# BÄCKLUND'S THEOREM FOR SUBMANIFOLDS OF SPACE FORMS AND A GENERALIZED WAVE EQUATION

Keti Tenenblat

### Introduction of a manager denoted by the control of the control of

In this paper, we extend the results obtained in [5] and [6], by proving a generalization of Bäcklund's theorem and Bianchi's permutability theorem for n-dimensional submanifolds of a (2n-1)-dimensional, simply connected, complete, space form. Moreover, we show that the analytic interpretation of these theorems provides a Bäcklund transformation and a superposition formula for systems of partial differential equations which generalize the homogeneous wave equation and the Sine Gordon equation. We observe that the generalized wave equation is nonlinear for  $n \geq 3$  and the initial value problem for these generalized equations can be solved by applying the inverse scattering method [1].

In section 1, we review the local theory for n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, with constant sectional curvature k, isometrically immersed in a (2n-1)-dimensional simply connected, complete, space form  $\overline{M}_k^{2n-1}$  of curvature k, such that k < K. We show that such immersions are in correspondence with the class of orthogonal,  $(n \times n)$ -matrix functions which satisfy a system of partial differential equations (1.12). For n=2, whenever  $k \neq 0$ , the system of equations reduces to the Sine-Gordon equation, and when k=0 (the case of flat surfaces contained in a 3-dimensional sphere) it reduces to the homogeneous wave equation.

In section 2, we define a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence between two n-dimensional submanifolds of a space form  $\bar{M}_{k}^{2n-1}$ . We prove a generalization of Bäcklund's theorem (theorem 1), which shows that the existence of such a congruence implies that both submanifolds have constant sectional curvature k, k < K. In theorem 2, by proving the complete integrability of

Recebido em 20/08/85.

the differential ideal associated to the existence of a pseudo-spherical congruence, we show that given an n-dimensional' submanifold M of  $M_K^{-2n-1}$ , with constant curvature k, k < K, there exists an n-parameter family of submanifolds, which are related to M by pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences.

In section 3, we prove the permutability property (theorem 4). The geometric theory obtained in sections 2 and 3 is interpreted analytically in the last section. Namely, theorem 5 provides a Backlund transformation for the system of equations (1.12), which generates new solutions from a given one. Theorem 6 provides a superposition formula which generates other solutions algebraically. Moreover, it follows from the characterization of section 1, that given a Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ , with constant sectional curvature k, immersed in a space form  $\overline{M}_K^{2n-1}$ , these theorems provide the first and second fundamental forms of new such submanifolds.

We observe that the geometric theory for n = 2, in the non-euclidean cases was obtained by Bianchi 2.

In this paper, M, M', denote n-dimensional submanifolds of a (2n-1)-dimensional simply connected, complete, space form  $\overline{M}_K^{2n-1}$  of curvature K. Without loss of generality we consider K=0, 1, -1, i.e.  $\overline{M}$  is respectively the euclidean space, unit sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  and the hyperbolic space  $H^{2n-1}$ . For the sake of completeness, we include the euclidean case i.e. K=0, which was treated in [5] and [6].

We will use the following conventions on ranges of indices,

$$1 \leq I, J, L \leq n$$
, which we are larger  $1 \leq A, B, C \leq 2n-1$ , appending to

$$2 \le i, j, k \le n,$$
  $n+1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma \le 2n-1.$ 

Moreover, we shall agree that repeated indices are summed over the respective ranges.

## 1. Local theory for submanifolds of space form

Let M be an n-dimensional riemannian manifold of constant curvature k, isometrically immersed in a space form  $\overline{M}_K^{2\,n-1}$ , such that k < K.

Let  $e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{2n-1}$  be a moving orthonormal frame on an open set of  $\bar{M}$ , so that at points of M,  $e_1,\dots,e_n$  are tangent to M. Let  $\omega_A$  be the dual orthonormal coframe, and consider  $\omega_{AB}$  defined by

$$de_A = \sum_B \omega_{AB} e_B$$
.

The structure equations of  $ar{\mathit{M}}$  are

(1.1) 
$$d\omega_A = \sum_B \omega_B \wedge \omega_{BA}, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0;$$

$$(1.2) d\omega_{AB} = \sum_{C} \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - K\omega_{A} \wedge \omega_{B}.$$

Restricting these forms to  $\it M$  we have  $\,\omega_{\alpha}^{}=0\,,\,$  hence from (1.1) we obtain

$$d\omega_{\alpha} = \sum_{T} \omega_{I} \wedge \omega_{I\alpha} = 0$$

$$d\omega_{I} = \sum_{\tau} \omega_{J} \wedge \omega_{JI}.$$

The first of these implies via Cartan's lemma, that

$$\omega_{I\alpha} = \sum_{J} b_{IJ}^{\alpha} \omega_{J}, \quad b_{IJ}^{\alpha} = b_{JI}^{\alpha}.$$

From (1.2) we obtain, Gauss equation

(1.5) 
$$d\omega_{IJ} = \sum_{L} \omega_{IL} \wedge \omega_{LJ} + \sum_{\alpha} \omega_{I\alpha} \wedge \omega_{J} - K\omega_{I} \wedge \omega_{J}$$
 and Codazzi equation

$$d\omega_{I\alpha} = \sum_{A} \omega_{IA} \wedge \omega_{A\alpha}.$$

M has constant sectional curvature k, if and only if

$$\Omega_{IJ} = d\omega_{IJ} - \sum_{L} \omega_{IL} \wedge \omega_{LJ} = -k\omega_{I} \wedge \omega_{J},$$

.e.

(1.8) 
$$\sum_{\alpha} \omega_{I\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha J} = (K - k) \omega_{I} \wedge \omega_{J}.$$

From (1.2) we have

 $d\omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} + \Omega_{\alpha\beta},$ 

wher

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{T} \omega_{\alpha I} \wedge \omega_{I\beta}$$

is the normal curvature of M.

We denote by  $\mathbf{I} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \left( \omega_{I} \right)^{2}$  the first fundamental form on M and  $\mathbf{II} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} H^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}$ , where  $H^{\alpha}$  is the second fundamental form with respect to  $e_{\alpha}$ . Since  $k \leq K$ , there exists ([3] [4]) a local orthonormal frame tangent to M,  $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ , called principal directions which diagonalizes the quadratic forms  $H^{\alpha}$  simultaneously. Lines of curvature are curves of M which are tangent at each point to principal directions. A tangent vector V is called asymptotic if  $H^{\alpha}(V) = 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha$ . E. Cartan and J.D. Moore proved the following.

**Theorem A** ([3] [4]) - Let M be a Riemannian n-dimensional manifold with constant sectional curvature k, isometrically immersed in a (2n-1)-dimensional space form  $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_K^{2n-1}$ , such that k < K. Then locally there exists coordinates  $(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$  parametrized by lines of curvature such that

$$I = \sum_{I} \alpha_{I}^{2} du_{I}^{2},$$

$$II = \sum_{I,\alpha} b_{I}^{\alpha} \alpha_{I} du_{I}^{2} e_{\alpha},$$

where  $a_I > 0$  for all I and  $\sum a_I^2 = 1$ . Moreover, the vector  $V = \sum a_I v_I$  is the unique unit asymptotic vector such that  $a_I > {}^I 0$ ,  $\forall$  I.

It follows from this theorem that the normal bundle of M in  $\bar{M}$  has zero curvature. Hence, without loss of generality, we will assume that  $e_{\alpha}$  have been chosen so that  $\omega_{\alpha\beta}=0$ .

Consider the moving frame  $v_1,\ldots,v_n,\,e_\alpha,\,$  let  $\phi_I$  be the dual forms to  $v_I$  and  $\phi_{AB}$  the connection forms. Then,  $\phi_I=\alpha_I du_I,\,\,\phi_I=b_I^\alpha a_I du_I$  and (1.8) takes the simpler form

(1.9)  $\sum_{\alpha} b_{J}^{\alpha} b_{I}^{\alpha} = k - K, \qquad I \neq J.$ 

Since  $\sum_{I} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{I}} = \sum_{I} a_{I} v_{I}$  is a unit asymptotic vector, we get

(1.10) 
$$\sum_{I} b_{I}^{\alpha} a_{I}^{2} = 0, \quad \sum_{I} a_{I}^{2} = 1.$$

From (1.9) and (1.10), it follows that for each I

$$(1.11) \qquad \qquad \sum_{\alpha} b_{I}^{\alpha} b_{I}^{\alpha} = (K-k)(1-\alpha_{I}^{2})/\alpha_{I}^{2}.$$

Therefore there is a matrix function  $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  associated to  $\mathbf{M},$  with respect to  $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha},$ 

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_n \\ a_1 b_1^{n+1} & a_2 b_2^{n+1} & \dots & a_n b_n^{n+1} \\ a_1 b_1^{2n-1} & a_2 b_2^{2n-1} & a_n b_n^{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfying (1.9)-(1.11). It follows from these properties, that if we consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \bar{A},$$

where  $I_{n-1}$  is the  $(n-1)\times(n-1)$  unit matrix, we obtain an orthogonal matrix function A, defined on an open set U, associated to M, with respect to  $e_{\alpha}$ .

Moreover if we denote  $A = (\alpha_{IJ})$ , it follows from (1.4) that

$$\phi_{IJ} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1I}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_I} du_J - \frac{1}{\alpha_{1J}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1I}}{\partial u_J} du_I.$$

Therefore, from (1.6) and (1.7) we conclude that A satisfies the following system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{I}} (\frac{1}{\alpha_{1I}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_{I}}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{J}} (\frac{1}{\alpha_{1J}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1I}}{\partial u_{J}}) + \sum_{L \neq I, L \neq J} \frac{1}{\alpha_{1L}^{2}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1I}}{\partial u_{L}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_{L}} = -k\alpha_{1I}\alpha_{1J}, I \neq J \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{L}} (\frac{1}{\alpha_{1I}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_{I}}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1I}\alpha_{1L}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1L}}{\partial u_{I}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_{L}} \quad L \neq I \neq J, \\ \frac{\alpha_{iI}}{u_{J}} = \frac{\alpha_{iJ}}{\alpha_{1J}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1I}}{\partial u_{J}} \quad \forall i, I, J; I \neq J \end{cases}$$

where  $1 \le I, J, L \le n$ , 2 < i < n.

Conversely, given an orthogonal matrix function A satisfying the above system, it follows from the fundamental theorem for submanifolds of a space form, that for a fixed K, such that k < K, the matrix

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{K-K} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} A$$

determines the existence of an n-dimensional manifold M, with constant sectional curvature k, isometrically immersed in a space form  $\bar{M}_{\chi}^{2n-1}$ .

We observe, that for n=2, whenever  $k\neq 0$  the above system of equations reduces to the Sine-Gordon equation, and when k=0 it reduces to the homogeneous wave equation. In fact, consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos f & \sin f \\ -\sin f & \cos f \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \text{ an$$

where f is a differentiable function of  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ . Then (1.12) reduces to

(1.13) 
$$f_{u_1 u_1} - f_{u_2 u_2} = -k \sin f \cos f,$$

which is the homogeneous wave quation when k=0. For  $k\neq 0$ , we define

$$\psi(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) = \begin{cases} 2f\left(\frac{\bar{u}_1}{\sqrt{-k}}, \frac{\bar{u}_2}{\sqrt{-k}}\right), & \text{if } k < 0; \\ \\ 2f\left(\frac{\bar{u}_1}{\sqrt{k}}, \frac{\bar{u}_2}{\sqrt{k}}\right) - \pi, & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then (1.13) is equivalent to space ababase A.S. not smiled

$$\psi \bar{u}_1 \bar{u}_1 - \psi \bar{u}_2 \bar{u}_2 = \sin \psi,$$

which is the Sine-Gordon equation.

#### 2. Generalization of Backlund's theorem

In this section, we define a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence between two n-dimensional submanifolds M and M' of a space form  $\bar{M}_{K}^{2n-1}$  with constant sectional curvature K. We prove a generalization of Backlund's theorem, for such submanifolds and the complete integrability of the differential ideal associated to the existence of a pseudo-spherical congruence.

In what follows we need the notion of angles between two k-planes in a 2k-dimensional inner product space. Let E and E be two k-planes in a 2k-dimensional inner product space (v, <, >) and  $\pi: V \to E_1$  the orthogonal projection. Define a symmetric bilinear form on  $E_2$  by  $(v_1, v_2) = \langle \pi(v_1), \pi(v_2) \rangle$ . The k angles between  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are defined to be  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k$  where  $\cos^2\theta_1, \ldots, \cos^2\theta_k$  are the k-eigenvalues for the self-adjoint operator  $A: E_2 \to E_2$  such that  $(v_1, v_2) = \langle Av_1, v_2 \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.** A geodesic congruence between two n-dimensional submanifolds M and M' of a (2n-1)-dimensional space form  $\bar{M}$  is a diffeomorphism  $\ell:M\to M'$ , such that for  $P\in M$  and  $P'=\ell(P)$ , there exists a unique geodesic  $\gamma$  in  $\bar{M}$  joining P and P', whose tangent vectors at P and P' are in  $T_PM$  and  $T_{P'}M'$  respectively.

Given a geodesic congruence  $\ell:M\to M'$ , we remark that the normal spaces  $v_p$  and  $v_p$ , at corresponding points P and P'

are (n-1) dimensional and orthogonal to the plane determined by the position vector X of M and the tangent vector of Y at P. Therefore,  $v_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $v_{\mathcal{D}}$  lie in a 2n-2 dimensional vector space, i.e. there are (n-1) angles between  $v_p$  and  $v_p$ .

**Definition 2.** A geodesic congruence  $l:M \to M'$  between two n-dimensional submanifolds of  $\bar{M}$  is called pseudo-spherical if:

- (1) the distance between P and  $P' = \mathcal{L}(P)$  on  $\bar{M}$ , is a constant r, independent of P;
- (2) the (n-1) angles between  $\nu_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\nu_{\mathcal{D}}$ , are all equal to a constant  $\theta$ , independent of P:
- (3) the normal bundles v and v' are flat;
- (4) the bundle map  $\Gamma: \nu \rightarrow \nu'$  given by the orthogonal projection commutes with the normal connections.

The above definition generalizes the notion of pseudo--spherical line congruence introduced in [5]. The following result is a generalization of Bäcklund's theorem for submanifolds for a space form. Without loss of generality, we consider  $K \equiv 0$ , 1 or -1 i.e.  $\bar{M}$  is respectively the (2n-1)-dimensional euclidean space, unit sphere  $H^{2n-1}$  or the hyperbolic space  $H^{2n-1}$ . Moreover, we consider  $\mathbb{H}^{2n-1}$  as being in a Minkowski space  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}$ .

Theorem 1. Suppose there is a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence  $l:M \rightarrow M'$  between two n-dimensional submanifolds of  $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^{2n-1}$ , with constants r and  $\theta \neq 0$ . Then, both M and M' have constant sectional curvature k. where the south state of

(2.1) 
$$k = \begin{cases} -\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r^2}, & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2 r}, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ -1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sinh^2 r}, & \text{if } K \equiv -1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof**: i) The case  $K \equiv 0$  was proved in [5] by considering local orthonormal frames  $e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-1}$  for M and  $e_1', \ldots, e_{2n-1}'$ for M' such that.

$$(2.2) \qquad \omega_{n+i-1},_{n+j-1} = 0, \qquad \omega_{n+i-1},_{n+j-1} = 0,$$

(2.3) 
$$e_{i}^{\dagger} = -\sin\theta e_{i}^{\dagger} + \cos\theta e_{n+i-1}^{\dagger},$$

$$e_{i}^{\dagger} = \cos\theta e_{i}^{\dagger} + \sin\theta e_{n+i-1}^{\dagger},$$

$$e_{1}^{\dagger} = -e_{1}^{\dagger},$$

$$(2.4) e_1' = -e_1,$$

where  $e_1$  is the unit direction of the line PP'. For such, frames we show that

We remark that since 
$$dx = u_1 = u_2 = u_3$$

(2.5) 
$$\cos \theta \omega_{i}^{!} = \omega_{i} + p_{\omega_{1}i}$$

$$\sin\theta\omega_{i}^{\prime} = \nu\omega_{1,n+i-1}^{\prime},$$

and therefore

(2.6) 
$$\omega_i + p\omega_{1i} = p \cot g \theta \omega_{1,n+i-1}.$$

Moreover, we prove that

(2.7) 
$$\omega_{ij} = \cot \theta \left(\omega_{i,n+j-1} - \omega_{j,n+i-1}\right),$$

$$\omega_1, n+k-1 = -\frac{\sin\theta}{2} \omega_k$$

(2.8)

$$\omega_{i,n+k-1}^{i} = \omega_{k,n+i-1}.$$
(01.8)

Finally, it follows that the sectional curvature of M'is constant equal to  $-\sin^2\theta/r^2$ . By symmetry, M has the same constant sectional curvature.

ii) Let M and M' be submanifolds of the unit sphere  $s^{2n-1}$  contained in the euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Consider M locally given by  $X:U \to M \subset S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , where U is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since there is a pseudo-spherical congruence between M and M', there exist local orthonormal frames  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2n-1}$  for M and  $e_1', \ldots, e_{2n-1}'$  for M' such that (2.2), (2.3) are verified and

(2.9) 
$$e_1' = \sin rX - \cos r e_1,$$

where  $e_1$  at  $P\in M$ , is the unit vector tangent to the geodesic from P to  $P^*=\mathcal{L}(P)$ .

Let X' denote the position vector for M'. Then locally

$$X' = \cos r X + \sin r e_1$$
.

We remark that since  $dX = \omega_1 e_1 + \omega_i e_i$  and  $\langle X, e_1 \rangle = 0$ , it follows that  $\langle de_1, X \rangle = -\omega_1$ . Hence,

$$dX' = \cos r \, dX + \sin r \, de_1$$

$$= \cos r \, \omega_1 e_1 + \cos r \, \omega_i e_i + \sin r \, \omega_{1i} e_i + \cos r$$

On the other hand

$$dX' = \omega_{1}' e_{1}' + \omega_{2}' e_{2}' =$$

$$= \omega_{1}' (\sin x - \cos r e_{1}) + \omega_{2}' (\cos \theta e_{2} + \sin \theta e_{n+2-1}).$$

Comparing coefficients of X,  $e_1, \ldots, e_{2n-1}$  we get

(2.10) 
$$\cos\theta \ \omega_{i}^{!} = \cos r \ \omega_{i} + \sin r \ \omega_{1i}$$
 
$$\sin\theta \ \omega_{i}^{!} = \sin r \ \omega_{1,n+i-1} .$$

Therefore we obtain

(2.11) 
$$\cos r \omega_{i} + \sin r \omega_{1i} = \sin r \cot \theta \omega_{i, n+i-1}.$$

Since  $\omega_{n+i-1,n+j-1}^{\prime}=0$ , it follows that

$$0 = \sin^2 \theta \, \omega_{ij} - \sin \theta \cos \theta \, (\omega_{i,n+j-1} - \omega_{j,n+i-1})$$

i.e.

(2.12) 
$$\omega_{ij} = \cot \theta \, (\omega_{i,n+j-1} - \omega_{j,n+i-1}).$$

Now we want to compute  $\Omega^1_{ii}$  and  $\Omega^1_{ij}$ . From (2.3) and (2.9)-(2.12) we get

(2.13) 
$$\omega_{1,n+k-1}' = -\sin r \sin \theta \omega_{k} + \cos r (\sin \theta \omega_{1k} - \cos \theta \omega_{1,n+k-1})$$
$$= -\frac{\sin \theta}{\sin r} \omega_{k}$$

and

(2.14) 
$$\omega_{i,n+k-1} = \omega_{k,n+i-1}$$

Therefore by (1.7) and (2.13) we get

$$\Omega_{1i}^{\dagger} = -\omega_{1,n+k-1}^{\dagger} \wedge \omega_{i,n+k-1}^{\dagger} - \omega_{1}^{\dagger} \wedge \omega_{i}^{\dagger} =$$

$$= \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin n} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{k,n+i-1}^{\dagger} - \omega_{1}^{\dagger} \wedge \omega_{i}^{\dagger}$$

$$= -\left(1 - \frac{\sin^{2} \theta}{\sin^{2} n}\right) \omega_{1}^{\dagger} \wedge \omega_{i}^{\dagger},$$

where last equality follows from (1.3) and (2.10). Similarly,

$$\Omega_{ij}^{1} = -\omega_{i,n+k-1}^{1} \wedge \omega_{j,n+k-1}^{1} - \omega_{i}^{1} \wedge \omega_{j}^{1} =$$

$$= -\omega_{k,n+i-1}^{1} \wedge \omega_{k,n+j-1}^{1} - \omega_{i}^{1} \wedge \omega_{j}^{1} =$$

$$= \omega_{1,n+i-1}^{1} \wedge \omega_{1,n+j-1}^{1} - \omega_{i}^{1} \wedge \omega_{j}^{1}$$

$$= -\left(1 - \frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\sin^{2}n}\right)\omega_{i}^{1} \wedge \omega_{j}^{1}$$

where the last equality follows from (2.10).

Therefore M' has constant sectional curvature  $1-\frac{\sin^2\theta}{\sin^2r}.$  By symmetry M also has the same constant sectional curvature.

iii) Let M and M' be submanifolds of  $H^{2n-1} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}$  where  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}$  is a Minkowski space (cf [7], p. 66). Consider M locally given by  $X: U \to M \subset H^{2n-1} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}^{2n}$  where U is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then X is normal to  $H^{2n-1}$  and  $\|X\| = -1$ .

Since there is a pseudo-spherical congruence between M and M', there exist local orthonormal frames  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2n-1}$  for M and  $e_1', \ldots, e_{2n-1}'$  for M' such that (2.2), (2.3) are verified and

$$(2.15) e_1' = \sinh x - \cosh r e_1,$$

where  $e_1$  at  $P \in M$  is the unit vector tangent to the geodesic from P to  $P' = \mathcal{L}(P)$ . Let X' be the position vector for M', then locally

$$X' = \cosh r X + \sinh r e_1$$
.

With arguments analogue to the previous case we get

(2.16) 
$$\omega_{i}' = -\omega_{1}$$

$$\cos\theta \omega_{i}' = \cosh r \omega_{i} + \sinh r \omega_{1i}$$

$$\sin\theta \omega_{i}' = \sinh r \omega_{1,n+i-1}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

(2.17) 
$$\cosh r \omega_i + \sinh r \omega_{i} = \sinh r \cot \theta \omega_{in+i}$$

(2.18) 
$$\omega_{ij} = \cot \theta (\omega_{i,n+j-1} - \omega_{j,n+i-1}).$$

Moreover

(2.19) 
$$\omega'_{i,n+k-1} = -\frac{\sin \theta}{\sinh x} \omega_{k}$$

$$\omega'_{i,n+k-1} = \omega_{k,n+i-1}.$$

Finally, we obtain that the sectional curvature of M', and therefore of M, is constant equal to  $-1 - \sin^2\theta/\sinh^2 r$ .

q.e.d.

The following theorem shows that, given an n-dimensional submanifold M of a space form  $\bar{M}_K^{2n-1}$ , with constant curvature k < K, there exists an n-parameter family of submanifolds M', which are related to M by pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences.

Theorem 2. Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold of a space form  $\bar{N}_K^{2n-1}$ , with constant sectional curvature k given by (2.1), where r>0 (and  $r<\pi$ , whenever  $K\equiv 1$ ) and  $\theta\neq 0$  are constants. Let  $v_1^0,\ldots,v_n^0$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space to M at  $P_0$ , given by principal directions. Given a unit vector  $v_0=\sum_{I=1}^n C_I v_I^0$ ,  $C_I\neq 0$  for all  $1\leq I\leq n$ , there exists an n-dimensional submanifold M' of M and a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence  $\ell:M\to M'$  such that the geodesic joining  $P_0$  to  $P_0'=\ell(P_0)$  is tangent to  $v_0$  at  $P_0$ , the distance in M between  $P_0$  and  $P_0'$  is r and  $\theta$  is the angle between  $v_{P_0}$  and  $v_{P_0'}$ .

**Proof**: i) The case  $K \equiv 0$  was proved in [5] by showing the complete integrability of the differential ideal generated by (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7).

ii) When  $K \equiv 1$  we consider (2.2), (2.11) and (2.12). More precisely, let J be the ideal generated by the forms

$$\alpha_{i} = \cos^{r} \omega_{i} + \sin^{r} \omega_{1i} - \sin^{r} \cot \theta \omega_{1,n+i-1}$$

$$\beta_{ij} = \omega_{ij} - \cot \theta (\omega_{i,n+j-1} - \omega_{j,n+i-1})$$

$$\gamma_{ij} = \omega_{n+i-1,n+j-1}.$$

We first prove that J is a closed differential ideal

$$\begin{split} d\alpha_{i} &= \cos r \, \omega_{1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{1i} \, + \, \cos r \, \omega_{k} \, \wedge \, \omega_{ki} \, + \, \sin r \, \omega_{1k} \, \wedge \, \omega_{ki} \, + \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{n+k-1,i} \, - \, \sin r \, \omega_{1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i} \\ &- \, \sin r \, \cot g \theta \, \, \omega_{1k} \, \wedge \, \omega_{k,n+i-1} \, - \, \sin r \, \cot g \theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{ki} \\ &= \, - \, \frac{\cos^{2}r}{\sin r} \, \omega_{1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i} \, + \, \cos r \, \cot g \theta \, \, \omega_{1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{1,n+i-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cot g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \left( \omega_{k,n+i-1} \, - \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \right) \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cot g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \left( \omega_{k,n+i-1} \, - \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \right) \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \left( \omega_{n+k-1,i} \, - \, \sin r \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \right) \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \left( \omega_{n+k-1,i} \, - \, \sin r \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \right) \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \left( \omega_{n+k-1,i} \, - \, \sin r \, \omega_{n+k-1} \right) \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \sin r \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{1,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g^{2}\theta \, \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \, \wedge \, \omega_{i,n+k-1} \\ &+ \, \cos g$$

By hypothesis  $\Omega_{1i} = -(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\sin^2 r})\omega_1 \wedge \omega_i$ , therefore  $d\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{J}$  i.e.  $d\alpha_i \in J$ .

A similar computation will show that  $d\beta_{ij} \in J$ . Finally, we remark that

$$d\gamma_{ij} \equiv \Omega_{n+i-1}, n+j-1 \pmod{J}.$$

Since M has flat normal curvature we get  $d\gamma_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{J}$ . Therefore, it follows from Frobenius theorem, that there exists an orthonormal frame  $e_1,\ldots,e_{2n-1}$  on a neighborhood of  $P_0$  on M, such that  $e_1(P_0) = v_0$  and (2.2), (2.11) and (2.12) are satisfied.

Let  $X:U\to M\subset S^{2n-1}\subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be the position vector of M. We define

$$X' = \cos r X + \sin r e_1$$
.

Then X'(U)=M' is contained in  $S^{2n-1}$ . We want to prove that M' is n-dimensional and  $\mathfrak{L}:M\to M'$  defined by  $\mathfrak{L}(X)=X'$  is a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence. Consider

$$dX' = \cos r \, dX + \sin r \, de_1$$

$$= \omega_1(\cos r \, e_1 - \sin r \, X) + \frac{\sin r}{\sin \theta} \, \omega_{1, n+i-1}(\cos \theta \, e_i + \sin \theta \, e_{n+i-1})$$

where last equality follows from (2.11). Since  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_1$ , n+i-1 ar linearly independent it follows that X' defines an n-dimension submanifold of  $S^{2n-1}$ . Moreover,  $\cos r \ e_1 - \sin r \ X$ , and  $\cos \theta \ e_i + \sin \theta \ e_{n+i-1}$  are tangent vectors to M'. The geodesic joining P to P' is given by

$$cost X + sint e_1$$
 t & [0,r]

which is tangent to  $e_1$  and  $\cos r\ e_1$  -  $\sin r\ X$  at P and P' respectively. The distance between P and P' is r and the (n-1) angles between  $v_P$  and  $v_P$ , are all equal to  $\theta$ . Finally, it follows from (2.2) and (2.12) that  $\omega_{n+i-1}$ , n+j-1 = 0 hence v and v' are flat and  $\Gamma$  commutes with the normal connection. This completes the proof for the case  $K \equiv 1$ .

iii) Similar arguments prove the theorem for  $M \subset \mathbb{H}^{2n-1}$ , by considering the differential ideal generated by (2.2), (2.17) and (2.18).

**Remark 1.** We observe that the pairs of equations (2.6), (2.7); (2.11), (2.12) and (2.17), (2.18) can be written in matrix notation as

$$(2.20) \qquad \omega = WD - DW^{t},$$

where the matrices  $\omega$ , W and D are defined by

$$\omega = (\omega);$$
 $W_{IJ} = \omega_{I}, \quad W_{IJ} = \omega_{I,n+j-1};$ 

BÄCKLUND'S THEOREM

(2.21) 
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} f_K(r) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cot \theta & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix};$$

$$f_K(r) = egin{cases} 1/r & ext{if } K \equiv 0; \\ ext{cotg } r, & ext{if } K \equiv 1; \\ ext{cotgh } r, & ext{if } K \equiv -1; \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathbf{W}^t$  denotes the transpose of  $\mathbf{W}$ .

We conclude this section obtaining a result that will be used later. Suppose there is a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence  $\&: M \to M'$ , with constants p and  $\theta \neq 0$ . Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be the local frame given by principal directions on M. Choose an orthonormal frame  $e_{\alpha}$  normal to M such that  $w_{n+i-1}, v_{n+j-1} = 0$  and define  $e_1, e_i, e_1', e_i', e_\alpha'$  as in theorem 1. Let A' be the orthogonal matrix function given by

$$e_I = A_{IJ}^{\dagger} v_J$$

Then we obtain:

**Theorem 3.** A' is the orthogonal matrix function associated to M' with respect to  $e_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\phi_I$  be the dual forms to  $v_I$  and  $\phi_{AB}$  the connection forms with respect to the frame  $v_I$ ,  $e_{\alpha}$ , i.e.

$$\phi_I = \alpha_I du_I$$
 and  $\phi_{I\alpha} = b_I^{\alpha} a_I du_I$ .

It follows from (2.5) for  $K\equiv 0$ , (2.10) for  $K\equiv 1$ , (2.16) for  $K\equiv -1$  and theorem 1, that the first fundamental form on  $M^3$  is given by

$$I' = \sum_{I} \omega_{I}^{2} = \omega_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{K-K} \sum_{i} \omega_{1,n+i-1}^{2}.$$

Therefore

e I' = 
$$A_{1}^{i} A_{1}^{i} (1 + \frac{1}{K-K} b_{I}^{n+i-1} b_{J}^{n+i-1}) \phi_{I} \phi_{J}$$
.

Hence, from (1.9) and (1.11) we obtain

$$I' = \sum_{T} (A_{1T})^2 du_T^2.$$

In order to obtain the second fundamental form on M', we consider its normal componentes. Using (2.5), (2.8) for  $K\equiv 0$ ; (2.10), (2.13), (2.14) for  $K\equiv 1$ ; (2.16), (2.19) for  $K\equiv -1$  and theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{split} & \Pi^{\,\prime} \cdot \, e_{n+j-1}^{\,\prime} \, = \, \omega_{I\,,n+j-1}^{\,\prime} \, \omega_{I}^{\,\prime} \, = \\ & = \, \sqrt{K-k} \, \, \omega_{j} \, \omega_{1} \, + \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} \, \omega_{j\,,n+i-1} \, \omega_{1\,,n+i-1} \, = \\ & = \, A_{j\,I}^{\,\prime} A_{1\,J}^{\,\prime} \, \left( \sqrt{K-k} \, + \, \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} \, b_{I}^{\,n+i-1} b_{J}^{\,n+i-1} \right) \phi_{I} \phi_{J}. \end{split}$$

Hence, it follows from (1.9) and (1.11) that

(2.23) II' 
$$e_{n+j-1}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{K-k} \sum_{I} A_{jI}^{\dagger} A_{1I}^{\dagger} du_{I}^{2}.$$

From (2.22) and (2.23) we conclude that

$$\bar{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{K-k} & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} A'$$

is the matrix function associated with  $\mathit{M}'$ , satisfying (1.9)--(1.11). Therefore,  $\mathit{A}'$  is the orthogonal matrix function associated to  $\mathit{M}'$  with respect to  $e'_{\alpha}$ .

q.e.d.

# 3. Permutability property

In this section, we prove the permutatility property of pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences. More precisely:

**Theorem 4.** Let M, M', M'' be n-dimensional submanifolds of a space form  $\overline{M}_K^{2n-1}$ . Suppose there exist pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences  $\ell_1:M\to M'$  and  $\ell_2:M\to M''$  with constants  $r_1$ ,  $\theta_1$  and  $r_2$ ,  $\theta_2$  respectively,  $\theta_1\neq\theta_2$ . Then there exists  $M^*\subset \overline{M}$  and pseudo-spherical congruences  $\ell_2^*:M'\to M^*$ ,  $\ell_1^*:M''\to M^*$  with constants  $r_2$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $r_1$ ,  $\theta_1$  respectively, such that

$$\ell_2^* \circ \ell_1 = \ell_1^* \circ \ell_2.$$

**Proof:** Let  $v_1$ ,..., $v_n$  be a local frame given by principal directions on M. Choose an orthonormal frame  $e_{n+i-1}$  normal to M, such that  $\omega_{n+i-1}$ ,n+j-1 = 0. Consider frames  $e_1$ , $e_i$  and  $e_1$ , $e_i$  for M,  $e_1$ , $e_i$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$  for M and  $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$ , $e_1$  for M as in theorem 1, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i}^{!} &= \cos\theta_{1} e_{i}^{!} + \sin\theta_{1} e_{n+i-1}^{!} & e_{i}^{"} &= \cos\theta_{2} \bar{e}_{i}^{!} + \sin\theta_{2} e_{n+i-1}^{!} \\ e_{n+i-1}^{!} &= -\sin\theta_{1} e_{i}^{!} + \cos\theta_{1} e_{n+i-1}^{!} & e_{n+i-1}^{"} &= -\sin\theta_{2} \bar{e}_{i}^{!} + \cos\theta_{2} e_{n+i-1}^{!}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.1)$$

$$e_{1}^{"} = \begin{cases} -e_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \sin r_{1}X - \cos r_{1}e_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \sinh r_{1}X - \cosh r_{1}e_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv -1; \end{cases} e_{1}^{"} = \begin{cases} -\bar{e}_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \sin r_{2}X - \cos r_{2}\bar{e}_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \sinh r_{2}X - \cosh r_{2}\bar{e}_{1}, & \text{if } K \equiv -1; \end{cases}$$

where X denotes the position vector for M and  $e_1$ ,  $\overline{e}_1$  are the unit vectors, tangent to the geodesic from P to  $P' = \ell_1(P)$  and  $P'' = \ell_2(P)$  respectively.

We denote by an important a

$$X' = \begin{cases} X + r_1 e_1, & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \cos r_1 X + \sin r_1 e_1, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \cosh r_1 X + \sinh r_1 e_1, & \text{if } K \equiv -1. \end{cases}$$

$$X'' = \begin{cases} X + r_2 \bar{e}_1 & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \cos r_2 X + \sin r_2 \bar{e}_1, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \cosh r_2 X + \sinh r_2 \bar{e}_1, & \text{if } K \equiv -1, \end{cases}$$

the position vectors of M' and M" respectively. We consider the following matrix notation

(3.3) 
$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \bar{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 with supposition  $\bar{\Lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{K-k}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I$ 

Moreover, we denote by  $D_i$  the matrix introduced in (2.21) for the constants  $r_i$ ,  $\theta_i$ , where i = 1,2.

Let  $\mathcal C$  be the orthogonal matrix defined by

(3.4) 
$$\bar{e}_T = C_{TJ}e_J$$
, sads L wrampA more swolfer

and consider the matrix B such that

$$(3.5) BF = E,$$

where

$$F = \overline{\Lambda} (D_2 - D_2 C)$$

$$E = \Lambda (D_1 C - D_2). \text{ most aways as } A$$

We remark that since

$$(3.6) \qquad \qquad \Lambda^2 \left( D_1^2 - D_2^2 \right) = \left( \cot g^2 \theta_1 - \cot g^2 \theta_2 \right) I_n$$

it follows that  $E^tE = F^tF$ , hence B is an orthogonal matrix.

We define tangent frames  $\bar{e}_T^{\, {\scriptscriptstyle I}}$  on  $M^{\, {\scriptscriptstyle I}}$  and  $\bar{e}_T^{\, {\scriptscriptstyle II}}$  on  $M^{\, {\scriptscriptstyle II}}$  by

$$\bar{e}_{I}^{i} = B_{IJ}e_{J}^{i} \qquad \bar{e}_{I}^{i} = B_{IJ}e_{J}^{i}. \tag{11.8}$$

We claim that the maps

$$\ell_{2}^{*}(X') = \begin{cases} X' + r_{2}\bar{e}_{1}' & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \cos r_{2}X' + \sin r_{2}\bar{e}_{1}', & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \cosh r_{2}X' + \sinh r_{2}\bar{e}_{1}', & \text{if } K \equiv -1. \end{cases}$$

$$\ell_{1}^{*}(X'') = \begin{cases} X'' + r\bar{e}_{1}'', & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \cos r_{1}X'' + \sin r_{1}\bar{e}_{1}'', & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \cosh r_{1}X'' + \sinh r_{1}\bar{e}_{1}'', & \text{if } K \equiv -1, \end{cases}$$

**BÄCKLUND'S THEOREM** 

define  ${\it M}^{\star}$  and pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences which satisfy the theorem.

First we prove that  $\ell_2^* \circ \ell_1 = \ell_1^* \circ \ell_2$ . In fact, using (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain  $\ell_2^* \circ \ell_1(X)$  and  $\ell_1^* \circ \ell_2(X)$ . The equality follows from (3.5) and Theorem 1. Next, we prove that the frame  $\bar{e}_1^*$ ,  $\bar{e}_i^*$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}^*$  on  $M^*$  satisfy (2.16) with constants  $r_2$ ,  $\theta_2$ . We denote by  $\omega$  and W the matrices of 1-forms introduced in (2.21) associated to the frame  $e_1$ ,  $e_i^*$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}^*$  on M. Similarly, we denote by  $\bar{\omega}$ ,  $\bar{W}$  and  $\bar{\omega}^*$ ,  $\bar{W}^*$  the matrices associated to the frames  $\bar{e}_1$ ,  $\bar{e}_i^*$ ,  $\bar{e}_{n+i-1}^*$ ,  $e_1^*$ ,  $e_1^*$ ,  $e_1^*$ ,  $e_n^*$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}^*$  respectively on M and  $M^*$ .

Since  $\,\ell_{\,1}\,\,$  is a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence it follows from Remark 1 that

$$\omega = WD_1 - D_1 W^{\dagger}.$$

From (3.4) we get

$$\bar{\omega} = (dC)C^{t} + C\omega C^{t}$$

$$\bar{W} = CW.$$

Therefore, it follows from the fact that  $\,\ell_{_{2}}\,$  is a pseudo-spherical congruence that

(3.10) 
$$(dc)c^{t} + c\omega c^{t} = cWD_{2} - D_{2}W^{t}c^{t}.$$

We have to prove that

extra an anomalous 
$$(dB)B^t + B\omega^t B^t = BW^t D - DW^t B^t$$

which is equivalent to proving

(3.11) 
$$(dB)F + B\omega'F = BW'D_2E - D_2W'^tF.$$

From (3.5), we get

$$(3.12) \qquad (dB)F = (B\overline{\Lambda}D_2 + \Lambda D_1)dC.$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.9) that

$$dC = -CW(D_1 - D_2C) + (CD_1 - D_2)W^{t}.$$

Replacing this expression in (3.12) and considering from (3.5) that

$$B\bar{\Lambda}D_1 + \Lambda D_2 = (B\bar{\Lambda}D_2 + \Lambda D_1)C$$

we obtain

$$(3.13) (dB)F = -(B\bar{\Lambda}D_1 + \Lambda D_2)W(D_1 - D_2C) + B\bar{\Lambda}(D_1^2 - D_2^2)\dot{W}^{\dagger}.$$

We remark that from (2.5) - (2.8) for  $K\equiv 0$ ; (2.10)--(2.14) for  $K\equiv 1$ ; and (2.16)-(2.19) for  $K\equiv -1$  and (3.1) it follows that

$$(3.14) \qquad \qquad \omega' = D_1 \bar{\Lambda} W \bar{\Lambda}^{-1} - \bar{\Lambda}^{-1} W^{\dagger} \bar{\Lambda} D_1$$

and

(3.15) with the master 
$$W^! = \overline{\Lambda}^{-1} W^t \Lambda$$
. The sum of the su

We conclude the proof of (3.11) by considering (3.13)-(3.15) and (3.6).

It follows from the proof of theorem 2, that  $\ell_2^*(X')$  as defined in (3.8) is a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence with constants  $r_2$ ,  $\theta_2$ .

Similarly, if we denote by  $\omega$ ", W" the matrices of 1-forms (2.21) associated to the frame  $e_1$ ",  $e_i$ ",  $e_n$ ",  $e_{i-1}$  on M", we prove that

$$(dB)B^t + B\omega^{\mathbf{u}}B^t = BW^{\mathbf{u}}D_1 - D_1W^{\mathbf{u}}^tB^t$$

and therefore that  $\ell_1^*(X")$  is a pseudo-spherical congruence with constants  $r_1$ ,  $\theta_1$ . We conclude the proof of the theorem considering

$$X^* = \mathcal{L}_2^* \circ \mathcal{L}_1(X) = \mathcal{L}_1^* \circ \mathcal{L}_2(X)$$

which defines the position vector of  $M^*$ .

**Remark 2.** The normal frames obtained on  $M^*$  considering the orthogonal projections of  $e_{n+i-1}^+$  and  $e_{n+i-1}^+$  on  $v^*$  coincide, i.e.

 $-\sin\theta_2 \bar{e}_i^! + \cos\theta_2 e_{n+i-1}^! = -\sin\theta_1 \bar{e}_i^! + \cos\theta_1 e_{n+i-1}^!.$ 

This follows easily from (3.1)-(3.5) and (3.7).

#### 4. Analytic interpretation

In the first section, we have seen that n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature k, isometrically immersed in a space form  $\bar{M}_K^{2n-1}$ ,  $k \leq K$ , are in correspondence with the class of orthogonal,  $n \times n$  matrix functions, which satisfy the system of partial differential equations (1.12).

The geometric theory presented in sections 2 and 3 can be interpreted in terms of solution for this system of equations, namely theorems 5 and 6 below provide new solutions from a given one. Moreover, given a Riemannian manifold  $M^n$ , with constant curvature k, immersed in a space form  $\bar{M}^{2n-1}$ , k < K, these theorems provide the first and second fundamental forms of new such submanifolds of  $\bar{M}$ .

As in the previous sections, without loss of generality, we consider K=0, lor -1. If  $A=(\alpha_{IJ})$  is an orthogonal matrix function of  $u_1,\ldots,u_n$ , we define  $\phi=(\phi_{IJ})$  as

$$\phi_{IJ} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{1I}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1J}}{\partial u_I} \ du_J - \frac{1}{\alpha_{1J}} \frac{\partial \alpha_{1I}}{\partial u_J} \ du_I,$$

and the diagonal matrix (q2-obuseq a at ("%) % a doff enotement bas

 $\delta = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{du_1}{du_n}.$ 

Moreover, for any real number ~k < K,~ we consider constants  $\theta$  , r such that,  $_0$  <  $\theta$  <  $\pi$  , r > 0,

$$\sqrt{K-k} = \begin{cases} \sin\theta/r, & \text{if } K \equiv 0; \\ \sin\theta/\sin r, & \text{if } K \equiv 1; \\ \sin\theta/\sin hr, & \text{if } K \equiv -1, \end{cases}$$

and  $r < \pi$  whenever  $K \equiv 1$ . With the notation (3.3) and (2.21) introduced before, the next theorem gives the analytic version of the geometrical results of section 2.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $A:\mathbb{R}^n \to O(n)$  be a solution of (1.12), where the real number k < K. Then the following first order completely integrable system of equations for  $X:\mathbb{R}^n \to O(n)$ ,

$$(BT(r,\theta)) \qquad (dX)X^{t} + X\phi X^{t} = \sqrt{K-k}(X\delta\Lambda A^{t}D - DA\Lambda\delta X^{t})$$

gives a new solution for (1.12).

**Proof**: Let A be a solution of (1.12), then  $\bar{A}=\sqrt{K-k}\,M$  determines a manifold  $M^n\subset\bar{M}_K^{2n-1}$  with constant sectional curvature k.

Let  $v_1,\dots,v_n$  be a locally defined orthonormal frame of principal vectors on M, and  $e_{n+1},\dots,e_{2n-1}$  normal to M such that the normal connection  $\omega_{n+i-1},n+j-1=0$   $\forall$   $2\leq i,j\leq n$ . We denote by  $\phi_I$ ,  $\phi_{IJ}$ ,  $\phi_{I,n+j-1}$ , the 1-forms associated to the above frame.

Let X be a solution of  $(BT(r,\theta))$ . We consider the tangent frame defined by  $e_I = X_{IJ}v_J$ , and denote by  $\omega_I$ ,  $\omega_{IJ}$ ,  $\omega_{I,n+j-1}$  the 1-forms associated to  $e_I$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}$ . Then,

$$\omega = (dX)X^{t} + X\phi X^{t}$$

$$W = X\delta \vec{A}.$$

Since X satisfies  $BT(r,\theta)$  it follows that

$$\omega = WD - DW^{t}$$

i.e. the frame  $e_I$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}$ , satisfies (2.3) (2.4) and  $w_{n+i-1}, n+j-1 = 0$  hence from the proof of theorem 2, there exists a manifold  $w' \subset \bar{w}_K^{2n-1}$  and a pseudo-spherical geodesic congruence  $\ell: M \to M'$  with constants r,  $\theta$ . Moreover, if we consider  $e_i'$ ,  $e_{n+i-1}'$  defined on M' as in theorem 1, it follows from Theorem 3 that X is the orthogonal matrix function associated to M' with respect to  $e_{n+i-1}'$ . Therefore, X satisfies (1.12).

The following theorem is the analytic interpretation of section 3, and it says that given a solution A of (1.12) and  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  new solutions obtained by solving  $(BT(r_i,\theta_i))$  for constants  $r_i$ ,  $\theta_i$ , i=1,2,  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ , then a fourth solution can be obtained algebraically. With the same notation as before, we denote by  $D_i$  the matrix D defined by (2.21), for the constants  $r_i$ ,  $\theta_i$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let A be a solution of (1.12) and  $A_i$ , i=1,2 solutions of the same system obtained from A by solving  $(BT(r_i,\theta_i))$ . Then a fourth solution  $A^*$  can be obtained by solving

$$A^*A^t = \Lambda(D_1 A_2 A_1^t - D_2)(D_1 - D_2 A_2 A_1^t)^{-1} \bar{\Lambda}^{-1}.$$

 $C = A_2 A_1^t$ 

i.e.

$$\bar{e}_I = c_{IJ} e_J$$
.

We consider

$$E = \Lambda (D_1 C - D_2),$$

$$F = \bar{\Lambda} (D_1 - D_2 C),$$

and  $\it B$  defined by  $\it BF$  =  $\it E$  . It follows from the hypothesis, that  $\it A^*$  satisfies

$$A^*A^t = B.$$

o w' with respect to e' ... Therefore, X satisfies (1.12).

We have to prove that  $A^*$  is a solution of (1.12). It follows from theorem 4, that there exists  $M^* \subset \overline{M}_K^{2n-1}$  and pseudo-spherical congruences  $\mathcal{L}_2^*:M' \to M^*$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1^*:M'' \to M^*$  with constants  $r_2$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $r_1$ ,  $\theta_1$  respectively. We consider tangent frames  $\overline{e}_I^{\perp} = B_{IJ}e_J^{\perp}$ ,  $\overline{e}_I^{\parallel} = B_{IJ}e_J^{\parallel}$  on M' and M'' respectively as in (3.7).

Let  $\tilde{A}^*:\mathbb{R}^n \to 0(n)$  be the matrix function associated to  $M^*$  with respect to  $e^*_{n+i-1}$ , obtained by normal projection of  $e^!_{n+i-1}$  or  $e^*_{n+i-1}$  on  $v^*$  (see Remark 2), then  $\tilde{A}^*$  is a solution of (1.12).

We conclude the proof observing that for the pair of pseudo-spherical geodesic congruences  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ , we have  $C = A_2 A_1^t$ ,  $\bar{e}_I = C_{IJ} e_J$ , therefore, if we consider the pairs  $\ell_1^{-1}$ ,  $\ell_2^*$  and  $\ell_2^{-1}$ ,  $\ell_1^*$ , we obtain by analogy that

$$B = \tilde{A}^* A^t.$$

Hence, it follows from (4.1) that  $A^* = \tilde{A}^*$  and therefore  $A^*$  is a solution of (1.12).

q.e.d.

#### References

- [1] Ablowitz, M.J., Beals, R.; Tenenblat, K. On the solution of the generalized wave and generalized Sine-Gordon Equations. (to appear).
- [2] Bianchi, L. Sopra le deformazioni isogonali delle superficie a curvatura constante in geometria ellittica ed iperbolica. Annali di Matem. (3) 18 (1911) 185-243.
- [3] Cartan, E. Sur les variétes de courbure constante d'un space euclidien ou non-euclidien. Bull. Soc. Math. France 47 (1919) 125-160 and 48 (1920), 132-208.
- [4] Moore, J.D. Isometric immersions of space forms in a space form, Pac. J. of Math. 40 (1972), 157-166.
- [5] Tenenblat, K. and Terng, C.L. Bäcklund's theorem for n-dimensional submanifolds of  $R^{2n-1}$ , Annals of Math, 111 (1980), 477-490.

- Terng, C.L. A higher dimension generalization of the Sine--Gordon equation and its soliton theory, Annals of Math, (1980), 491-510.
- Wolf, J.A. Spaces of constant curvature McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.

Universidade de Brasília Departamento de Matemática 70.910 - Brasilia-DF