

Minimal hypersurfaces of S^4 with non zero Gauss-Kronecker curvature

Sebastião Carneiro de Almeida*

Introduction.

This paper deals with minimal hypersurfaces of the 4-sphere with non zero Gauss-Kronecker curvature.

In section 1 we use the associated Gauss map of an immersion $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^4$ (i.e., the translation of the unit normal in S^4 to the origin of R^5) to show that if f is minimal and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature is $\neq 0$ then M admits a metric with scalar curvature $\kappa \equiv 6$. We recall (see [4]) that any compact orientable 3-manifold M admits a unique decomposition $M = M_1 \# \dots \# M_n$ into a connected sum of prime 3-manifolds. A prime 3-manifold which is not diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^2$ is either a $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold or it is covered by a homotopy 3-sphere. In [3], Gromov-Lawson proved that the existence of positive scalar curvature implies that M has no $K(\pi, 1)$ factor in its prime decomposition. We use this to show the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : M \rightarrow S^4$ be an immersion of a compact orientable 3-manifold having Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K \neq 0$, then*

$$M = X_1 \# \dots \# X_e \# S^1 \times S^2 \# \dots \# S^1 \times S^2$$

where each X_j is covered by a 3-manifold which is homotopy equivalent to S^3 .

We note that in theorem 1.2 the same conclusion holds if the mean curvature H of the immersion satisfy the inequality $HK^{-1} + 3 > 0$. This is a weaker and open condition which is much easier to be verified.

A compact surface Σ embedded in a manifold X is called incompressible if its Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ and the homomorphism between fundamental groups $\pi_1 \Sigma \rightarrow \pi_1 X$ is injective. In [9], Schoen-Yau proved that any 3-manifold which admits an incompressible surface cannot carry a complete metric of positive scalar curvature. Given that $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^4$ is a complete immersion with principal curvatures bounded away from

* Supported by CNPq and Universidade Federal do Ceará.
Recebido em 08/02/84.

zero, then its associated Gauss map is also a complete immersion. We therefore get the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^4$ be a complete minimal immersion of an oriented 3-manifold. If the principal curvatures are bounded away from zero, then M cannot carry an incompressible surface.*

In section 2 we consider compact minimal hypersurfaces of S^4 with Gauss-Kronecker curvature $\neq 0$ and constant scalar curvature. We use the moving frame method to show the following uniqueness result.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $f : M \rightarrow S^4$ be an immersion of a compact oriented 3-manifold having constant scalar curvature κ . Assume that the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of the immersion is $\neq 0$ everywhere. Then $\kappa \equiv 3$, i.e., M is the Clifford torus in S^4 .*

The author would like to thank Professor Blaine Lawson for his remarks and suggestions.

§1. The associated Gauss map and positive scalar curvature metrics.

Let $\psi : M \rightarrow S^n$ be an immersion of an oriented $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold M into the n -sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The associated Gauss map $\psi^* : M \rightarrow S^n$ is defined pointwise as the image of the unit normal in S^n translated to the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The square of the length of the second fundamental form of M is given by

$$S = H^2 - \kappa + n(n-1)$$

where H and κ are respectively the mean and scalar curvature of the immersed manifold M .

Remark. Let v be a unit normal vector at $p \in M$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be the principal curvatures of ψ at p , i.e., the eigenvalues of the form $\Pi(V, W) = \langle \nabla_v W, v \rangle$ on $T_p M$. With this notation we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum \lambda_i \\ \kappa &= n(n-1) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \lambda_i \lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

$$K = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$$

where H , κ , K are respectively the mean, scalar and Gauss-Kronecker curvature of ψ at p .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\psi : M^3 \rightarrow S^4$ be an immersion with Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K \neq 0$. The associated Gauss map is an immersion and ψ is minimal $\Leftrightarrow \kappa^* \equiv 6$ where κ^* is the scalar curvature of ψ^* .*

Proof. We choose a local orthonormal frame field e_A in S^4 such that when restricted to M , e_1, e_2, e_3 are tangent to M and $e_4 = \psi^*$. We denote θ_A its dual coframe and by θ_{AB} its connection forms. We will assume that the second fundamental form is diagonalized, i.e.,

$$\theta_{i4} = \lambda_i \theta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi^* &= de_4 = \theta_{41} e_1 + \theta_{42} e_2 + \theta_{43} e_3 \\ &= -\lambda_1 \theta_1 e_1 - \lambda_2 \theta_2 e_2 - \lambda_3 \theta_3 e_3 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore ψ^* is an immersion. We may also choose a local orthonormal frame e_A^* in S^4 such that $e_i^* = e_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are tangent to $\psi^*(M)$ and $e_4^* = \psi$. Since

$$d\psi^* = \theta_1^* e_1^* + \theta_2^* e_2^* + \theta_3^* e_3^*$$

it follows that for $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$\theta_i^* = \theta_{4i} = -\lambda_i \theta_i.$$

Similarly,

$$\theta_i = \theta_{4i}^*.$$

Comparing the two equations, we may write

$$\theta_{i4}^* = \lambda_i^* \theta_i^*$$

where $\lambda_i^* = \lambda_i^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^* &= 6 + 2[\lambda_1^* \lambda_2^* + \lambda_1^* \lambda_3^* + \lambda_2^* \lambda_3^*] \\ &= 6 + 2 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_3} \right] \\ &= 6 + \frac{2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} [\lambda_3 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_1] \\ &= 6 + 2H/K. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Since $S^* = (H^*)^2 - \kappa^* + 6$ we may replace the condition $\kappa^* \equiv 6$ in Lemma 3.1 by $S^* = (H^*)^2$. This implies that $\psi^* : M \rightarrow S^4$ is never minimal, i.e., $H^* \neq 0$, in contrast with the 2-dimensional case, where if $\psi : M^2 \rightarrow S^3$

is a minimal immersion with Gauss curvature $\neq 0$, then the associated Gauss map, $\psi^* : M^2 \rightarrow S^3$ is always a minimal immersion (c.f. [5]).

We now recall (see [4]) that each compact 3-manifold M can be expressed in a unique way as a connected sum of a finite number of prime 3-manifolds, i.e.,

$$M = X_1 \# \dots \# X_\ell \# (S^1 \times S^2) \# \dots \# (S^1 \times S^2) \# K_1 \# \dots \# K_n$$

where each $\pi_1(X_j)$ is finite and K_j is a $K(\pi, 1)$ -manifold. In particular one has the following nice consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold. If for some immersion $f : M \rightarrow S^4$ the Gauss-Kronecker curvature, K , is always $\neq 0$ and $-3 < HK^{-1}$, then M carries no $K(\pi, 1)$ factor in its prime decomposition.*

Proof. By theorem 1.1 we know M carries a metric with scalar curvature $k^* = 6 + 2HK^{-1} > 0$. Now assume M has a $K(\pi, 1)$ factor in its prime decomposition. By a result of Gromov-Lawson [3], any metric with scalar curvature ≥ 0 on M is flat. This proves the theorem.

In the following theorem we need the notion of an incompressible surface.

Definition 1.3. *A compact surface Σ embedded in a manifold X is called incompressible if $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$ and if the homomorphism $\pi_1 \Sigma \rightarrow \pi_1 X$ is injective.*

Theorem 1.4. (Schoen-Yau) *Any 3-manifold which admits an incompressible surface cannot carry a complete metric of positive scalar curvature.*

Proof. See [9].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $f : M \rightarrow S^4$ be a complete minimal immersion of an oriented 3-manifold. If the principal curvatures are bounded away from zero, then M cannot carry an incompressible surface.*

Proof. The scalar curvature of the metric induced by the associated Gauss map $f^* : M \rightarrow S^4$ is identically 6. The principal curvatures of f being bounded away from zero implies that f^* is complete. The theorem follows.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $f : M \rightarrow S^4$ be an immersion as in Theorem 1.5, then M has no covering by a manifold \tilde{M}^3 where \tilde{M}^3 is diffeomorphic to the interior of a compact 3-manifold X such that $\pi_1(\partial X) \neq 0$.*

Proof. If we assume the conclusion of the theorem false, then we may apply a result of Gromov-Lawson (cf. [3]) to conclude that M carries no complete metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature. But the metric induced by the associated Gauss map is a complete metric of positive scalar curvature. This is a contradiction.

In general for minimal immersion $M^3 \rightarrow S^m$, $m > 4$, we still have similar results about the scalar curvature. For this we need the following well-known fact.

Lemma 1.7. *Let M be an n -manifold minimally immersed in the sphere S^m , $m > n + 1$. Let N_1 be the unit normal sphere bundle of the immersion. If at $(x, v) \in N_1$ the principal curvature $\lambda_1(x, v), \dots, \lambda_n(x, v)$, i.e., the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form $A^v \equiv -(\nabla v)^T$, are non-zero, then the polar mapping*

$$\ell : N_1 \rightarrow S^m$$

given by $\ell(x, v) = v$ is an immersion near (x, v) . At such a point the principal curvatures of the immersion ℓ are

$$1/\lambda_1(x, v), \dots, 1/\lambda_n(x, v), 0, \dots, 0.$$

Proof. That ℓ is an immersion is easily seen. To prove the other part we first extend v_x to a unit normal vector field v so that

$$(\nabla v)_x^N = 0.$$

Here $()^N \equiv 1 - ()^T$, where $()^T$ denotes orthogonal projection onto $T_x M$. Now we choose a local orthonormal frame e_1, \dots, e_n on M satisfying

$$-(\nabla_{e_i} v)^T = \lambda_i(x, v) e_i \text{ at } x.$$

Then we complete the e_i 's to a basis e_i, e_α for N_1 . Obviously the position vector X of M is orthogonal to e_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, m-1$ and also to v . Hence, X is the unit normal to the immersion ℓ . To obtain the principal curvatures of the immersion ℓ we observe that

$$\nabla_{\ell^* e_i} X = e_1[X] = dX(e_i) = e_i$$

where, at x , $\ell^* e_i = (\nabla_{e_i} v)^T = -\lambda_i(x, v) e_i$. It follows then that

$$\nabla_{\ell^* e_i} X = -\frac{1}{\lambda_i(x, v)} \ell^* e_i.$$

We also have

$$\nabla_{\ell^* e_\alpha} X = e_\alpha[X] = 0.$$

Example 1.8. Let Σ^2 be the Veronese surface embedded in S^4 . It is well known that the principal curvatures are all constant $1/\sqrt{3}$, $-1/\sqrt{3}$ for all directions $v \in N_1$. Therefore $\ell : N_1 \rightarrow S^4$ is an immersion with principal curvature

$$-\sqrt{3}, 0, \sqrt{3}.$$

Remark. It is a well known fact that the veronese is the focal variety of an isoparametric family of hypersurfaces M_t^4 in S^4 (c.f. [6]). Among this family there is one that has constant principal curvatures $-\sqrt{3}, 0, \sqrt{3}$. The above example, due to the work of Cartan, may be pictured as the polar mapping of the Veronese surface $\Sigma \rightarrow S^4$.

Lemma 1.9. Let $M^3 \rightarrow S^m$ be a minimal immersion and suppose the conditions of the lemma 1.7 are satisfied. Then we have an immersion

$$\ell : N_1 \rightarrow S^m$$

with constant scalar curvature $\kappa \equiv m(m-1)$.

Proof. The principal curvatures of the immersion ℓ are in this case

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(x, v)}, \frac{1}{\lambda_2(x, v)}, \frac{1}{\lambda_3(x, v)}, 0, \dots, 0$$

and the scalar curvature is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= 2 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_3} \right] + m(m-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} [\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3] + m(m-1) \\ &= m(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.10. Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold and let $f : M \rightarrow S^5$ be an immersion as in Lemma 1.9. If M has trivial normal bundle, then M cannot have a $K(\pi, 1)$ factor in its prime decomposition.

Proof. Let N_1 be the unit normal sphere bundle of the immersion f . The polar mapping induces a metric of positive scalar curvature on N_1 . By minimizing mass in $[M] \in H_3(N_1)$ we get a smooth stable hypersurface $\mathfrak{M}^3 \subset N_1 = M \times S^1$. By projection of N_1 onto M we obtain a degree-1 mapping of \mathfrak{M}^3 onto M . It follows by a theorem of Shoen-Yau (c.f. [8])

that \mathfrak{M}^3 has a metric of scalar curvature $\kappa > 0$. Now assume M has a $K(\pi, 1)$ -factor in its prime decomposition, i.e., $M^3 = M_0^3 \# K^3$, where K^3 is a $K(\pi, 1)$ 3-manifold. The composition

$$\mathfrak{M}^3 \xrightarrow{\text{degree-1}} M^3 = M_0^3 \# K^3 \rightarrow K^3$$

gives a degree-1 map of \mathfrak{M}^3 onto K^3 . To complete the proof of the theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.11. A compact orientable 3-manifold \mathfrak{M} which admits a degree-1 map onto a compact $K(\pi, 1)$ 3-manifold cannot carry a metric of positive scalar curvature.

Proof. \mathfrak{M} must have $K(\pi, 1)$ factors in its prime decomposition. If not,

$$\mathfrak{M} = X_1 \# \dots \# X_n \# (S^1 \times S^2) \# \dots \# (S^1 \times S^2)$$

and $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(\mathfrak{M})$ is the free product

$$F_1 * \dots * F_n * \mathbb{Z} * \dots * \mathbb{Z}$$

where $F_j = \pi_1(X_j)$ is finite for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$H^3(\Gamma)/\text{mod. torsion} = 0.$$

Now let $F : \mathfrak{M}^3 \rightarrow K$ be a degree-1 map of \mathfrak{M}^3 onto a $K(\pi, 1)$ 3-manifold. The map F is determined by the homomorphism

$$\pi_1 \mathfrak{M}^3 \xrightarrow{F_*} \pi_1 K = \pi$$

and the composition

$$\mathfrak{M}^3 \xrightarrow{\text{universal}} K(\pi_1 \mathfrak{M}^3, 1) \xrightarrow{F_*} K$$

is homotopic to the map F . Let g be a generator of $H^3(\pi) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $F^* g \neq 0$ and therefore $\mathbb{Z} \subset H^3(\Gamma)$. This proves the lemma.

§2. A uniqueness theorem.

In this section we want to prove the following uniqueness theorem:

Theorem 1.12. Let $f : M^3 \rightarrow S^4$ be a minimal immersion of a compact oriented 3-manifold having constant scalar curvature κ . Assume that the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of the immersion is $\neq 0$ everywhere. Then $\kappa \equiv 3$, i.e., M is the Clifford torus in S^4 .

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ be the principal curvatures of the immersion. Since f is minimal the λ_i 's satisfy the equation

$$(*) \quad \lambda_i^3 - \frac{1}{2} S \lambda_i - K = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Without loss of generality we may as well assume $K > 0$. For a given x on M , we consider the polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (1/2)S\lambda - K(x)$. From (*) it follows that the equation $P(\lambda) = 0$ has three real roots. This implies

$$0 < K(x) \leq (S^3/54)^{1/2}.$$

The quality is reached only at points where two of the principal curvatures are equal. Since M is compact we may choose a point $p \in M$ so that

$$0 < K(p) \leq K(x) \quad \forall x \in M.$$

If two of the principal curvatures are equal at p , then K is constant on M and M is an isoparametric hypersurface. Using Cartan's fundamental equation for isoparametric hypersurfaces (c.f. [6]), we obtain that the two distinct principal curvatures satisfy

$$1 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 0.$$

This implies $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{2}, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -\sqrt{2/2}$. Therefore, M is the Clifford torus. We may then assume that the principal curvatures are all distinct at p . Therefore, we may choose a local orthonormal frame, say e_A , on S^4 , such that restricted to M , e_1, e_2, e_3 give the principal directions. We need the laplacian of K . For this we denote by ω_A the dual coframe and write the structure equation of S^4 as

$$d\omega_A = - \sum_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0$$

$$d\omega_{AB} = - \sum_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \omega_A \wedge \omega_B.$$

In general we have $\omega_{4i} = \sum h_{ij} \theta_j$, $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$. In our case the second fundamental form

$$h = \sum h_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j$$

is diagonalized, i.e., $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. We now recall that the components h_{ijk} of the covariant derivative, Dh , of h are given by

$$\sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} - \sum_m h_{im} \omega_{mj} - \sum_m h_{mj} \omega_{mi}.$$

One easily sees that h_{ijk} is symmetric in all indices. By a long but standard computation (see [7]) one proves that

$$\Delta f_3 = 3[(3-S)f_3 + 2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \lambda_i]$$

where $f_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij} h_{jk} h_{ki} = \sum \lambda_i^3$. But since the λ_i 's satisfy the equation

$$\lambda_i^3 - \frac{S}{2} \lambda_i \equiv K$$

it follows that $f_3 \equiv 3K$. From this we have

$$\Delta K = 3(3-S)K + 2 \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk}^2 \lambda_i.$$

Obs. 1. Differentiating the equality $\sum \lambda_i^2 \equiv \text{constant}$, one obtains

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \nabla \lambda^i + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \nabla \lambda_j \equiv 0$$

for $i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k$.

Obs. 2. Let $\tau = (ijk)$ be a permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla K &= \nabla(\lambda_i \lambda_j^2 + \lambda_j \lambda_i^2) \\ &= \lambda_i(2\lambda_j + \lambda_i) \nabla \lambda_j + \lambda_j(2\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \nabla \lambda_i \\ &= \lambda_i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \nabla \lambda_j + \lambda_j(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \nabla \lambda_i \\ &= (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \nabla \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since p is a minimum for K we have that

$$\nabla K(p) = 0$$

$$\Delta K(p) \geq 0.$$

Using Obs. 2 we obtain $\nabla \lambda_i(p) = 0$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{iik}(p) &= dh_{ii}(e_k) - 2 \sum_m h_{im} \omega_{mi}(e_k) \\ &= d\lambda_i(e_k) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then, at p

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta K &= 3(3-S)K + \\ &+ 2[h_{123}^2 \lambda_1 + h_{132}^2 \lambda_1 + h_{213}^2 \lambda_2 + h_{231}^2 \lambda_2 + h_{312}^2 \lambda_3 + h_{321}^2 \lambda_3]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the h_{ijk} are symmetric in all indices, it follows that

$$\Delta K(p) = 3(3 - S)K + 4h_{123}^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)$$

or

$$\Delta K(p) = 3(3 - S)K(p) \geq 0.$$

This will imply $S \equiv 3$, i.e., M is the Clifford torus in S^4 . This shows that the assumption that the principal curvatures are all distinct at p is impossible.

References

- [1] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, Jr.; *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group*, I Ann. of Math. 111 (1980), 209-230.
- [2] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, Jr.; *The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. 111 (1980), 423-434.
- [3] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, Jr.; *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac Operator on Complete Riemannian Manifold* (to appear).
- [4] J. Hampel; *3-manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, n.º 86, Princeton Univ. Press, 1976.
- [5] H.B. Lawson, Jr.; *Complete minimal surfaces in S^3* , Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 335-374.
- [6] K. Nomizu; *Elie Cartan's work on isoparametric families of hypersurfaces*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 27 (1975), 191-200.
- [7] C.K. Peng and C.L. Terng; *Minimal Hypersurfaces of sphere with constant scalar curvature*, Ann. Math. Study (to appear)
- [8] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau; *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979), 159-183.
- [9] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau; *Complete three dimensional manifold with positive Ricci curvature and scalar curvature*, Seminar in Differential Geometry, Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.

S. Almeida
 Departamento de Matemática
 Universidade Federal do Ceará
 60.000 Fortaleza — CE