

## c-equivalence of embeddings is different from equivalence and bordism of pairs

Gilberto Francisco Loibel and Roberto Carvalho Engler Pinto\*

(0) Manifolds and maps will be  $C^\infty$ . All manifolds will be compact without boundary. Fix two manifolds  $N^n$  and  $M^m$  ( $n \leq m$  are the dimensions). There are several equivalence relations between embeddings. We shall use:

- (a) the embeddings  $f, g : N \rightarrow M$  are  $d$ -equivalent (or simply equivalent) iff there is a diffeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $h \circ f = g$ ;
- (b)  $f$  and  $g$  are  $B$ -equivalent iff their normal bundles are equivalent. This occurs iff there is a bordism of the pairs  $(M, f(N))$  and  $(M, g(N))$ , which, restricted to the submanifolds is a product bordism (see [1]).
- (c) In [1], [2], [3] we defined several other relations using surgery on  $M$  and studied some of their properties. The most interesting of them seems to be  $c$ -equivalence (see definition 2 below).

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem A.**  *$c$ -equivalence lies strictly between  $d$ -equivalence and  $B$ -equivalence.*

We shall show this in the case  $N = S^1 \times S^1$  and  $M = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ .

- (1) Let  $\psi : S^p \times D^{m-p} \rightarrow M$  be an embedding and  $M' = \chi(M, \psi)$  be the manifold which is obtained by the surgery (of type  $p$ ) defined by  $\psi$ . Let  $f : N \rightarrow M$  be an embedding. If  $f(N) \cap \psi(S^p \times D^{m-p}) = \emptyset$  we shall say that the surgery is away from  $f$ . In this case there is a well determined embedding  $f' : N \rightarrow M'$  defined by  $f$ .

**Definition 1.** *Two embeddings  $f, g : N \rightarrow M$  will be  $c$ -related if they are  $d$ -equivalent or if there is a surgery, away from  $f$  and  $g$ , such that  $f'$  and  $g'$  are  $d$ -equivalent.*

$c$ -relation is reflexive and symmetric but in general it is not transitive, so we give the:

\* This work was partially supported by FAPESP and FINEP.  
Recebido em 9/12/80. Versão definitiva em 9/11/82.



**Definition 2.** *c-equivalence is the equivalence relation which is generated by c-relation:  $f$  and  $g$  are c-equivalent if there are embeddings  $f = h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r = g$  ( $h_i : N \rightarrow M$ ) such that  $h_i$  and  $h_{i+1}$  are c-related ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ).*

It should be clear that in general  $f$  and  $g$  are not c-equivalent if  $f'$  and  $g'$  are c-related, because all surgeries must be performed on the same  $M$ .

If  $f$  and  $g$  are d-equivalent, they are c-equivalent and it is easy to see that two c-equivalent embeddings are B-equivalent. For embeddings of  $S^1$  into surfaces and into 3-manifolds, c-equivalence is the same as B-equivalence. In these cases we have even more: c-relation coincides with B-equivalence (see [1], [2], [3] for these and others cases).

(2) We now adapt some definitions and results from [5] for our purposes:

Let  $\dim N = 2$  and  $\dim M = 3$  and  $f : N \rightarrow M$  be an embedding. If  $f(N)$  is 2-sided in  $M$ , it will be called a "surface" in  $M$ , then  $f(N)$  will be "incompressible" in  $M$  if  $N \neq S^2$  and  $\ker(f_{1*} : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)) = 0$ . We say that  $M$  is  $P^2$ -irreducible iff (a) every embedded 2-sphere bounds a ball and (b)  $M$  does not contain a 2-sided projective plane.

**Theorem.** (Waldhausen) *Let  $M$  be  $P^2$ -irreducible and  $f, g : N \rightarrow M$  be embeddings such that both are incompressible surfaces. If  $g_{1*}(\pi_1(N)) \subset \subset f_{1*}(\pi_1(N))$  then  $g(N)$  is isotopic to  $f(N)$ .*

For the proof see [5].

**Lemma 1.**  $M = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$  is  $P^2$ -irreducible.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $p : R^3 \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering and  $f : S^2 \rightarrow M$  be an embedding. Hence  $f$  lifts to an embedding  $\tilde{f} : S^2 \rightarrow R^3$ , so there is a ball  $B \subset R^3$  such that  $\delta B = \tilde{f}(S^2)$ . From  $\pi_2(M) = 0$  it follows that  $f(S^2)$  separates  $M$  into two components. Let  $\gamma$  be a small arc which crosses  $f(S^2)$  transversally. Then  $\gamma$  lifts to an arc  $\tilde{\gamma}$  which is transversal to  $\tilde{f}(S^2)$ . One of its end points, say  $\tilde{a}$ , lies in the interior of  $B$ . Let  $C$  be the component of  $M$  which contains  $a = p(\tilde{a})$ . Let us show that  $\bar{C} = C \cup f(S^2)$  is simply connected. Since  $\pi_1(S^2) = 0$ , by van Kampen's theorem it follows that  $\pi_1(\bar{C}) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is a monomorphism. If there would be a  $[\mu] \neq 0$  in  $\pi_1(\bar{C}, a)$  it could be represented by a loop  $\mu$  in the interior of  $C$ . Let  $n$  be a sufficiently large positive integer. Then  $n \cdot \mu$  would be lifted, starting from  $\tilde{a}$ , to an arc in  $R^3$  which joins  $\tilde{a}$  to a point outside of  $B$  without crossing  $\tilde{f}(S^2)$ . Then  $\mu$  cannot exist. It follows that  $\bar{C}$  lifts to  $B$  and  $p|_B$  is a diffeomorphism onto the ball  $\bar{C}$  with boundary  $f(S^2)$ .

(ii) Suppose there is an embedding  $g : RP^2 \rightarrow M$ . Since  $\pi_1(RP^2) = Z_2$ , we have  $g_{1*}(\pi_1(RP^2)) = 0$  and  $g$  would lift to an embedding  $\tilde{g} : RP^2 \rightarrow R^3$ , which is impossible.

(3) **Lemma 2.** *Let  $f : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  be an embedding, then  $f(T^2)$  is incompressible in  $T^3$  iff it does not bound in  $T^3$ .*

*Proof.*  $\pi_1(T^2)$  and  $\pi_1(T^3)$  are isomorphic to  $H_1(T^2)$  and  $H_1(T^3)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  be the generators of  $H_1(T^2)$  which correspond to the factors of  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$  and let analogously  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  be the generators of  $H_1(T^3)$ . For both manifolds,  $H_2$  may be identified with  $H_1 \wedge H_1$  with basis  $(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2)$  and  $(e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_3)$  respectively. If  $f_{1*}$  is given by  $f_{1*}(\varepsilon_i) = a_i e_1 + b_i e_2 + c_i e_3$  then  $f_{2*}(\varepsilon_1 \wedge \varepsilon_2) = (a_1 e_1 + b_1 e_2 + c_1 e_3) \wedge (a_2 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + c_2 e_3)$ .

Hence  $f(T^2)$  does not bound iff  $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \end{bmatrix}$  has rank 2, iff  $\ker f_{1*} = 0$ ,

iff  $f(T^2)$  is incompressible in  $T^3$ .

(4) Now let  $G$  be a free abelian group of finite rank  $n$  and let  $H$  be a subgroup. Then there is a basis  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  of  $G$  and there are positive integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p$ ,  $p \leq n$ , such that  $(k_1 e_1, k_2 e_2, \dots, k_p e_p)$  is a basis of  $H$  (see [4]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  be the embedding given by  $f(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$ . Another embedding  $g : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  is incompressible iff  $g$  is d-equivalent to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$  be the generators of  $\pi_1(T^3)$ , then  $f_{1*}(\pi_1(T^2))$  is generated by  $(b_1, b_2)$ . By assuming that  $g(T^2)$  is incompressible it follows that  $g_{1*}(\pi_1(T^2)) = Z \oplus Z$ . Then there is a new basis  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  of  $\pi_1(T^3)$  and there are numbers  $k_1, k_2$  such that  $(k_1 e_1, k_2 e_2)$  is a basis for  $g_{1*}(\pi_1(T^2))$ . There is also a diffeomorphism  $h : T^3 \rightarrow T^3$  such that  $h_{1*}(b_i) = e_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . For the embedding  $h \circ f : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  we have  $g_{1*}(\pi_1(T^2)) \subset (hf)_{1*}(\pi_1(T^2))$ . By the theorem above  $g(T^2)$  is isotopic to  $(hf)(T^2)$  and therefore it is d-equivalent to  $(hf)(T^2)$  and  $f(T^2)$ . On the other hand if  $g(T^2)$  is d-equivalent to  $f(T^2)$  it is also incompressible.

(5) In the lemmas 4 and 5 we put  $T^3 = M$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f : T^2 \rightarrow M$  be an embedding and let  $f(T^2)$  be incompressible in  $M$ . If  $M'$  is obtained by a surgery away from  $f$ , then  $f'(T^2)$  does not bound in  $M'$ .*

*Proof.* A surgery of type 0 produces a new connection, so possibly a bounding surface might be transformed into a non bounding one in  $M'$ , but the opposite will never happen. By a surgery of type 2 we obtain  $M' = M \cup S^3$  and  $f'(T^2)$  lies in  $M$  in the same way as  $f(T^2)$  does. So we only need to analyze surgeries of type 1. By Lemma 3 we may suppose that  $f$  is given by  $f(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$ . Take the neighborhood  $U = S^1 \times S^1 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  of  $f(T^2) = S^1 \times S^1 \times 0$ , then  $f(T^2)$  divides  $U$  in  $U_- = S^1 \times S^1 \times (-\varepsilon, 0)$  and



$U_+ = S^1 \times S^1 \times (0, \varepsilon)$ . Take a path  $\lambda$  in  $M - f(T^2)$  which joins a point  $a \in U_-$  to a point  $a_+ \in U_+$ . Any surgery of type 1 away from  $f(T^2)$  can be chosen away from  $\lambda$ . This shows that  $M' - f'(T^2)$  is connected and  $f(T^2)$  is 2-sided in  $M'$ , so it does not bound in  $M'$ .

We shall say that an embedding  $f$  bounds if  $f(T^2)$  bounds in  $M$ .

(6) **Lemma 5.** *Let  $f, g : T^2 \rightarrow M$  be two  $c$ -related embeddings. If  $f$  bounds so does  $g$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $d$ -equivalent this is obvious. If not, introduce any surgery which produces the  $c$ -relation between  $f$  and  $g$ . Suppose that  $g$  does not bound, so by Lemma 4  $g'$  does not bound either. Then the surgery must transform the bounding  $f$  into a non bounding  $f'$ . This is only possible by using a surgery of type 0. By van Kampen's theorem we see that  $\pi_1(M')$  is the free product of  $\pi_1(M)$  and  $Z$ ,  $\ker f'_{1*} = \ker f_{1*} \neq 0$  and  $\ker g'_{1*} = \ker g_{1*} = 0$ . Then  $f'$  and  $g'$  cannot be  $d$ -equivalent.

**Proposition.** *Let  $f, g : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  be embeddings. If  $f$  is bounding and  $g$  is non bounding then they are not  $c$ -equivalent.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Lemma 5 and from the definition of  $c$ -equivalence.

(7) *Proof of Theorem A:* It suffices to show the results for  $N = T^2$  and  $M = T^3$ .

(a) Take  $f(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$  and  $g = G|T^2$  where  $G : S^1 \times D^2 \rightarrow T^3$  is any embedding of the solid torus. By the proposition of (6)  $f$  and  $g$  are not  $c$ -equivalent, but both have trivial normal bundles so they are  $B$ -equivalent.

(b) Now we shall sketch how one can obtain two embeddings which are  $c$ -equivalent but not  $d$ -equivalent. Let  $D \subset T^3$  be an open cell. Consider two disjoint embeddings  $F, G : S^1 \rightarrow D$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a simple arc joining  $P \in F(S^1)$  to  $Q \in G(S^1)$ , such that  $\gamma \in F(S^1) = P$  and  $\gamma \in G(S^1) = Q$ . Let  $U, V$  and  $W$  be tubular neighborhoods of  $F(S^1), G(S^1)$  and  $\gamma$  respectively, which lie in  $D$ . Now take an embedding  $H : S^1 \rightarrow U \cup V \cup W$  which runs first in  $U$  following  $F(S^1)$ , then it goes through  $W$  to  $V$  where it follows  $G(S^1)$  and finally it goes back in  $W$  to  $U$ . We may choose  $H$  such that  $H(S^1)$  does not touch  $F(S^1) \cup G(S^1) \cup \gamma$ .

Let  $f, g : T^2 \rightarrow T^3$  be embeddings such that  $f(T^2)$  and  $g(T^2)$  are the boundaries of smaller tubular neighborhoods of  $F(S^1)$  and  $G(S^1)$  which are disjoint from  $H(S^1)$ . It is easy to see that if the knots  $F(S^1)$  and  $G(S^1)$  are not equivalent then  $f$  and  $g$  cannot be  $d$ -equivalent. But choosing conveniently  $f$  and  $g$  it results that after a surgery of type 1 along  $H(S^1)$

$f'$  and  $g'$  are isotopic in  $(T^3)'$  so  $f$  and  $g$  are  $c$ -equivalent. For more details see [1].

We want to thank Mr C. Biasi for several suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. C. Engler Pinto; *Relações de Equivalência entre Mergulhos*, Tese de Doutorado, ICMSC-USP, São Carlos, SP, 1980.
- [2] G. F. Loibel & R. C. Engler Pinto; *Uma classificação de mergulhos por cirurgia*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. (1980), 52(3).
- [3] G. F. Loibel & R. C. Engler Pinto; *A  $c$ -equivalência entre mergulhos de  $S^1 \times S^1$  em  $S^3$*  (to appear).
- [4] C. B. de Lyra; *Introdução à Topologia Algébrica* (1957), or L. Fuchs; *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Academic Press.
- [5] F. Waldhausen; *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*, Ann. of Math. 87, Vol. 1 (1968), 56-88.

Universidade de São Paulo  
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas de São Carlos  
Departamento de Matemática  
13.560 – São Carlos – S.P.