ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF A NONLINEAR BERNING AND WAVE EQUATION THE WAVE AND BERNING AND BERNINGS

Introduction and Statement of the Main Result,

In this paper we deal with the damped nonlinear wave equation: Tot and space and of enorthing Birm and and and

(1)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + cu_t + f(u) = h(t,x), c > 0.$$

We assume u(t,x) and h(t,x) are defined for all $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and are 2π -periodic in each x_i ; in other words, we take 2π -periodicity in the spatial variables as boundary condition. For each non-negative integer k and $1 \le p \le \infty$, $H_{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ $(H_k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for p=2) denotes the usual Sobolev spaces with the usual norm; $H_{k,p}^{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ $(H_k^{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for p = 2) denotes the Sobolev spaces of functions which are 2π -periodic in each variable (of course, the integrals defining the norm are taken over the fundamental cube $[0,2\pi] \times [0,2\pi] \times [0,2\pi]$).

Equation (1) can be viewed as a system behavior and a second

$$u_{t} = v$$

$$v_{t} = \Delta u - cu_{t} - f(u) - h(t, x)$$

w =
$$(u,v)$$
, $Aw = (v,\Delta u)$, $G(t,w) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \\ -cv-f(u)+h(t,v) \end{cases}$

Recebido em 01/08/88. What add all (40/0-0) was to opin anabation and made

It is very well known that A generates a strongly continuous semigroup (actually a group) of linear operators in the space $X_k = H_{k+1}^{2\pi} \times H_k^{2\pi}$, for each integer $k \geq 0$. As phase space for equation (3) we take the space $X_1 = H_2^{2\pi} \times H_1^{2\pi}$. Since $L_{\infty}^{2\pi} \subset H_2^{2\pi}$ continuously, it is easy to see that $w \in X \to G(t,w) \in X_1$ is lipschitzian on bounded sets provided f is C^2 . So, if this is the case and $h \colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to H_1$ is continuous, it follows that local existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of (3) is guaranted in the space X_1 ; moreover, global existence in time can also be guaranted provided we get an a priori estimate for the norm of the mild solutions in the space X_1 . For $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$ and w_0 belonging to X_1 , we denote by $w(t,t_0;w_0)$ the solution satisfying $w(t_0,t_0;w_0) = w_0$.

Definition. Equation (3) is uniform ultimately bounded in the space X_1 if there are functions $\alpha(R)$ and T(R) and a constant R such that $\left\|w_0\right\|_{X_1} \leq R$ implies $\left\|w(t,t_0;w_0)\right\|_{X_1} \leq \alpha(R)$ for $t \geq t_0$ and $\left\|w(t,t_0;w_0)\right\|_{X_1} \leq R_0$ for $t \geq t_0 + T(R)$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem A. Equation (3) is uniform ultimately bounded in the space X_1 provided the following conditions are satisfied.

- (i) f(u) is a C^2 function;
- (ii) there are constants $k_1 > 0$ and k_2 such that $uf(u) > k_1u^2 + k_2$;
- (iii) there are positive constants k_3 and β , $0 \le \beta < 4$ such that $|f'(u)| < k_3(1 + |u|^{\beta})$;
- (iv) the map $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \to h(t) \in \mathbb{H}_1^{2\pi}$ is continuous and bounded.

Moreover, if the map $t\to h(t)$ is periodic of period p>0, then the Poincare map $w_0\to w(p,0;w_0)$ is the sum of a linear map

with spectral radius strictly less than one and a compact differentiable map.

Before getting into the proof, we believe it is interesting to make the following remarks about Theorem A and its consequences:

- l) If we consider the problem of global existence of solutions of the equation $u_{tt} \Delta u + |u|^{\beta}u = 0$, with initial condition (u_0, v_0) in $H_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ $H_1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then the exponent $\beta = 4$ is critical; in other words, for $\beta < 4$ solutions are globally defined, and for $\beta = 4$ they are globally defined for small initial data ([1], [2]). Assumption (iii) in Theorem A is related to this fact.
- 2) Some authors have studied the existence of a p-periodic solution and of finite dimensional attractors for equation (3) in the case h(t) is p-periodic in t and the phase space is $H_1^{2\pi} \times L_2^{2\pi}$ ([3], [4], [5], [6], [7]) (here, finite dimension is understood in the sense of Hausdorff dimension). For that phase space, the critical exponent is $\beta = 2$. For the case we are treating, the existence of a p-periodic solution and of a finite dimensional attractor follows immediately from the decomposition of the Poincaré map given by Theorem A (see [8], [9]).
- 3) If the wave equation is given in a bounded open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with smooth boundary and we impose, say, Dirichlet boundary, then everything we have done works, except the proof of Lemma 3. This lemma gives an $L_p L_q$ estimate for the linear wave equation and its proof follows from a similar result for the wave equation in \mathbb{R}^3 . Almost surely it also holds in the case of Dirichlet boundary; so while we wait for such a proof, we restrict ourselves to the case where periodicity in x is taken as boundary condition. $L_p L_q$ estimates have been used by several authors to treat the Cauchy problem for nonlinear wave equations in \mathbb{R}^n (see, for instance, [11], [12] and the references therein).

Proof of Theorem A. As a preparation for the proof of Theorem A we start with a L_p - L_q estimate for the problem

(4)
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$$
 in \mathbb{R}^3 , $u(0,x) = 0$, $u_t(0,x) = f(x)$.

Lemma 1. The map $f \rightarrow S(t)f = u(t, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$|S(t)f|_{L_{\infty}} \le k_{\mu}t^{3\mu-1}|f|_{H_{1,p}(\mathbb{R})}, t > 0, p = \frac{1}{1-\mu}, \mu \ge 0.$$

Proof. See [10]. nted provided chief redt girls far it mach the a

Next lemma deals with equation (4) in the case periodicity is taken as boundary condition. Tost and of bedsies at A mercent

Lemma 2.
$$|S(t)f|_{L_{\infty}} \le k_5(t^{3\mu-1} + t^{3\mu-1+3/p})|f|_{H_{1,p}^{2\pi}}, t > 0.$$

Proof. First of all we have to notice that, due to the wave propagation property, Lemma 1 can be restated as $|S(t)f|_{L}(\Omega) \leq$ $\leq k_5 t^{3\mu-1} |f|_{H_{1,\mathcal{D}}} (\Omega(t))$, where Ω is any subset of \mathbb{R}^3 and

 $\Omega(t)$ is the domain of dependence of Ω . If 0 < t < 1 and Ω is the fundamental cube $[0,2\pi] \times [0,2\pi] \times [0,2\pi]$, then the number of cubes necessary to cover $\Omega(t)$ is less or equal to some fixed integer N_0 ; also, if t is large, the number of such a cubes grows as fast as t^3 , and this proves the lemma,

Lemma 3. Consider the equation $u_{t,t} - \Delta u + cu_t + \frac{c^-}{4} u = 0$ u(0,x) = 0, $u_{\pm}(0,x) = f(x)$, and suppose 2π -periodicity in xis taken as boundary conditions. Then $f \to \tilde{S}(t)t = u(t, \cdot)$ satisfies:

$$|\tilde{s}(t)f|_{L_{\infty}} \le k_6 e^{-ct/2} (t^{3\mu-1} + t^{3\mu-1+3/p}) |f|_{H_{1,p}^{2\pi}}, \quad t > 0, \quad p = \frac{1}{1-\mu}.$$

Proof. Defining $U(t) = e^{ct/2}u(t)$, we see that $U_{tt} - \Delta U = 0$, U(0,x) = 0, $U_{t}(0,x) = f(x)$, and the conclusion follows from the previous lemma.

Lemma 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, equation (3) is uniform ultimately bounded in the space $X_0 = H_1^{2\pi} \times L_2^{2\pi}$ (for initial conditions in $H_2^{2\pi} \times H_1^{2\pi}$, as long as solution exists in this space).

Proof. Recall that the norm in $H_1^{2\pi}$ is defined by $(|\operatorname{grad} u|_{L^{2\pi}}^{2} + |u|_{L^{2\pi}}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Defining $W(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} |v|_{L_{2}}^{2} +$ $+\frac{1}{2} |\text{grad } u|^2 + \frac{c}{2} < u, v >_L + \frac{c^2}{4} |u|_{L_2}^2 + \int_0^\infty F(u(x)) dx$ where $F(u) = \int_{0}^{u} f(s) ds$, an easy computation shows that $\dot{w}(u,v) = -\frac{c}{2}|v|_{L^{2\pi}}^{2} - c|\operatorname{grad} u|_{L^{2\pi}}^{2} - \frac{c}{2}\int_{Q} uf(u)dx + \langle v, h(t) \rangle + \langle u, h(t) \rangle$

and using assumptions (ii) and (iv) we get

$$\vec{w}(u,v) \leq -\gamma(|v|_{L_2^{2\pi}}^2 + |\operatorname{grad} u|_{L_2^{2\pi}}^2 + |u|_{L_2^{2\pi}}^2) + M,$$

where $\gamma > 0$ depends on c and k_1 and M depends on γ and $\sup_{t>0} |h(t)|_{L_{\alpha}^{2\pi}}. \quad \text{Let } R_1 > 0 \quad \text{be defined by } -\gamma R_1^2 + M = -1 \quad \text{and}$ let us call w(t) = (u(t), v(t)). Notice that assumptions (ii) and (iii) imply F(u) is bounded below and $|F(u)| \le k_7(1 + |u|^{\beta+1})$; in particular, since $L_6^{2\pi} \subset H_1^{2\pi}$ continuously, we conclude for each R there is a constant $C_1(R)$ such that $\int_C F(u(x))dx \leq C_1(R)$ provided $|u|_{H^{2\pi}} \le R$. Moreover, if for some t_1 we have $|W(t_1)|_{X_0} = R_1$ and $|W(t)|_{X_0} \ge R_1$ for $t \ge t_1$ then necessarily

(5)
$$W(u(t),v(t)) \leq W(u(t_1),v(t_1)) - (t-t_1).$$

This inequality together with the previous remarks proof the lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $u:[t_0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ be a non negative continuous function satisfying

$$u(t) \leq M e$$
 $+ M_2 + \epsilon M_3 \int_{t_0}^{t} e^{-c(t-s)/2} k(t-s)u(s)ds, \quad t_0 \leq t,$

where M_1 , M_2 , M_3 are non negative constants and k(s) is a nonegative function such that $\varepsilon M_3 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-cs/4} k(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then $u(t) < \overline{u}(t) = 2M_1 e^{-c(t-t_0)/4} + 3M_2$, $t \geq t_0$.

Proof. Suppose $u(s) < \overline{u}(s)$, $t_0 < \overline{u}(s)$ then u(s) = (u(s))

$$\begin{split} u(t) &\leq M_1 e^{-c(t-t_0)/2} + \\ &+ M_2 + \varepsilon M_3 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c(t-s)/2} k(t-s) \left[2M_1 e^{-c(s-t_0)/4} + 3M_2 \right] ds \\ &= M_1 e^{-c(t-t_0)/2} + M_2 + 2M_1 \varepsilon M_3 e^{-c(t-t_0)/4} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c(t-s)/4} k(t-s) ds + \\ &+ 3M_2 \varepsilon M_3 \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c(t-s)/2} k(t-s) ds \leq 2M_1 e^{-c(t-t_0)/4} + \frac{5}{2} M_2 < \bar{u}(t) \end{split}$$

and this proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem A. We start by rewriting system (2) as

(5)
$$v_t = \Delta u - cu_t - \frac{c}{4} u - g(u) + h(t),$$

where $g(u) = f(u) - \frac{c^2}{4}u$. Certainly, g(u) also satisfies assumption (iii); so, we can find constants $k_8 \ge 0$, α and b,

with $0 < \alpha < 3$, $0 \le b < 1$, such that $\alpha + b = \beta$ and $\frac{|g'(u)|}{1 + |u|^{\alpha}} \le k_8(1 + |u|^b).$ Then, using that $L_\infty \subset H_2^{2\pi}$ continuously and Lemma 5 with $p = \frac{6}{\alpha + 3} > 1$ we get, by the variation of constants formula:

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(t)|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \mathit{Ke} & |w(t_0)|_{X_1} + \\ &+ \mathit{K} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c(t-s)/2} \left[(t-s)^{-\gamma_1} + (t-s)^{\gamma_2} \right] |g(u(s))|_{H^{2\pi}} ds \\ &+ (t-s)^{\gamma_2} |g(u(s))|_{H^{2\pi}} ds$$

$$\left|\frac{f'(u)}{1+|u|^{\alpha}}(1+|u|^{\alpha})\right|_{L_{q}^{2\pi}} \leq \left|\frac{f'(u)}{1+|u|^{\alpha}}\right|_{L_{\infty}} \left|1+|u|^{\alpha}\right|_{L_{q}^{2\pi}} \leq \frac{k_{8}(1+|u|^{b})|(1+|u|^{\alpha})|_{L_{q}^{2\pi}}}{k_{8}(1+|u|^{b})|(1+|u|^{\alpha})|_{L_{q}^{2\pi}}}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{L_{\infty}} &\leq \mathrm{Ke}^{-c(t-t_{0})/2} |w(t_{0})|_{X_{1}}^{+} \\ &\times \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-c(t-s)/2} [(t-s)^{-\gamma_{1}} + (t-s)^{\gamma_{2}}] \left[k_{s} | \operatorname{grad} u(s)|_{L_{2}^{2\pi}} (1 + |u(s)|^{\alpha})_{L_{q}^{2\pi}}^{2\pi} (1 + |u(s)|^{b})_{L_{\infty}}^{b} \\ &+ |f(u(s))|_{L_{p}^{2\pi}} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $|w(t_0)|_{X_1}=R$ then, according to Lemma 4 there is a $c_2(R)$ such that $|u(s)|_{H_1^{2\pi}}\leq c_2(R)$, $s\geq t_0$, in particular, $|1+|u(s)|^{\alpha}|_{L_q^{2\pi}}\leq c_3(R) \quad \text{(we have used the inclusion } L_6^{2\pi}\subset H_1^{2\pi}),$ and so

 $\begin{aligned} |u(t)|_{L_{\infty}} &\leq Ke^{-c(t-t_{0})/2} |w(t_{0})|_{X_{1}} + \tilde{M}_{2}(R) + \\ &+ M_{3}(R) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-c(t-s)/2} [(t-s)^{-\Upsilon_{1}} + (t-s)^{\Upsilon_{2}}] |u(s)|_{L_{\infty}}^{b} ds. \end{aligned}$

Since 0 < b < 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $K(\varepsilon)$ such that $|u|^{D} \leq K(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon |u|$, and then

$$\begin{split} \left| u(t) \right|_{L_{\infty}} & \leq M_{1} e^{-c(t-t_{0})/2} \left| w(t_{0}) \right|_{X_{1}} + M_{2}(R, \varepsilon) + \\ & + \varepsilon M_{3}(R) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-c(t-s)/2} \left[(t-s)^{-\gamma_{1}} + (t-s)^{\gamma_{2}} \right] \left| u(s) \right|_{L_{\infty}} ds. \end{split}$$

If $\varepsilon(R)$ is chosen to satisfy $\varepsilon M_3(R) \int_0^\infty e^{-cs/4} (s^{-\gamma_1} + s^{\gamma_2}) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then Lemma 5 gives $|u(t)|_{L_\infty} \leq c_4(R)$, $t \geq t_0$, for some convenient $c_4(R)$. Defining $U(t,x) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(t,x)$ $V(t,x) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(t,x)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, we see (U(t),V(t)) is a mild solution (in the space $X_0 = H_1^{2\pi} \times L_2^{2\pi}$) of the system $U_+ = V$

$$V_{t} = \Delta U - cV + \frac{c^{2}}{4} U + f'(u(t)) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{c}}(t) - \frac{c^{2}}{4} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{c}}(t) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{c}}(t).$$

Since the semigroup generated by the linear part goes to zero exponentially (in the space X_0) and

$$|f'(u(t))\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(t) - \frac{c^2}{4}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}h(t)|_{L_2^{2\pi}}, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

is bounded above by some constant $c_5(R)$ (as a consequence of Lemma 4, the previous estimate for $|u(t)|_{L_\infty}$ and assumption (iv)), we conclude $|(u(t),v(t))|_{X_0}$, $t \geq t_0$, is bounded above by some constant $c_6(R)$; hence, $|(u(t),v(t))|_{X_1}$, $t \geq t_0$, is bounded above by some $c_7(R)$. Furthermore, using Lemma 4 again and

previous remarks, we know there are $\,c_{\,_8}(R_{\,_0})\,$ and $\,T\,(R)\,$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\operatorname{grad}\ u(s)|_{H_{1}^{2\pi}} \leq c_{8}(R_{0}), &|1+|u(s)|^{\alpha}|_{L_{q}^{2\pi}} \leq c_{8}(R_{0}) \\ &|g(u(s))|_{L_{p}^{2\pi}} \leq c_{8}(R) & \text{for } s \geq t_{1}(R) = t_{0} + T(R); \end{aligned}$$

so for $t \ge t_1(R)$ we have

$$|u(t)|_{L_{\infty}} \le Ke^{-c(t-t_1(R))/2} |w(t_1(R))|_{X_1} + c_g(R_0)$$

and this implies $|u(t)|_{L_\infty} \leq 2c_9(R_0)$ provided $t \geq t_0 + T_1(R)$, for a convenient $T_1(R)$. Using the functions U(t) and V(t) defined above and argueing exactly as before we can see that are $c_{10}(R_0)$ and $T_2(R)$ such that $|(u(t),v(t))|_{X_2} \leq c_{10}(R_0)$ for $t \geq t_0 + T_2(R)$ and this proves the uniform ultimate boundedness. The final assertion follows imediately from the fact that the map $u \in H_2^{2\pi} \to g(u) \in H_1^{2\pi}$ is compact and differentiable, and this proves the theorem,

References

- [1] K. Jörgens, Das Anfangswert problem in grossen für eine klasse nichtlinearer wellengleichungen, Math. Z., 77, (1961), 295-308.
- [2] J. Rauch, The u^5 klein-gordon Equation, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, 59, (1984).
- [3] J. Hale, Asymptotic behavior and dynamics in infinite dimensions, Lectures in Granada, Spain, 1984 (to be published by Pîtman în Research Notes în Math.).
- [4] J.M. Ghidaglia and R. Temam, Attaactors for Damped nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations, preprint.

- [5] A. Araux, Two remarks on dissipative hyperbolic problems, Seminaire du College de France, J.L. Lions, Ed., Pitman, Boston, 1985.
- [6] A.V. Babin, and M.I. Vishik, Regular Attractors of Semigroups and Evolution Equations, J. Math. Pures Appl., 62, (1983), 441-491.
- [7] S. Ceron, Tese de Doutoramento, São Carlos, Brazil, 1985.
- [8] J. Hale and O. Lopes, Fixed point theorems and Dissipative Processes, J. Diff. Eq., 13, No. 2, (1973), 391-402.
- [9] R. Mañe, On the dimension of the compact invariant sets of certain nonlinear maps, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, 898, Springer-Verlag.
- [10] W. Von Wahl, $L^{\mathcal{D}}$ decay rates for homogeneous wave equations, Math. Z., 120, (1971), 93-106.
- P. Brenner, On the existence of global Smooth solutions of certain semi-linear Hyperbolic equations, Math. Z., 167, (1979), 99-135.
- [12] H. Pecher, Low energy scattering for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, J. Functional Analysis, 63, (1985), 101-122.

Universidade Estadual de Campinas Instituto de Matemática Estatística e Ciência da Computação 13.081 - Campinas-SP - Brasil

(vi) noithmuses but the previous establishments and continues of the previous establishments to the previous and dynamics in infinite smoothy and dynamics in infinite previous versions and dynamics in the previous versions and dynamics and dynamic

[4] John Gindida gil isanmenti ghui allemanno aktotatararbas. (fo), Dangrede noti-ov