1^{-1}(0) \cup g_2^{-1}(0)$ . Furthermore  $(L_n^k(0), \dots, L_n^k(0)) \notin g_3^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $(R^{kn^2})^p - V$  is dense and so the lemma is proved.

## 2.4. Transversality theorems.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $C^r$  Banach manifolds ( $r \geq 1$ ) and  $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^r(X, Y)$  a map. We say that  $\rho$  is a  $C^r$ -representation if the evaluation map  $EV_\rho: \mathcal{A} \times X \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $EV_\rho(a, x) = \rho(a)(x)$  is a  $C^r$  map.

The following two theorems are proved in [A].

**2.4.1. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $C^1$  Banach manifolds,  $W$  a closed submanifold of  $Y$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^1(X, Y)$  be a  $C^1$  representation. Then

$$\mathcal{A}_{KW} = \{a \in \mathcal{A}; \rho(a) \cap W \text{ on } K\}$$

is an open subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**2.4.2. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $C^r$  Banach manifolds ( $r \geq 1$ ),  $\rho: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^r(X, Y)$  a  $C^r$ -representation and  $W$  a  $C^r$  submanifold of  $Y$ . Suppose that the following four conditions are true.

- (i)  $X$  has dimension  $n$  and  $W$  has codimension  $q$  in  $Y$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $X$  have countable topological base;
- (iii)  $r > \max \{0, n - q\}$ ;
- (iv)  $EV_\rho \cap W$ .

Then  $A_W = \{a \in \mathcal{A}; \rho(a) \cap W\}$  is residual in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**2.5.** Let  $p$  be a positive integer. We consider the map  $G_p: C^r(M^k, M) \rightarrow C^r(M^k, M^{2k})$  defined by  $G_p(f)(x) = (x, \tilde{f}^p(x))$ . Let  $EV_p: C^r(M^k, M) \times M^k \rightarrow M^{2k}$  be the evaluation  $EV_p(f, x) = (x, \tilde{f}^p(x))$ .

Let  $\Delta = \{(x, x); x \in M^k\}$  be the diagonal set of  $M^k \times M^k$ .

**2.6. Lemma.**  $G_p$  is a  $C^r$ -representation. Furthermore if  $x \in M^k$  is a periodic point of  $\tilde{f}$  with period  $p$  then  $EV_p \cap \Delta$  at  $(f, x)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ , Let  $f^* TM$  be the pull-back of  $TM$  by  $f$ . Let  $\Gamma(f^* TM)$  be the space of  $C^r$  sections of  $f^* TM$  with the topology of uniform convergence of the first  $r$  derivatives. We know that  $\Gamma(f^* TM)$

is the tangent space of  $C^r(M^k, M)$  at  $f$ . A section  $h \in \Gamma(f^* TM)$  can be written locally as  $h(x) = (x, f(x), h_{f(x)}) \in M^k \times M \times T_{f(x)} M$ . In the same way we consider  $\Gamma(f^* TM^k)$ . Given  $h \in \Gamma(f^* TM)$  we define  $\tilde{h} \in \Gamma(f^* TM^k)$  by the local expression  $\tilde{h}(x) = (x, \tilde{f}(x), 0, \dots, 0, h_{f(x)}) \in M^k \times M^k \times T_{\tilde{f}(x)} M^k$ . In what follows we will adopt the abbreviated notation  $h(x) \in T_{f(x)} M$  and  $\tilde{h} = (0, \dots, 0, h)$ . Note that  $EV_p = EV_0(j \times id)$ , where  $j: C^r(M^k, M) \rightarrow C^r(M^k, M^k)$

is defined by  $j(f) = \tilde{f}, id: M^k \rightarrow M^k$  is the identity map and  $EV: C^r(M^k, M^k) \times M^k \rightarrow M^{2k}$  is defined by  $EV(g, x) = (x, g^p(x))$ . The derivative  $T(j \times id)(f, x): \Gamma(f^* TM) \times T_x M^k \rightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{f}^* TM^k) \times T_x M^k$  is given by  $T(j \times id)(f, x)(h, w) = (\tilde{h}, w)$  and the derivative  $TEV(g, x): \Gamma(\tilde{f}^* TM) \times T_x M^k \rightarrow TM^{2k}$  is given by  $TEV(g, x)(k, w) = (w, \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} Tg^i(g^{p-i}(x)) k(g^{p-i-1}(x)) + Tg^p(x) w)$ .

Hence  $TEV_p(f, x)(h, w) = (w, \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} T\tilde{f}^i(\tilde{f}^{p-i}(x)) \tilde{h}(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) + T\tilde{f}^p(x) w)$ . This gives the derivative of  $EV_p$ , and it is clear that  $EV_p$  is of class  $C^r(\text{Cl}[A] \text{ ch } 2)$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, x_k) \in M^k$  be a periodic point of  $\tilde{f}$  with period  $p$ . Let us prove that  $EV \cap \Delta$  at  $(f, x)$ .

First suppose  $p \geq k$ . The elements  $\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ , are pairwise different. Let  $h_j \in \Gamma(f^* TM)$ ,  $0 \leq j < k$ , defined by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_j(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) = 0 & \forall i \neq j, \\ \tilde{h}_j(\tilde{f}^{p-j-1}(x)) = (0, \dots, 0, v_j) \in T_{\tilde{f}^{p-j}(x)} M^k, \end{cases}$$

where  $v_j$  will be determined below, and let  $w_j \in T_x M^k$  be defined by

$$\begin{cases} w^0 = (0, \dots, 0) \in T_x M^k \\ w^j = (0, \dots, 0, w_{k-j+1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in T_x M^k, j \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $w_{k-j+1}$  is the  $(k-j+1)$ -th coordinate lying in a base of  $T_{x_{k-j+1}} M$ . We have  $TEV(f, x)(h_j, w^j) = (w^j, T\tilde{f}^j(\tilde{f}^{p-j}(x)) \tilde{h}_j(\tilde{f}^{p-j-1}(x)) + T\tilde{f}^p(x) w^j)$ . It easy to see that this vector has the  $(2k-j)$ -th coordinate in the form  $a + v_j$ , and then we choose  $v_j$  such that every coordinate of  $a + v_j$  is non zero. This proves the lemma for  $p \geq k$ . If  $p < k$  the proof is similar.

**2.7.** Let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ . A periodic point  $x$  of  $\tilde{f}$  with period  $p$  is elementary if 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $F\tilde{f}^p(x)$ .

**2.7.1. Remark.** Let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ . Then  $G_p \cap \Delta$  iff every fix point of  $\tilde{f}^p$  is elementary.



**2.7.2. Remark.** Let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ . We have the following properties:

- i) If  $G_p(f) \cap \Delta$  at  $x$  then  $EV \cap \Delta$  at  $x$ .
- ii) If  $x$  is a hyperbolic periodic point of  $f$  then  $G_p(f) \cap \Delta$  at  $x$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

2.8. Let  $T_p = \{f \in C^r(M^k, M); G_p(f) \cap \Delta\}$ . Then  $T_p = \{f \in C^r(M^k, M); \text{every fixed point of } \tilde{f}^p \text{ is elementary}\}$  and  $H_p \subset T_p$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

**2.9. Proposition.**  $T_p$  and  $H_p$  are open and dense in  $C^r(M^k, M)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $T_p$  and  $H_p$  are open in  $C^r(M^k, M)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . We will prove the density of  $T_p$  and  $H_p$  simultaneously by induction on  $p$ . Using 2.4.2 and 2.3 we can see that  $T_1$  and  $H_1$  are dense. Suppose that  $T_p$  and  $H_p$  are dense for some  $p$ . First note that  $T_{p+1} \cap H_p$  is dense in  $H_p$ . In fact, let  $EV: H_p \times M^k \rightarrow M^{2k}$  be the evaluation  $EV(f, x) = (x, \tilde{f}^{p+1}(x))$ . If  $EV(f, x) \in \Delta$ , then  $x$  is a periodic point of  $\tilde{f}$  with period  $k \leq p+1$ . If  $k = p+1$ , Lemma 2.6 implies that  $EV \cap \Delta$  at  $(f, x)$ ; if  $k < p+1$ ,  $x$  is a hyperbolic periodic point and by 2.7.2 we have that  $EV \cap \Delta$  at  $(f, x)$ . Then  $EV \cap \Delta$ . This proves that  $T_{p+1} \cap H_p$  is dense in  $H_p$ . In particular  $T_{p+1}$  is dense in  $C^r(M^k, M)$ . Finally Lemma 2.3 can be used to prove that  $H_{p+1}$  is dense in  $T_{p+1} \cap H_p$  and hence in  $C^r(M^k, M)$ . The proposition is proved.

2.10. Fix once for all a Riemannian metric on  $M^k$ . Let  $B_\varepsilon(x)$  be the closed ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We will define inductively open and dense subsets  $G_p \subset H_p$  and continuous functions  $E_p^i: G_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , such that:

- i)  $G_{p+1} \subset G_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ;
- ii)  $E_p^i = E_{p+1}^i$ ,  $0 < i \leq p$ ;
- iii) If  $f \in H_p$  and  $x$  is a saddle point of  $f$  with period  $j \leq p$ , put  $L_f^s(x) = B_\varepsilon(x) \cap W_{loc}^s(f, x)$  and  $L_f^u(x) = B_\varepsilon(x) \cap W_{loc}^u(f, x)$ , where  $\varepsilon = E_p^j(f)$ . If  $f \in G_p$ , then  $\tilde{f}^{kj}(L_f^u(x)) \subset W_{loc}^u(f, x)$ ;
- iv) If  $f \in G_{p+1}$  and  $x$  is a saddle point of  $f$  with period  $j \leq p$ , then  $\tilde{f}^j(L_f^u(x))$  has no periodic point with period  $\leq p+1$  with exception of  $x$ ;
- v) Let  $f \in G_p$  and let  $x$  and  $y$  be saddle points of  $f$  with period  $j \leq p$  and  $\ell \leq p$  respectively. Then  $[L_f^s(x) \cup \tilde{f}^j(L_f^u(x))] \cap [L_f^s(y) \cup \tilde{f}^\ell(L_f^u(y))] = \emptyset$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $\tilde{f}^j(L_f^u(x)) \cap L_f^s(x) = \{x\}$  if  $x = y$ .

We begin with  $G_1 = H_1$ . It is easy to define a continuous function  $E_1^1: G_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying iii) and v).

Suppose that we have  $G_j$  and  $E_j^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$  for some  $p \geq 1$ . Denote by  $G_{p+1}$  the subset of  $f \in G_p \cap H_{p+1}$  with satisfy iv). We claim

that  $G_{p+1}$  is dense in  $G_p \cap H_{p+1}$ . Let  $f \in G_p \cap H_{p+1}$ . We can suppose that the following property is satisfied: if  $x$  is a saddle point of  $f$  with period  $\leq p$  then for all  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in W_{loc}^u(f, x)$  there exists a non zero vector  $(0, \dots, 0, v) \in T_{y_1}M \times \dots \times T_{y_k}M$  such that  $(0, \dots, 0, v) \notin T_y W_{loc}^u(f, x)$ . Hence we can remove every saddle point  $y$  with period  $p+1$  from  $\tilde{f}^j(L_k^u(x))$ , for all saddle point  $x$  with period  $\leq p$ , by perturbing  $f$  locally in the direction of  $(0, \dots, 0, v)$ . This procedure gives us an element of  $G_{p+1}$  arbitrarily close to  $f$ . Hence  $G_{p+1}$  is dense in  $G_p \cap H_{p+1}$ . Furthermore it is clear that  $G_{p+1}$  is open and there exist continuous functions  $E_{p+1}^i$  satisfying ii), iii) and v).

**2.11. Definition.** Given  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  let  $p: C^r(M^k, M) \rightarrow C^r(M^k, M)$  defined by  $p(f) = \tilde{f}^p$ . Let  $V \subset M^k$  be a compact submanifold (perhaps with boundary). We consider the restriction map  $R = R_V: C^r(M^k, M^k) \rightarrow C^r(V, M^k)$ , defined by  $R(g) = g|_V$ , and the evaluation  $EV_{R_0P} = EV_P|_{C^r(M^k, M) \times V}$ . Clearly  $EV_{R_0P}$  is of class  $C^r$ .

**2.12. Lemma.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be closed  $C^r$  submanifolds of  $M^k$  such that  $V \cap W$ . Let  $p \geq k$  be an integer and let  $f \in C^r(M^k, M)$ .

a) Let  $x \in V$  such that  $\tilde{f}^j(x) \neq \tilde{f}^i(x)$ ,  $0 \leq j < i \leq p-1$ . Then  $EV_{R_0P} \cap W$  at  $(f, x)$ , where  $R = R_V$ .

b) Suppose that  $\tilde{f}$  has maximum rank on  $W$  and that  $\tilde{f}(W) \subset W$ . Let  $x \in V$  be such that if  $\tilde{f}^j(x) = \tilde{f}^i(x)$  then  $\tilde{f}^i(x) \in W$  for all  $i \geq j$ . It follows  $EV_{R_0P} \cap W$  at  $(f, x)$ , where  $R = R_V$ .

*Proof.* a) We have

$TEV_{R_0P}(f, x)(h, v) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} T\tilde{f}^i(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) \tilde{h}(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) + T\tilde{f}^p(x)v$ , where  $\tilde{h} = (0, \dots, 0, h)$ ,  $h \in \Gamma^r(f^*TM)$ , and  $v \in T_x V$  (cf. 2.5). Let  $(f, x) \in C^r(M^k, M) \times V$  such that  $EV_{R_0P}(f, x) \in W$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$  let  $\tilde{h}_j \in \Gamma^r(f^*TM^k)$  be defined by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{h}_j(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) = 0 & \text{for all } i \neq j, \\ \tilde{h}_j(\tilde{f}^{p-j-1}(x)) = (0, \dots, 0, v) \in T_{\tilde{f}^j(x)}M^k, \end{cases}$$

where  $v$  lies in a bases of the last factor of  $T_{\tilde{f}^j(x)}M^k = T_{y_1} \times \dots \times T_{y_k}M$ . Then  $TEV_{R_0P}(f, x)(\tilde{h}_j, 0) = T\tilde{f}^j(\tilde{f}^{p-j-1}(x))(0, \dots, 0, v)$ , and so we obtain a base of  $T_{\tilde{f}^j(x)}M^k$ .

b) Let  $EV_{R_0P}(f, x) \in W$ . If  $x \in W$  we have  $TEV_{R_0P}(f, x)(0, v) = T\tilde{f}_x^p v$  for all  $v \in T_x V$ . Hence  $EV_{R_0P} \cap W$  at  $(f, x)$ . Now suppose that  $x \notin W$ . Let  $s = \max \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; 0 \leq n \leq p-1 \text{ and } \tilde{f}^n \notin W\}$ . Note that if  $\pi: C^r(M^k, M) \times V \rightarrow C^r(M^k, M)$  is the canonical projection, then



$$\begin{aligned}
EV_{R_0P} &= EV_{R_0(p-s-1)} \circ (\pi, EV_{R_0(s+1)}). \text{ Hence } TEV_{R_0P}(f, x)(h, v) = \\
&= TEV_{R_0(p-s-1)}(f, \tilde{f}^{s+1}(x)) \circ T(\pi, EV_{R_0(s+1)})(f, x)(h, v) = \\
&= TEV_{R_0(p-s-1)}(f, \tilde{f}^{s+1}(x))(h, TEV_{R_0(s+1)}(f, x)(h, v)) = \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p-s-2} \tilde{Tf}^i(\tilde{f}^{p-i}(x)) \tilde{h}(\tilde{f}^{p-i-1}(x)) + \\
&+ \tilde{Tf}^{p-s-1}(\tilde{f}^{s+1}(x)) TEV_{R_0(s+1)}(f, x)(h, v).
\end{aligned}$$

We have by a) that  $EV_{R_0(s+1)} \cap W$  at  $(f, x)$ . Since  $\tilde{f}^{s+1}(x) \in W$  and  $\tilde{f}$  has maximal rank on  $W$ , we can show that  $TEV_{R_0P}(f, x)$  is onto. This proves the lemma.

At this point we can complete the proof of theorem 1.6 using the following proposition of [Sh].

**2.13. Proposition.** *The set  $D_p^1 = \{f \in G_p; \text{ if } x \text{ is a periodic point of } \tilde{f} \text{ with period } j \leq p \text{ then } \tilde{f}^{ij} \cap L_f^j(x) \text{ for all positive integer } i \text{ such } ij \leq p\}$  is open and dense in  $C^r(M^k, M)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .*

**2.14. Proposition.** *The set  $D_p^2 = \{f \in G_p; \text{ if } x \text{ and } y \text{ are saddle points of } \tilde{f} \text{ with periods } i \leq p \text{ and } j \leq p \text{ respectively then } (\tilde{f}^{ij} \cap L_f^j(y)) \cap L_f^i(x) \text{ for all positive integer } \ell \text{ such that } \ell j < p\}$  is open and dense in  $C^r(M^k, M)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .*

*Proof of 1.6.* Follows from the inclusion  $\bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} (D_p^1 \cap D_p^2) \subset KS^r(M^k, M)$ .

**2.15. Remark.** The map  $(C^r(M^k, M) \rightarrow C^r(M^k, M^k), f \rightarrow \tilde{f})$  is an embedding, therefore  $C^r(M^k, M)$  can be considered as a submanifold of  $C^r(M^k, M^k)$ . Theorem 1.6 establishes that  $KS^r(M^k) \cap C^r(M^k, M)$  is residual in  $C^r(M^k, M)$ , where  $KS^r(M^k)$  is the space of  $C^r$  Kupka-Smale endomorphisms of  $M^k$  (see [Sh]).

### 3. A stability property.

Now we discuss another subject also studied in our thesis, which aims to describe stability properties of diffeomorphisms under small perturbations in the space of 2-endomorphisms.

Let  $\phi: M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism and let  $\tilde{f}(x, y) = (y, \phi(y))$  be the lifting of  $(x, y) \rightarrow \phi(y)$ . The graph of  $\phi$   $V = \{(x, \phi(x)); x \in M\}$  is an  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant  $C^r$  submanifold of  $M^2$  and  $\tilde{f}|V$  is a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism. The "horizontal leaves"  $M_p = \{(x, y) \in M^2; x \in M\}$  where  $p = (\phi^{-1}(y), y) \in V$  cons-

tute a stable invariant family (see [H, P, S] for  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $\tilde{f}(M_p) = (y, \phi(y))$ ). Note that  $\tilde{f}(M^2) = V$  and  $\tilde{f}|V$  is  $C^r$  conjugate to  $\phi$ . We have proved that there exists a neighborhood  $\eta$  of  $\tilde{f}$  in  $C^r(M^2, M^2)$  such that every  $g \in \eta$  has an invariant submanifold  $V_g \subset M^2$  satisfying the following properties:  $g|V_g$  is a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism and  $V_g \xrightarrow{C^r} V$  if  $g \xrightarrow{C^r} f$ . Moreover given a small neighborhood  $U$  of  $V_f$  in  $M^2$  for all  $g \in \eta$  we have that  $g(M^2) \subset U$  and  $U$  is foliated by a continuous family  $\{W_\delta^g(g, p)\}_{g \in V_g}$  of  $C^r$  stable discs pairwise disjoint and transversal to  $V_g$ . We have the following characterization

$$x \in W_\delta^g(g, p) \Leftrightarrow d(g^n(x), g^n(p)) \leq C\rho^n \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

for some constants  $C > 0$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ .

As a consequence it follows that if  $\phi$  is structurally stable (for example if  $\phi$  satisfies Axiom A and the strong transversality condition) then  $g|V_g$  is conjugate to  $\phi$ . In such case we can consider that  $\phi$  describes the dynamics of  $g$ .

This problem in a more general context is the subject of a forthcoming paper.

### References

- (A) Abraham, R., *Lectures of Smale on Differential Topology*, Lecture Notes, Columbia University, 1962.
- (H, P, S) Hirsch, M. W., Pugh, C. C. and Shub, M. *Invariant Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n.º 583, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- (K) Kupka, I., *Contribution à la théorie des champs génériques*, Contributions to Diff. Equations 2, 1963.
- (M) Montel, P., *Leçons sur les récurrences et leurs applications*, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1957.
- (Sh) Shub, M., *Endomorphisms of compact differentiable manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969).
- (Sm) Smale, S., *Stable manifolds for differential equations and diffeomorphisms*, Ann. Scuola Sup. Pisa 17, 1963.